



## **Damage Prevention Committee**

**Summaries and Actions  
from the  
Meeting of April 12, 2022**



**Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission**

**Damage Prevention Committee Meeting Case List**

**Omnibus Session**

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
12795	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Comcast  <b>Facility Owner:</b> FRONTIER  <b>Facility Owner:</b> PPL  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> JD Eckman Inc  <b>Project Owner:</b> PENNDOT DISTRICT 8-0  <b>Designer:</b> ALFRED BENESCH AND COMPANY  <b>Other:</b> Providence Township</p>	<p><u>On 1/22/2020 3:00:00 PM at RT 272, MULTIPLE, LANCASTER</u> Incident occurred on 1/22/2020, when a Complex Project meeting was held for an over 14,000. ft area for PennDOT roads, at multiple locations in Providence Township, Lancaster County. Comcast Communications, Frontier Communications, and PPL Electric all marked that they attended the meeting. The Complex Project sign in sheet did not list any of the three companies nor does it list USIC as being in attendance.</p> <p>J D Eckman Inc. stated in both of their Alleged Violation Reports (AVR) that Complex Project ticket 20200130717 was requested for 1/22/2020 at 3 pm. Comcast Communications, PPL and Frontier Communications responded that they would attend the meeting but did not attend the scheduled meeting.</p> <p>Alfred Benesch and Company stated in their AVR that Comcast Communications, Frontier Communications and PPL did not attend the scheduled Complex Project meeting although they marked that they would attend the meeting in KARL.</p> <p>PennDOT stated in their AVR that Comcast Communications, Frontier Communications and PPL did not attend the scheduled Complex Project meeting and that all three companies stated that they would attend the meeting in KARL.</p> <p>PPL AVR request was emailed on 10/26/2021. PPL did reach out and state that they did not believe that a violation of act 50 had taken place, as they did make telephone contact and worked out an agreement with J D Eckman. They supplied a telephone log and I verified with JD Eckman that contact and an agreement was made. He did not recall the details but did say that it was possible, and no damage occurred with any facilities. He added that he wrote up his AVR based on the meeting sign in sheet.</p> <p>Comcast AVR request letter was emailed on 10/26/2021. Comcast reached out questioning why an AVR was needed, and they also stated that they had contacted JD Eckman before the meeting, due to another obligation at the time of the meeting. A telephone call log was provided, which verified this information. E K Eckman did not recall the details but stated this was possible. He wrote Comcast in the AVR, because they were not listed on the sign in sheet.</p>	<p><b>Comcast: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>FRONTIER: \$1,750.00</b>            Section 2(5)(viii) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(11) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Providence Township: \$0.00</b>            Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Frontier Communications was emailed an AVR request on 10/26/2021. No AVR or response from Frontier Communications to date.</p> <p>Frontier Communications is in violation of Sections: 2(11) Facility Owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission. Penalty is applied. 2(5)(viii) Facility owner failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a third offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket</p> <p>Providence Township is in violation of section:</p> <p>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. This is a first-time offense and \$1000. (\$500 x2) penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>Additional notes: Preliminary Design ticket found was 20171701256. The Complex Project Meeting for “part B” was held on 1/22/2020.</p>	
14608	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> GENERAL ASPHALT PAVING <b>Project Owner:</b> PECO <b>Designer:</b> PECO <b>Other:</b> BOROUGH OF POTTSTOWN/POTTSTOWN BOROUGH MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY <b>Other:</b> Comcast <b>Other:</b> LOWER POTTS GROVE AUTHORITY/LOWER POTTS GROVE TOWNSHIP <b>Other:</b> METROPOLITAN EDISON CO / FIRST ENERGY <b>Other:</b> Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 5/4/2020 9:00:00 AM at PLEASANT VIEW RD, LOWER POTTS GROVE TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> Incident occurred at Pleasant View Rd. (PECO’s AVR reads Pleasantville Rd), Lower Pottsgrove Township, Montgomery County, PA AVR was received from PECO, who is the Project Owner, Designer and Facility Owner of this Capacity Expansion Project. AVR states that on 05/04/2020 General Asphalt Company (GAC) was digging with a backhoe/tracker and failed to exercise due care and take all reasonable steps to avoid injury to or interference with all lines. A PECO 1/2-inch plastic gas service line was hit, causing one customer at 864 N. Pleasantville Rd. to be without service for 1-6 hours. AVR from General Asphalt Paving states that on 5/4/2020, their excavator received a filed marked response. No other information was received. The crew was excavating in front of 935 N. Pleasant View Rd, to install an 8” MP PI main. GAC backhoe struck and caused a leak on an unmarked 1/2” plastic service line, which belonged to 864 N Pleasant View Rd. AVR’s from PECO and GAP state that 911 was not called. This is a violation of section 5(8) to General Asphalt &amp; Paving for not immediately notifying 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas. The facility owner was notified. Penalty is applied. Education is mandatory. PECO project information to include a packet that was shared with General Asphalt and Paving (GAP). This packet included a map and GFR service card. GFR Service card listed all the addresses with gas service. 864 N. Pleasant Road was not listed on this service card. 864 N. Pleasantville Road was listed on a map and marked No Pipe Data verify Material. There was a meter</p>	<p><b>GENERAL ASPHALT PAVING: \$1,000.00</b> Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>PECO: \$1,250.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(11) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 4(5) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>BOROUGH OF POTTSTOWN/POTTSTOWN BOROUGH MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY: \$500.00</b> Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>shown on the map. The meter could have been connected someplace away from the road that was under construction. This gas line was not marked in the field. Ticket # 20201130026 response was field marked by PECO. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(v) the information in KARL was incorrect. The Information that was included in the packet, should have been marked as well.</p> <p>The gas meter was known to be there and was not marked. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(i.1) House was listed on the maps and labeled “No pipe data” and not marked in the field. This house could not be seen from the road that was under construction.</p> <p>Complex project Routine ticket was put in 90 working days after the Complex project final design.</p> <p>DPI requested Complex Project sign in sheet from PECO and the map that would include the 811 number and the level of SUE used. None of this information was received. This is a violation of Section 4(11) Facility Owner failed to reply with all requests for information by the Commission. This is a first-time offense. \$250. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Education specific to Project Owners is mandatory.</p> <p>Designers Drawing did not include the One Call’s toll-free number and serial number of the ticket. This information was not included with the ticket numbers that were received from One Call, also this was requested from PECO. This is a first-time violation of 4(5) and \$250. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Education specific to Designers is mandatory.</p> <p>Level D Sue involves collecting the most basic level of information from existing utility records. This information was not including in the design and missed marked. This is a first-time violation of Section 6.1(1) and \$500 penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is Mandatory.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>*Verizon – Late response to ticket’s # 20200420020, 20200550284, 20200650078, 20201010015 and no response to ticket’s # 20200080299, 20192321475. These are all subsequent violations of Section 2(5)(v) and penalties applied to each.</p> <p>* Comcast Cable – Late response to ticket 20201010015. Response was due by 4/14/20 and Comcast responded within two hours after the renotify ticket 20201010015-001 was submitted on 4/22/20. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(v) and penalty applied.</p> <p>* PECO Energy - Late response to ticket 20201010015. Response was due by 4/14/20 and PECO responded within two hours after the renotify ticket 20201010015-001 was submitted on 4/22/20. This is a third violation of Section 2(5)(v) and penalty applied.</p> <p>*Borough of Pottstown - Late response to ticket 20201010015. Response was due by 4/14/20 and Borough of Pottstown responded Field Marked on 4/21/2020. This is a first-time violation of Section 2(5)(v) and \$250 penalty is reduced to a warning.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Comcast: \$250.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>METROPOLITAN EDISON CO / FIRST ENERGY: \$750.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p><b>Verizon: \$8,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Renotify ticket 20201010015-001 was submitted on 4/22/20. No response was given to the renotify ticket on 4/22/2020 This is a first-time violation of Section 2(5)(v) and \$250 penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Late response to ticket #20200290588. Response was due by 1/31/20. Responded Field Marked on 2/3/2020 at 9:13. This is on the requested excavation date and after the requested dig time of 7:00 a.m. This is a first-time violation of Section 2(5)(v) and \$250 penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>Late response to ticket # 20200420020. Response was due by 2/13/20. Responded field marked on 2/14/20, at 14:20. The requested dig time was 7:00 a.m. This is a first-time violation of Section 2(5)(v) and \$250 penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>No response was received for Complex Project ticket# 20200080299. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(viii) and penalty is applied. This is a first-time violation of Section 2(5)(viii) and \$500 penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>No response was received for Design ticket # 20200650078. This is a violation of Section 2(4) and \$250 penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>Final Design Ticket #20192321475 was due on 9/4/2019. Pottstown Borough responded field marked on 9/11/2019. This is a violation of Section 2(4) and \$250 penalty is reduced to a warning.</p>	
20229	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Windstream</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> KILECRETE</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> HILLVIEW DAIRY LLC</p>	<p><u>On 5/5/2020 2:50:00 PM at 4518 Col John Kelly Rd, LEWISBURG BORO, UNION</u> Synopsis 20229</p> <p>Email asking for contact info for M. Kyle was requested on 3/17/2022.</p> <p>AVR request letter was emailed to Kilecrete on 3/16/2022</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/5/2020 at 4518 Col John Kelly Rd, near Beagle Road, Lewisburg Borough, Union County.</p> <p>Windstream stated in their Alleged Violation Report that Hillview Dairy was grading for road work maintenance using a grader/scrapper when a buried Windstream copper line was damaged 200 ft off the road. They named Hillview dairy as the excavator and stated that there was no One Call notification for this work. USIC report and Fact based investigation report are included.</p> <p>One Call states that there was no One Call notifications found for this excavator.</p> <p>Hillview Dairy LLC submitted and Alleged Violation Report stating that Myron Kile was using a small Bobcat skid loader to grade/widen an unpaved farm road for routine maintenance of the road. Myron struck a telecom wire that was buried slightly below the surface of the ground. No one was aware that the wire was there, since that location is near an agricultural farming zone where annual tillage occurs.</p> <p>Myron immediately notified the property owner when he struck the underground wire. The property owner (Michael Snook) then immediately contacted the telecom company to repair the underground internet wire. Hillview Dairy is the customer who experienced a service interruption. Two pictures are included.</p>	<p><b>KILECRETE: \$1,000.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>One call located a ticket placed by Myron Kyle for a neighboring address, which will not be included in this case, but this verifies that Myron Kyle is an excavator. Myron Kyle was sent an AVR request letter on 3/16/2022.</p> <p>A buried Windstream 25 pr copper cable was damaged, while Hillview Dairy was grading to maintain the road. No PA One Call ticket was found for this excavation. Windstream submitted an AVR stating, "There were no locates done as there was no locate request made to PA811". A Damage Report and photo were submitted with the AVR.</p> <p>PA One Call notes that this excavator has not made previous One Calls in the past.</p> <p>Hillview Dairy is in violation of Section: ~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p>	
16221	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Comcast <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> A. Folino Construction <b>Project Owner:</b> NORTH FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP <b>Designer:</b> WIDMER ENGINEERING INC <b>Other:</b> Amwell Township <b>Other:</b> BUFFALO TOWNSHIP WASHINGTON COUNTY <b>Other:</b> CNX WATER ASSETS / CONVEY WTR <b>Other:</b> PA American Water <b>Other:</b> RANGE RESOURCES CANONSBURG <b>Other:</b> South Strabane Township <b>Other:</b> WASHINGTON EAST WASHINGTON JOINT AUTHORITY</p>	<p><u>On 5/12/2020 4:00:00 PM at 30 and 35 HOLLY RIDGE RD, NORTH FRANKLIN TWP, WASHINGTON</u> Incident occurred on 5/12/2020 at 30 and at 35 Holly Ridge Road, in North Franklin Township, Washington County. A. Folino Construction was digging with a backhoe/trackhoe to replace inlets, milling, and paving the road, when a Comcast CATV coaxial feeder and a West Penn secondary service line were struck and damaged.</p> <p>Comcast Cable Corporation states in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that on 5/12/2020, A. Folino was replacing inlets, milling, and paving the road, when a Comcast cable was struck and damaged at 30 Holly Ridge Rd. They further state that A. Folino did not provide the One Call System, with exact information to identify the work site. USIC report with pictures was submitted. They state that damage costs were \$1001 to \$5000.</p> <p>West Penn Power / First Energy states in their AVR that A. Folino was replacing inlets and paving the road for Franklin Township. Ticket 20201262153 was submitted requesting facilities to be marked on Holly Ridge Dr. from Fairfield Dr. to the dead end. The areas would be marked in pink. West Penn's contracted locator responded "Field Marked" on 5/07/2020. On 5/12/2020 A. Folino submitted emergency ticket 20201333775 stating damage to West Penn's underground secondary service line located at 35 Holly Ridge Dr. USIC investigation determined that the damage area was not marked in pink and that the area was outside the scope of the ticket. The root cause of the damage was that A. Folino Construction failed to provide the PA One Call system with exact information to identify the work site.</p> <p>A. Folino states in their AVR from that they were doing drainage work with a backhoe/trackhoe, when they damaged an unmarked line owned by West Penn. They did not mention another line that was damaged, which was owned by Comcast. They state that the area was mismarked. AVR from A. Folino was submitted</p>	<p><b>A. Folino Construction: \$1,500.00</b> Section 5(4) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>NORTH FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Amwell Township: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>BUFFALO TOWNSHIP WASHINGTON COUNTY: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>CNX WATER ASSETS / CONVEY WTR: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>PA American Water: \$500.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>RANGE RESOURCES CANONSBURG: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>South Strabane Township: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>5/28/2020 noting that there was a spark when the line was hit. Picture was submitted.</p> <p>On 8/6/2021 AVR request letter was mailed to A. Folino about the Comcast line that was damaged. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>North Franklin Township states in their AVR that this was an under \$400,000. project that is 1.6 miles or 8,448 ft. The project was prepared by Designer Widmer Engineering, Inc. A Folino placed Routine excavation ticket 20201262153 on 5/5/2020 for drainage work to be done on Holly Ridge from Fairfield Dr. to the dead end. The damage to a Comcast line was not reported to North Franklin Township and they had no knowledge of this until the AVR request letter was received.</p> <p>Widmer engineering states in their AVR that this was an &gt;\$400,000. Project and level "D" Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used.</p> <p>A Folino is in violation of Sections:  5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. This is a third offence, and the penalty is applied.  5(16) Excavator failed to submit an alleged Violation report within 10 business days. This is a first-time violation and \$250. Penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>This is part of a complex project which was started prior to July of 2019, to replace street name signs. Ticket 20191581504 requested a Complex Project Meeting which was held on 6/12/2019 at 14:00. Attended: Columbia Gas, DQE Communications, Markwest Liberty Midstream, PA American Water.</p> <p>Listed below are the entities who did not respond to ticket 20191581504:  Amwell Township is in violation of Section:  2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a second time offence \$1000. penalty is reduced to a warning. Ticket is from 2019, as was the first offence ticket. Education is mandatory.  Buffalo Township is in violation of Section:  2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.  North Franklin Township is in violation of Section:  2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning. They marked they will attend meeting in KARL but were not noted as attending the meeting. Education is mandatory.  Range Resources Canonsburg is in violation of Section:  2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning. They</p>	<p><b>WASHINGTON EAST  WASHINGTON JOINT  AUTHORITY: \$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(viii) 1st  Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>marked they will attend meeting in KARL but were not noted as attending the meeting. Education is mandatory. South Strabane Township is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning. Washington East Washington responded with a conflict and did not attend the meeting. Education is mandatory. City of Washington is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning. City of Washington did not respond until 7/03/2019 with clear no facilities. Education is mandatory. Washington East Washington Joint Authority is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning. Washington East Washington responded with a conflict and did not attend the meeting. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>PA American Water is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. Routine Ticket 20201262153, which was due on 5/10/2020. Was responded “field marked” after the expected excavation time. This is a second offense violation of Section 2(5)(v) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>Additional notes Pink was an acceptable color for temporary markings in 2020, due to the shortage of spray paint.</p> <p>North Franklin Township emailed information about the designer and explained that the Township signed a Notice to Proceed in April of 2020 he believes the work began in May 2020 but does not have an exact date. As far as any questions regarding the design work, Widmer would need to provide those answers. The Township Board of Supervisors provided Widmer with the names of the streets that they wanted to resurface. Then Widmer prepared the design information and the bid specifications and put the project out to bid. The Township really was not involved in the process again until the bids were opened, and the contract was awarded.</p> <p>Comcast was notified about the damage per UCIS Investigation Report.</p> <p>**Ticket #20200512069 is for another location and not related to this case. This was attached to AVR from Comcast.</p> <p>On 1/25/2022 DPI Maki was contacted by North Franklin Township. They stated, then sent an email that</p>	



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Ticket 20191581504 was not a part of this project. All violations that were found and listed using ticket 20191581504, are still violations, but penalty is reduced to a warning and education is mandatory.</p> <p>This is part of a complex project which was started prior to July of 2019, to replace street name signs. Ticket 20191581504 requested a Complex Project Meeting which was held on 6/12/2019 at 14:00. Attended: Columbia Gas, DQE Communications, Markwest Liberty Midstream, PA American Water.</p> <p>Listed below are the entities who did not respond to ticket 20191581504:</p> <p>Amwell Township is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a second time offence \$1000. penalty is reduced to a warning. Ticket is from 2019, as was the first offence ticket. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Buffalo Township is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>North Franklin Township is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning. They marked they will attend meeting in KARL but were not noted as attending the meeting. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Range Resources Canonsburg is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning. They marked they will attend meeting in KARL but were not noted as attending the meeting. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>South Strabane Township is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>Washington East Washington responded with a conflict and did not attend the meeting. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>City of Washington is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning. City of Washington did not respond until 7/03/2019 with clear no facilities. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Washington East Washington Joint Authority is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. This is a first-time offence \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>Washington East Washington responded with a conflict and did not attend the meeting. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Notes: CNX water provided verification of PA One call training. The training was received after ticket</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		20191581504 was submitted. They did respond to ticket and have made changes so the responses in the KARL system can be in Compliance with ACT 50. DPI agrees that the violation should be removed, as they did respond and have updated how they respond.	
