



Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

**Damage Prevention Committee Meeting Case List
June 13, 2023**

Omnibus Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
24797	<p>Facility Owner: WEST PENN POWER - FIRSTENERGY CORP Contractor/Excavator: C&S Hauling, LLC /S&A Construction Project Owner: SHOVER INVESTMENT GROUP Other: SHESHEQUIN TOWNSHIP Other: WOODSIDE TERRACE MOBILE HOME PARK</p>	<p><u>On 6/2/2021 11:00:00 AM at 82 WOODSIDE TER, SHESHEQUIN TWP, BRADFORD</u> Incident occurred on 6/02/2021 at 82 Woodside Terrace in Sheshequin Township in Bradford County.</p> <p>West Penn Power / First Energy (PN) stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Woodside Terrace Mobile Home Park submitted an emergency ticket on 6/02/2021 for work to be done at 118 Woodside Terrace Mobile Home Park, Bradford. On 6/03/2021 PN locator responded timely with “field marked”. However, on 6/02/2021, prior to the response due date of 6/03/2021, a PN’s underground facilities were damaged. Ticket was submitted. This was to repair an emergency leak with a water line. There is no mention of any damage to electric lines.</p> <p>Shover Investment Group was mailed an AVR request letter on 7/22/2022. No AVR has been received to date. Shover Investment Group sent in a letter. stating that the incident occurred on 6/2/2021 at 82 Woodside Terr, in Sheshequin township in Bradford County, PA. They state that an 811 call was made at about 8:30 a.m. on 6/02/2021. They also state that there was no response until 6/3/2021 adding that this was the next day. They state that they waited on 6/2/2021 from 11 a.m. until 4 p.m. and nobody showed up. They add that S&A Construction had to dig to repair the water leak because it was affecting over 50 families. They state that S&A Construction did hit the power line and that Penelec was notified,</p> <p>Shover Investment mailed in a summary/statement saying they were not onsite for the incident. The Woodside Terrace Mobile home Park maintenance manager told them that they placed an emergency ticket then waited from 8:30am-4pm for line markings. When no one showed they decided to dig to fix the water leak.</p> <p>WOODSIDE TERRACE MOBILE HOME PARK was mailed an AVR request letter on 7/22/2022. No AVR has been received to date. This Mobile Home Park is owned by Shover Investment Group.</p> <p>S&A Construction/ C&S Hauling LLC was mailed an AVR request letter on 1/4/2023. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>A letter was mailed in the same envelope that held the Shover Investment Group letter. The letter stated that this letter is pertaining to the incident which occurred on 6/2/2021 at 82 Woodside Terrace, Sheshequin PA. The address is in Bradford County. They state that the call</p>	<p>C&S Hauling, LLC /S&A Construction: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>SHOVER INVESTMENT GROUP: \$500.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>SHESHEQUIN TOWNSHIP: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>was made to 811 at approximately 8:30 a.m. on 6/2/2021. They state that " they did not respond to our call until the next day 6/3/2021" They add that they waited on location from 11 a.m. until 4 p.m. and nobody responded. They state that the location was in desperate need of having the water line fixed because this affected 50 people to be without water. They add that they had no option except to dig. They state that while they were digging, and electric line was hit, and they notified Penelec immediately.</p> <p>The emergency ticket, 202111532569, was placed by Woodside Terrace Mobile Home Park on 6/2/2021, but the dig date on the ticket was set for 6/4/2021. *****</p> <p>Shover Investment Group is in violation of Section: 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>C&S Hauling, LLC is in violation of Sections: 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency One Call ticket as soon as practicable.</p> <p>Sheshequin Township is in violation of Section: 2(5)(vii) – Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification. This is a first-time violation and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500.</p>	
24439	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: ROBERT SMITH Project Owner: ROBESON HOMES AND PARKS Other: MANSFIELD BOROUGH AUTHORITY Other: TENANT</p>	<p><u>On 6/16/2021 11:39:00 AM at 117 Brookside Ln, MANSFIELD BORO, TIOGA</u> Incident occurred on 6/16/2021, at 117 Brookside Ln, in Mansfield Borough, Tioga County.</p> <p>UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Robert Smith of 28 Brookside Drive in Mansfield was digging with a backhoe/trackerhoe, when he damaged a gas facility. There was no One Call ticket submitted for this. 911 is not checked as being notified. One Call comments that Robert has placed notifications in the past.</p> <p>Robeson Homes and Parks was filled out by the excavator Robert who stated in the AVR that they failed to provide the One Call System with the exact information to identify the worksite. AVR was filled out but had little information in it. No project owner information was listed. Robeson Homes and Parks also stated that he dug where his landlord requested him to dig. He also stated that the landlord led him to believe that there were no lines in the work area. He also stated that when the landlord left, the gas line was hit. The Gas Company was contacted, they had a crew close by, so were able to repair the line.</p>	<p>ROBERT SMITH: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>MANSFIELD BOROUGH AUTHORITY: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>One Call states that there is no record of Robeson Homes and Parks placing One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>Robert (excavator) stated in his AVR that he was digging under 18 inches deep and they were approx 10ft up the ditch and the top of the gas line was clipped. Site is at a trailer court and excavator does maintenance for people at the trailer court. Robert is not sure of the exact date of the event, but believes it was in either May, June, or July of 2021.</p> <p>DPI requested that UGI provide the contact information of Mr. Robeson on 9/27/2022, since Mr. Robeson was billed by them. UGI did not respond to this request. Robeson Homes and Parks was found online. See google pic. *****</p> <p>Robert Smith is in violation of Sections: 5(2,1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. This is a first-time offense and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911. The penalty is applied. *****</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket</p> <p>Mansfield Borough Authority is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250.</p>	
24678	<p>Facility Owner: NATIONAL FUEL GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: E&G Development Inc</p> <p>Project Owner: Homeowner</p> <p>Other: FRANKLIN CITY ENGINEERING DEPT</p> <p>Other: FRANKLIN CITY GENERAL AUTH/FRANKLIN CITY</p> <p>Other: GEM BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS</p>	<p><u>On 6/21/2021 9:00:00 AM at 1230 Elk St, FRANKLIN CITY, VENANGO</u> Incident occurred on 6/23/2021 at 1230 Elk St. in Franklin City in Venango County, *No Damage, near miss incident</p> <p>National Fuel Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that GEM was excavating without a One Call ticket. GEM was asked to stop digging several times by NFG employees and submit a One Call, but they refused. Franklin Police were contacted to get GEM to cease operations. Pictures are included. One Call states that GEM Builders and Contractors have placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>GEM Builders stated in their AVR "I don't have any records of work or violations in this area. I'm sorry My notes and testing reports don't show any contracted work in at this address so i'm not sure what exactly we might have been doing here. We were replacing sidewalks for the city on a blanket contract where specific locations were walked by myself and the business manager of the city, marking all the sidewalks to be</p>	<p>E&G Development Inc: \$1,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>GEM BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS: \$1,000.00 Section 5(9) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>removed. I guess there's a small possibility as we were completing the project the city asked for a one off area to be fixed under a emergency one call before we left after completing all the other work areas but my records do not specifically note. I have no record or recollection of any damages since we were only removing existing sidewalk and replacing through the full project.</p> <p>A blank Bid for Site Improvements Documents was provided that had the Schedule of Bid items listed. See additional information.</p> <p>E&G AVR was also submitted by Geoff Measel who stated that NO DAMAGE was done. we were removing sidewalk and repouring it. I've noted this on several other reports and documents regarding this sidewalk project completed in June of 2021. E&G is GEM's contract labor force but E&G is has no contract value or work billings</p> <p>If this incident involved any locating/marketing errors, please include all records related to the locator's training and qualification (including training to meet UULPL standards as well as Operator Qualification).</p> <p>Summary and Attachments</p> <p>We had been removing sidewalks and repouring them for 3 months in the city. E&G is just the labor side of GEM who does all the notifying and contract billings. GEM had made several one calls for the project covering a large area and time frame. We noted that all work was marked with and X in all the areas to be removed. I'm not particularly sure of this area and scope or confusion from the locator who is made the claim of near misses but we were only removing sidewalks and repouring: no digging or trenching, no utilities should have been near or able to be hit. So much work was done over the months we were there that many of the lines if in the area were already known to the crews. I'm not exactly sure what was happening but I've never made a call for E&G in the past only GEM and I feel that our areas of work were all covered for the scope of the project. Nor do I believe we committed any offense</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>other than maybe some miscommunication of what the full scope areas were when doing this project.</p> <p>Homeowner was mailed an AVR request letter on 11/06/2022. On 12/01/2022. Gem provided a name that was found online for this homeowner. Another AVR request letter was mailed with a name of the possible homeowner. Picture of home found on Google maps is provided. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>FRANKLIN CITY ENGINEERING DEPT stated that they only had work contracted to be done on Otter St. A work order was provided. They did not fill out an AVR. See additional information.</p> <p>National Fuel Gas AVR states that the no one call incident took place at 9 a.m.. The emergency ticket was placed at 9:25 a.m. By GEM builders and Contractors on behalf of E &G Excavators. The emergency ticket was not valid work listed in the ticket was to replace the sidewalk at 1230 Elk St. *****</p> <p>GEM Builders and Contractors is in violation of Section: Section 5(9) – Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of “emergency” as defined in Section 1 – Excavator Ticket. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>E&G Development Inc is in violation of section: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. The penalty is applied. Education is required. E&G Development need to place tickets stating the name of the excavator company listed on the ticket. Ticket 20211023732 does not include a notification or white markings for excavation at 1230 Elk Street.</p> <p>Homeowner is in violation of Section: 5(16) – Homeowner Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. The penalty is a warning. *****</p> <p>Additional Information City of Franklin: 8/24/2022 - DPI Maki returned a call to the City of Franklin, who stated they will send an email with the information that they provided. They state they are not the project owner of this project. They state that they had no work done on Elk St. and stated that they know some of the homeowners requested that GEM builders do some side work for them, while they were in the area. DPI returned a call to Franklin City Engineers on 11/08/2022 they stated that they will send an email with the recollection of the incident. They stated that they recall the police being there and were quite sure the project was contracted by GEM builders. They stated that an emergency ticket was placed, but they are having trouble locating that ticket.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Email received on 11/8/2022 stated “I spoke with Clint Hoffman with the City of Franklin Water Network. His recollection of the event is as follows, Clint was called by National Fuel on 06/21/21 for a contractor digging without a One Call at the location of 1230 Elk St. Apparently the contractor, who was hired by the homeowners to replace the sidewalk in front of their house, would not stop digging after they were told to do so by National Fuel, that's when the Franklin Police Dept. was called and made them stop digging. That's when the contractor called in an Emergency One Call and was properly marked.”</p> <p>“As a follow-up to our phone call today, this email is to document that sidewalk replacement, being carried out by E & G Development, on Elk Street in 2021 in the vicinity of 1230 Elk Street, Franklin, PA, was not a City of Franklin-funded project. If you need further information with regard to this matter, please don't hesitate to contact me.”