15064	<b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO ENERGY <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Zarelli Landscaping <b>Project Owner:</b> Land owner	<p><u>On 5/22/2020 10:00:00 AM at 357 WALNUT ST, PHOENIXVILLE BORO, CHESTER</u> Incident occurred at 357 Walnut St. in Phoenixville Borough, Chester County PA.  ***No One Call Ticket  AVR from PECO states that on 5/22/2020 at 10 a.m. Zarelli Landscaping hit and damaged a 1” retired gas service line while grading. There was no one call ticket submitted for this excavation. This is a first-time violation of Section 5(2.1). Excavator Education is mandatory.</p> <p>AVR request was sent to Zarelli Landscaping. AVR request was mailed on 6/2/2021 and emailed after a telephone conversation on 6/25/2021. No AVR received, even after Mr. Zarelli (father) had requested more time due to an emergency. This excavator has submitted One Call tickets in the past, per Compliance Coordinator. This is a violation of Section 5(16) and \$250 penalty is enforced.</p> <p>AVR request letter sent to Property Owner 7/21/21 No AVR was received, no response was heard. This is a violation of Section 6.1(7) .</p> <p>No penalties were reduced for first-time offender as Zarelli Landscaping did not demonstrate a good-faith effort to comply with the law when asked for an AVR.</p> .	<b>Zarelli Landscaping:</b> <b>\$1,250.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00  Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00  <b>Land owner: \$0.00</b> Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00
15179	<b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Glenn O Hawbaker Inc <b>Project Owner:</b> City of Williamsport Public Works <b>Designer:</b> Cardno TBE <b>Designer:</b> Larson Design Group <b>Other:</b> Zayo Bandwidth	<p><u>On 6/1/2020 1:00:00 PM at 131 E. 3RD ST, WILLIAMSPORT CITY, LYCOMING</u> ~Incident occurred on 6/1/2020 at 131 East 3rd Street, near East Street and State Street, Williamsport City, Lycoming County.</p> <p>Routine One Call ticket #20200570405 was placed on 2/26/2020 for excavation to begin on 3/2/2020. Response due date was 2/28/2020. UGI replied "Insufficient Info" and a violation of 2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification for ticket #20200570405 is recommended. Zayo Bandwidth responded to the ticket "Clear-No facilities" on 3/2/2020 and a violation of 2(5)(v) late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended.</p> <p>Final Design Ticket was placed, #20181430451, on 5/23/2018. A violation of 4(2)- failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed is recommended against Larson Design Group and a violation of 6.1(3)- released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete is</p>	<b>UGI: \$750.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00  Section 2(11) 1st Offense \$250.00  <b>City of Williamsport Public Works: \$0.00</b> Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$0.00  <b>Larson Design Group: \$0.00</b> Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$0.00  Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$0.00  <b>Zayo Bandwidth: \$500.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>recommended against the City of Williamsport Public Works.</p> <p>A request was made to the Designer on 6/29/2021 for an AVR. An AVR was submitted by Larson Design Group stating, "Larson Design Group was not notified of the issue until June 3 at the bi-weekly construction meeting. We are unable to provide any additional details or photographs as we were not present during the event." Since the designer knew of the incident prior to the request, and the AVR was not submitted until 7/16/2021, a violation of 4(8)- failed to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than thirty business days of being made aware of the violation is recommended.</p> <p>UGI submitted an AVR stating, "Crew excavating for street work struck the gas service to 137 E 3rd St Williamsport. This work was stopped due to the pandemic and no new one call or update was placed for this work." The excavator was contacted and indicated the equipment never left the site of the incident. UGI indicated their line was marked "accurately" and "on-time" with paint, but the One Call ticket indicated they responded with "Insufficient Info". Per response code 004, it is the facility owner's responsibility to contact the excavator to obtain additional information to mark or request a new locate ticket be placed.</p> <p>An email was sent to UGI on 6/29/2021 requesting locate photos. A response was never received regarding having or not having locate photos. A violation of 2(11)- facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission relating to the commission's enforcement authority under this act within thirty days of the receipt of the request is recommended against UGI.</p> <p>Hawbaker indicated they saw the curbstop visually, and the "Gas line was broke due to underground concrete slab that was on top of the gas line. There was no way to use prudent measure to locate or pot hole. The curb stop that was 18 inches away from the break, was full of dirt and no way to measure down." A determination can not be made as to whether prudent measures were used due to lack of evidence from both parties.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>City of Williamsport Public Works- ~6.1(3)- released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete</p> <p>Larson Design Group- ~4(2)- failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. ~4(8)- failed to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>than thirty business days of being made aware of the violation</p> <p>UGI- ~2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification for ticket #20200570405 ~2(11)- facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission relating to the commission's enforcement authority under this act within thirty days of the receipt of the request.</p> <p>Zayo Bandwidth- ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for ticket #20200570405</p> <p>Notes: Project was over \$400,000. Level "A" Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used for this project.</p>	
15678	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> CARBONDALE HOUSING AUTHORITY <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> KRIGER PIPELINE <b>Project Owner:</b> UGI UTILITIES <b>Designer:</b> R K and K Engineers <b>Other:</b> VERIZON</p>	<p><u>On 6/18/2020 7:00:00 AM at 192 WASHINGTON ST, CARBONDALE CITY, LACKAWANNA</u> ~Incident occurred on 6/18/2020 at Washington Street, near Russell Pl. and 11th Ave., Carbondale City, Lackawanna County.</p> <p>Kruger submitted an AVR stating, "This was an unmarked gas main owned by Carbondale housing authority. This gas main came from private property and traveled down a public street back to private property and was unknown to exist in the public street . Carbondale housing authority is not a member of the 811 system. The crew was excavating for the installation of new 2" hdpe gas main and struck the unmarked gas main at a depth of 44". There was no indication of the facility in the area being that the master meter is some 2 blocks away on a different street." A recommendation of 2(1)- facility owner is not a member of PA One Call is recommended.</p> <p>Section 2(9) of Act 50 applies- If a facility owner fails to become a member of the One Call System in violation of this act and a line or lines of such nonmember facility owner are damaged by an excavator by reason of the excavator's failure to notify the facility owner because the facility owner was not a member of the One Call System serving the location where the damage occurred, such facility owner shall have no right of recovery from the excavator of any costs associated with the damage to its lines."</p> <p>Kruger Pipeline placed CP Ticket #20201393875 on 5/18/2020 and Verizon did not respond to the ticket or show up to the CP meeting. A violation of 2(5)(viii)- failed to participate in a preconstruction meeting for a complex project is recommended.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was sent to RK and K Engineers to submit an AVR. One was not submitted. A violation of 4(8)- failed to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more</p>	<p><b>CARBONDALE HOUSING AUTHORITY: \$250.00</b> Section 2(1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>R K and K Engineers: \$500.00</b> Section 4(8) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>VERIZON: \$2,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>than thirty business days of being made aware of the violation is recommended.</p> <p>*PA One Call indicated Carbondale Housing Authority is not a member of PA One Call.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Verizon: ~2(5)(viii)-failed to participate in a preconstruction meeting for a complex project</p> <p>Carbondale Housing Authority- ~2(1)- facility owner is not a member of PA One Call</p> <p>RK and K Engineers- ~4(8)- failed to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than thirty business days of being made aware of the violation</p>	
16123	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> HOMEOWNER</p>	<p><u>On 7/1/2020 8:23:00 AM at 840 E. LYNNWOOD ST., ALLENTOWN CITY, LEHIGH</u> ~Incident occurred on 7/1/2020 at 840 East Lynnwood St., Allentown City, Lehigh County.</p> <p>UGI submitted an AVR stating, "Homeowner struck a gas facility service with a post hole digger. No one call made for this work site." UGI indicated hand tools were used when the strike occurred. This is not a violation of Act 50.</p> <p>911 was called and PA One Call indicated the homeowner has not placed notifications in the past.</p>	
17595	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI Utilities <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Cook's Horticulture and Landscape Services <b>Project Owner:</b> Property Owner</p>	<p><u>On 7/23/2020 11:34:00 AM at 526 Orange St, SELINGSGROVE BORO, SNYDER</u> Incident occurred on 7/23/2020 at 526 Orange St in Selinsgrove Borough, Snyder County.</p> <p>Cook's Horticulture Landscape Services (CHLS) was disassembling a wall, with an excavator and struck a UGI gas line. There was no One Call placed prior to this excavation.</p> <p>UGI Stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Cook's Horticulture and Landscape Services were digging with a mini excavator and struck the gas service line at 526 Orange ST. Selinsgrove. A One Call ticket request was not submitted prior to excavation.</p> <p>Cook's Horticulture &amp; Landscape Services (CHLS) state in their AVR that they were removing a falling timber retaining wall with a mini excavator. The wall was less than 36" tall. There was no virgin soil moved or dug during this process. A gas line ruptured due to the timber tie back with rebar that caught the line during removal. Mini excavator did not cause the damage. There were no plans to use excavator to do any digging on this site, that is why 811 was not called. Only the timber wall and drainage aggregate that fell onto the lawn area was removed.</p>	<p><b>Cook's Horticulture and Landscape Services:</b> <b>\$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Property Owner: \$0.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>They also state that UGI installed this gas line within the last two years and that the gas line was within 12" of a very rotting falling timber. He also states that an UGI employee told him that is an acceptable installation. CHLS was forced to pay a fine of \$1000. To UGI for repair work.</p> <p>In the Emergency One Call ticket 20202051556, CHLS states that they were disassembling the wall with a mini excavator, when a gas line was hit. 911 was called.</p> <p>Homeowner AVR request letter was mailed on 10/28/2021. No AVR received to date.</p> <p>Note from One Call states that Cook's Horticulture and Landscape Services has placed One Call notifications in the past. The most recent was for the damage notification at this site, which is attached.</p> <p>The Homeowner is in violation of Section: 5(16) Homeowner failed to submit an Alleged violation report. This is a first-time offense and penalty is a warning.</p> <p>Cook's Horticulture and Landscape Services is in violation of Section: 5(2) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. This is a first-time offense and \$1000. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.</p>	
17379	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Columbia Gas</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Kennedy Lawn &amp; Landscape</p>	<p><u>On 8/10/2020 11:00:00 AM at 133 Rivercrest Drive, MOON TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> ~Incident occurred on 8/10/2020 at 133 Rivercrest Drive, near Shadow Lane and Windy Hill Drive, Coraopolis Borough, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas submitted an AVR stating, "Kennedy Lawn and Landscaping was excavating with a backhoe to install a footer for a Versa-lock retaining wall when they struck and severed an unmarked 1.25" gas main near the curb. The contractor never submitted a one call request prior to excavation." A violation of 5(2.1)-excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended with education and mandatory membership to PA One Call in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>One Call indicated the excavator has not placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Kennedy Lawn &amp; Landscape- ~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p>	<p><b>Kennedy Lawn &amp; Landscape: \$1,000.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
18150	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PEOPLES NATURAL GAS</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> GRANNAS BROS.</p>	<p><u>On 8/19/2020 10:08:00 AM at 110 Lucetta St, JOHNSTOWN CITY, CAMBRIA</u> The incident occurred on Wednesday, September 19, 2020, at 110 Lucetta Street, in Johnstown City, Cambria County. Peoples Natural Gas (PNG) stated, Grannas Bros. was working without a One Call excavation ticket and while</p>	<p><b>GRANNAS BROS.:</b> <b>\$1,250.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>the crew was milling to install a new pavement, an unmarked 1-inch SLT fitting on a drip was damaged. PNG provided no pictures.</p> <p>There are no tickets associated with this excavation. In PNG's Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented, Grannas Bros. has placed notifications with PA One Call in the past.</p> <p>On Wednesday, June 30, 2021, an email was sent to Grannas Bros., requesting an AVR. There was no response to the email and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*Grannas Bros. is in violation of sections:  5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call.  5(16)- Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line.  They have no previous violations. Recommendation: Education, penalties and violations applied.  Penalties not reduced as excavator failed to show good-faith effort to comply with Act 50 by failing to place a ticket and failing to respond to the AVR request.</p>	<p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
18056	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI Utilities  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> PENNSLYVANIA SITE CONTRACTORS INC.</p>	<p><u>On 9/9/2020 2:00:00 PM at 139 W. BEIL AVE., NAZARETH BORO, NORTHAMPTON</u> The incident occurred on Wednesday, September 9, 2020, at 139 W. Beil Avenue, in Nazareth Borough Northampton County.</p> <p>UGI Utilities stated, Pennsylvania Site Contractors was working without a One Call excavation ticket during the excavation a stub gas service was damaged. UGI provided one picture showing the damage.</p> <p>There are no tickets associated with this excavation. In UGI's Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented, Pennsylvania Site Contractors has placed notifications in the past. On Wednesday, June 30, 2021, a letter was mailed to Pennsylvania Site Contractors, requesting an AVR. There was no response to the letter and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*Pennsylvania Site Contractors is in violation of sections:  5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call.  5(16)- Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line.  They have no previous violations. Recommendation: Education, penalties and violations applied.  Penalties not reduced as excavator failed to show good-faith effort to comply with Act 50 by failing to place a ticket and failing to respond to the AVR request.</p>	<p><b>PENNSLYVANIA SITE CONTRACTORS INC.:</b>  <b>\$1,250.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
20722	<p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Drainmen Plumbing, Inc.  <b>Other:</b> Comcast  <b>Other:</b> First Energy / Met Ed  <b>Other:</b> PECO</p>	<p><u>On 10/7/2020 4:30:00 PM at 1939 LAURA LN, UPPER POTTS GROVE TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> Incident occurred on 10/07/2020 at 1939 Laura Lane, Upper Pottsgrove Township, Montgomery County.</p> <p>AVR from First Energy / Met Ed stated that their locator did not mark the full scope of the ticket. They are retraining this locator. This is a second offense violation</p>	<p><b>Comcast: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>First Energy / Met Ed:</b>  <b>\$1,700.00</b>  Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$700.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>for First Energy /Met Ed for Section 2(5)(i) and \$1500 penalty is reduced to \$500. The last violation was in 2019. Invoice amount submitted is \$13,289.47. The fine factor amount is .4. Penalty with the fine factor is multiplied by 4. This totals the penalty to be \$700.00.</p> <p>AVR from Drainmen Plumbing received stating there was a facility owner issue. The facility issue caused a power outage to a pad mount transformer that affected two houses.</p> <p>Project Owner is a private residence homeowner. Homeowner reached out to DPI and said they had no information. No AVR needed.</p> <p>On 10/05/2020, Drainmen Plumbing Inc. submitted an emergency excavation ticket 20202794057, to repair a sewer pipe at a private residence. When contacted by phone by DPI on 7.20.21, they stated that the reason for the emergency ticket was that a pipe had collapsed. On 10/07/2020 a First Energy / Met Ed electric line was hit. One call emergency ticket 20202813981 was submitted by excavator, Drainmen Plumbing, for a communications cable that was hit, but First Energy / Met Ed verified this was their electric cable. Note that on emergency ticket 20202813981 submitted on 10/07/2020 First Energy / Met Ed responded as Clear no Facilities. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(vii) which applies to First Energy / Met Ed. .The penalty is applied.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>PECO: \$0.00</b></p>
20542	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Tents For You <b>Project Owner:</b> Stoner Grille Restaurant</p>	<p><u>On 10/23/2020 10:56:00 AM at 605 Granite Run Dr, LANCASTER TWP, LANCASTER</u> Incident occurred at 605 Granite Run Drive, Lancaster, Lancaster County PA.</p> <p>AVR from UGI states that on 10/23/2020 at 10:56 a.m. a Company named “Tents for You” drove a spike through a 1.25” gas main, while putting up a tent. UGI verified that 2 customers were affected and a total repair bill of \$5,186.79. Outside meter sets were visible. UGI included photos.</p> <p>AVR letters mailed to Stoner Grill Restaurant and Tents for You on 6/2/2021. No AVR’s received as of 7/28/2021. NO contact made. Stoner Grille Restaurant is in violation of Section 6.1(7) Project Owner failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days. The \$250. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory. Tents for you is in violation of Section 5(16) excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days. The \$250. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>The type of equipment that was used is not evident, but the gas meters were visible. A One Call request would have been prudent.</p> <p>Tents for you is in violation of Section 5(4). The gas meters were visible on the building nearby. There was</p>	<p><b>Tents For You: \$0.00</b> Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Stoner Grille Restaurant: \$0.00</b> Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
20627	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO ENERGY  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> ETNA CORP  <b>Other:</b> Lower Moreland Township</p>	<p>no consideration for the underground lines leading to the building. The \$500 penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p><u>On 11/4/2020 4:00:00 PM at 888 RED WING LN, LOWER MORELAND TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> The incident occurred on Wednesday, November 4, 2020, at 888 Red Wing Lane, in Lower Moreland Township, Montgomery County, where an electric line was damaged.</p> <p>PECO stated, they received a call from a Homeowner concerning their electric service. When PECO arrived, there was an open ditch with damaged wires and the contractor, Ethna Corp was not at the worksite. PECO explained, Ethna Corp was using a small track hoe to dig for a drainage and during the excavation a secondary wire, to the Homeowner’s property was damaged. The excavator Infrasource repaired the damage for PECO; New Excavation Emergency ticket, 20203093759, was called in on November 4, 2020, at 7:54 p.m. PECO provided no pictures.</p> <p>A New Excavation Insufficient ticket, 20203101373, was called in on November 5, 2020, by Ethna Corp; type of work was excavation for storm water management.</p> <p>On Wednesday, June 23, 2021, a letter was sent to Ethna Corp requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). There was no response to the letter and an AVR was not submitted.</p> <p>*Ethna Corp is in violation of sections:  5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.  5(7)- Failed to immediately report to the facility owner any break in the line.  5(16)- Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line.  Recommendation: Education, penalties and violations applied.</p> <p>*Lower Moreland Township- Responded late to the New Excavation Emergency ticket, 20203093759, 11/4/2020. They responded on 11/5/2020 as “Field Marked”. Lower Moreland Township is in violation of section: 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable.  They have no previous violations. Recommendation: Mandatory education. Penalty reduced by 50% from \$1000.00 to \$500.00 for first time offender.</p>	<p><b>ETNA CORP: \$2,250.00</b>  Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>Lower Moreland Township: \$500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
21295	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Comcast  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Radwyn Apartments</p>	<p><u>On 11/10/2020 12:00:00 PM at 275 S Bryn Mawr Ave, LOWER MERION TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> The incident occurred on Tuesday, November 10, 2020, at 275 S. Bryn Mawr Avenue, Lower Merion Township, Montgomery County, where a communication line was damaged.</p> <p>Comcast stated in their AVR that excavator Radwyn Apartments was installing new sidewalk without a One Call excavation ticket, and during the dig the unmarked</p>	<p><b>Radwyn Apartments: \$1,250.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>cable feeder was damaged. Comcast provided four pictures; all to be looked at.</p> <p>There are no tickets associated with this excavation.</p> <p>In Comcast's Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented, PA 1 Call reports that Radwyn Apartments has placed notifications in the past.</p> <p>On Wednesday, June 23, 2021, a letter was mailed requesting an AVR from Radwyn Apartments. They did not respond to the letter and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*Radwyn Apartments is in violation of sections:  5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call.  5(16)- Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line.  They have no previous violations. Recommendation: Education, penalties and violations applied.  Penalties not reduced as excavator failed to show good-faith effort to comply with Act 50 by failing to place a ticket and failing to respond to the AVR request.</p>	
20708	<p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> A H Moyer</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> WOMELSDORF ROBESONIA JT AUTHORITY (WRJA)</p> <p><b>Other:</b> UGI</p> <p><b>Other:</b> WOMELSDORF Sewer Authority</p>	<p><u>On 11/18/2020 11:30:00 AM at 24 N 3RD ST, WOMELSDORF BORO, BERKS</u> Incident occurred at 24 N 3rd Street in Womelsdorf Borough, Berks County</p> <p>*** No damage ***No One Call ticket</p> <p>AVR received from UGI stating that on 11/18/2020, UGI observed excavation which occurred the day before the lawful start date. Photos submitted.</p> <p>AVR received from A H MOYER states that they did start excavation a day early, because there were markings from another project there already. A H Moyer is a first-time violation of Section 5(2.1) \$1000. Penalty reduced by 50%. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>AVR received from WOMELSDORF ROBESONIA JT AUTHORITY (WRJA) states that "everything was marked so; we dug a day early" . Education is mandatory</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Womelsdorf Borough did not respond to Routine ticket # 20203213104. This is a first-time violation of Section 2(5)(v) and \$250 penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>.</p> <p>Notes:  Womelsdorf Borough and Womelsdorf Sewer Authority have recently separated into two separate departments. They still work closely together and The Womelsdorf Sewer Authority states that both departments will get educated.</p>	<p><b>A H Moyer: \$500.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>WOMELSDORF Sewer Authority: \$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
21216	<b>Facility Owner:</b> WILLIAMS FIELD SERVICES <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> CAT IRON INC <b>Project Owner:</b> CABOT OIL AND GAS	<p><u>On 12/16/2020 11:00:00 AM at 2862 BENNETT RD, LENOX TWP, SUSQUEHANNA</u> Incident occurred on 12/06/2020 at 2862 Bennett Road, Lenox Township, Susquehanna County.</p> <p>* No Damage - Near Miss</p> <p>Incident occurred on 12/16/2020 at 11 a.m., when the locator for Williams Field Services - Pipeline went out to mark for a ticket request #20203470223 and found that the excavation had already occurred at 2862 Bennett Road, Lenox Township, Susquehanna County PA. Excavation was scheduled for 12/17/2020 at 7 a.m. Cat Iron Inc was removing rig fuel line for Cabot Oil and Gas.</p> <p>All AVRs agree. It appears that there was a miss communication issue that caused this error.</p> <p>Cat Iron Inc is in violation of Section: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct time frame. This is a first-time offence, and the penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.</p>	<b>CAT IRON INC: \$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00
22185	<b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI UTILITIES INC. <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> STRAUSSER ENTERPRISE	<p><u>On 3/1/2021 3:21:00 PM at 101 VILLAGE AT STONES XING, PALMER TWP, NORTHAMPTON</u> ~Incident occurred on 3/1/2021 at 101 Village at Stones Xing, William Penn Highway and Stones Crossing Road, Palmer Township, Northampton County.</p> <p>UGI submitted an AVR stating, "Strausser was digging with a mini excavator and when they came across screenings they stopped and dug with a shovel. While digging with the shovel they struck a correctly marked service line with the shovel creating a leak." UGI admitted handtools were used. Excavator had a One Call ticket.</p> <p>Strausser is cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 days of a line strike. Strausser did not submit an AVR. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Strausser is also cited for excavating without a valid routine One Call ticket. The ticket attached to this investigation is from January and was for a small emergency excavation taking 24 hours to repair. The damage took place in March and no recent ticket is attached.</p>	<b>STRAUSSER ENTERPRISE: \$1,250.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00
23655	<b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> KNAUS CONTRACTING <b>Project Owner:</b> RYAN HOMES <b>Other:</b> Verizon	<p><u>On 3/11/2021 10:30:00 AM at 5016 Summit Drive, SOUTH PARK TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 3/11/2021 at 5016 Summit Drive, South Park, Allegheny County, PA.</p> <p>AVR received from West Penn Power, FirstEnergy Corp stated that Excavator Knaus Contracting was installing a sewer line for Project Owner R. Homes and damaged West Penn Power's underground primary facility. Knaus Contracting excavation was without a valid One Call ticket.</p> <p>On 3/11/2021, when the facility owner West Penn Power, arrived, the excavation had already begun. On 3/12/2021, one call ticket 20210712408 was submitted.</p>	<b>KNAUS CONTRACTING: \$1,250.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00  Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00  <b>RYAN HOMES: \$250.00</b> Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>West Penn Power sent out a courtesy letter advising the PA One call requires ticket to be submitted, prior to any excavation. West Penn Power submitted AVR after the 30-day period, because the repair costs had gone above the \$2500.00 threshold. This is in compliance with ACT 50.</p> <p>There was a Pad mount Transformer visible in this area Knaus Contracting is in violation of Section 5(2.1) Failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct time. This is a first-time violation; penalty is applied. Education specific to Excavation is mandatory. AVR request was emailed 6/28/2021. No AVR was received. This is a first-time violation of Section 5(16). No penalties reduced as Knaus failed to show good faith by failing to submit an AVR when requested. AVR request emailed to Ryan Homes on 6/28/2021. No AVR received. This is a violation of Section 5(16). Education specific to Project Owners is mandatory.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. Verizon is in violation of Section 2(5)(v) This is a subsequent violation for Verizon, who responded with a conflict and never updated information in KARL.</p>	<p><b>Verizon: \$2,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>
23562	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO ENERGY <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> N&amp;J EXCAVATING <b>Project Owner:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 4/26/2021 8:00:00 AM at 561 NEW GALENA RD, NEW BRITAIN TWP, BUCKS</u> The incident occurred on 4/26/2021, at 561 New Galena Road, in New Britain Township, Bucks County,</p> <p>PECO stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that N&amp;J Excavating was excavating without a One Call ticket and during the dig a 500 mcm cable and duct was nicked. PECO provided no pictures.</p> <p>N&amp;J Excavating stated in their AVR that they admitted to being in violation of section 5(6)(i)- Failed to plan the excavation to avoid damage. They also stated, they were grading out the driveway for 5-inch of stone, 2-inch of blacktop and 1-inch of top and the utility line installed by the site contractor was only down 6-inches from the top of the grade. N&amp;J Excavating provided two pictures of the damage.</p> <p>There are no tickets associated with this case.</p> <p>N&amp;J Excavating has no previous violations. They are in violation of sections: 5(2.1) and recommending- Penalty reduced by 50% for first-time offender. Education is mandatory. 5(16) and recommending- Violation upheld. Penalty waived for first-time offender who complied with request for an AVR. Education is mandatory.</p>	<p><b>N&amp;J EXCAVATING: \$500.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
23582	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> HOMEOWNER/General Contractor <b>Other:</b> DOWNLIN PROPANE LLC</p>	<p><u>On 5/3/2021 12:00:00 AM at 280 TOWNSHIP RD, EAST BRANDYWINE TWP, CHESTER</u> Incident occurred at 280 Township Road, East Brandywine Township, Chester County, PA. AVR from PECO states that on 5/03/2021 Homeowner was auguring to plant trees when he damaged a PECO</p>	<p><b>HOMEOWNER/General Contractor: \$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>DOWNLIN PROPANE</b></p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Electric line. There were no One call tickets found for this excavation. AVR request letter was mailed to Homeowner Mr. Comp on June 30. There were two phone calls returned by the DPI, with no answer, but a detailed message was left. It is unclear if the auguring was done manually or with power equipment. No AVR received. This is a violation of Section 5(2.1)</p> <p>Emergency ticket was placed by PECO to make repairs. One customer was affected.</p> <p>Homeowner is in violation of a first-time offense of Section 5(2.1) with a warning. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Downlin Propane LLC had an interim response to emergency ticket # 20211231967. This is a first-time violation of Section 2(5)(vii) and \$1000. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.</p>	<p><b>LLC: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
23441	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Kinder Morgan / Tennessee Gas Pipeline <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Landowner <b>Project Owner:</b> Landowner</p>	<p><u>On 7/27/2021 12:00:00 PM at 1640 Pleasant Hill road, MARSHALL TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Near Miss</p> <p>The incident occurred on Monday, May 10, 2021, at 1640 Pleasant Hill Road, Marshall Township, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Tennessee Gas Pipeline stated, when an employee arrived at Francois Bitz, the Landowner's property, he was using a bulldozer, grading about 3-inches of topsoil off the top the 16-inch pipeline, to plant sunflowers. The Landowner said he thought this project was agricultural and the employee explained that the bulldozer, mechanized equipment was being used. Tennessee Gas said that the Landowner did not call One Call for this project but did for prior projects, and the Landowner has been told about working near the pipeline in the past. Tennessee Gas provided no pictures.</p> <p>There are no tickets associated with this incident.</p> <p>On the Alleged Violation Report (AVR), from Tennessee Gas Pipeline, the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented, Francois Bitz has placed notifications with PA One Call in the past.</p> <p>On Wednesday, June 30, 2021, a letter was mailed to Francois Bitz, requesting an AVR. There was no response to the letter and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*Francois Bitz is in violation of sections: 5(2.1)- Homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call. 5(16)- Homeowner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report.</p>	<p><b>Landowner: \$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
26011	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> VERIZON  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> ERIE WATER WORKS  <b>Project Owner:</b> Erie Water Works  <b>Other:</b> GANNON UNIVERSITY</p>	<p>There are no previous violations. Recommendation: Education, zero penalties and keep the violations.</p> <p><u>On 9/8/2021 7:00:00 AM at W 6th ST, ERIE CITY, ERIE ***No Damage***</u></p> <p>Erie Water Works placed Ticket 20212442245 on 9/1/2021, markout due 9/3/2021 with a start date of 9/7/2021. Verizon made no response until 9/8/2021 (5 days late). Erie Water contacted Verizon directly at 7 Am on 9/8/2021 and was told that the locator would be there shortly. Erie Water reports that the locator did not arrive until 10 am which is borne out by Verizon’s making their first response to the ticket on 9/8/2021 at 10:40.</p> <p>Erie water Works states on their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that they submitted a Routine One Call ticket to begin excavation on 9/07/2021. Responses were due on 9/03/2021. Verizon did not respond until 3 hours after the dig date and time. This caused an expensive down period for Erie Water Works. They also state that a call was made to the locating company and that they were told that they do not have enough employees to mark their facilities but would be out shortly. Verizon did not respond until 5 days after the response was due and 3 hours after the excavation was to begin. They responded “Clear No Facilities”</p> <p>Verizon was emailed an AVR request letter on 10/26/2021. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Sections:  2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a One Call ticket within the required amount of time. This is a subsequent violation, and the penalty is applied.  2(10) facility owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call system within 30 business days. This is a second offense violation, and the penalty is applied. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Gannon University is in violation of Section 2(5)(v) failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. This is a first-time violation and \$500. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.</p>	<p><b>VERIZON: \$1,500.00</b>  Section 2(10) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v)  Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>GANNON UNIVERSITY: \$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