</p> <p>Additional information GEM Builders: GEM bid the job and I believe was contracted with the city: E&G would technically be a sub under GEM for labor. The Project was called: CDBG Sidewalk & Curb Ramp Improvements Basically it was a grant to replace sidewalks 3 or 4 different blocks both sides of the road: we bid what they figured was minimum replacement: the bid came in under so they used the unit prices and basically we walked every street in the original plans and marked out every sidewalk to be replaced. Then I put my one calls in and we started. They city did expand the scope from the bid quantity but all those areas were marked out with them. I think there was one extra outside the project the city paid for themselves not by the grant by the library in which I put a final one call in for separately in June but it was only separate b/c they ran out of funds on the grant but they still wanted it completed.</p> <p>GEM stated in an email received on 8/11/2022 that “ GEM would be the excavator not EG, that’s why all the calls were made for GEM” There was min plans: some pictures and a good map with some areas highlighted as base bid: like I said that was greatly expanded as we walked the field and mark the full project out with the owner. On 8/18/2022 GEM stated that “Notice on the bid docs we bid it per say for what they thought as bid time, but they used that unit costs to expand the scopes like I said when we marked it all out in the field before the one call, we added about 50% more sidewalks then bid by the time it was said and done. Sometimes it was b/c a neighbor near the area was complaining so they’d just take care of it so it was an exact science. I know I noted on the one call that everything was marked with ether orange or white “X’s” on the sidewalks in those areas to be remove and replaced.”</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Gem also stated that “I’m not sure all the options when you call in to call it but under the circumstance I probably stated digging: what I can describe is a method of removal of concrete sidewalk. They typically just take the bucket or a set of forks and just lift the concrete right up off the ground without digging down into the ground. I’m not sure there’s an exact work or description in the system to properly classify that but likely due to the police being involved I was probably just trying to get the information turned in as fast as I could.” I know for a fact no damage occurred. Those are the things I remember, all these other details get very fuzzy and forgotten.</p> <p>Emergency ticket 20211721073, which was submitted by Geoff Measel reads that the work is for the City of Franklin.</p> <p>GEM stated in an email that was received on 11/29/2022 stated “I have no records of anything other than working for the city. NO jobs, customers, job costs, billings, payments, contracts nothing for anything other than the city job.</p> <p>I mentioned to you early on with all these notifications, if my guys were cheating on me and picked up some side work and just did it as if GEM was doing it, I would have no way of knowing that b/c I wasn’t up there enough to verify if or what they were exactly doing. I assume all my work there was done for the City. When I called for this emergency call, I assumed it was just a misunderstanding of work area that we were doing for the city on previous one calls. They had many times added scope on me with my guys in the field and their on-site inspector that tracked and recorded everything. I just let them all coordinate up there and then would add those quantities to the billings as we went.</p> <p>Again I have no record of doing any work up there for anyone but the City.”</p> <p>GEM provided a field report dated 6/9/2021. The address in the field report is 1238 Otter St.</p> <p>FRANKLIN CITY ENGINEERING DEPT is included in the case, because GEM builders' states that this is a project for the City of Franklin. The individual addresses were not part of the requested addresses that were to be part of the sidewalk replacement project.</p> <p>Additional Information NFG:</p> <p>Email sent to NFG on 10/19/2022 and on 11/7/2022 asking for more information about incident and if a report from Police could be attained. They responded that they would look into this, but no more information has been received.</p>	
34731	Facility Owner: National Fuel Contractor/Excavator: E&G Development Inc Contractor/Excavator: GEM Builders and	<u>On 6/23/2021 8:00:00 AM at 1242 ELK ST, FRANKLIN CITY, VENANGO</u> Incident occurred on 6/23/2021, at 1242 Elk Street, in Franklin City, Venango County.	E&G Development Inc: \$500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00 Homeowner: \$0.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Contractors Project Owner: Homeowner Other: FRANKLIN CITY ENGINEERING DEPT</p>	<p>*No Damage, near miss incident. This is a second similar incident with this same excavator a couple of houses from the incident in case 24678.</p> <p>National Fuel Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that GEM was excavating without a One Call ticket. GEM was asked to stop digging several times by NFG employees and submit a One Call, but they refused. Franklin Police were contacted to get GEM to cease operations. Pictures are included.</p> <p>The PA One Call Compliance stated that GEM Builders and Contractors have placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>GEM Builders and Contractors stated There was no lines exposed, damaged or interfered with. There was one calls for the entire areas. We walked the entire area with the city: marked every sidewalk to be removed before the one calls then placed one calls for the full project April 12th. I've been very confused for this report. I have multiple one call tickets for this project, all the concrete sidewalks were marked with giants X's for what we were to be removing. I'm unaware of any unrequested areas, plus i have testing reports from an independent tester and the city had a full time inspector for all the work to be done. I have daily reports for every day and quantities reports verified by the city inspector. In addition to the one call report, we were only removing concrete and replacing it, there was no excavation below the bottom of concrete, we would add stone and pour the new concrete sidewalks. I'm guessing the near miss wasn't an actual exposed or near damaged line, it appears that the gas company felt we didn't make a one call (which from all my paper work and documents doesn't appear to be accurate) and reported that we may have been close to a line. I realize I had large areas requested on my one call tickets but I personally marked it all out with the city, maybe i wasn't detailed enough in my notification and the person submitted the violation didn't realize the full scope was requested and marked out at the begging of the job. I attached the quantity summary from the city. My bid documents were to large to upload. I'm thinking this was just a possible misunderstanding of what was marked out and requested b/c i'm</p>	<p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>not sure they marked all the requests out within the normal timeframe and maybe they were working it out with my guys in the field but i'm unaware of that arrangement and so were my guys. . Copy of Franklin Daily Quantities concrete check.</p> <p>E&G Development Inc. stated in their AVR: "As far as i understand there was no damage not near misses. We had previously called under GEM for a entire project, marked all the demo pads in white and work in several areas of the city. Gas company locator obviously turned in a near miss but all areas we previously requested as noted above. It was a confusing job with the city that we walked and marked out the sidewalks to be replaced as the job went the field inspector and city would work with the workers on site for final scope or change things if they had a request from a neighbor to do another piece of sidewalk as they went. E&G is just our union labor side of GEM and supplies the labor to the jobs GEM is contracted to complete. I believe the area was covered in the one calls for all contracted work but due to time passing and my limited time on site physically i cannot pin point the real issue here that created the ticket. I do believe GEM and E&G worked well with the locators in communicating and marking out the full scope from the initial calls but obviously there may have been some miscommunication or knowledge as to why these tickets were created b/c no damage occurred." *see additional information.</p> <p>Homeowner was mailed an AVR request on 11/09/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>On 8/24/2022 email received from the Franklin City Manager stated that The City's contract was with GEM Construction, and they utilized E & G Development to sub out some of the work. The City's work involved the 1200, 1300, and 1400 Blocks of Otter Street and the 1300 Block of Franklin Avenue. None of our project involved Elk Street. I believe that both of the locations you referred to were for private individuals on Elk Street (one was at the corner of Elk and West Park St.).</p> <p>*Investigation found that the excavation at the two separate addresses are private homes. Franklin City stated that the property owners may have hired their own excavators and state that these addresses are not a part of the Franklin City sidewalk replacement project. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 6/23/2021 at 1242 Elk Street in Franklin City in Venango County, where an excavator was digging near a gas line without a One Call ticket. Police reported to the incident. Another incident occurred on 6/21/2021 at 1230 Elk Street in Franklin City in Venango County, where an excavator was</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>digging near a gas line and the police had to be notified before the excavator stopped digging. Both incidents did not have a One Call ticket submitted for the excavation. *****</p> <p>E&G Development Inc is in violation of Section: 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. This is a first-time violation and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500. Education is required.</p> <p>Homeowner is in violation of Section: 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. The penalty is a warning.</p> <p>Additional Information: *E&G Development Inc. has the same physical address as GEM. No tickets that were submitted are for ELK St. Tickets are connected to the case, but DPI did not list in the case to keep it simple.</p> <p>GEM states that they are the management Co and E&G Development Inc is the Union Labor Company subcontracted by GEM. Project is called CDBG Sidewalk & Curb Improvements. Grant to replace sidewalks. Case 24678 is a similar incident with the same parties involved.</p>	
24707	<p>Contractor/Excavator: Mills Excavating Project Owner: Homeowner Project Owner: VANDERBILT BOROUGH OF Other: Columbia Gas of PA - South</p>	<p><u>On 6/28/2021 3:50:00 PM at 400 PITTSBURGH ST., VANDERBILT BORO, FAYETTE</u> Incident occurred on 6/28/2021 at 400 Pittsburgh Street in Vanderbilt Borough in Fayette County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Mills Excavating was digging a ditch to install a water drainage pipe, when they struck and damaged a 1” plastic company-owned gas service line. They state that the line was not marked because there was no One Call notification placed for this excavation. They also say that the Damage Prevention Specialist (DPS) for the area had spoken with Wayne Mills on 7/06/2021 to discuss the importance of placing One Calls for all work being completed. The DPS stated that Mr. Mills believed that he placed a One Call notification, but states that the representative at One Call messed up. DPS stated that Mr. Mills admitted that he does not have the best ticket tracking system for his tickets. The DPS further advised the need to call 911 anytime there is a hazardous release. Pictures are included. One Call notes that Mr. Mills has placed notifications in the past and provided a contact telephone number. NO tickets are associated with this incident.</p> <p>Mills Excavating was sent an AVR request letter on 10/14/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Homeowner was sent an AVR request letter. No AVR has been received to date.</p>	<p>Mills Excavating: \$2,500.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Mills Excavating is in Violation of Sections: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(8) Excavator failed to notify 911. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>Homeowner is in violation of Section: 5(16) Homeowner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. The penalty is a warning.</p>	