26321	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> ZAYO BANDWIDTH  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> DOLI CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION  <b>Project Owner:</b> LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP AUTHORITY  <b>Designer:</b> GHD ENGINEERING SERVICES (Previously C E T )  <b>Other:</b> PPL  <b>Other:</b> Suez Water  <b>Other:</b> UGI  <b>Other:</b> VERIZON</p>	<p><u>On 9/20/2021 7:00:00 AM at BALTHASER ST, LOWER PAXTON TWP, DAUPHIN</u> Incident occurred on 9/20/2021 in Lower Paxton Township, Dauphin County.</p> <p>A large-scale Complex project to replace the sanitary and storm sewer for Lower Paxton Township was under construction. Zayo did not attend the Complex project meeting that was held in 2019. Zayo also did not mark their lines in a timely manner multiple times causing delays in construction. On 10/25/2021 ZAYO responded to ticket 20212871809 and ticket 20212922287 that they want a complex project meeting – this is after they did not attend the complex project meeting that was requested by the excavator.</p>	<p><b>ZAYO BANDWIDTH: \$5,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Doli Construction stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Zayo did not mark their lines timely and that this is a reoccurring issue. They state that Zayo did not respond to an excavation ticket request, and they did not attend the complex project meeting. Complex project meeting sign in sheet included.</p> <p>Lower Paxton Township authority stated in their AVR that They were not notified about Zayo not attending the complex project meeting. They did not fill in the cost of the project or the Subsurface utility Engineering used in this project.</p> <p>GHD Engineering Services – previously C E T Engineering Services - stated in their AVR that Zayo failed to respond to the PA One Call notification that was placed by Doli Construction. Project cost and SUE used was not provided. An email was sent on 12/6/2021 to C E T Engineering specifically asking about the project cost and SUE. No response was received as of date.</p> <p>Zayo Bandwidth Formerly PPL Telecom LLC states in their AVR that Stake Center Locating responded to the One Call ticket a day late because of their workload. The locator visited the site and cleared the ticket on 9/21/2021 at 9:50 am.</p> <p>ZAYO did not attend the Complex Project meeting. They responded clear, but they have lines in the area. They are not listed on the Complex Project sign in sheet.</p> <p>Zayo bandwidth is in violation of Sections:  2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project ticket 20193472183 and 20213051105. The penalty is applied to each.  2(5)(v) Failed to respond to two routine One Call tickets 20212583838 and 20212583820. This is a second offense, and the penalty is applied to each.  2(5)(v) Failed to respond to routine One Call tickets 20212871809 – due 10/18/2021 and 20212922287 – due 10/21/2021. Both tickets were responded to 10/25/2021 as Requests meeting. Zayo did not attend the meeting that was requested by Doli Construction. This is a second offense and penalty is applied.</p> <p>Suez Water is in violation of section:  2(4) Failed to respond to Designer’s Request for information within 10 business days. The response to ticket 20193472183, in KARL was Conflict. DCTF with no final response update. This is a first-time offense and \$250. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.  2(5)(v) Failed to respond to ticket 20213051105. Suez did participate in preconstruction meetings for this complex project. This is a fist offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>UGI is in violation of section:</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP AUTHORITY: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>PPL: \$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Suez Water: \$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>UGI: \$1,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>VERIZON: \$500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>2(5)(v) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. UGI did attend the complex project meeting but never updated the response for ticket 20193472183 nor for ticket 20213051105. Ticket from 2019 is reduced to a warning. The ticket from 2021 is a second offense and penalty is applied. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>PPL is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to Ticket 20193472183. PPL did contact the excavator the day before the meeting to make plans. Although this is a second offense, PPL has since 2019 made good progress with ticket responses. \$1000. Penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. Ticket 20213051105 meeting was on 11/08/2021 at 8 a.m. Verizon did not respond through PA One Call. This is a subsequent violation, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Additional Notes: 10/26/2021 Doli called about ZAYO (stake locators) calling a pre-construction meeting. They did not attend the pre-construction meeting that the excavator had for this complex project.</p> <p>Doli Construction was sent an email asking about the cost of the project and the level of SUE used.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What was the cost of the entire project? Total is not yet finalized but the bid was \$17 million</li> <li>• What level of Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used? Between Level B and A, we did do some pot holing for the crossings, and everything was surveyed at grade.</li> <li>• Was there a final design ticket submitted through the One Call System? Yes, due to the scope of the project, it appears a total of 41 tickets were placed.</li> <li>• When was the project ready for bid? bids received on May 24, 2019</li> </ul> <p>Type of work done was sewer/storm, sewer/ paving, concrete restoration with an excavator. Sewer mains and laterals to the houses. Storm new and replacement, and concrete paving restoration.</p> <p>Final Design ticket 20191020324 had many interim responses in the KARL System. Many facility owners have had education and have used new procedures since 2019.</p> <p>New Excavation Complex Project (B) requested a meeting on 12/19/2019 at 8 a.m.</p> <p>*UGI marked Field marked in response to ticket 20213051105, a Complex Project meeting which was scheduled for 11/8/2021. Response should be updated with attended meeting.</p>	



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>**Zayo contracted field locator is Stake Center Locating. This email was sent to the excavator 18 minutes before the scheduled excavation. Excavator also states that this is a usual response form this locator. Stake Center Locating's dispatch reaching out to you regarding your ticket # 20212583838 with address at BALTHASER ST, LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP, PA.</p> <p>Due to certain circumstances we were unable to complete the marking of this site for the following Customer(s)</p> <p>ZAYO GROUP.</p> <p>We are working diligently to complete your ticket as quickly as possible and we are notifying you in an effort to prevent damage to any unmarked underground utilities that may be in your work area. If you plan to excavate prior to us arriving or would like to be connected to the area manager to discuss this, please reach out to our dispatch center at 801-364-1063 and let us know. We appreciate your understanding and apologize for any inconvenience this brief delay may cause.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>Stake Center Locating, Inc.</p>	

### Full Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
11245	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Peoples Natural Gas  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> C.H.&amp;D. Enterprises Inc.  <b>Project Owner:</b> PennDOT  <b>Other:</b> MOUNT ALOYSIUS COLLEGE</p>	<p>On 10/30/2019 9:05:00 AM at 7459 ADMIRAL PEARY HWY CRESSON PA 16630, CRESSON BORO, CAMBRIA ***Penndot rejects all violations and penalties. On 4/12/2022 the DPC voted to remove all violations and penalties.</p> <p>Incident occurred on October 30, 2019 at On October 30, 2019, at 7459 Admiral Peary Highway, Cresson, Cambria County.</p> <p>CH&amp;D Enterprises struck and damaged Peoples' service line. CH&amp;D and Mt. Aloysius state that the line was mismarked. Neither party submitted photographs, however, Peoples may have marked the line as a good Samaritan measure because Aloysius claims they own the line and this is in the western part of the state and hence not responsible if the lines are not marked properly. Peoples Gas did send photos of the markout and of the area after the damage, but the photos show a marker where the damage is believed to have been, but it does not show exactly where the damaged line is. It does appear that CH&amp;D may have been excavating within the tolerance zone with a backhoe, but I don't see anything to conclusively show that the line was not marked and thus was not able to cite them or clear them definitively.</p>	<p><b>C.H.&amp;D. Enterprises Inc.: \$250.00</b>  Section 5(3.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>PennDOT: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>MOUNT ALOYSIUS COLLEGE: \$0.00</b></p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Mt Aloysius is not a member of One Call as they were not notified on the ticket.</p> <p><b>**No photographs supplied**</b></p> <p>On 2/12/20, DPI Andrade-Locke sent AVR letters to Peoples and PennDOT. On February 25, 2020, PennDOT sent an email saying that the township was the project owner despite PennDOT being listed as the owner on all of the One Call tickets, including their own design ticket. DPI Andrade responded to PennDOT and was told that they were looking into it. Peoples submitted their AR on February 24. As of March 12, 2020, PennDOT has not submitted an AVR, and is cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days.</p> <p>PennDOT is cited for releasing a project to bid before the final design was complete. Both of the design tickets submitted are for preliminary design only.</p> <p>CH&amp;D Enterprises is cited for failing to hold a preconstruction meeting for a complex project. When the tickets are compared there is an excavation 10' x 450' and another for the same project at 8' x 850' and covers more than 1 intersection making this project within the complex project criteria. Complex Project training for excavators is mandatory.</p>	
11771	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Columbia Gas</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Casper Colosimo and Sons Inc</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> Pa American Water</p> <p><b>Designer:</b> Lennon Smith Souleret Engineering Inc.</p> <p><b>Designer:</b> PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER</p> <p><b>Other:</b> City of Connellsville</p>	<p><u>On 11/11/2019 9:40:00 AM at 513 S 9TH ST, CONNELLSVILLE CITY, FAYETTE</u> 4/12/2022 DPC voted to Remove the penalty but keep the violations 2(5)(v) to Columbia Gas. They stated to keep all other violations as presented.</p> <p>PA American has rejected the penalties for 4(3), 4(4) and 6.1(1)</p> <p>Columbia Gas disagrees stating that they worked very closely with the contractors.</p> <p>At the DPC meeting held on 9/14/2021, the DPC made recommendations to add violations of 6.1(1)- failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques whenever practicable to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of four hundred thousand dollars (\$4000,000) or more to PA American. Violations of 4(3)-Designer's drawing does not show the position and type of each facility owner's line and 4(4)- failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area by maintaining the clearance as provided for in the applicable easement condition or an eighteen inch clearance of the facility owner's facilities if no easement restriction exists were already added to the list of violations.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 11/11/2019 oat 513 S. 9th St., Connellsville, Fayette County.</p>	<p><b>Columbia Gas: \$500.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>Pa American Water: \$1,500.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 4(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>City of Connellsville: \$250.00</b> Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On 11/11/2019 Casper Colosimo &amp; Sons was installing a new water main for PA American Water when they struck and damaged a mismarked Columbia Gas service line at 513 South 9th Street, Connellsville City, Fayette County. Columbia Gas stated in their AVR their locator had placed yellow marks on the ground indicating there was a gas facility in the area. Columbia did not have records on their Single Line Diagram, did not have a wire at the curb or riser and they had planned to vac or jameson the line. The locate was not complete when excavation began which resulted in the damage to the line. A violation of Section 2(5)(i)- failing to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line is recommended against Columbia Gas for an incomplete locate.</p> <p>Columbia Gas is recommended for the violation of 2(4) for not responding to a Designer's request for information within 10 business days for Ticket #20181283802. In an email, Columbia stated in 2018 there was a period in which Designer Tickets were not being responded to. Columbia said they were already fined for 2(4) for not responding to the Designer's request for information and have since changed their internal procedures, but our System has not found a previous 2(4) violation for the year 2020.</p> <p>A violation of 2(4)- not responding to a Designer's request for information within 10 business days is recommended against PA American Water for Ticket #20191084196 and against the City of Connellsville for Tickets #20191084196 and #20181283802.</p> <p>A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a One Call notification is recommended against both Columbia Gas and PA American Water for Ticket #20192733379. Columbia Gas and PA American Water did not respond in KARL until 10/17/2019 at 11:35 AM when the response due date was 10/7/2019.</p> <p>A violation of 4(8)- Designer failed to submit an AVR was removed because an AVR was submitted. SUE level is listed as "C" in AVR.</p>	
12046	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Comcast Cable  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> STEPHEN ROE CONTRACTORS INC.  <b>Project Owner:</b> ASPECT CONTRACTING LLC  <b>Other:</b> ABINGTON TWP  <b>Other:</b> N/A  <b>Other:</b> VERIZON</p>	<p>On 12/14/2019 7:00:00 AM at 2218 Parkview Ave, Abington, Montgomery 4/12/2022 DPC voted to Reduce the penalty for Abington Township by 50% and add education for the person who is representing Abington Township and to the person who is doing the data entry of the tickets.</p> <p>Abington Township disputes all penalties. They say human error caused them to miss responding to ticket 20193453280. They have added additional training to ensure that this will not happen in the future.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 12/14/2019, at 2218 Parkview Ave, in Abington Township, Montgomery County, PA. AVR from a nearby Homeowner stated that no one call ticket was submitted for a new residential property. He</p>	<p><b>Comcast Cable:</b>  <b>\$1,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00  Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>STEPHEN ROE CONTRACTORS INC.:</b>  <b>\$3,250.00</b>  Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00  Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>had seen no mark outs. Comcast and Verizon cables were hit and damaged. Steven Roe Contractors Inc. was excavating for a new foundation with New Insufficient ticket #20193453280, which had a lawful start date of December 16, 2019. Scheduled excavation date on the ticket was 12/14/2019. Stephen Roe Contractors Inc is in violation of Section 5(2.1) due to a failure to submit a location request to One call within the correct timeframe. Penalty is applied. Education is recommended. The damage occurred on 12/14/2019, Both Comcast and Verizon had responded that the area was clear, no facilities. This is a second violation of section 2(5)(i) for Verizon. This is a first violation for Comcast. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>There is a second ticket request from One Call, at this same property, on 11/05/2019, which was placed by another excavator: Big Dawgs Plumbing and Heating. Comcast and Verizon both responded clear no facilities to ticket # 20193093574.</p> <p>A few of the photos show orange flags. No AVR was received from Verizon. No AVR was received from Comcast. There is no violation for no AVR, but no reason to think there are supposed to be markings in this area, because of the clear no facilities response. This is a violation of section 2(5)(i) for Comcast and a second offence violation to Verizon. Penalty is applied. There is a photo of a cable box, but if there is any writing on the box, it cannot be made out in the photo. No AVR was submitted by Steven Roe Contractors Inc. Request was emailed on Wed, 5/20/2020. This is a violation of Section 5(16) and penalty is applied. DPI also called the number on the ticket, but was not able to reach this contractor by telephone. Steven Roe Contractors Inc. did not notify the facility owners of the damage to Comcast and Verizon cables. This is a violation of section 5(7) and penalty applied for each company that was not notified. Education is recommended.</p> <p>Aspect Contracting LLC did not submit an AVR. AVR request was emailed on 5/22/2020. DPI also had telephone conversations, explaining the need to submit an AVR. Emails were exchanged. Pictures and explanations were submitted. DPI stated that an AVR still must be submitted. This is a violation of section 2(10) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Ticket 20193453280 Abington Township is in violation of Section 2(5)(v) as they never responded in the KARL system. Education is recommended.</p>	<p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>ASPECT CONTRACTING LLC: \$0.00</b></p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>ABINGTON TWP: \$250.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>VERIZON: \$2,000.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p>
12075	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> M. F. Ronca &amp; Sons <b>Project Owner:</b> Shippensburg Borough</p>	<p><u>On 12/16/2019 9:30:00 AM at KING STREET, SHIPPENSBURG BORO, CUMBERLAND</u> 4/12/2022 DPC voted to maintain all the violations, eliminate the penalties and add education to all the parties that disagreed.</p>	<p><b>UGI: \$650.00</b> Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$650.00</p> <p><b>Shippensburg Borough</b></p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Authority  <b>Designer:</b> RETTEW  <b>Other:</b> CenturyLink  <b>Other:</b> Shentel Communications  <b>Other:</b> Summit Health</p>	<p>Shippensburg Borough Authority (SBA) disagrees and states that the project at issue was a water line replacement in Shippensburg Borough, which was a complex, multimillion-dollar effort preformed over a 14-month period. The lateral gas line that was hit, was not adequately mapped or located by the responsible utility and that SBA could not identify with SUE techniques because it was plastic, not metal.</p> <p>In addition, they feel that the information based in RETTEW's AVR is not accurate, because it failed to portray the level of care taken by SBA and the use of SUE for this project. Details of the Level of SUE used are listed in SBA Notes.</p> <p>They request that the violation and penalty be removed from this report.</p> <p>RETTEW disagrees with the DPI findings. They state that the project at issue was the water line replacement in Shippensburg Borough, Pennsylvania, which was a complex, multimillion dollar effort, performed over a 14-month period. The line that was hit was a gas service lateral that was not adequately mapped or identified by the responsible utility and that RETTEW could not identify with subsurface techniques, because it was a plastic, not metal. They state that SBA expended more than \$132,000 in SUE and survey services for the investigation of existing utilities in the project area. We believe that this effort fulfills the regulatory requirements for subsurface utility engineering for this project. On this basis, we respectfully request that the violation and associated penalty be removed from the DPI Investigation Report. See Notes:</p> <p>~Incident occurred on 12/16/2019 at King Street, near Spring Street and Fayette Street, Shippensburg Borough, Cumberland County.</p> <p>*****Evacuation of at least 15 people in homes and businesses.</p> <p>On 12/16/2019 Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc. was installing a new water line for Shippensburg Borough Authority on King Street, Shippensburg Borough, Cumberland County when they struck and damaged a mismarked UGI service line. The excavator stated UGI's markings were 25-30 feet East of the damaged service line. UGI confirmed that statement and provided pictures. UGI is recommended for violating Section 2(5)(i)- failing to locate line within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line.</p> <p>The Complex Meeting, One Call Ticket(s) #20192663724 and #20192663725, was to be held at 8:00 AM on 10/1/2019 at Shippensburg Select Diner at 2 West King Street in Shippensburg. Penelec, Comcast, Shentel Communications and Centurylink stated they will not attend the meeting because they had no facilities in the area. UGI and Shippensburg Borough Authority indicated they were going to attend the meeting and</p>	<p><b>Authority: \$0.00</b>  Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense  \$0.00</p> <p><b>RETTEW: \$0.00</b>  Section 4(4) 1st Offense  \$0.00</p> <p><b>Summit Health: \$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 2nd  Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st  Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st  Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Summit Health didn't respond to the request until 12 days after the meeting occurred. Two counts of violation of Section 2(5)(viii)- failing to participate in a preconstruction meeting is recommended for Summit Health. Penalty amount assessed for only one violation since it was for the same meeting.</p> <p>No violations of 2(4)- failing to respond to a Designer's request for information within 10 days are being recommended against the facilities because Designer One Call tickets were placed before 1/1/2020; the date the Damage Prevention Committee made the determination of the procedure of Design Tickets.</p> <p>Summit Health failed to respond to Routine Ticket #20193380623 and is being recommended for violating Section 2(5)(v)-failing to respond to a Routine One Call ticket. Response due date was 12/6/2019 to begin excavation on 12/9/2019 at 7:00 AM and Summit Health did not respond to the ticket.</p> <p>Shippensburg Water Authority was recommended for violating 6.1(3)- released a project to bid before Final Design was complete, and RETTEW was recommended for violating 4(2)- failing to request the information from One Call not more than 90 business days before Final Design was complete in Case #11506.</p> <p>RETTEW admitted in their AVR the cost of the project was over \$400,000.00 and utilized SUE Level D and not Level A. Shippensburg Water Authority is recommended of violating Section 6.1(1)- failing to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques whenever practicable to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of four hundred thousand dollars (\$400,000) or more. As well as a recommendation for RETTEW of violating Section 4(4)- To make a reasonable effort to prepare the construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with a facility owner's facilities in the construction area by maintaining the clearance as provided for in the applicable easement condition or an eighteen-inch clearance of the facility owner's facilities if no easement restriction exists.</p> <p>RETTEW Notes:</p> <p>RETTEW further states that they took extraordinary efforts to identify the existing utilities that could have been impacted by the project and does not believe that the facts justify a finding of a violation of Section 4(4).</p> <p>In addition, to the extent that the asserted violation is based on information in the Alleged Violation Report (AVR) submitted by RETTEW, that AVR failed to portray the level of care taken by RETTEW</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>accurately. In particular, while the AVR stated that the level of subsurface utility engineering (SUE) utilized was D (Records Research/Data Collection), the actual level of SUE should have been listed as A (physical exposure via soft digging), based on the level of investigation used during design.</p> <p>The following is a description of the SUE efforts for the project:</p> <p>Level D – Prior to the initiation of the initial field survey and the design, Design One Calls were placed for the project area. The information obtained from the utilities with facilities in the project area was incorporated into the project design. Shippensburg Borough Authority (SBA) water system records were also obtained, and water system utility personnel were consulted during the design regarding their general knowledge of the project area and the area water lines.</p> <p>Level C – The entire project area was surveyed by RETTEW survey personnel. All physical evidence of existing utilities was surveyed, including markings from the One Calls and the information obtained by the SUE Level B efforts described below.</p> <p>Level B – SBA authorized RETTEW to perform a Level B investigation of the entire project area. The following was the scope of services for this effort: Contact public utilities to obtain available utility mapping with the proposed water line route.</p> <p>SBA Notes: Please see Shippensburg Borough Rejection. In all SBA expended more than \$132,000 in SUE and survey services for the investigation of existing utilities in the project area. We believe this requirement fulfills the regulatory requirements for SUE for this project.</p> <p>*****BACKGROUND FROM RELATED, APPROVED OMNIBUS CASE #11506 (approved 2/9/2021)*****</p> <p>On October 23, 2019, Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc severed an UGI gas line, while hand digging at work site 461 King St., in Shippensburg PA. 911 call was placed by the excavator from Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc. for an emergency gas leak. Ticket #20192961554 notes that UGI representative was on the site at the time of the call. AVR2019NOV140022 submitted by UGI, reads that the excavator failed to exercise due care and take all reasonable steps necessary to avoid injury to or interface with all lines. There are three photos included which show a yellow line in line with the severed line. UGI Representative reported that There is damage to a gas line costing \$1. up to \$1000. There was one household that was affected by this. Complex Project cost over \$400,000.00, Level “C” SUE was used.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On December 11, 2019 DPI Maki sent letters to excavator Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc also to the Project Owner Shippensburg Water Authority, requesting an AVR for this incident.</p> <p>On December 12, 2019, DPI Locke called representative from UGI, for clarification of statements written on the AVR. When asked about Failed to exercise due care, UGI's representative stated that the excavator was "digging like a wild man" and stated that there was an inspector on site who cautioned the excavator to slow down "because we have a gas line close" to the excavation hits.</p> <p>Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc, are in violation of Section 5(4) for failing to exercise due care and take all reasonable steps to avoid injury or otherwise avoid lines.</p> <p>On December 12, 2019 DPI Maki called and spoke with excavator from Michael F Ronca and Sons Inc. Representative from Ronca and Sons Inc, who said it was surprising that an AVR was not yet completed. He confirmed that he had a copy of ACT 50 and stated that he would submit the AVR today. DPI Maki asked for all information connected to this project including any plans and photos. Michael J. Ronca and Sons are in violation of Section 5(16) No AVR has been received as of September 24, 2020.</p> <p>On September 23, 2019 excavator Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc. submitted a New Complex Project, ticket # 20192663725, to Install a new 12-inch water main using trenching which would occur in the South Bound lane, 13 Feet off Curb Line. Summit Health had no response for 14 days. This is a violation of Section</p> <p>Complex project includes both Cumberland and Franklin Counties. Meeting was held at 2 p.m. at the Shippensburg Select Diner located at 2 W King St, Shippensburg PA 17257 per Ticket # 20192663725 (Franklin Co) A locator map is included. Final Design tickets 20182892592 (Franklin Co) and 20182892590 (Cumberland Co) submitted. Sign in sheet verifies that all were in attendance. PA Electric Company and Century Link were represented by USIC.</p> <p>This Complex Project designs began before July 2019, when the DPC outlined the lawful Complex project process.</p> <p>Design Ticket 20182892592 (Franklin Co) and 20182892590 (Cumberland Co) Pennsylvania Electric Company responded with a conflict to both tickets. This is in violation of Section 2(4) fine is applied for not responding through the One Call System. Comcast Cable Communication also responded with a conflict. On Oct 30, 14 days later, Comcast responded with Clear no facilities. This is a second violation this year of Section 2(4) and fine is applied for not advising the person making the request of the facility owners' status at the</p>	



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>work site through the one call system. Historically, the DPC has considered responses that are a week late, as no response. Ticket requesting meeting # 20192663724 had no response from Comcast, but Comcast was represented by USIC at the Complex Project Meeting.</p> <p>Tickets# 20192750044 show Summit Health with a late response. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(v) and fine is applied for failing to respond to a routine ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>On October 23, 2019, Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc severed an UGI gas line, while hand digging at work site 461 King St., in Shippensburg PA. 911 call was placed by the excavator from Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc. for an emergency gas leak. Ticket #20192961554 notes that UGI representative was on the site at the time of the call. AVR2019NOV140022 submitted by UGI, reads that the excavator failed to exercise due care and take all reasonable steps necessary to avoid injury to or interface with all lines. There are three photos included which show a yellow line in line with the severed line. UGI Representative reported that There is damage to a gas line costing \$1. up to \$1000. There was one household that was affected by this. Complex Project cost over \$400,000.00, Level "C" SUE was used.</p> <p>On December 11, 2019 DPI Maki sent letters to excavator Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc also to the Project Owner Shippensburg Water Authority, requesting an AVR for this incident.</p> <p>On December 12, 2019, DPI Locke called representative from UGI, for clarification of statements written on the AVR. When asked about Failed to exercise due care, Representative stated that the excavator was "digging like a wild man" and stated that there was an inspector on site who cautioned the excavator to slow down "because we have a gas line close" to the excavation hits.</p> <p>Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc, are in violation of Section 5(4) for failing to exercise due care and take all reasonable steps to avoid injury or otherwise avoid lines.</p> <p>On December 12, 2019 DPI Maki called and spoke with excavator from Michael F Ronca and Sons Inc. Representative from Ronca and Sons Inc, who said it was surprising that an AVR was not yet completed. He confirmed that he had a copy of ACT 50 and stated that he would submit the AVR today. DPI Maki asked for all information connected to this project including any plans and photos. Michael J. Ronca and Sons are in violation of Section 5(16) No AVR has been received as of September 24, 2020.</p> <p>On September 23, 2019 excavator Michael F. Ronca and Sons Inc. submitted a New Complex Project, ticket # 20192663725, to Install a new 12-inch water main using trenching which would occur in the South Bound lane,</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>13 Feet off Curb Line. Summit Health had no response for 14 days. This is a violation of Section</p> <p>Complex project includes both Cumberland and Franklin Counties. Meeting was held at 2 p.m. at the Shippensburg Select Diner located at 2 W King St, Shippensburg PA 17257 per Ticket # 20192663725 (Franklin Co) A locator map is included. Final Design tickets 20182892592 (Franklin Co) and 20182892590 (Cumberland Co) submitted. Sign in sheet verifies that all were in attendance. PA Electric Company and Century Link were represented by USIC.</p> <p>This Complex Project designs began before July 2019, when the DPC outlined the lawful Complex project process. CP Ticket # 20192663724 was recognized as a Routine Ticket in Case #11506. ***** A recommendation to remove violation and penalty of 2(5) from prior case #11506 because this was CP meeting ticket not a Routine Ticket. Education is already recommended in the previous case; education to remain.</p> <p>Design Ticket 20182892592 (Franklin Co) and 20182892590 (Cumberland Co) Pennsylvania Electric Company responded with a conflict to both tickets. This is in violation of Section 2(4) fine is applied for not responding through the One Call System. Comcast Cable Communication also responded with a conflict. On Oct 30, 14 days later, Comcast responded with Clear no facilities. This is a second violation this year of Section 2(4) and fine is applied for not advising the person making the request of the facility owners' status at the work site through the one call system. Historically, the DPC has considered responses that are a week late, as no response. Ticket requesting meeting # 20192663724 had no response from Comcast, but Comcast was represented by USIC at the Complex Project Meeting.</p> <p>Tickets# 20192750044 show Summit Health with a late response. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(v) and fine is applied for failing to respond to a routine ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Design Ticket # 20182892591 and renotify ticket 20182892591-001 Show that Pennsylvania Electric Company and Comcast cable Company respond with a conflict. Comcast responded with clear no facilities 14 days later. This is a second violation of Section 2(4) penalty is applied to Comcast. I am recommending training for Pennsylvania Electric since this is an older ticket and this Company has been very compliant.</p> <p>Final Design Ticket 20182892590 submitted by RETTEW also had a renotification ticket. This renotification was placed before the final Design requested due date.</p> <p>New Routine Excavation Ticket is prepared a year after the final design ticket was submitted. This is after July 2019.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Shippensburg Water Authority is in violation of Section 6.1(3) for releasing a project to bid or construction, before a final design was complete.</p> <p>RETTEW is in Violation 4(2) It was a year since the final design was submitted to the One Call System. The design should be submitted not less than 10, nor more than 90 business days before the design is completed.  *****  *****  *****</p> <p>Violations-</p> <p>Summit Health-  - 2(5)(viii)- failing to participate in a preconstruction meeting for Ticket(s) 20192663724 and 20192663725. Both are listed as 1st offenses and monetary penalty is only for one violation since it was for the same meeting time/location.  -2(5)(v)- failing to respond to One Call notification # 20193380623</p> <p>UGI-  ~2(5)(i)- failing to locate line within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line. Based on the degree of threat to public safety and inconvenience (15 people evacuated), a fine factor of 0.3 was added to the penalty.</p> <p>Shippensburg Water Authority-  ~6.1(1)- failing to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques whenever practicable to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of four hundred thousand dollars (\$400,000) or more. Based on the degree of threat to public safety and inconvenience (15 people evacuated), a fine factor of 0.3 was added to the penalty.</p> <p>RETTEW-  ~4(4)- To make a reasonable effort to prepare the construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with a facility owner's facilities in the construction area by maintaining the clearance as provided for in the applicable easement condition or an eighteen-inch clearance of the facility owner's facilities if no easement restriction exists. Based on the degree of threat to public safety and inconvenience (15 people evacuated), a fine factor of 0.3 was added to the penalty.</p> <p>UGI had disagreed with violation 2(5)(i) initially, but withdrew the dispute on 3/31/2022.</p>	
16271	<b>Facility Owner:</b> National Fuel <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Penn Dot	<u>On 4/2/2020 9:00:00 AM at 18 N Good Hope Rd, WEST SALEM TWP, MERCER</u> On 4/12/2022 The DPC voted to eliminate the penalty for violation 5(7) but to keep the violation. They also stated to eliminate both of the 5(8)	<b>Penn Dot: \$1,500.00</b> Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>violations and to keep the other violations as the DPI presented them.</p> <p>PennDot stated they are electing option 2 to Reject the revised DPI Report.</p> <p>Section 5 (2.1) PennDOT was performing minor routine maintenance activities of clearing a ditch line that had become filled with sediment.</p> <p>Section 5 (7) PennDOT stated in the AVR, PennDOT was unaware of any line strike and was unaware of any break, dent or groves in the line. The photographs of the site provided by us assumed the property owner as part of the facility owner's AVR were taken at some point several days to weeks after the ditching activity was performed.</p> <p>Section 5 (8) PennDOT has stated our crews were unaware of any line strike that had occurred.</p> <p>Section 5 (16), (17) PennDOT was under COVID operations when the initial request for an AVR was placed. The AVR was sent to the Commonwealth Keystone Building where staff were only reporting to the office in a limited capacity.</p> <p>See notes for more details on disagreements for each Section. ***** ***** *****</p> <p>At the DPC meeting held on 9/14/2021, the DPC determined to review PennDot's exemptions to see if this excavation falls within the minor routine maintenance of 24" and Penn Dot wanted to view the pictures submitted by the HO. An email was sent to PennDot after the DPC meeting on 9/14/2021 requesting the proof to show the excavation falls within the exemption.</p> <p>****An AVR was submitted by PennDot after the meeting, and they admitted to failing to submit a One Call ticket prior to excavation. "Typically, we would have submitted a one call request but in the confusion at this time we neglected to put in the call for this location."</p> <p>PennDot also stated, "The gas line in question is approximately 6-7' from the end of cross pipe and sat about 8" below the bottom of the excavated ditch. It was not visible during the operation and our crew does not believe they hit the line. Had they knowingly impacted any utility while ditching, they would have immediately submitted an AVR as the county has done in the past. After viewing the photo attached to the AVR submitted by National Fuel on July 10, 2020 it appears that the line is now exposed, and the flow line of the ditch is considerably deeper than what was left in April. and there is a scour at the end of the cross pipe that was not there in April. County staff revisited the site on</p>	<p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>September 14, 2021 for follow up photos and inspection and the gas line appears to be in the exact same condition as it was in July 2020."</p> <p>PennDot also claimed, "The District and County never received notification of this issue until it was slated for discussion in early September 2021." The AVR received was submitted by Zach Miles. The email, requesting an AVR be submitted, was sent to Zach Miles at <a href="mailto:zmiles@pa.gov">zmiles@pa.gov</a> on 1/21/2021 at 1:44 PM. (See attached documentation to excavator).</p> <p>An email was sent to NFG on 9/29/2021 requesting the photos from the HO.</p> <p>***** ***** *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 4/2/2020 at 18 North Good Hope Rd., West Salem Twp., Mercer County.</p> <p>On 4/2/2020 PennDot was allegedly excavating at 18 North Good Hope Road, West Salem Township, Mercer County when they struck and damaged an NFG underground gas main. PennDot did not submit a One Call ticket to excavate in this area. A violation of 5(2.1) failing to submit a One Call request is recommended against PennDot.</p> <p>NFG reported in their AVR the homeowner of this address contacted NFG to report PennDot damaging the gas line, not PennDot. PennDot also did not contact One Call to place an Emergency ticket or contact 911 due to an escape of gas. A violation of 5(7)- failing to immediately report to NFG a break in their lines is recommended against PennDot as well as 5(8)- failing to contact 911 when the damage caused a release of gas.</p> <p>NFG also indicated in their AVR PennDot buried the damaged gas main and left the site. A violation of 5(8)- excavator vacated worksite after causing damage that caused the release of gas is recommended against PennDot.</p> <p>A violation of 5(16)- excavator did not submit an AVR within 10 business days is recommended against PennDot since this incident occurred almost 10 months ago. A courtesy letter/email was sent to PennDot on 1/21/2021 requesting an AVR be submitted. As of May 14, 2021 no AVR has been received. A violation of 5(17)- failing to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff is recommended against PennDot for failing to submit an AVR when requested to do so. ***** *****</p> <p>Penn Dot Disagreement details:</p> <p>PennDot stated they are electing option 2 to Reject the revised DPI Report. They disagree with Sections:</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 5 (2.1)  PennDOT was performing minor routine maintenance activities of clearing a ditch line that had become filled with sediment. The AVR indicated we normally contact PA One Call but because this was early in COVID response, we didnot. PennDOT will call PA One Call in an abundance of caution on normal excavation activities covered by routine maintenance exceptions. This notification is recommended in suspect areas of facilities within our ROW not necessaryor required by Title 50 when performing minor routine maintenance activities.