27223	<p>Contractor/Excavator: Keel-Mar Landscaping Company Project Owner: HOMEOWNER Other: COLUMBIA GAS</p>	<p><u>On 10/1/2021 9:00:00 AM at 1301 MAPLE LN, PENN TWP, YORK</u> Incident occurred on 10/01/2021, at 1301 Maple Ln., in Penn Township, York County. * No damage</p> <p>Columbia Gas states in their Alleged Violation Report that on 9/28/2021, a Columbia Gas locator contacted the Columbia Gas Damage Prevention Specialist (DPS) concerning equipment on site at 1301 Maple Lane, Hanover. DPS followed up regarding possible excavation work with no One Call notification. He spoke with Dave from Keel-Mar, who stated that Keel-Mar was just finishing up topsoil around their previous landscape work. No additional digging was to happen. DPS stated that Act 50 was explained, the PUC was explained, and he shared some information regarding recent damages in the area.</p> <p>On 10/01/2021 DPS was in the same development and saw Keel-Mar now digging with a mini excavator on the back side of the house for a drainage pipe. DPS placed a No One Call ticket and again educated Keel-Mar on ACT 50. Columbia Gas DPS states that it is his opinion that this excavator is not concerned about placing One Call tickets when excavating. No damage occurred. He adds that this excavator was approached in 2020 in this same development on other lots. Pictures are included. Compliance Coordinator states that Keel-Mar Landscaping Company has placed One Call notifications in the past and provided the address.</p> <p>Homeowner stated in his email that he bought a newly constructed house in 12/2020. The landscaping began in late spring of 2021. The yard had flags and utility markings, as he recalls. After the landscaping work, he had the contractor come back on 9/28/2021 to spread the topsoil and on 10/01/2021 to pull out scrubby saplings and brush from around the backyard drain area.</p> <p>Keel-Mar was mailed an AVR request letter on 9/26/2022. No AVR has been submitted to date. DPI returned a call to Marylynn Sargent. Her father is the project owner, and she is helping him. She did not want to create an account through One Call. DPI said that it would be OK if she could send an email with the information that her dad recalled. She stated this was a long project that took months to complete. She will get an email out to DPI by 10/25/2022.</p>	<p>Keel-Mar Landscaping Company: \$1,500.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Keel-Mar is in violation of Sections: 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line.</p>	
27253	<p>Contractor/Excavator: P&W Construction Project Owner: CARBONDALE REAL ESTATE LLC Other: CARBONDALE CITY OF Other: P & W WASHO INC. Other: UGI</p>	<p><u>On 10/2/2021 12:00:00 AM at 97 Brooklyn Street, CARBONDALE CITY, LACKAWANNA</u> Incident occurred on 10/02/2021 and was located at the rear of 97 Brooklyn Street, in Carbondale City in Lackawanna County.</p> <p>UGI stated in their AVR that the Excavator struck and damaged a gas service line while digging without a one call. Ticket 20212772004 was submitted on 10/04/2021 and incident occurred on 10/02/2021.</p> <p>PA One Call Compliance Specialist stated that they have no record of P&W Washo Inc placing notifications, but they do show that P&W Construction has placed notifications with the same phone number listed on the AVR. Contact information is provided.</p> <p>P&W Construction stated in their AVR that the excavator’s name is P & W W/Washo, Inc. and that P & W W/Washo, Inc. was excavating on private property putting in a storm drain/culvert. They were trenching with a backhoe/tracker for Carbondale Real Estate LLC, in the rear of 97 Brooklyn St., when they damaged the gas line. They agree that this was an excavator issue and that the work began before the lawful start date. The excavation started on 10/02/2021 at 6:00 a.m. The project was <\$400,000. And the length was 80LF. They checked that 911 was called. Service was interrupted to 1 customer.</p> <p>They also state that water was ponding on the blacktop behind Weis Market at the Carbondale Shopping Center, instead of running into the existing swale line, which had become overgrown. P&W Construction stated that they were hired by the property owner to resurface the blacktop area where the ponding was occurring to clean out the swale and pitch water to the swale. They state that they looked to the back of the building and felt that the work was to the far left of the gas line. The gas shut off was not seen because the area was overgrown with grass and weeds. One call ticket 20212772004 was submitted on 10/04/2021. They state that they did not resume digging until after the lines were marked. They also state that they were billed by and paid UGI Utilities \$1,359.62 for repairs and costs. Ticket was submitted.</p> <p>Carbondale Real Estate LLC submitted an AVR submitted by P&W Construction which stated that “Contractor takes care of local management and repairs. They needed to clean out a trench in the rear of the Shopping Center, that had become overgrown with brush, preventing water from</p>	<p>P&W Construction: \$500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>CARBONDALE CITY OF: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>flowing into it and causing water to pool on the pavement. Facility/Property Owner was not on site when the event occurred, so no firsthand information of it is available.” ***** P & W Construction is in violation of Section: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. This is a first-time offense and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500.</p> <p>Carbondale City of is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250.</p>	
27173	<p>Facility Owner: COLUMBIA GAS Contractor/Excavator: C & H Excavating Project Owner: GEMCRAFT HOMES Designer: Unknown</p>	<p><u>On 10/21/2021 4:15:00 PM at 113 SUSSEX PLACE, SHREWSBURY TWP, YORK</u> Incident occurred on 10/21/2021 at 113 Sussex Place, Shrewsbury Township in York County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that C&H Excavating was digging with a backhoe for Gemcraft Builders, without a One Call ticket. Columbia states that there were very faded markings from another excavation barely visible at the site. They state that C&H Excavating was digging a trench for a water line installation when they hit the 2” plastic gas main with a backhoe bucket. The operator immediately called the Columbia Gas emergency number and notified 911. 7 customers were affected for 1-6 hours. Pictures are provided.</p> <p>Compliance Coordinator notes that C&H Excavating has placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>C&H Excavating was emailed an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) courtesy request letter on 10/21/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Gemcraft Homes was mailed an AVR request letter on 10/21/2022. On 11/22/2022 DPI returned a call to GemCraft Homes and left a message with detailed information. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>No designer was provided. C&H Excavating is I violation of Sections: 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. The penalty is applied. 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p> <p>GemCraft Homes ins in violation of Section: 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike.</p>	<p>C & H Excavating: \$1,500.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>GEMCRAFT HOMES: \$500.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
27233	<p>Facility Owner: PPL Contractor/Excavator: Grassi Outdoor Services</p>	<p><u>On 11/4/2021 12:00:00 AM at 304 Summit Woods Road, ROARING BROOK TWP, LACKAWANNA</u> Incident occurred on 11/04/2021 at 304 Summit Woods Road, Roaring Brook Township, Lackawanna County.</p>	<p>Grassi Outdoor Services: \$3,750.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Project Owner: Property Owner</p>	<p>PPL Electric stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Grassi Outdoor Services was using a powered auger, to put in a mailbox post at 304 Summit Woods Rd, Moscow, Roaring Brook Township, Lackawanna Co. when they damaged an underground primary cable. There were no injuries. 12 customers lost power. PPL states also that the excavator did not place a One Call request for the excavation. They say that the PPL Public Safety interviewed the homeowner, Matt Larosa, who explained that Ryan Grassi had dug the hole for the new mailbox post across the street from the home, when they contacted the primary cable and caused the outage.</p> <p>PPL adds that Ryan Grassi stated that he did not place the One Call ticket and said that the homeowner was supposed to place it for him. PPL Public Safety Investigator explained that the excavator is responsible to place their own One Call notifications. PPL added that Mr. Grassi stated that he is not a member of One Call and added that there is language in the contracts requiring the customer to place the One Call notifications for any work that they perform. Pictures are included. No tickets were found.</p> <p>Homeowner Matthew Larosa stated in his AVR “Grassi Construction was a contractor that I hired while they were at my house doing other work my mailbox was hit in the winter. I asked them to dig a new hole for the post. They did not notify me or call pa1 themselves. Instead they just dug while I was at work and hit cable and power lines underground. They immediately left the site area and called me so I as the homeowner had to talk to everyone.”</p> <p>Compliance Coordinator states that” I found no record of Grassi Construction ever placing a one call notification.”</p> <p>Grassi Outdoor Services was mailed an AVR request letter on 10/21/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Grassi Outdoor Services is in violation of Sections: 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(7) – Failed to immediately report to the facility owner any break or leak in its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove, or other damage to such lines or to their coating or cathodic protection. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p>	<p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(21) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>5(21) – Excavator failed to pay the annual fee for service provided by the One Call System. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>5(8) – Excavator vacated worksite after causing damage that resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p>	
27447	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES Contractor/Excavator: Dremel Construction Project Owner: RENOVO BOROUGH</p>	<p><u>On 11/11/2021 11:04:00 AM at 149 Fourth St, RENOVO BORO. CLINTON</u> Incident occurred on 11/11/2021 at 149 Fourth St., in Renovo Boro in Clinton Township.</p> <p>UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Dremels Excavating was demolishing a building while using a bulldozer, when they damaged a gas service line. They state that there was a meter-riser in the area. There was no One Call requested for this demolition. 911 was not checked as being called.</p> <p>Dremels Excavating was mailed an AVR request letter on 9/29/2022. No AVR has been received to date. Damage Prevention Investigator (DPI) did speak with Mr. Dremel on 10/25/2022. The comments noted that James Dremel stated that he was demolishing a building and one of the guys smelled gas. Mr. Dremel called the gas company. He stated that the Boro took care of the ticket. DPI told him he needs to submit an AVR. After Mr. Dremel said he doesn't use a computer, and is not good a writing letters, DPI gave him the One Call number to call in an AVR.</p> <p>Renovo Borough stated in their AVR: "As the secretary for the Renovo Borough Council I called PA 1Call on August 25, 2021 for the demolition of 149 14th Street. I was not aware of any issue until Mr. Dremel contacted me about a bill he received from UGI for a damaged line in December of 2021. I communicated with Tim Egger from UGI and he confirmed it was Dremel's bill and not the Renovo Borough's. I have uploaded the PA 1 Call and the email communications. As secretary I wear many hats and did not know this was something that the borough needed to report. Everything goes through the Renovo Borough's email system so it can be printed out and I report it to the Street Supervisor, Vincent Tarantella to mark out as needed. I don't have any more information on this incident and not sure how to proceed. Please let me know how we can correct this." 911 was not checked as being called. AVR request letter was attached. PA One Call Compliance noted that Dremel Construction has placed one call notifications in the past,</p>	<p>Dremel Construction: \$2,500.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>additional address is below, no email address was provided. Address--[PO BOX 147] City--[NORTH BEND] State--[PA] Zip--[17760] *****</p> <p>Dremel Construction is in violation of Sections: 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p>	
27481	Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: William Penn Renovation Project Owner: Unknown	<p><u>On 11/15/2021 2:15:00 AM at 330 N. Fawn Ridge Road, SUSQUEHANNA TWP, DAUPHIN</u> UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR):” While excavating with a hand post hole digger, the contractor damaged the gas service to 330 Fawn Ridge N. No POC made by contractor prior to excavating.” Pictures are included. One Call notes that William Penn Renovations has placed notifications with PA One Call in the past.</p> <p>No Violations found. Excavator was hand digging.</p>	
34041	Facility Owner: WAYNE TWP SEWER AUTHORITY Contractor/Excavator: DILLAN WELL DRILLING INC Project Owner: JON DISHER	<p><u>On 2/14/2022 12:00:00 PM at 179 OSWALD ST, WAYNE TWP, LAWRENCE</u> Incident occurred on 2/14/2022 at 179 Oswald Street, Wayne Township, Lawrence County.</p> <p>Homeowner submitted an alleged violation report that says he hired an excavator to install a well. The excavator placed a one call and the local sewer authority marked the lateral point of connection. The excavator began excavating and hit the electrical line that supplies power from the homeowner's house to the grinder pump for the sewer. The sewer authorities rule states that the power cost is the responsibility of the homeowner. Please note attached picture.</p> <p>Electrical line pulls power from the homeowner's house. No violations or penalties being assessed.</p>	