</p> <p>Section 5 (7)  PennDOT stated in the AVR, PennDOT was unaware of any line strike and was unaware of any break, dent or groves in the line. The photographs of the site provided by we assume the property owner as part of the facility owner’s AVR were taken at some point several days to weeks after the ditching activity was performed. This is evident by the noted significant erosion, gravel, angulated erosion of the ground around the pipe and lack of any marks in the soil of any mechanical excavation that had occurred. Gravel, and washed-out soil is all that remains around the now exposed utility. The crew performing this work was unaware any gas lines were present. The only evidence PennDOT caused this damage is from the property owner contacting the facility owner several weeks after the incident. This line could have been damaged years prior through farming and once the sediment was removed and water started to erode the tail ditch, the previously damaged line was exposed. Addressed in 5 (8) the facility owner has not to date of the PennDOT AVR performed any type of repair to this facility indicating the line was either never reviewed by the facility owner, abandoned or not damaged in a way necessary for the facility owner to repair.</p> <p>Section 5 (8)  PennDOT has stated our crews were unaware of any line strike that had occurred. The facility owner AVR stated the property owner noted PennDOT “leaving the scene quickly after hitting the line” This same property owner also contacted PennDOT the following day indicating the crew had not secured the gate containing the cows in the field and the cows got out of the area causing the property owner to retrieve them. The photograph provided by PennDOT AVR shows the work performed was inside of a wire fenced field, PennDOT accessed the tail ditch by receiving permission and entering the field to perform the necessary work on per the ditch and drainage easement laws. If the property owner had witnessed PennDOT leaving the site immediately after striking the line, would they not also witness the open gate allowing their cows to leave the property?</p> <p>PennDOT also provided in the AVR there was no gas or material escaping the pipe when crews left the property. Photographs taken by PennDOT 9 months after the initial AVR compared to the pictures the Facility</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>owner provided in the AVR show the identical disturbance to the gas line. The facility owner has done no repair to this line indicating there must have been no escape of gas from the line or the damage to the line is not considered to be of any concern to service. PennDOT fails to understand how this resulted in an escape of gas or liquid that would endanger life, health or property when no repairs to the pipe are evident.</p> <p>Section 5 (16), (17)  PennDOT was under COVID operations when the initial request for an AVR was placed. The AVR was sent to the Commonwealth Keystone Building where staff were only reporting to the office in a limited capacity. Several other organizations and facility owners have indicated at DPC meetings as well as have received relief from the penalties for late AVR due to this time of difficulty managing mail and minor routine clerical activities. PennDOT did provide an AVR once this had been brought to our attention when this came to the DPC committee for review as a Discussion Case. Because PennDOT was unaware any line strike had occurred, we were not anticipating the need to submit an AVR. The initial Facility Owner AVR was also placed several weeks after the work occurred. PennDOT questions the delay in submitting an AVR for this incident by the Facility Owner. PennDOT is requesting the same level of leniency for the delayed AVR as other PA One Call members have received at prior DPC meetings. We did indicate in our AVR we do not believe we impacted this line during our operations.</p>	
14610	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> CENTURYLINK  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Borough of Chambersburg  <b>Other:</b> Summit Health</p>	<p><u>On 4/21/2020 8:00:00 AM at 404 MARTINA DR, CHAMBERSBURG BORO, FRANKLIN 4/13/2022</u>  DPC voted to Keep the violation for Wellspan and remove the penalty. One Call verified that Wellspan has updated their information with them.  Wellspan (formerly Summit Health) disagrees stating that SUH Has never had underground facilities anywhere near this location, we have never identified anything in PA1Call for that area so we should never have been alerted.  There has been a change in responsibility here at Summit Health with our merger with Wellspan Health systems of York pa. We have been working over the past few years mapping, acknowledging and identifying all of our underground facilities. Our goal is to get this to a 100% accurate mapping and notification. Since this date we have setup a team of staff to check and double check notification from Pa1call and to validate how accurate our mapping is for notifications. As you can image this is a task that is new to a lot of us here at Wellspan (formerly Summit Health)  We at Wellspan have also contracted the help of GPRS and our fiber installation contractor to further identify, map and document all of our buried fiber we are responsible for. I assure you we are doing everything we can to prevent further occurrences  *****  *****</p>	<p><b>Borough of Chambersburg: \$500.00</b>  Section 5(13) 1st Offense \$250.00  Section 5(20) 1st Offense \$250.00  <b>Summit Health: \$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>***** ***** *****</p> <p>At the DPC meeting held on 9/14/2021, the DPC determined to ensure the violations of 5(13) and 5(20) were recommended against The Borough of Chambersburg as well as the violation of 2(5)(v) against Summit Health.</p> <p>On 4/21/2020 it was reported the Borough of Chambersburg was digging outside of the scope of their One Call Ticket #20201050961 at 404 Martina Drive, Chambersburg Borough, Franklin County and struck and damaged an underground Centurylink 100 pr telephone cable. Centurylink sent USIC to investigate the incident and USIC determined the Borough of Chambersburg was digging outside of the scope of their ticket. A violation of 5(13) is recommended against the Borough of Chambersburg for working outside the scope of their One Call Ticket.</p> <p>In the AVR provided by the Borough of Chambersburg, they indicated the excavation would encompass the street, sidewalk, public property, and private property but failed to indicate whether the digging would occur on the right or left side of the property but would be marked in white. The Borough also stated they were the only entity who responded to their One Call Ticket and there were no locator markings in the area of their intended excavation area. A violation of 5(20) is recommended against the Borough of Chambersburg for failing to call One Call to request a remark if they were the only stakeholder that responded to their One Call Ticket and they did not notice locator markings in the area of their excavation but saw markings across the driveway.</p> <p>Pictures were provided by both stakeholders. Centurylink included photos which showed an area marked in white in the front of the house and front yard at 404 Martina Drive where there were locator markings from numerous facilities, and additional photos which included these markings in reference to the excavation area where the damage occurred. It clearly shows the markings were on the opposite side of the driveway, and the white excavator markings were directly behind the front yard of the house listed at 404 Martina Drive. This was also confirmed by looking at an aerial view from Google Earth.</p> <p>A violation of 2(5)(v) is recommended for Summit Health for failing to respond to routine ticket 50961.</p> <p>Wellspan detailed notes: In response to the Administrative Penalty Invoice 014610-003326. According to Case # 014610 Line strike in Chambersburg Boro. Franklin county on April 21, 2020 near 404 Martina Dr.</p>	



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>As I've stated in previous email SUH Has never had underground facilities anywhere near this location, we have never identified anything in PA1Call for that area so we should never have been alerted.</p> <p>The only buried fiber we have even remotely close to the area of south of Chambersburg 404 Martina Dr is already in member mapping and that is on Orchard Drive. So I feel that we should not have even been notified of and work on or near Martina Drive.</p> <p>I sincerely apologize for our missed acknowledgement of these alerts. I'll explain briefly the cause for not understanding our role or missing this notification. The reason was due to change in responsibility here at Summit Health with our merger with Wellspan Health systems of York pa. We have been working over the past few years mapping, acknowledging and identifying all of our underground facilities. Our goal is to get this to a 100% accurate mapping and notification. Since this date we have setup a team of staff to check and double check notification from Pa1 call and to validate how accurate our mapping is for notifications. As you can image this is a task that is new to a lot of us here at Wellspan (formerly Summit Health).</p> <p>We at Wellspan have also contracted the help of GPRS and our fiber installation contractor to further identify, map and document all of our buried fiber we are responsible for. I hope is some way I can participate in any meeting to speak to our defense so we can rectify these penalties from the past and assure you we are doing everything we can to prevent further occurrences</p>	
14414	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Greater Johnstown Water Authority  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> D&amp;M Contracting  <b>Project Owner:</b> Peoples Gas Company LLC  <b>Designer:</b> EADS Group  <b>Designer:</b> Peoples Gas Company LLC  <b>Other:</b> Ferndale Borough</p>	<p><u>On 4/21/2020 11:00:00 AM at Louisa Place, FERNDALE BORO, CAMBRIA</u> On 4/12/2022 DPC voted to accept the recommendations of the DPI and to add education.</p> <p>3/09/2022 Greater Johnstown Area Water Authority was not mailed a system notification. A notification was generated and Case 14414 will be presented before the Damage Prevention Committee on 4/12/2022 for the benefit of Greater Johnstown Area Water Authority to join discussion for this case.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 4/21/2020 on Louisa Place, Ferndale Borough, Cambria County.</p> <p>On 4/21/2020 D &amp; M Contracting was working for Peoples Gas installing a new gas main on Louisa Place, Ferndale Borough, Cambria County when they struck and damaged an allegedly mismarked 1" water service line owned by Johnstown Water Authority.</p>	<p><b>Greater Johnstown Water Authority:</b>  <b>\$500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense  \$500.00</p> <p><b>Ferndale Borough: \$0.00</b>  Section 2(4) 1st Offense  \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*On 4/1/2020 D&amp;M contracting submitted One Call notification # 20200921722 requesting the locator's of the are mark between Clay Street to Summit Ave. Although the One Call notification stated the extent of the notification was 1400 feet x 2 feet, but the measurement taken between the two intersections is approximately 750 feet.</p> <p>D&amp;M Contracting stated the line that was struck was 23 inches off the locator's markings and the service line, which was struck, was in their new trench. Pictures were provided and a "Hit Kit" was used to demonstrate the location of the markings in comparison to the line hit. The line was more than 18" off the locator's markings. A violation of of 2(5)(i)- failing to locate lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall is recommended against Johnstown Water Authority.</p> <p>Johnstown Water Authority admitted to marking outside of the tolerance zone in their AVR and claimed the markings were 2 feet off from the actual location of the service line.</p> <p>A Final Design One Call ticket, #20200270750, was placed by Peoples Gas on 1/27/2020 for entities to respond by 2/10/2020. Ferndale Borough did respond to the notification, but responded on 2/11/2020 at 2:31 PM. A recommendation of 2(4)- failing to respond to Designer's request within 10 business days is recommended against Ferndale Borough. In lieu of the administrative penalty, recommendation has been recommended.</p> <p>***** ***** ***** *****</p> <p>Ferndale Borough is in violation of the following Section(s) of Act 50- 2(4)- failing to respond to a Designer's request for information within 10 business days. 1st offense- education- Ferndale Borough responded late to the One Call notification at 2:31 PM on 2/11/2020.</p> <p>Greater Johnstown Water Authority is in violation of the following Section(s) of Act 50- 2(5)(i)- failing to mark line within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line.</p>	
14629	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Columbia Gas of PA - South</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> D&amp;M Contracting Inc</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER</p> <p><b>Other:</b> NORTH UNION TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL SVCS</p>	<p><u>On 5/7/2020 11:45:00 AM at 70 GORLEY LN, NORTH UNION TWP, FAYETTE</u> On 4/12/2022 DPC voted to remove the penalty and violation to Columbia Gas. They also voted to keep the violations and penalties to North Union Township Municipal Svcs.</p> <p>Columbia Gas is rejecting all violations and penalties in this case. Information and documentation about the technological issues they were having is attached. Violation 2(5)(v) - The locator was in constant contact with Tom, the contact for D&amp;M Contracting. Rejecting</p>	<p><b>Columbia Gas of PA - South: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER: \$2,500.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>AUTH  <b>Other:</b> Verizon PA  <b>Other:</b> West Penn Power</p>	<p>also violations 2(5)(vi) and 2(5)(i.1) pictures are included with faint locate markings. A snip of the email is included where the Enterprise employees stated they observed D&amp;M employees removing markings when they arrived at the location. No more pictures were submitted.</p> <p>NUTMSA is rejecting the DPI report and requesting to present it's case to the DPC.</p> <p>~On 10/21/2021 Columbia provided documentation to remove their penalty of 2(5)(v) as well as noted the violation of 2(5)(vi)- failing to follow the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices for Temporary Marking set forth in ANSI standard Z535.1 is recommended against Columbia Gas. Pictures provided by D&amp;M Castelli showed CGA best practices not followed for temporary markings was a duplicate. Both violations have been removed.</p> <p>**North Union sent documentation that 2 additional employees obtained accounts through PA 1 Call in 2019 to avoid having a late incident. This incident occurred during the early stages of the Covid shutdown and the employees didn't have the app on their phones. This has been remedied and 3 employees now receive notifications directly to their phones. DPS has agreed to uphold the violation and education but has agreed to lower the penalty to a warning because the township has been proactive to prevent future events and at the time of the incident they were dealing with unprecedented complications due to the pandemic.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/7/2020 at 70 Gorley Lane, North Union Township, Fayette County.</p> <p>PA American Water hired D&amp;M Castelli to replace the water main in North Union Township, Fayette County in April of 2020.</p> <p>A Complex Project conference call meeting was held on 3/27/2020 at 7:00 AM. Responses were due in KARL on 3/26/2020. All entities were required to attend the meeting unless a clear response could be determined from the scope of the work starting on National Pike to Philly Street to Woodstock to Hopwood with offshot on Monday Alley. The CP Project ticket notes indicated Hopwood Coolspring Road may be delayed due to State roadwork. The only entity in attendance, according to the sign-in sheet, was Columbia Gas. A violation of 2(5)(viii)- failing to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project is recommended for Verizon for not responding to the ticket until 4/25/2020, for West Penn Power for responding "Insufficient Information, and for PA American for responding "Will Attend Meeting" on 3/30/2020 after KARL marked them "No response" on 3/27/2020. All tickets, according to the meeting notes, were to be standard tickets.</p>	<p>Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>NORTH UNION TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL SVCS AUTH: \$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Verizon PA: \$1,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>West Penn Power: \$500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>New Routine Ticket #20201080625 was placed on 4/17/2020 at 9:24 AM by D&amp;M Contracting Inc with a response due date of 4/21/2020 for excavation to begin on 4/22/2020 at 7:00 AM. A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine ticket is being recommended against PA American Water because they never finalized a response in the KARL system and responded with "Conflict- DCTF". A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine ticket within the required amount of time is being recommended against North Union Township Municipal SVCS Authority for not responding until 4/22/2020, Education is recommended in lieu of the monetary penalty, and Columbia Gas for not responding until 4/22/2020 as "Conflict-DCTF" and then on 5/5/2020 as "Field Marked.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated in their AVR, "D&amp;M Contracting hit a 1" plastic medium pressure gas service to 70 Gorleys Lane. The damager stated that he uncovered a 1" plastic gas service that he assumed was the live service and resumed to dig with the mechanized equipment inside the tolerance zone. This equipment hit the live gas service causing it to be torn in half." Columbia Gas indicated the gas service to 70 Gorley Lane was accurately marked and provided pictures. No pictures were provided showing the marked service line. A violation of 2(5)(vi)- failing to follow the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices for Temporary Marking set forth in ANSI standard Z535.1 is recommended against Columbia Gas. Pictures provided by D&amp;M Castelli showed CGA best practices not followed for temporary markings as the line was not marked stating the line was 1 inch, nor plastic, as the assumed CGA marking which does not need to be marked is 2 inches.</p> <p>The One Call damage ticket stated, "Crew struck an unmarked Columbia gas line. There was a previous One Call on the street that was marked. However; there were old marks that were resprayed from a different One Call. Caller stated the markings were very old and faded and the particular line that was struck was one of the services that was not freshly remarked".</p> <p>D&amp;M Castelli Development submitted an AVR stating, "The entire Road had existing Yellow Marks from a previous Project from an older One Call from another Contractor. Locator remarked Old Marks and failed to Mark Service Line that was hit. After the hit was made a faint mark could be seen in the road if you looked hard enough. The Mainline had a Straight Mark present on other side of the street with no Service Tee indicated. All other Services were refreshed and clear to see. With Flags at the house side Curb." A violation of 2(5)(i.1)- failing to identify the location of an actually known facility's point of connection to its facilities is recommended against Columbia Gas.</p> <p>PA American Water, the Project Owner, did not provide pictures but indicated, "Locator remarked yellow marks from previous One Call with another contractor but</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>failed to mark the line that was hit. The main line had a straight mark present on the other side of the street with no service tee indicated."</p> <p>Violations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*A violation of 2(5)(viii)- failing to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project is recommended for Verizon, West Penn Power, PA American Water.</li> <li>*A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine ticket is being recommended against PA American Water.</li> <li>*A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine ticket within the required amount of time is being recommended against North Union Township Municipal Authority and Columbia Gas.</li> <li>*A violation of 4(2)- failing to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed against PA American Water.</li> <li>*A violation of 2(5)(vi)- failing to to follow the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices for Temporary Marking set forth in ANSI standard Z535.1 against Columbia Gas.</li> <li>*A violation of 2(5)(i.1)- failing to identify the location of an actually known facility's point of connection to its facilities is recommended against Columbia Gas.</li> </ul>	