29358	Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: Rock Road Construction Project Owner: BERKS HOMES	<p><u>On 3/3/2022 2:08:00 AM at 908 Blackstone Run, SOUTH MIDDLETON TWP, CUMBERLAND</u> Incident occurred on 3/03/2022 at 908 Blackstone Run in South Middleton Township in Cumberland County.</p> <p>UGI stated I their Alleged Violation Report that “No One Call made by contractor prior to starting excavation with mechanized equipment. While excavating with mechanized equipment, the contractor damage the gas service to 908 Blackstone Run. No One Call made by contractor prior to starting excavation work. Pictures are included.</p> <p>Berks Homes stated in their AVR that this was an excavator issue because the excavation began before the lawful start day on ticket.</p>	Rock Road Construction: \$0.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Rock Road Construction stated in their AVR “In the process of digging a hole to bury rocks, the excavator hit a newly installed gas line. Spreading topsoil on the lot was also in process.” Pictures are included.</p> <p>Insufficient Ticket 20220623444 was prepared on 3/3/2022. Response was due on 3/7/2022 with a lawful start date of 3/8/2022 by Rock Road Construction.</p> <p>Rock Road Construction was working on ticket No. 20213420550, which was approximately 3 months old, however the ticket was placed for 3-month project and was still active.</p>	
29664	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: HENKELS AND MCCOY Project Owner: UGI Other: PENCOR SERVICES/ BLUERIDGE COMMUNICATION</p>	<p><u>On 3/15/2022 10:00:00 AM at 830 EVERGREEN ST, DENVER BORO, LANCASTER</u> Incident occurred on 3/15/2022 at 830 Evergreen Street in Denver Borough in Lancaster County.</p> <p>UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR): “Excavator utilizing mechanized equipment, inside a correctly marked tolerance zone, struck a 2" plastic main. 811 and 911 were notified.” Pictures are included.</p> <p>Henkels and McCoy stated: “Excavation was within the tolerance zone.” They also stated they were digging with a backhoe and failed to use prudent techniques.</p> <p>Henkels and McCoy are in violation of Section: 5(4) Failed to exercise due care and prudent excavation techniques. The penalty is applied.</p>	<p>HENKELS AND MCCOY: \$1,000.00 Section 5(4) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>PENCOR SERVICES/ BLUERIDGE COMMUNICATION: \$0.00</p>
32810	<p>Facility Owner: SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV INC Contractor/Excavator: CABLE GUYS LLC Project Owner: CABLE GUYS LLC</p>	<p><u>On 3/21/2022 6:00:00 PM at PENN HILL DR, NORTH WHITEHALL TWP, LEHIGH</u> The incident occurred on Monday, March 21, 2022, on Penn Hill Drive, in North Whitehall Township, Lehigh County.</p> <p>Cable Guys LLC was plowing in a new fiber optic line. Service Electric Cable TV Inc stated, the Cable Guys cut their communication line twice. The first cut was a drop to a customer’s house, and the second cut was a distribution line feeding multiple customers. The next day Service Electric crew made repairs and restoring service. USIC investigation determined that Cable Guys failed to expose the marked facilities. Pictures were provided Service Electric Cable.</p> <p>Also, Service Electric Cable explained they have been in contact with Cable Guys LLC, but they have refused to pay the invoice for reimbursement (as of 8/12/22, when the AVR was submitted, no reimbursements have been paid). Cable Guys are disputing the official damage investigation from USIC. On Friday, July 22, 2022, there was an agreed upon meeting with both crews but Cable Guys did not show up.</p> <p>On Friday, December 2, 2022, an email and letter were sent requesting an Alleged Violation Report from Cable Guys. There was no response and no AVR submitted.</p> <p>Cable Guys is in violation of sections:</p>	<p>CABLE GUYS LLC: \$1,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(11.2) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>5(11.2) When using horizontal directional drilling (HDD), Excavator failed to utilize the best practices published by the HDD Consortium</p> <p>5(16) Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line</p> <p>Recommendations: Education required and penalties applied.</p>	
30930	<p>Facility Owner: Duquesne Light Company</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Fiber and Cable Specialists Inc</p> <p>Project Owner: DQE Communications LLC</p>	<p><u>On 3/24/2022 12:00:00 PM at 423 FRANKFORT RD, POTTER TWP, BEAVER</u> Incident occurred on 3/24/22 12pm, 423 Frankfort Rd, Potter Twp, Beaver County.</p> <p>An electrical line was hit.</p> <p>Duquesne Light's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "Fiber and Cable Specialists Inc., a contractor for DQE Communications, were excavating to install new poles and anchors along the dig site when they struck and severed an unmarked underground electrical line. Duquesne Light Company locators responded to the Routine One Call ticket and miss marked their underground lines *(the 3 phases were spread out not inside the same trench, the line that was damaged was 20" away from the one that were within the tolerance zone of the markings. Only that single phase of the 3 was damaged) The Excavator did not place a Damage One Call but directly contacted DLC to notify them of the damage."</p> <p>On 10/14/22, a request to submit an AVR was emailed and mailed to DQE Communications and Fiber & Cable Specialists Inc. Both submitted AVRs after receiving letters from the PUC. 1st time offenses reduced to \$0 with required education.</p> <p>Fiber and Cable Specialists Inc's AVR states, "Underground electric line was struck with an auger while installing utility poles at 423 Frankfort Rd. Site was incorrectly marked. Damage occurred Approx. 36" from the locate markings. Please see attached photos." AVR notes that the project was 500ft, less than \$400k, and used SUE level C. Incident affected 1 customer.</p> <p>DQE Communications LLC's AVR states, "There was a 3-phase power line buried in a trench. they were laid in the trench several inches/feet apart. only one of the lines were marked leaving the other legs unmarked hence the hit. AVR notes that the project was 500ft, less than \$400k, and used SUE level C.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Duquesne Light Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. 20220702564</p> <p>Fiber and Cable Specialists Inc. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a</p>	<p>Duquesne Light Company: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Fiber and Cable Specialists Inc: \$0.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>DQE Communications LLC: \$0.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>line. Education is required. Violation is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>D Q E Communications, LLC. Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Education is required. Violation is reduced to a warning.</p>	
29832	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: VERIZON PA LLC Project Owner: VERIZON</p>	<p><u>On 4/4/2022 12:00:00 AM at 150A FLEET CT, BENSALEM TWP, BUCKS</u> Incident occurred on 4/04/2022 at 150A Fleet Court in Bensalem Township in Bucks County.</p> <p>PECO stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR): “ON 04/04/2022 A APPROXIMATELY 12:08 PM, VERIZON (FOREMAN MIKE EDWARDS 215-364-6239) WAS WORKING IN A SHARED VERIZON PIT WHEN THEY DAMAGED AN EXPOSED, UNDERGROUND 1” PLASTIC GAS SERVICE WITH A SPLICING KNIFE. VERIZON DID NOT HAVE A POC. ONE SERVICE WAS AFFECTED. THERE WERE NO INJURIES.”</p> <p>Verizon was using hand tools when they damaged a 1” plastic gas line. No violation occurred.</p>	
30092	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA Contractor/Excavator: Tresco Paving Corporation Project Owner: Tresco Paving Corporation</p>	<p><u>On 4/6/2022 3:37:00 PM at 2480 SPRINGHILL FURNACE RD, SPRINGHILL TWP, FAYETTE</u> The incident occurred on Wednesday, April 6, 2022, at 2480 Springhill Furnace Road, in Springhill Township, Fayette County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas line was damaged. They stated Tresco Paving is in violation of not placing a One Call and failing to use prudent techniques in the tolerance zone. Columbia Gas explained that Tresco was connecting a private water service line up to a tap that Mountain Water Association had put in place, and during the dig with a backhoe, a 2-inch plastic gas mainline was hit and damaged. However, the mainline was marked with flags from a previous One Call ticket placed by Mountain Water. Columbia Gas provided photos of the excavation. The PA One Call Compliance commented that Tresco Paving has placed notifications in the past. There are no One Call Tickets associated with this incident. Tresco Paving stated, they had a meter pit installed by Mountain Water and the One Call ticket was placed by them too. Once Mountain Water completed the pit, the private water line needed hooked up to the new service line (the water line is not owned by Mountain Water). Tresco explained that the water line was exposed but after the rain the dirt felled into the ditch, and the onsite crew saw the fresh locate markings and assumed a notification was placed by Tresco, but the One Call was placed by Mountain Water. A backhoe was used to clean out the existing ditch and during the dig, the gas line was</p>	<p>Tresco Paving Corporation: \$3,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>hit and damaged causing a small opening. The Tresco crew immediately called Columbia Gas.</p> <p>Tresco Paving is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe 5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property 5(16) Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line Recommendation: Education Required. Penalties applied</p>	
30082	<p>Facility Owner: NATIONAL FUEL GAS Contractor/Excavator: M & B Services LLC</p>	<p><u>On 4/11/2022 9:00:00 AM at 159 Cessna Rd / St. Mary's Airport, ST MARYS CITY, ELK</u> The incident occurred on Monday, April 11, 2022, at 159 Cessna Road / St. Mary's Airport, in St. Mary's City, Elk County.</p> <p>National Fuel Gas (NFG) line was damaged. They stated M & B Services hit and damaged a medium pressure gas service line during their trenching. The line was unmarked due to M & B not placing a One Call. M & B stated that they did not place a One Call ticket before installing new runway lighting. Both NFG and M & B provided pictures. PA One Call Compliance commented that M&B Services have placed notifications in the past. There are no tickets associated with this event.</p> <p>M & B Services in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe 5(16) Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line Recommendation: Education Required. Penalties Recommendation: Education Required. Penalty applied for 5(2.1). For 5(16) zero penalty but keep the violation.</p>	<p>M & B Services LLC: \$1,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
29981	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: Anthony and Sylvan Pools Contractor/Excavator: BOLOGNESE ELECTRIC Project Owner: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 4/12/2022 9:00:00 AM at 98 MILL CREEK ROAD, NORTHAMPTON TWP, BUCKS</u> DPC Pre-Discussion change Sylvan Pools as another general excavator instead of a project owner. Project Owner is defined in Act 50 as any person who or which engages an excavator for construction or any other project which requires excavation or demolition work.</p> <p>Sylvan subcontracted to Bolognese. Sylvan never excavated at this site. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred 4/12/2022 at 9am at 98 Mill Creek Road, Northampton Township, Bucks County.</p> <p>PECO's alleged violation report stated that Bolognese Electric did not have a PA 1 call ticket and did not call in an emergency ticket. Homeowner called in the damage to PECO customer service line. Contractor states that he was using Anthony and Sylvan Pools PA 1 Call Ticket.</p>	<p>BOLOGNESE ELECTRIC: \$2,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>DPI sent a request for an AVR to Bolognese Electric and the homeowner on 7/5/2022. Neither has responded to the AVR request as of 8/16/22.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Bolognese Electric Section 5(2.1) - Failed to submit a PA1call ticket. Education is required. Section 5(7) - Failed to notify the facility owner after a line strike. Education is required. Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10 days of a line strike. Education is required.</p> <p>Homeowner Section 6.1(7) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10 days of a line strike. 1st offense reduced to a warning</p>	
30696	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: RLE Enterprise Project Owner: LACKAWANNA HOUSING AUTHORITY Designer: Greenman Pederson, Inc Designer: Reuther & Bowen, PC Other: Comcast</p>	<p><u>On 4/13/2022 7:13:00 AM at 34 WALSH PLAZA, OLYPHANT BORO, LACKAWANNA</u> Incident occurred on 4/13/22 at 7:13am at 34 Walsh Plaza, Olyphant Boro, Lackawanna County.</p> <p>RLE Enterprise hit a mismarked UGI line. 911 was called.</p> <p>UGI's AVR states, "RLE struck and damaged and incorrectly marked gas service line while excavating with mechanized equipment. They were not digging in the tolerance zone of the gas service line. Gas service line's electronically traceable markers were not installed correctly." Notes 911 was called. UGI Picture 1 shows that the gas line was mismarked.</p> <p>DPI mailed and emailed RLE and Lackawanna Housing Authority to complete an AVR via www.pa1call.org on 8/19/22.</p> <p>Lackawanna Housing Authority's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Contractor was going by marking but the markings were off by five feet. The gas line repairs were made by the gas company UGI." AVR notes that 12 people were evacuated and the project was over \$400,000 with SUE level C.</p> <p>On 10/21/22, a request for an AVR was mailed and emailed to Rueher & Bowen. Greenman was sent a request for an AVR via mail and email on 10/31/22. No AVR was received by Rueher & Bowen or RLE Enterprise.</p> <p>Greenman-Pedersen submitted an AVR that they were only contracted to do the early stages of the design project.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>UGI Utilities Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line.</p>	<p>UGI Utilities: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>RLE Enterprise: \$500.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>LACKAWANNA HOUSING AUTHORITY: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Reuther & Bowen, PC: \$500.00 Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$500.00 Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Rueher & Bowen Section 4(8) – Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days of being notified or aware that a violation of this act may have been committed.</p> <p>Lackawanna Housing Authority Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20201410985. Education is required.</p> <p>Comcast Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20201410985. Education is required.</p> <p>RLE Enterprise Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required.</p> <p>Related to case 30924.</p>	
30041	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: E.K. Services, Inc. Project Owner: PA AMERICAN WATER Designer: PA AMERICAN WATER Other: VERIZON</p>	<p><u>On 4/13/2022 1:00:00 PM at 124 ALLENDALE WAY, LOWER ALLEN TWP, CUMBERLAND</u> Incident occurred on 4/13/2022at Allendale Way in Lower Allen Township in Cumberland County.</p> <p>E K Services Inc stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) “Prior to starting the days work our crew performed a JSA pointing out any marked utilities and over head wires. Upon backfilling, our operator filled the ditch with 2B stone and notice the gas line was struck and sounded like it was leaking. All operations were stopped immediately and PA One Call was contacted. UGI arrived on site, confirming it was their line and it was leaking. Additional UGI team arrived on site and fixed the leak. Our crew then continued to backfill to finish the days work. The crew was re-trained in regards to proper backfilling techniques and reminded to keep an eye on all marked utilities at all times.” Details and communications documents are included.</p> <p>UGI stated in their AVR “While leveling out backfill material in the trench, the contractor damaged a correctly marked, already fully exposed gas service to 124 Allendale Way.” Pictures are included.</p> <p>Pennsylvania American Water stated in their AVR “Prior to starting the days work the contractor performed a JSA pointing out any marked utilities and over head wires. Upon backfilling, the contractor's operator filled the ditch with 2B stone</p>	<p>UGI: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>E.K. Services, Inc.: \$250.00 Section 5(6)(ii) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>VERIZON: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>and realized the gas line was struck and sounded like it was leaking. All operations were stopped immediately and PA One Call was contacted. UGI arrived on site, confirming it was their line and it was leaking. Additional UGI team arrived on site and fixed the leak. The contractor's crew then continued to backfill to finish the days work. The contractor's crew was re-trained in regards to proper backfilling techniques and reminded to keep an eye on all marked utilities at all times.” CPA Documents are included.</p> <p>E.K. Services is in violation of Section: 5(6)(ii) Excavator failed to provide support and mechanical protection for a known facility owner’s lines at the construction site. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a One Call ticket within the required amount of time. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>UGI is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a One Call tickets 20220833624 and 20220330343 within the required amount of time. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Additional Information: 2(5)(v) ACT 50 reads to respond to all notices. UGI responded on 2/8/2022 insufficient information do not dig is not a complex project ticket 20220330343 responses. Response was due on 2/7/2022.</p> <p>Connected cases: 30235, 30269, and 30628</p>	
30319	<p>Facility Owner: Bucks County Water and Sewer Contractor/Excavator: Danella Line Services Inc. Contractor/Excavator: Lec Mar Underground LLC Project Owner: Verizon Other: Comcast Other: Solebury Township</p>	<p><u>On 4/18/2022 12:30:00 PM at 729 Brighton Way, NEW HOPE BORO, BUCKS</u> Incident occurred on 4/18/2022 at 12:30pm at 729 Brighton Way, New Hope Boro, Bucks County where a water line was hit.</p> <p>Lec Mar hit a line owned by Bucks County Water & Sewer (BCWS) while installing Verizon lines as a sub contractor for Danella Line Services, Inc.</p> <p>Verizon's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stated, "Lec Mar was working Dannella placing a 2 inch conduit for Verizon when they struck an unmarked water service.</p>	<p>Bucks County Water and Sewer: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Lec Mar Underground LLC: \$250.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$7,500.00 Section 6.1(1) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>The water service was unmarked and belonged to the house across the street.(not marked)"</p> <p>Danella's AVR states, "Lec Mar was placing 2 inch conduit for Verizon when they struck an unmarked water service. Water service came from the house across the street."</p> <p>DPI sent letters to Lecmar and BCWS to complete AVRs via PA1call on 7/27/22. Also emailed letter to Lecmar. Danella's AVR was marked as submitted on behalf of Lec Mar.</p> <p>BCWS AVR states, "On 4-19-22 was called to 729 Brighton way for a water leak. The contractor for Verizon bore into the service for 730 Brighton Way that ran across street. Knocked copper from corporation stop. The line was determined unmarked due to paint not being applied on owners driveway . Marked on either side of driveway. We had to dig to water main in 729's driveway to make repair. Looking at one call it states only boring 24" . They hit the service at 40" down."</p> <p>On 9/23/2022 an email was sent to Lec Mar asking who provided the design work for the project. Both routine tickets had specific designed criteria's laid out.</p> <p>Ticket 20221014920: [THE WORK WILL START FROM WHERE THE BOX WAS MARKED WITH WHITE PAINT ON CORNER BETWEEN KIRKWOOD LN AND BRIGHTON WAY AND CONTINUE THE WORK ALONG THE STREE ON BOTH SIDES TO WHERE THE NEW HANDHOLE GOING TO BE SET NEAR HOUSE #728 WE WILL ALSO CROSS THE STREET OF BRIGHTON WAY BETWEEN HOUSES #703 AND #704 ALSO BETWEEN HOUSES #717 AND #718]</p> <p>Ticket 20221014933: [THE WORK WILL START FROM WHERE THE BOX WAS MARKED WITH WHITE PAINT NEAR HOUSE #725 AND CONTINUE THE WORK ALONG THE STREET ON BOTH SIDES TO WHERE THE NEW HANDHOLE GOING TO BE SET ON CORNER BETWEEN SYDNI CT AND BRIGHTON WAY WE WILL ALSO CROSS THE STREET OF BRIGHTON WAY BETWEEN HOUSES #738 AND #737 AND ALSO BETWEEN HOUSES #748 AND #747]</p> <p>Scope of project exceeds 1,000 feet and multiple locations which requires a complex project.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Bucks County Water and Sewer Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally. 20221014933. Education is required.</p> <p>Lec Mar Construction</p>	<p>Section 6.1(3) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Solebury Township: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 5(3) - Failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to the beginning of a complex project. Education is required.</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket within the required amount of time. 20221014933 Section 6.1(1) - Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface engineering. Education is required. Section 6.1(3) - Released a project to bid before final design was complete. Education is required.</p> <p>Comcast Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket within the required amount of time. 20221014933</p> <p>Solebury Township Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket. 20221014933. Education is required.</p>	
30459	<p>Facility Owner: Comcast Contractor/Excavator: NEW ENTERPRISE STONE & LIME CO INC Project Owner: New Enterprise Stone & Lime Co Inc</p>	<p><u>On 4/25/2022 12:00:00 PM at 1046 Narvon Road, CAERNARVON TWP, LANCASTER</u> Incident occurred on 4/25/22 at 12pm at 1046 Narvon Road, Caernarvon, Lancaster County.</p> <p>New Enterprise Stone & Lime hit a line owned by Comcast.</p> <p>Comcast's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Tech States: The Conduit and cable cut while fixing drainage issue."</p> <p>DPI mailed and submitted an AVR request via the website for New Enterprise Stone & Lime on 8/8/22. Also asked if they had a PA1 call ticket for their excavation.</p> <p>New Enterprise Stone & Lime's AVR states, "We were cleaning out our drainage ditch with an excavator to allow the rainwater to flow more freely. During this process we came in contact with an unmarked comcast communication wire that supplied internet to our own facility. Comcast was notified and they made the repair. After the repair was complete it was flagged, and we placed block with painted markings showing the path of the line." No PA1 call ticket.</p> <p>Violations: New Enterprise Stone & Lime Co Inc. Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p>	<p>NEW ENTERPRISE STONE & LIME CO INC: \$1,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
30269	<p>Facility Owner: Verizon Contractor/Excavator: E K SERVICES INC Project Owner: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER Designer: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER</p>	<p><u>On 4/25/2022 12:30:00 PM at 128 BLACKSMITH RD, LOWER ALLEN TWP, CUMBERLAND</u> Incident occurred on 4/25/2022 at 12:30pm at 128 Blacksmith Road, Lower Allen Township, Cumberland County.</p> <p>E K Services hit an unmarked Verizon line while installing utilities for Pennsylvania American Water (PAWC).</p>	<p>Verizon: \$7,500.00 Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,500.00 Section 2(10) Subsequent \$2,500.00 Section 2(11) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>E K Services Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stated, "Our crew was using powered equipment and a spotter to excavate when they struck an unmarked Verizon line. All work was stopped immediately and PA One Call was contacted. Two representatives from Verizon arrived on site to fix the line. They cut into the conduit and found our crew did not do any damages to the lines...they fixed the conduit."</p> <p>PAWC's AVR quoted E K Services AVR.</p> <p>AVR requested from Verizon due to multiple line hits within 6 months. AVR2022JUN220025 on 6/16/22 and AVR2022MAY190022 5/13/22. AVR request letter email and mailed on 7/13/2022. No AVR has been received as of 9/8/2022.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to mark lines within 18 inches horizontally on ticket 2022095239. Section 2(10) - Failed to submit an alleged violation report within 30 business days. 20221152611 Section 2(11) - Failed to comply with all requests for information by the Public Utility Commission.</p> <p>Other violations are related to case 30235 and 30628.</p>	
30628	<p>Facility Owner: Comcast Contractor/Excavator: E K SERVICES INC Project Owner: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER</p>	<p><u>On 5/4/2022 10:20:00 AM at 149 Deerfield Road, LOWER ALLEN TWP, CUMBERLAND</u> Incident occurred on 5/4/2022 at 10:20 am at 149 Deerfield Road, Lower Allen Township, Cumberland County.</p> <p>E K Services (EKS) hit an unmarked Comcast line while doing work for Pennsylvania American Water (PAW). This is the second time EKS has hit a Comcast line within the last six months. Reference case 30235 for 1st line strike.</p> <p>EKS Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Our crew was on site using powered equipment to excavate using a spotter. Our crew stopped all work immediately when they struck an unmarked telecommunications line. While our site foreman was on the phone with PA One Call, Comcast arrived on site to fix the line. The line was live and not marked. Comcast made the repairs and our crew continued work as needed."</p> <p>PAW AVR states, "Contractor crew was on site using powered equipment to excavate using a spotter. The crew stopped all work immediately when they struck an unmarked telecommunications line. While the site foreman was on the phone with PA One Call, Comcast arrived on site to fix the line. The line was live and not marked. Comcast made the repairs and crew continued work as needed." AVR notes the project was over \$400,000 with level D SUE.</p>	<p>Comcast: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(10) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On 7/20/22 Comcast was sent a request to submit an AVR via mail and email. No AVR has been received from Comcast.</p> <p>Violation:</p> <p>Comcast Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally on ticket 2022117345. Section 2(10) - Failed to submit an AVR within 30 business days.</p> <p>Notes: SUE and other ticket response penalties were applied on cases 30235 and 30269.</p>	