15741	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Philadelphia Gas Works</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> PETRONGOLO CONTRACTORS INC</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> PWD</p>	<p><u>On 5/11/2020 10:00:00 AM at VIOLA ST, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> Petrongolo disputes all penalties. No reasons or added information were supplied during the dispute.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/11/2020 on Viola St., Philadelphia..</p> <p>Philadelphia Gas Works (PGW) has reported that Petrongolo Contractors Inc. pulled their service tee on May 11, 2020 while doing water and sewer work for the Philadelphia Water Department (PWD).</p> <p>On September 9, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent emails to PWD and Petrongolo asking for AVRs. To date, neither PWD nor Petrongolo has responded or filed an AVR. They did enter a dispute after receiving a notice that was sent to the same address as the AVR request.</p> <p>DPI Andrade Locke also sent an email to PGW asking if there was a gas leak, was 911 called, and how they were informed of the damage. PGW confirmed that there was a gas leak and state that they don't know if 911 was called because they were informed when a customer called to report a small of gas, so I it appears that 911 was not called since no first responder contacted PGW and PGW's team discovered the leak during investigation, so Petrongolo did not contact PGW directly, nor did they file an emergency One Call Ticket with PA One Call to report this damage.</p>	<p><b>PETRONGOLO CONTRACTORS INC:</b> <b>\$2,000.00</b> Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>PWD: \$250.00</b> Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Petrongolo is cited for striking a line within the tolerance zone per PGW's testimony, failing to call 911 when there was an escape of gas, failure to notify the facility owner, leaving the scene, failure to submit an AVR</p> <p>Philadelphia Water Department is cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of being informed that their excavator had struck a line, and failure to respond to requests for information. Education is mandatory.</p>	
15242	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PEOPLES GAS</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> MICHAEL FACCHIANO CONTRACTING</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY</p> <p><b>Designer:</b> PITTSBURGH WATER &amp; SEWER AUTHORITY THE</p>	<p><u>On 5/27/2020 12:00:00 PM at GREYSTONE DR, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> On 4/12/2022 the DPC voted to remove the violation and penalty to Facchiano Contracting and to add education.</p> <p>Michael Facchiano Contracting Inc. disagrees with the DPI findings and requests to have a discussion with the Damage Prevention Committee.</p> <p>They sent in a statement explaining that they did not violate section 5(6)(i) by not planning our work to minimize damage to an underground facility. They state that on 5/27/2020, the excavation crew were digging a 5'deep X 3'wide excavation in the north bound direction of Greystone Drive. The crew was excavating towards the marked service line that crossed the trench north of excavation. About 9 ' prior to reaching the marked service line, the crew struck an unmarked natural gas line. See picture 1.</p> <p>Our project manager states that there was no contact from Peoples Gas alerting him that there were any issues with locating their lines. The One Call ticket 20201272959 does not make mention of any issues. They also state that picture 2 shows the hard surface that was not removed within the tolerance zone of the marked lines Pictures are included.</p> <p>Michael Facchiano Contracting Inc. states that the crew followed all best practices to avoid damaging the visibly marked natural gas service lines and were not aware of any unlocatable lines.</p> <p>~Incident occurred on 5/27/2020 at Greystone Drive, near Bunkerhill Street, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>PWSA submitted an AVR indicating the estimated cost of the project was less than \$400,000.00 and SUE Level C was utilized.</p> <p>Michael Facchiano Contracting submitted an AVR stating, "Excavator was digging a trench for a new water line. While digging, the excavator damaged a gas service line. The line was marked by locating the gas main and marking perpendicular to the curb box. The line was installed at an angle and the mark was off by about 9 feet." Peoples' AVR stated, "Michael Facchiano Contracting was installing new water main and services for PWSA on Greystone Dr. when they struck and damaged a mis-marked 1" low pressure plastic service line serving 1427 Greystone Dr." A violation of 2(5)(i)-</p>	<p><b>PEOPLES GAS:</b> <b>\$1,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>MICHAEL FACCHIANO CONTRACTING: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY: \$750.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>failed to mark, stake, locate or otherwise provide the position of the facility owner's underground lines at the work site within eighteen inches horizontally from the outside wall of such line in a manner so as to enable the excavator, where appropriate, to employ prudent techniques is recommended against Peoples Gas.</p> <p>Peoples admitted in their AVR the line was mismarked, but indicated, "Although the service line was mismarked, Peoples Gas tech notified Stephen Anderjack of Facchiano before the work began of having 6 long plastic services that were unlocateable due to no wire for a direct connect locate. Peoples Gas tech also painted no signal with the markings on the affected 6 long plastic services. Peoples Gas feels that Facchiano should have verified these 6 long services by test hole before digging near them or dig prudently around the 6 long plastic services." Photos were provided by Peoples proving the line was not locatable. A violation of 5(6)(i)- failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage to or minimize interference with a facility owner's facilities in the construction area is recommended against Facchiano.</p> <p>Ticket #20201272959- Response due date was 5/17/2020 for excavation to begin on 5/18/2020 at 7:00 AM. Pittsburgh Water and Sewer initially responded to the ticket on 5/15/2020 with "Conflict- DCTF" and cleared the ticket on 5/19/2020 at 17:23. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Peoples- 2(5)(i)- failed to mark, stake, locate or otherwise provide the position of the facility owner's underground lines at the work site within eighteen inches horizontally from the outside wall of such line in a manner so as to enable the excavator, where appropriate, to employ prudent techniques</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer- 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time</p> <p>Michael Facchiano Contracting- 5(6)(i)- failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage to or minimize interference with a facility owner's facilities in the construction area.</p>	
15585	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO ENERGY <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> CREATIVE CONSTRUCTION &amp; DESIGN INC</p>	<p><u>On 6/1/2020 11:00:00 AM at 520 E CENTRE AVE, NEWTOWN BORO, BUCKS</u> 4/12/22 DPC Meeting- Creative Construction disputing penalties and violations, was a No Show. The DPC accepted the DPI's recommendations and added education. ***** ** Incident occurred on Monday, June 1, 2020, at 520 E. Centre Avenue in Newtown Borough, Bucks County.</p>	<p><b>CREATIVE CONSTRUCTION &amp; DESIGN INC: \$1,250.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>PECO stated that Creative Construction &amp; Design Inc. (C.C. &amp; D.) did not call in a New Excavation ticket. PECO explained that C.C. &amp; D. was excavating a trench across the property of 520 E. Centre Avenue toward 524 E. Centre Avenue for new utilities, when they hit a gas service line at 520 E. Centre Avenue. PECO called in a New Excavation Emergency ticket, 20201532146, to repair the damaged gas line. PECO did not provide pictures.</p> <p>On Monday, January 4, 2021, an email was sent to C.C. &amp; D. requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). C.C. &amp; D. did not respond to the email and there was no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>The PA One Call Compliance Coordinator made comments on PECO's AVR stating, Variations of Creative Construction Designs, Creative Construction, and so forth has called in the past but no one in the system as Creative Construction &amp; Designs and not enough information was provided to be sure if we have the correct Creative Construction &amp; Designs Inc to know if they have called before.</p> <p>*Creative Construction &amp; Design Inc. is in violation of sections 5(2.1) and 5(16).</p>	
16267	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> National Fuel  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Pittsfield Township</p>	<p><u>On 6/9/2020 1:00:00 PM at 35 Smith Hill Rd, PITTSFIELD TWP, WARREN</u> 4/12/22 DPC Meeting- Pittsfield Township disputing penalties and violations, was a No Show. The DPC accepted the DPI's recommendations and added education.  *****  Pittsfield Township Supervisors disagree stating that per the attachments and pictures, the NFG line was installed directly over the Township's sluice pipe at only approximately 12" under the road surface. If the line would have originally been place at least 2 feet underground and not over the top of the sluice pipe, during the routine Township maintenance of ditching and cleaning sluice pipes, the line would not have been damaged. Pittsfield Township did contact NFG regarding the damaged pipe within the hour.  *****  ~Incident occurred on 6/9/2021 at 35 Smith Hill Rd, Pittsfield Township, Warren County.</p> <p>NFG submitted an AVR stating, "Pittsfield Twp was digging with no One Call when they struck a 2in coated steel main and pulled it out of a dresser 15' away." Photos were attached with the submitted AVR showing where the struck line was. A violation of Section 5(2.1)-excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended against Pittsfield Township with recommended required education.</p> <p>One Call included, "Pittsfield Township has placed one calls in the past, but last on record in 2013 under XXXXXXXX. Pittsfield Township also is not a facility owner member with POCS" at the bottom of NFG's</p>	<p><b>Pittsfield Township:</b>  <b>\$1,250.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense  \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(1) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense  \$1,000.00</p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>AVR. A violation of 2(1)- Facility Owner is not a member of PA One Call is recommended against Pittsfield Township.</p> <p>An email was sent to NFG requesting information regarding contacting 911 on 6/2/2021. NFG indicated the Township did not notify 911 when the line was struck. A violation of Section 5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property is recommended against Pittsfield Township.</p> <p>Violations against Pittsfield Township:</p> <p>~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended against Pittsfield Township with recommended required education. Required education.</p> <p>~5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property.</p> <p>~2(1)- Facility Owner is not a member of PA One Call is recommended against Pittsfield Township. Required membership to One Call.</p>	
17047	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Hazle Twp Municipal Authority  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> DOLI Construction Corporation  <b>Designer:</b> Schumacher Engineering</p>	<p><u>On 7/30/2020 7:00:00 AM at WINTERS AVE, HAZLE TWP, LUZERNE</u> Hazle Township Municipal Authority rejects all penalties.</p> <p><b>**NO DAMAGE**</b></p> <p>Incident occurred on 7/30/20 on Winters Avenue, Hazle Township, Luzerne County.</p> <p>Doli has reported that Hazle Township Municipal Authority hired them to replace their sewer and when Doli questioned why none of the laterals were marked at their connection to the existing main, they were told to just dig through them. Act 50 states that the laterals should be marked at the point of connection to the main. During research for this report, it was also discovered that the most recent Design Ticket was Ticket for this project is No. 20170960436, which was more than 3 years old when this project was released to bid or construction. Hazle TWP has admitted in their AVR that they only used level C SUE for a project that cost over \$400,000. Hazle TWP's attorney argues that the municipal authority is not obligated to mark the point of connection to the main, please see his attached letter.</p> <p>On October 27, 2020, DPI Locke sent an AVR email to Hazle Twp. Hazle's attorney responded on November 11, asking how to fill out an AVR and stating that he had just received the letter. DPI Locke sent him to PA One Call and Hazle Township did submit an AVR on November 12, 2020. The penalty has been reduced to \$0 for failing to submit an AVR.</p> <p>Hazle Township Municipal is cited for releasing a project to bid or construction without a valid design</p>	<p><b>Hazle Twp Municipal Authority: \$500.00</b>  Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$125.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>ticket, failing to mark their laterals at the point of connection to the main, failure to use sufficient levels of SUE for a project exceeding \$400,000 and failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days of committing a violation of Act 50. All penalties have been reduced by 50% with mandatory training. The final design ticket was from 2017 and therefore does not meet the criteria for 10-90 days.</p>	
18127	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Peoples Gas  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> A. Folino Construction Inc.  <b>Other:</b> PITTSBURGH WATER &amp; SEWER AUTHORITY THE</p>	<p><u>On 9/1/2020 10:00:40 AM at ANITA AVE, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY 3/8/2022</u> Damage Prevention Committee decision was to waive the penalty and the violation for 2(5)(v) keep 2(5)(i) penalty and violation for Peoples Gas.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 9/01/2020 on Anita Ave in Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>A. Folino Construction was digging up asphalt to put in new water lines for Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority, when a mismarked peoples one inch plastic gas line was ripped up, using a backhoe trencher. Two people lost service for one to two hours. All AVR's agreed that the Peoples Gas line was mismarked. Peoples Gas took responsibility for a mix up in location using investment and measurement cards. 911 was not reported as called on any of the AVR's. Folino is in violation of Section 5(8) To immediately call 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in any escape of flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid. AVR2020SEP110019 reads that the gas line was "ripped up".  Ticket 20202111795  Peoples Gas marked their facilities late. Work was to begin on 8/4/2020 at 7 a.m. and Peoples did not mark until 8/4/2020 at 14:40. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(v) To respond to all notices through the One Call System, provided the time frame is set forth under this act. This is a second offence of this violation this year. Fine is applied.  Pittsburgh Water and Sewer had no response in Karl that was timely, then they responded with a conflict. This is in violation of Section 2(5)(i) for failing to mark within 18 inches horizontally from the outside wall of the underground facility line. Fine is applied.  *None of the AVR's for this case, are filled out completely. Many questions are left blank and there are vague responses like "installing utilities". This is a complex project for PWSA to replace water lines and rehabilitate the sewer lines. Case 17209 addresses the complex project issues.</p>	<p><b>Peoples Gas: \$500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>A. Folino Construction Inc.: \$1,000.00</b>  Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
18499	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Duffy Inc.  <b>Project Owner:</b> Duffy Inc (same as excavator)</p>	<p><u>On 9/15/2020 7:15:00 AM at 18292 Route 6, KEATING TWP, MCKEAN</u> ***Duffy rejects all penalties saying that 1. UGI responded "clear" and did not mark their lines and 2. They were unaware that they had hit a line and were unable to make a report since they did not know. ***On 4/12/2022 the DPC voted to remove the 5(8) penalty for vacating the work site. All other violations and penalties were upheld but were reduced by 50%. Education is still mandatory***</p>	<p><b>Duffy Inc.: \$750.00</b>  Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 2nd Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$125.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Incident occurred on 9/15/2020 at 18292 Route 6, Keating Township, McKean County.</p> <p>UGI sent an AVR reporting that they were called in for gas odor and found their line damaged (Please see photos, there is a large piece of line missing). Duffy Inc. had been excavating in the area and had not called 911 or notified UGI of the damage. UGI also claims that Duffy did not have a One Call ticket for this excavation, however PAOC found ticket No. 20202043047 which appears to be for this site for landscaping work. UGI responded "Clear" to this ticket and there are no marks visible in the photos supplied by UGI.</p> <p>Duffy states that the line they hit was unmarked because it was installed between the time they placed the ticket on August 27, 2020 and when the line was hit on September 15, 2020. Duffy's AVR says that they did not call 911, nor did they report the strike which appears to be a significant hit (please see UGI's photos). UGI states that they were notified of a problem from an odor report. Duffy stated in an email that they were last at this site on September 4, 2020.</p> <p>On January 15, 2021 DPI Locke sent an email asking Duffy for an AVR and to identify their project owner, who they say is Duffy Inc. Duffy submitted their AVR on January 26, 2021.</p> <p>Duffy Inc. is cited for failing to call 911 when there was a release of gas, failure to report the damage to UGI, leaving the scene after a line strike, and failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days both as a project owner and an excavator. This is not Duffy's first citation for failure to report damage, or for failure to submit an AVR (please refer to Case No. 10176). Due to the seriousness of these actions (or failure to act), particularly failing to call 911, notify UGI, and leaving the scene, I recommend that education be mandatory in addition to financial penalties.</p>	<p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$125.00</p>