30938	<p>Facility Owner: Comcast Contractor/Excavator: King James Asphalt Project Owner: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 5/10/2022 12:00:00 PM at 456 Oakwood Drive, SEVEN VALLEYS BORO, YORK</u> Incident occurred on 5/10/22 at 12pm at 456 Oakwood Dr, Seven Valleys Boro, York County.</p> <p>Comcast's alleged violation report (AVR) stated, "Tech States: Resident at 456 Oakwood Dr is having driveway expanded and paving company cut our UG feeder. Contacted USIC and was given the locate number listed. There were no locates at this site. Paving company is working on multiple properties in the neighborhood. Feeder was somewhat shallow on one side of the driveway, but again, there were no locates. There were no locates at this site. Paving company is working on multiple properties in the neighborhood." AVR notes, King James Asphalt has not placed notifications with PA One Call in the past.</p> <p>King James Asphalt and the Homeowner were mailed and/or emailed requests to complete AVRs on 10/27/22. No AVRs have been received to date. Email delivery receipt attached.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>King James Asphalt Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Education is required. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required. Section 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request. Education is required.</p> <p>Homeowner Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Penalty reduced to \$0 as a warning.</p>	<p>King James Asphalt: \$1,750.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
30917	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Natural Gas Contractor/Excavator: East Coast Property Maintenance</p>	<p><u>On 5/16/2022 8:28:00 AM at HANNAH ALY, SOUTHMONT BORO, CAMBRIA</u> Peoples Gas disputed section 2(5)(i) with additional images that show the house had two gas lines. The known gas line was accurately marked as shown in the Hannah Ally pictures.</p>	<p>Peoples Natural Gas: \$0.00</p> <p>East Coast Property Maintenance: \$1,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Designer: EADs Group Other: Verizon</p>	<p>The second line was unknown and unmarked as it went to an outbuilding at the residence.</p> <p>DPI is removing the violation and penalty for 2(5)(i). *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/16/22 at 8:28 am in Hannah Aly, Southmont Boro, Cambria County.</p> <p>A gas line was damage that serves 104 Milton St, Johnstown, PA.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company's alleged violation report (AVR), "This particular service line served an outbuilding; no company records of this service line existed, and the curb box and meter were not visible." AVR notes that 911 and that the damaged line costed \$1-\$1,000 to repair.</p> <p>East Coast Property Maintenance and the EADS Group were mailed and emailed requests to submit an AVR on 10/7/22.</p> <p>The EADS Group AVR noted that a gas line was hit on a project costing less than \$400,000 with level D SUE.</p> <p>East Coast Property Maintenance failed to submit an AVR. Please see email with delivery receipt within the attachments. A letter was also mailed.</p> <p>The other garages in the ally have gas meters which are visible in the Hannah Ally streetview photos.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. 20221241465</p> <p>East Coast Property Maintenance Section 5(8) – Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. Education is required. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required.</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20221111382</p>	<p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>
30760	<p>Facility Owner: PPL Contractor/Excavator: Nolt's Excavating, LLC Project Owner: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 5/18/2022 11:35:00 AM at 442 Glen Mar Avenue, EARL TWP, LANCASTER</u> Incident occurred on 5/18/2022 at 422 Glen Mar Ave., in Earl Township, Lancaster County</p> <p>PPL stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Nolt's Excavating, LLC was digging with a</p>	<p>Nolt's Excavating, LLC: \$2,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>backhoe/tracker when they damaged a Customer's Meter Base and telecom line. This caused interruption to 1 customer for 1 to < 6 hours. They state that on Wednesday, 5/18/2022 at approximately 11:35, a non-PPL contractor from Nolt's Excavating contacted an underground / service while excavating to remove an oil tank with a mini excavator at 422 Glen Mar Ave, New Holland, Earl Township, Lancaster Co. There were no reported injuries. They state that there was no One Call ticket placed for this work. PPL Public Safety investigation determined that the service line was severed, and the home base meter was damaged, necessitating its repair/ replacement. Pictures were submitted.</p> <p>Amanda Snyder stated in her AVR "We, the homeowners, contracted with Nolts Propane Connections to replace our previous oil tank with a new propane tank, run fuel lines into the house and install a gas fireplace insert. Nolts Propane Connections contracted the excavating work to Nolt's Excavating, LLC. The excavator arrived early May 18th and identified that the underground lines were not marked. The digging site was between the well and the house and was near the home base meter. Upon receiving verbal approval from his employment, the excavator dug by shovel to identify the entry point of the electric into the home base meter. When Nolts Propane arrived, they discussed the site and plan of action. Excavator began digging and towards completion of the hole, hit the water and power lines. Excavator and Nolts Propane altered their plan to place the propane tank away from the lines. Nolt's Excavating had the water line repaired and contacted PPL for the electric repairs. Once the electric and water lines were fixed, the excavator completed their job and Nolts Propane completed their job. All work was completed the same day, as planned. I have since spoken with Nolt's Excavating, Josh Nolt, who relayed that they are working directly with PPL on addressing the costs that occurred. From the letter we received in the mail, ticket number 20221382420 and incident number 30760.</p> <p>Nolts Excavating, LLC was emailed an AVR request letter on 9/21/2022. A relayed AVR request notice was</p>	<p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>received on 9/20/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Nolts Excavating is in violation of Sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p>	
30887	<p>Facility Owner: Duquesne Light Company</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: GOLDEN TRIANGLE CONSTRUCTION CO - IMPERIAL, PA</p> <p>Project Owner: DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY</p> <p>Designer: BURNS AND MCDONNELL</p> <p>Designer: Cardino, Inc.</p> <p>Other: AT&T</p> <p>Other: CenturyLink Level 3</p> <p>Other: Energy Center Pittsburgh, LLC</p> <p>Other: Peoples Gas Company</p> <p>Other: Pittsburgh Department of Mobility</p> <p>Other: Pittsburgh Water and Sewer (PWSA)</p> <p>Other: UPMC Mercy</p> <p>Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 5/19/2022 2:30:00 PM at 1318 5TH AVE, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Cordia DBA Energy City of Pittsburgh is disputing Section 2(5)(viii). Cordia responded late on the morning of the meeting.</p> <p>DPI reduced penalty to \$0 with Education being required. *****</p> <p>Golden Triangle is disputing section 5(3) for preserving mark outs or requesting a remark with the reasoning that dirt fell into the dig site and they no longer had visual of the line at which point they used the bucket to remove spoils. Golden Triangle agreed to section 5(4)</p> <p>DPI is removing penalty for 5(3). Golden Triangle is no longer disputing. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/19/2022 at 2:30pm at 1318 5th Ave, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County where an electrical line was hit.</p> <p>Burns and McDonnell's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "On 5/19/2022 GTC foreman and crew members were assigned the task of excavating for conduit run MH#4716 to MH#6012. At approximately 1:45 PM GT operator was in the process of removing dirt around an unmarked, unknown 15- inch sewer lateral that was exposed the prior day. The sewer lateral perpendicularly crossed the conduit trench excavation. On the northern side of the trench excavation, there was a large amount of loose brick and debris from the prior installation of an inlet from which the 15" lateral ties into. GTC crew was in the process of removing the loose debris to properly install redboard shoring system. The excavator bucket (attached with paddle teeth) removing the dirt, caused dirt, brick, and debris to fall into the excavation. The operator then extended the bucket so he could "scratch" the spoil pile and remove it. At the time, GTC was not in the process of production excavation for conduit. They were clearing loose material in order to safely install shoring system. GTC was currently about 10 – 15 feet from MH#6012, at which point they would have started to hydro excavate in order to turn the new conduit under the existing conduit. As the bucket was dragging west, it struck a low-tension electric line that was running parallel to the excavation on the north side. The electric line was in the existing deteriorated terracotta pipe with no visible concrete encasement. The impact caused the</p>	<p>GOLDEN TRIANGLE CONSTRUCTION CO - IMPERIAL, PA: \$500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY: \$0.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>AT&T: \$2,250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>CenturyLink Level 3: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Energy Center Pittsburgh, LLC: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Pittsburgh Department of Mobility: \$2,000.00 Section 2(4) 3rd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 3rd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer (PWSA): \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>electric line to arc up into the air. The operator immediately stopped digging and awaited instruction while staying inside the cab. The spotters and everyone in the area was kept a safe distance from the excavation. 911 was called and arrived on site. B&M and DLC were additionally contacted even though representatives from both companies were at the excavation at the time of the incident. DLC began making repairs at 3:30 PM, completing repairs around 5pm. No injuries occurred during the incident, and no one was inside the excavation when the line was hit."</p> <p>Golden Triangle's AVR states the same as Burns and McDonnell's. AVR also notes that 911 was called and the project was over \$400,000 with level C SUE.</p> <p>On 10/4/2022, a letter requesting Duquesne Light to submit an AVR was mailed and emailed. AVR was received after 10 business days of a line strike.</p> <p>Duquesne Light, "Golden Triangle Company (GTC), a contractor installing new duct banks for Duquesne Light Company (DLC), struck and damaged a marked underground electrical wire belonging to DLC. With the active work site, the markings were not preserved or show in the photos attached. While excavating beside the known duct bank they encountered a storm sewer encased in brick. While removing the obstruction debris fell into the trench. Using the excavator machine to remove the brick and debris the excavator came into contact and hooked the exposed low tension electric line. This resulted in the line to spark and flame. 911 was called and the fire department responded, but the line extinguished on its own. A Damage One Call ticket was not placed. DLC underground crew we notified by phone. They arrived on scene where they cleared and cut out the damaged line. This line did not feed any customers resulting in no loss of service." AVR Notes Sue Level C, B, and A where needed.</p> <p>Google street view pictures show the accurately marked line along with Golden Triangle working two blocks away prior to making it to 1318 Fifth Ave. Complex project ticket was placed in May of 2021. Pictures are dated by google as August of 2021.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Golden Triangle Construction Company Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. 20221172158. Education is required. Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to preserve mark-outs or request a remark. 20221172158. Education is required. *Penalty and violation removed*</p> <p>Duquesne Light Company Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike.</p>	<p>UPMC Mercy: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Pittsburgh Dept of Mobility & Infrastructure Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20192591791 Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20202192264</p> <p>Century Link Level 3 Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20192591791</p> <p>PWSA Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221172158</p> <p>AT&T Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20211383849 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221172158 Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20202192264</p> <p>Peoples Natural Gas Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221172158</p> <p>UPMC - Mercy Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221172159</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20211383849</p> <p>Energy Center of Pittsburgh Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20211383849. Penalty reduced to \$0. Education is required.</p> <p>Other violations related to case 30230.</p>	
31788	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA Contractor/Excavator: Sandros Plumbing, LLC Project Owner: DR Horton</p>	<p><u>On 5/23/2022 4:00:00 PM at 103 KNOBBY HOOK DR, WEST MANHEIM TWP, YORK</u> Incident occurred on 5/23/2022 at 4:00PM at 103 Knobby Hook Dr., West Manheim Twp, York County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was nearly hit.</p> <p>Columbia Gas' alleged violation report (AVR) states "Columbia Gas crew spotted an excavator digging without any locates on new foundation/lot on Knobby Hook Dr., Hanover. They immediately reached out to William Shupe, the local Damage Prevention Specialist (DPS). The DPS arrived on site within 20 minutes. Once on site, he spoke with two workers that he had already met with two weeks ago and educated on the PA One Call law. At that time, they said they worked for the</p>	<p>Sandros Plumbing, LLC: \$1,750.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>DR Horton: \$500.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Bryant Group. The DPS gave the operator his business card again and called in No One Call ticket # 20221434189. Sandros Plumbing did follow up with the DPS the next day and confirmed they were not the Bryant Group, and the DPS reviewed the PA One Call Law again."</p> <p>On 11/16/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed to Sandros Plumbing and DR Horton. No AVR's have been received from either company.</p> <p>*Sandros Plumbing, LLC is in violation of sections: Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Section 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request. Recommendation: Education Required and penalties applied</p> <p>*DR Horton is in violation of sections: Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Recommendation: Education Required and penalties applied</p>	
30963	<p>Facility Owner: Verizon Contractor/Excavator: CADDICK UTILITIES L L C Project Owner: Newtown Artesian Water Company Designer: NEWTOWN Artesian Water Company Other: Newtown Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority</p>	<p><u>On 5/25/2022 11:00:00 AM at LAFAYETTE ST, NEWTOWN TWP, BUCKS</u> Incident occurred on 5/25/22 at 11am, Lafayette St Newtown Township, Bucks County.</p> <p>A telecom line was damaged.</p> <p>Caddick Utilities alleged violation report (AVR) states, "Damaged unmarked telecom/telephone lines. Response crew affected repairs but forced our crew to stay late to secure the excavation."</p> <p>Verizon and Newtown Artesian Water were mailed and emailed a request to submit an AVR on 10/28/22. Caddick Utilities has hit 2 or more Verizon lines within 6 months of this line hit. AVR2022AUG100001 involved Caddick hitting a Verizon line.</p> <p>Newtown Artesian Water's AVR states, "Damaged unmarked Telecom/telephone lines due to no marks. Response crew affected repairs but forced contractor to stay late to secure the excavation." Pictures show the unmarked and damaged Verizon line. AVR notes that the project was under \$400,000 and used SUE Level D. No final design ticket was submitted. Newtown Artesian Water was the project owner and designer on this project.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Verizon</p>	<p>Verizon: \$15,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(10) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Newtown Artesian Water Company: \$1,000.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Newtown Bucks County Joint Municipal</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. 20221363973.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221363973.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221363948</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221363974</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20221251610</p> <p>Section 2(10) – Facility owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days after receipt of notice that the facility owner’s lines have been damaged by excavation or demolition work or if the facility owner believes a violation of this act has been committed in association with excavation or demolition work.</p> <p>Newtown Artesian Water Company</p> <p>Section 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Education is required.</p> <p>Newtown Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221363973. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221363948. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221363974. Education is required.</p> <p>Related to Case 31519</p>	<p>Authority: \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
30978	<p>Facility Owner: Midland Water Authority</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: PEOPLES Gas Company</p> <p>Project Owner: Peoples Gas Company</p>	<p><u>On 5/25/2022 2:15:00 PM at 17 7th Street, MIDLAND BORO, BEAVER</u> Incident occurred on 5/25/22 at 2:15pm at 17 7th Street, Midland Boro, Beaver County.</p> <p>A water line was damaged. No PA 1 Call.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company (PNG)'s Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "A PNG crew responded to a Grade 1 leak at 17 7th Street in Midland Boro. When they started excavating prior to placing a one call. We hit a water service line that belonged to Midland Water Authority. Midland Water Authority was notified that we hit their line." AVR notes that the repair took 1-6 hours.</p> <p>Midland Water Authority was mailed a request for an AVR on 11/1/22. Asked if the cost of repairs was over \$2,500, and if the excavator hit their line twice within six months. No AVR was submitted to date.</p>	<p>Peoples Gas Company:</p> <p>\$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>No other AVRs indicate that Peoples Gas Company has hit a water line owned by Midland Water Authority in the last six months.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p>	
31797	<p>Facility Owner: Aqua PA Contractor/Excavator: Blunt Brothers Construction Project Owner: T K Home Builders Other: Comcast Other: Morton Borough</p>	<p><u>On 6/1/2022 11:30:00 AM at 221 NEWELL ST, MORTON BORO, DELAWARE</u> Incident occurred on 6/1/2022 at 11:30AM at 221 Newell St., Morton Boro, Delaware County.</p> <p>Where a water line was hit.</p> <p>Aqua's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Excavator Bruce Blunt working for T K Homes, was working without a PA One Call Ticket and hit a water service at 221 Newell St. Excavator later called in a PA One Ticket # 20221522780 after hitting the water service. The address on that ticket is 220 Newell St. Dig dates for that ticket are 6/6/22 to 6/15/22."</p> <p>On 11/21/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed to Blunt Brothers. Could not find contact information for the Project Owner, T K Homes.</p> <p>*Blunt Brothers Construction is in violation of sections: Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Section 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request. Recommendation: Education Required, penalties applied</p> <p>*Morton Borough is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket for ticket 20221522780. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket for ticket 20221522284. Recommendation: Education Required, penalties applied</p> <p>*Comcast is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time for ticket 20221522780. Was marked "Clear" on 6/4/2022 and response was due on 6/3/2022. Recommendation: penalties applied</p>	<p>Blunt Brothers Construction: \$1,750.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Morton Borough: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
31420	<p>Facility Owner: NATIONAL FUEL GAS Contractor/Excavator: Jack's Concrete Project Owner: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 6/6/2022 9:00:00 AM at 406 Eagle Point Blvd, ERIE CITY, ERIE</u> Incident occurred on 6/6/22 at 9am at 406 Eagle Point Blvd, Erie City, Erie County.</p> <p>Non-Damage violation.</p> <p>National Fuel Gas' Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Excavator working without a Pa One Call dig</p>	<p>Jack's Concrete: \$1,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>notification, the excavation was taking place in direct conflict with NFG facilities." AVR notes that Jack Watkins has placed one calls in the past. No damage.</p> <p>Jack's Concrete was mailed and emailed a request to complete an AVR on 12/2/22. Spoke with the owner son who owns a separate company about submitting an AVR. They were also very honest about what happened along with the circumstances, and filed a report promptly.</p> <p>Jack's Concrete's AVR stated, "Removal and replacement of existing driveway. Assumed no call toPA1Call was required since I was replacing existing driveway."</p> <p>Pictures provided by National Fuel Gas show and Excavator being used to remove the old driveway.</p> <p>Violation:</p> <p>Jack's Concrete Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Education is required.</p>	
31440	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas Contractor/Excavator: MID OHIO PIPELINE Project Owner: Columbia Gas of PA - Central Designer: Columbia Gas of PA - Central Designer: Keystone Consultants</p>	<p><u>On 6/9/2022 10:00:00 AM at PIONEER AVE, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 6/9/22 at 10 am at Pioneer Ave, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas Company's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Mid Ohio Pipeline, working on behalf of Columbia Gas for an infrastructure replacement project, was excavating to install gas main when they damaged an accurately marked 4” plastic, lowpressure, gas main at the intersection of Pioneer Ave and Bellaire Ave., Pittsburgh. The gas main crossing at the intersection was identified as a problem locate. Mid Ohio used a vac truck to spot the gas main in a 1x1 hole. The crew then continued by using a hoe ram to break and remove the hard surface over the gas main on 06/08/2022. It is believed that while breaking up the hard surface, the gas main was damaged with the hoe ram. The crew returned the following day 06/09/2022 to remove the remaining dirt over the gas main, using an air lance. At this time, Mid Ohio exposed the damaged gas main. Once the damage was exposed, Mid Ohio made all the appropriate notifications and secured the area. A Columbia Gas crew responded immediately to complete repairs." AVR notes that 911 was notified. The 3,600ft project used level C SUE and cost over \$400,000.</p> <p>Mid Ohio Pipeline's AVR states, "Mid Ohio Pipeline, working on behalf of Columbia Gas for an infrastructure replacement project, was excavating to install gas main when they damaged an accurately marked 4” plastic, lowpressure, gas main at the intersection of Pioneer Ave and Bellaire Ave., Pittsburgh. The gas main crossing at the intersection was identified as a problem locate. Mid Ohio used a vac truck to spot the gas main in a 1x1 hole.</p>	<p>MID OHIO PIPELINE: \$500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>The crew then continued by using a hoe ram to break and remove the hard surface over the gas main on 06/08/2022. It is believed that while breaking up the hard surface, the gas main was damaged with the hoe ram. The crew returned the following day 06/09/2022 to remove the remaining dirt over the gas main, using an air lance. At this time, Mid Ohio exposed the damaged gas main. Once the damage was exposed, Mid Ohio made all the appropriate notifications and secured the area. A Columbia Gas crew responded immediately to complete repairs."</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Mid Ohio Pipeline Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. 20221540115. Education is required.</p> <p>Case related to 29804.</p>	
31721	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES Contractor/Excavator: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 6/11/2022 1:30:00 PM at 1738 Andrew Settlement Rd., GENESEE TWP, POTTER</u> Incident occurred on 6/11/2022 at 1:30pm at 1738 Andrew Settlement Road, Genesse Township, Potter County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit.</p> <p>UGI's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Customer hit and damaged a gas service line while digging for a pool. No 1-Call was placed for this excavation."</p> <p>On 10/28/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed to Homeowner.</p> <p>11/13/2022 Homeowner responded to our letter, via e-mail, stating "UGI and I are currently fighting over a bill that was sent to me for about 1400 dollars. We put a 811 ticket back in March of this year for a shop that we were looking at putting in and wanted to know where the gas line was. While the lines were marked in June we decided to put a new pool in. While my husband was digging around the pool to help with the drainage he hit a line. So he immediately called 911 and UGI came out and fixed the line and what not. Well they wer saying that an 811 call was not placed, which is a lie they sent me a copy of it and it was done in March and says right on the ticket that they marked in the back of the house. Which that is where the gas line was hit. They came off from the gas meter and went right up to the yard to where the main line goes across. Where apparently thanks to us hitting the line the line goes off the house, over, and then up to where the main line goes across the house." Homeowner stated that they were going to submit their own AVR, but DPI could not find a completed AVR in the system.</p> <p>*Homeowner is in violation of sections: Section 5(6)(i) – Homeowner failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage to or</p>	<p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(13) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>minimize interference with a facility owner’s facilities in the construction area.</p> <p>Section 5(13) – Homeowner changed the location, scope, or duration of a proposed excavation without notifying the One call System.</p> <p>Section 5(16) – Homeowner Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line.</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p> <p>Recommendation: NO penalties applied; Education Required</p>	