18863	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> DUNBAR BOROUGH</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Collins Roofing &amp; Remodeling LLC</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> Sandra J. Martin</p>	<p><u>On 10/13/2020 9:00:00 AM at 11 WALNUT AVE, DUNBAR BORO, FAYETTE</u> ***Collins Roofing disputes both penalties. They say they were not excavating when they were using equipment but were moving a shed before the lawful start date. They also say they didn't get a notice to file an AVR, however that was sent to the same address as the stakeholder notice they responded to.</p> <p>11/10/2021 Kevin from Collins Roofing called and spoke with the DPI saying he "never got the AVR letter" that was sent to the same address his fine notice was sent to and that he was only moving a building with the equipment in the time before the lawful start date. DPI told him that if he wanted to dispute the penalties he would have to send in an email so we would have the dispute in writing.</p> <p><b>**NO LINE STRIKE**</b></p>	<p><b>Collins Roofing &amp; Remodeling LLC:</b> <b>\$250.00</b></p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Dunbar Borough reports that they discovered Collins Roofing &amp; Remodeling excavating 2 days before their lawful start date. Dunbar says when they went to the job site on October 13, 2020, Collins Roofing had already begun to excavate. The lawful start date on their ticket was not until October 15, 2020.</p> <p>On January 27, 2021, DPI Locke sent an AVR Letter via email to Collins Roofing. As of March 4, 2021 Collins Roofing has not filed an AVR nor made an attempt to respond to the DPI's email.</p> <p>Collins Roofing is cited for excavating before the lawful start date. The penalty has been reduced to a warning because this is a first offense. The citation for failure to submit an AVR has not been reduced because the excavator did not submit an AVR after being contacted by the DPI. Training is mandatory.</p>	
21732	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PEOPLES GAS</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> A. Folino Construction Inc.</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> PITTSBURGH WATER &amp; SEWER AUTHORITY THE</p> <p><b>Designer:</b> BUCHART HORN</p> <p><b>Designer:</b> Collective Efforts LLC</p> <p><b>Other:</b> JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN, &amp; THOMPSON (JMT)</p>	<p>On 1/19/2021 8:45:00 AM at GREENFIELD AVE, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY On 4/12/2022 DPC voted to maintain all the DPI recommendations.</p> <p>A. Folino is rejecting violation 5(4) failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques with a penalty of \$1000. They state that the line strike, which occurred in Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County on Greenfield Ave near Hazelwood and Loretta St resulted in damage of an underground facility owned by Peoples Gas. They are requesting a discussion of this case.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 1/19/2021 at 8:45 a.m. at Greenfield Ave, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County. A People's Gas 6" steel gas main line was hit and damaged while A. Folino was installing new water lines and manholes for the City of Pittsburgh.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company LLC stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that A Folino Construction was installing new water lines, manholes and services on Greenfield Ave for Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) when they struck and damaged a properly marked 6" steel gas main line. They also state that A. Folino failed to exercise due care within the tolerance zone markings for the 6" steel main line, as the markings were right on target. Pics were provided. There is a little yellow in the dirt where the damage occurred.</p> <p>A.Folino Construction Inc. states in their AVR that they hit the gas line with a hammer when hammering the road. They also state that the gas line was marked 4 feet off the line. They notified 911 and Peoples Gas immediately. Additional comments state that the markings were 12 feet back on the other side of the road from the Gas line. Pics were provided.</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) state in their AVR the exact same thing as A. Folino: that they hit the gas line with a hammer when hammering the road. They also state that the gas line was marked 4 feet</p>	<p><b>A. Folino Construction Inc.: \$1,000.00</b> Section 5(4) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>BUCHART HORN: \$750.00</b> Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>off the line. They notified 911 and Peoples Gas immediately. Additional comments state that the markings were 12 feet back on the other side of the road from the Gas line. Pics were provided by A. Folino.</p> <p>Collective Efforts state that they have not been working on this project since mid-summer 2019. For this reason, AVR was not requested from this designer. See notes below.</p> <p>Buchart Horn stated in their AVR that the excavator struck an unmarked or incorrectly marked gas service line. That they were not present and were not notified until the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) notified them to submit an AVR. The state that the gas mains are located on both sides of the road in this area near edge of ROW. Gas mains are shown on the drawings, but no gas services are on the drawings, as that information was not provided.</p> <p>A.Folino is in violation of Sections:  5(4) Failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. This is a second offense violation and penalty is enforced.</p> <p>Buchart Horn is in violation of Section:  4(2) with penalty enforced. Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System.  4(4) with penalty enforced. Designer failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage and minimize interference with facilities. Gas mains are shown on the drawings, but no gas services are on the drawings, as that information was not provided.</p> <p>Notes:  Collective Efforts submitted an AVR for case #17209. The AVR is included in this case because of the ticket that was submitted in A. Folino's AVR showing the designer. Case #17209 shows a \$400,000.00 Complex Project for the Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) in the City of Pittsburgh. The project included plans to replace the small diameter water main replacements throughout the city, by A. Folino Construction Co. Buchart Horn designed the 2020 Small Diameter Water Mains and were responsible for ensuring that the final design was submitted to the One Call System. Violations are listed in case 17209 for no complex project and insufficient level of SUE that was used.</p> <p>Buchart Horn subcontracted Collective Efforts. Collective Efforts stated in their AVR that they were released due to funding before any excavation took place.</p> <p>Johnson Mirmiran and Thompson (JMT) were the designers, consultants, and excavators, who were hired in 2020, to rehabilitate the sewer and water system,</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>including manholes, using a variety of power trenching equipment, in the City of Pittsburgh and Pittsburgh Municipal Communities, Allegheny Co. PA.</p> <p>No AVR was needed from JMT, after PWSA sent an update with the scope of the project verifying that the designer for this area was Buchart Horn.</p>	

### Committee Review

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
15253	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Pennsylvania American Water</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> CARDNO TBE</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Carmen Paliotta Contract Inc</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> CH&amp;D Enterprises</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> CH&amp;D Enterprise</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> PennDOT</p> <p><b>Designer:</b> Sai Consulting Engineers</p> <p><b>Designer:</b> WSP USA Inc (formerly PARSONS BRINCKERHOFF INC)</p> <p><b>Other:</b> CENTURYLINK</p> <p><b>Other:</b> COLUMBIA GAS</p> <p><b>Other:</b> UNIONTOWN CITY</p>	<p>On 6/3/2020 7:00:00 AM at W. MAIN ST, UNIONTOWN CITY, FAYETTE Incident occurred on 6/3/2020 on W. Main Street in Uniontown City, Fayette County.</p> <p>AVR from CH&amp;D Enterprises – Excavator - state that a miss marked PA American Water line was hit and damaged when they were drilling to put in support beams for a temporary evacuation system. The marking was accurate, but CH&amp;D mentioned the depth was not. They also stated that they used level “A” SUE test hole / pot holing for this project.</p> <p>AVR from PA American Water – Facility Owner - states that their lines were marked correctly, and that the excavator did not use prudent techniques. Service was interrupted for one hour to over 51 customers. Cost of damage was \$270,003.25. They state that CH&amp;D were drilling caissons for a shoring pit for bridge replacement using a 30” core drill, when they drilled on a blue marking hitting and damaging a 12” HDPE main. Invoice dated 6/07/2021 for \$270,003.25 was submitted.</p> <p>AVR from PennDot - Project Owner - states that this was a designer issue because the drawings failed to show the facilities to minimize damage. Penn Dot recorded that CH&amp;D subcontracted Paliotta to drive install pilling for shoring the excavation for the demolition and replacement of the existing bridge structure. They also state that PA Water bored a new waterline in March of 2020. This is an &lt; 400,000. Project with level “D” SUE used.</p> <p>AVR requested from Paliotta on 8/19/2021 No AVR was received. This is a violation of Section 5(16) and penalty is applied. No contact was made with DPI.</p> <p>AVR from SAI Consulting Engineers states that lever “C” Sue was used for all the utilities except on the existing water lines, which were known to be in conflict with the construction. Coordination between SAI and PA American Water occurred for the relocation of the line. Level “C” SUE was used on other underground facilities for this project. Designs and meeting note were provided.</p> <p>AVR request letter was sent to WSP USA, formerly Parsons Brickenhoff Inc. Contact was made and AVR</p>	<p><b>Carmen Paliotta</b> <b>Contract Inc: \$9,625.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$6,250.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$3,125.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>CH&amp;D Enterprises:</b> <b>\$250.00</b> Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>PennDOT: \$1,500.00</b> Section 6.1(3) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>Sai Consulting Engineers: \$250.00</b> Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>COLUMBIA GAS:</b> <b>\$500.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>UNIONTOWN CITY:</b> <b>\$375.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>was determined to not be needed. Design was from 2016 and no more design work was requested by project Owner.</p> <p>See Case 15993 for second hit by Paliotta, in six months to PA Water facility.</p> <p>Tickets 20160281722 Preliminary Design and 20170042129 New Excavation Final Design ticket are prior to the PUC jurisdiction over ACT 50. WSP USA Inc (formerly PARSONS BRINCKERHOFF INC) was not involved with this project beyond the preliminary design submitted.</p> <p>Paliotta was subcontracted by CH&amp;D Enterprises. Using a drill to install piling, the water line was found deeper than what they were expecting. No One call ticket was found to be submitted by Paliotta. Fine Factor of 1.5 applied to all Paliotta violations because more than 50 folks were affected, and the damage was over \$25,000.</p> <p>Carmen Paliotta Contract Inc is in violation of Sections:  5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. This is a first-time penalty. Penalty with a fine factor of 1.5. is applied. Education is mandatory.  5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques. Penalty is applied with a fine factor of 1.5.  5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>Penn Dot released a project to bid or Construction before the final design was complete. Final design ticket was submitted on 6/3/2019, which is prior to the DPC Complex project guidelines. The first new excavation ticket was submitted 5/18/2020. This is more than 90 days after the Final design submission. This is a second offense violation of Section 6.1(3) and penalty is applied. Violation Section 6.1(1) Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of SUE. Penalty is applied. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>CH&amp;D did not provide any tickets or information on a complex project meeting. The first excavation ticket is 5/18/2020. This is a first-time violation of Section 5(3) \$250. The Penalty reduced to \$125. Education is mandatory,</p> <p>Email was sent on 7/21/2021 asking for best contact and another email sent 8/30/2021 asking for verification of who was excavating when the damage to the Water line occurred. Email on 8/30/2021 verified that Paliotta was the excavator who was excavating at the time of the damage.</p> <p>SAI Consulting Engineers did not request the line facility information not less than 10 no more than 90 days before the final design was submitted. Final design ticket 20191542194 is more than 90 days prior to beginning of excavation ticket 20201393369. This is a</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>first-time violation of Section 4(2) and \$250. penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Columbia Gas failed to respond to ticket # 20201393368. This is a first-time violation of Section 2(5)(v) and \$500. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>Uniontown City is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(v) failed to respond to ticket # 20201393368 within the required amount of time. This is a first-time offense. The \$250. penalty is reduced to \$125. 2(5)(v) failed to respond to ticket 20191542194. This is a first-time violation. The \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250.</p>	
15448	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Columbia Gas</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Bella Enterprises Inc</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> German Township Sewage Authority</p> <p><b>Designer:</b> Widmer Engineering</p>	<p><u>On 6/8/2020 7:30:00 AM at PURITAN VILLAGE RD, GERMAN TWP, FAYETTE</u> ~Incident occurred on 6/8/2020 at Puritan Village Road, near Puritan Road and Leckrone Masontown Road, German Township, Fayette County.</p> <p>Columbia submitted an AVR stating, "While digging for a sewer project, Bella Enterprises hit a mismarked 3" plastic medium pressure gas mainline on Puritan Rd. During the root cause investigation, we had the locator tie onto the line marker 100ft away and conductively locate the 3" plastic gas main. The locator had a signal of 640 megahertz and marked the gas main on tone. The signal apparently bled off onto an old steel gas main 9ft away. No centerline measurements or other mapping information was available." Pictures were provided by Columbia Gas. A violation of 2(5)(i)- failed to mark, stake, locate or otherwise provide the position of the facility owner's underground lines at the work site within eighteen inches horizontally from the outside wall of such line in a manner so as to enable the excavator, where appropriate, to employ prudent techniques is recommended.</p> <p>Bella Enterprises submitted an AVR stating, "Locator met with job foreman and Thursday June 4th at start location. Locator was advised the direction of work and that site was marked with ribbon and survey stakes. The markings were clearly mis marked and line was hit during clearing activities. No service interruption occurred for Columbia customers however Bella Enterprises crew was shut down for approximately 5 hours with 7 men. Additional site meeting accrued with Timothy Brewer, Deanna Defrank, and the Jason the locator the following morning. Bella contacted Marcos Bernal to confirm that the complex project was being used correctly by Bella Enterprises and he confirmed that everything was correct and the line should have been marked correctly. Deanna DeFrank stated that she did not believe that Columbia Gas locators had access to</p>	<p><b>Columbia Gas: \$1,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>German Township Sewage Authority: \$750.00</b> Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>Widmer Engineering: \$250.00</b> Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>the Complex Project website to view uploaded maps. Bella relayed this information to Marcos and he advised to have Deanna contact him to have there locators set up to view uploads." Photos were submitted with the AVR.</p> <p>In the AVR submitted by Bella Enterprises, they indicated the cost of the project was more than \$400,000 but only level C SUE was utilized. A violation of 6.1(1)- failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques whenever practicable to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of four hundred thousand dollars (\$400,000) or more is recommended against German Township Sewage Authority with education and monetary penalty.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was emailed to the Designer on 7/14/2021 and an AVR has yet to be received. A violation of 4(8)- failed to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than thirty business days of being made aware of the violation is recommended with education and monetary penalty.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was also emailed to the PO on 7/9/2021 and an AVR has yet to be received. A violation of 6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike is recommended with educationand monetary penalty.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>German Township Sewage Authority:  ~6.1(1)- failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques whenever practicable to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of four hundred thousand dollars (\$400,000) or more.  ~ 6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike</p> <p>Widmer Engineering:  ~4(8)- failed to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than thirty business days of being made aware of the violation</p> <p>Columbia Gas:  ~2(5)(i)- failed to mark, stake, locate or otherwise provide the position of the facility owner's underground lines at the work site within eighteen inches horizontally from the outside wall of such line in a manner so as to enable the excavator, where appropriate, to employ prudent techniques</p>	
15707	<b>Facility Owner:</b> Sellersville Borough <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> SKODA CONTRACTING	<u>On 6/11/2020 1:43:00 PM at 530 WASHINGTON AVE, SELLERSVILLE BORO, BUCKS</u> ~Incident occurred on 6/11/2020, at 530 Washington Avenue, near Eyre	<b>Sellersville Borough:</b> <b>\$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p><b>Project Owner:</b> UGI <b>Other:</b> Comcast</p>	<p>Avenue and Tollgate, in Sellersville Borough, Bucks County.</p> <p>UGI submitted an AVR stating, "Contractor shot a missile through an 8" water main that was marked accurately. Owner not given by contractor."</p> <p>Skoda submitted a statement sheet with their AVR which stated, "The foreman was shooting a missile. The location of the water main was miscalculated and it was struck by the missile." A violation of 5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques with.</p> <p>One Call ticket #20201572569 was placed on 6/5/2020. Response due date was 6/9/2020 for excavation to begin on 6/10/2020 at 7:00 AM. Sellersville Borough did not respond to the request until 6/12/2020 at 14:13 and Comcast did not respond to the violation until 6/11/2020. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended for both parties. Education is recommended for Sellersville Borough.</p> <p>An Emergency One Call ticket was placed, #20201632700, on 6/11/2020 at 13:42. Sellersville Borough didn't respond to the notification until 6/12/2020 at 14:14 which is past the 24 hour emergency response timeframe outlined by the DPC. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended with education.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Borough of Sellersville- ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification. They have no prior violations, first-time offender. Recommendation: Education, zero penalties and keep the violations</p> <p>Comcast- ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time</p> <p>Skoda Contracting- ~5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>SKODA CONTRACTING: \$500.00</b> Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>Comcast: \$1,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>