31852	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: KDBG BUILDERS LLC</p>	<p><u>On 6/16/2022 1:35:00 PM at 5438 ROSETTA ST., PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 6/16/2022 at 1:35pm at 5438 Rosetta St., Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit. 911 was called by the excavator.</p> <p>Peoples Gas alleged violation report (AVR) states "KDBG Builders was excavating for utility tap ins at 5438 Rosetta St. in Pittsburgh. The lawful dig date for the tcket was 6/17/22. On 6/16/22, at 1:35 pm, KDBG Builders struck and damaged an unmarked 4" plastic low pressure gas main line due to the fact they started excavating before the lawful dig date on the PA One call ticket."</p> <p>On 11/22/2022 an AVR request letter was e-mailed and mailed to KDBG Builders.</p> <p>*KDBG Builders LLC is in violation of sections: Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. KDBG Builders LLC started excavation on 6/16/2022, the lawful start date was 6/17/2022. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Section 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request. Recommendation: Education Required, penalties applied</p>	<p>KDBG BUILDERS LLC: \$1,750.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
31550	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: WILSON EXCAVATING - A LINDY GROUP COMPANY</p> <p>Project Owner: Peoples Gas</p> <p>Designer: Gateway Engineers</p> <p>Designer: Peoples Gas</p>	<p><u>On 6/20/2022 10:30:00 AM at 1722 LINCOLN AVE., PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 6/20/2022 at 10:30am at 1722 Lincoln Ave, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company's Alleged Violation Report states, "Wilson Excavating was installing new gas facilities for Peoples Gas on Lincoln Ave. when they struck and damaged a properly marked 1" plastic low pressure gas service line to 1722 Lincoln Ave. Wilson Excavating was attempting to remove slag and rock with a jackhammer in the tolerance zone and punctured a hole in the customer's side of the plastic low pressure gas service line." AVR notes 911 was notified on a line hit that affected 1 customer for 1 hour. Peoples Gas pictures show the damaged line.</p>	<p>WILSON EXCAVATING - A LINDY GROUP COMPANY: \$500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Wilson Excavating's AVR states, "Marked gas line was struck in the tolerance zone with jackhammer. Attempting to remove slag and rock and punctured a hole in the service line. Notified both 911 and facility owner. Facility owner repaired."</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Wilson Excavating Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. 20221162204. Education is required.</p>	
31535	<p>Facility Owner: Verizon Contractor/Excavator: INTREN Project Owner: PECO Designer: EXECUPOWER LLC Designer: PECO Placeholder Other: AT&T Other: CenturyLink Other: Verizon Business</p>	<p><u>On 6/21/2022 3:00:00 PM at 33 DRUMMERS LN, TREDYFFRIN TWP, CHESTER</u> Incident occurred on 6/21/2022 at 3:00pm at 33 Drummers Lane, Tredyffrin Township, Chester County.</p> <p>A Verizon Communications line was hit. Estimated cost of the project is \$400,000+ and using Level B SUE.</p> <p>Intren's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Crew was in the process of directional drilling and pulling back conduit when they were alerted by homeowners that they had lost their internet. The crew stopped all work immediately. The Foreman notified PA OneCall, INTREN GF and the CM. There were no marks of communications within 10 feet of the bore path. 3 customers are out of service as of the report time and Verizon stated that the earliest, they will be out onsite to do repairs is Thursday, 6/23/2022. Rules to Dig By were followed and all PPE was worn. There were no injuries during this incident."</p> <p>On 10/6/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed and e-mailed to Verizon and PECO. It was noted in the Verizon request letter that INTREN hit another Verizon line within 6 months which requires them to submit an AVR.</p> <p>On 10/7/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed and e-mailed to Execupower, LLC. The USPS letter was returned "Not Deliverable as Addressed"</p> <p>On 11/1/2022 an updated address AVR request letter was mailed and e-mailed to Execupower, LLC. On 11/23/2022 Execupower e-mailed asking questions on the process. DPI returned Execupowers call, and left a voicemail. Then DPI reponded to Execupowers e-mail. Execupower submitted their AVR on 12/5/2022.</p> <p>Execupower's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Design PA1Call Tickets were submitted by ExecuPOWER prior to design completion (20212863819, 20212863814). Verizon lines that were shown on Verizon's PA1Call maps are shown on the design prints. PA1Calls, for all utilities that responded to the tickets above, were included in the IFC package for the Construction Contractor to review."</p>	<p>Verizon: \$20,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(10) 3rd Offense \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(11) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>PECO: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>AT&T: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>CenturyLink: \$500.00 Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon Business: \$750.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*Verizon is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket 20221654457. Response was "Field Marked" on 6/20/2022. Response was due 6/16/2022. Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket 20212863819. Did not respond. Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket 20212863814. Did not respond. Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket 20220520675. Responded "Conflict" on 2/22/2022. Response was due 3/8/2022. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket 20221654456. Response was "Field Marked" on 6/20/2022. Response was due 6/16/2022. Section 2(10) – Facility owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days after receipt of notice that the facility owner’s lines have been damaged by excavation or demolition work or if the facility owner believes a violation of this act has been committed in association with excavation or demolition work. Section 2(11) – Facility Owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission relation to the Commission’s enforcement authority under this act within thirty days of the receipt of the request. Recommendation: penalties applied *PECO is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket 20221654457. Response was "Field Marked" on 6/20/2022. Response was due 6/16/2022. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket 20221654456. Response was "Field Marked" on 6/20/2022. Response was due 6/16/2022. Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Recommendation: penalties applied *Verizon Business is in violation of sections: Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket 20212863819. Did not respond. Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket 20212863814. Did not respond. Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket 20220520675. Did not respond. Recommendation: penalties applied *AT&T is in violation of sections: Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket</p>	<p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>20220520675. Responded "Clear" on 3/15/2022. Response was due 3/8/2022. Recommendation: penalties applied *Centurylink is in violation of sections: Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket 20220520675. Did not respond. Recommendation: penalties applied</p>	
31598	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Other: CADDICK UTILITIES L L C Other: City of Coatesville Other: Pennsylvania American Water Company</p>	<p><u>On 6/21/2022 6:00:00 PM at 999 OLIVE ST, COATESVILLE CITY, CHESTER</u> Incident occurred on 6/21/2022 at 6pm at 999 Olive Street, Coatesville City, Chester County.</p> <p>A gas line was damaged.</p> <p>Pennsylvania American Water Company's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "ABOUT 6 PM PAWC EXCAVATOR WAS DIGGING TO REPAIR WATER SERVICE HIT BY PECO CONTRACTOR AND HIT THE GAS SERVICE THAT WAS RIGHT BY WATER SERVICE. MARKED WRONG MARK WAS ABOUT 10 FT OFF." AVR notes that 911 was notified and 1 customer was affected for 1-6 hours.</p> <p>Caddick Utilities' AVR states, "Damaged mismarked 1/2" plastic gas service 12' off the marks." AVR notes that 911 was notified and 1 customer was affected for 1-6 hours. Photos provided by Caddick show the marks were off by 10ft.</p> <p>PECO's AVR states, "Caddick damage an incorrectly marked 1/2" plastic service. The service was marked using inaccurate PECO records."</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>PECO Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. 20221723041</p> <p>City of Coatesville Section 2(5)(vii) – Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification. 20221723041. Education is required.</p>	<p>PECO: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>City of Coatesville: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
31591	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: CADDICK UTILITIES L L C Project Owner: Newtown Artesian Water Company Other: Comcast</p>	<p><u>On 6/22/2022 9:00:00 AM at 437 LAFAYETTE ST, NEWTOWN BORO, BUCKS</u> Incident occurred on 6/22/22 at 9am at 437 Lafayette Street, Newtown Boro, Bucks County.</p> <p>A gas main was damaged.</p> <p>PECO's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "ON 06/23/2022 CADDICK UTILITIES (254 SOUTH MAIN STREET, AMBLER, PA 19002), WORKING FOR NEWTOWN ARTESIAN WATER COMPANY (POC 20221512221), TO REPLACE WATER MAINS, SERVICES AND HYDRANTS, STRUCK AND DAMAGED A CORRECTLY MARKED 2" PLASTIC GAS MAIN LOCATED AT 437 LAFAYETTE</p>	<p>CADDICK UTILITIES L L C: \$1,000.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Newtown Artesian Water Company: \$0.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$6,000.00 Section 2(5)(v)</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>STREET, WITH A BACKHOE. THIS DAMAGE OCCURRED IN THE GRASS AREA A FEW FEET FROM THE ROADWAY. THE AREA WAS CORRECTLY MARKED WITH PAINT AND FLAGS (ALTHOUGH THE HOMEOWNER REMOVED THE FLAGS AT THIS LOCATION, THERE WERE ADDITIONAL FLAGS VISIBLE TO THE LEFT AND RIGHT). CADDICK EMPLOYEES CONFIRMED THEY WERE AWARE OF THE MAIN'S LOCATION AND WERE UNABLE TO PROVIDE A REASON FOR THE STRIKE. NO INJURIES. POLICE AND FIRE WERE ON SITE AND THE ROAD WAS BLOCKED TO TRAFFIC. DAMAGE OCCURRED AT APPROXIMATELY 9:17 AM, WAS MADE SAFE AT 11:15 AM, AND THE AREA WAS CLEARED AT 2:46 PM." AVR notes 911 was notified and 2-10 customers were affected for 1-6 hours.</p> <p>Caddick Utilities, LLC's AVR states, "Damaged correctly marked 4" plastic gas main. The offset mark, one property over, was faded, but visible, and lawn flags were removed by homeowners." AVR notes 911 was notified and 2-10 customers were affected for 1-6 hours. Photos provided by Caddick shows marker flags that were left in a pile at the edge of the property.</p> <p>Newtown Artesian Water Company was mailed and emailed a request to complete an AVR on 12/7/2022.</p> <p>Newtown Artesian Water Company, "Excavator damaged 4" plastic gas main." AVR notes that 911 was called on a line hit that evacuate 2-10 people from their residences for 1-6 hours.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Caddick Utilities, LLC Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to preserve mark-outs or request a remark. 20221512221. Education is required. Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. 20221512221. Education is required.</p> <p>Newtown Artesian Water Company Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. 1st offense warning with required education was required on related case 30963.</p> <p>Comcast Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221512221 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221512233 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221512234</p> <p>Related to case 30963.</p>	<p>Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>
31703	Facility Owner: Peoples Natural Gas	<u>On 6/24/2022 7:48:00 AM at ALAQUA DR, OHIO TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 6/24/2022 at	Peoples Natural Gas: \$1,500.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Contractor/Excavator: Ohio Township Project Owner: Ohio Township Placeholder</p>	<p>7:48 am at Alaqua Dr., Ohio Township, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit. 911 was called. Cannot find that this was submitted as a Complex Project. Three separate Routine Tickets were placed within the same street.</p> <p>Peoples Gas' alleged violation report (AVR) states "Ohio Township was installing new storm sewers on Alaqua Drive in Ohio Township when they hit a mismarked service line for 236 Alaqua Drive. PNG crew was notified and fixed the damaged service line."</p> <p>On 10/25/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed and e-mailed to Ohio Township. On 11/22/2022 Re-Emailed and mailed the AVR request letter to Ohio Township. Within the letter it was requested to clarify if this was a Complex Project. Ohio Township submitted their AVR on 12/5/2022.</p> <p>Ohio Township's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Png mismarked the line."</p> <p>On 12/12/2022 DPI e-mailed PNG requesting who owned the lateral that was hit? Peoples Gas responded and stated that "Peoples Gas owns the main to curb service line and the consumer owns the curb to meter service line for each case. The damages occurred on the company owned service lines for both cases."</p> <p>*Peoples Gas is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. Recommendation: penalties applied</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p>
31932	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES Contractor/Excavator: VANDERPOOL CONSTRUCTION Project Owner: HOMEOWNER</p>	<p><u>On 6/25/2022 11:55:00 AM at 2404 Mud Creek Rd., TROY BORO, POTTER</u> Incident occurred on 6/25/2022 at 2404 Mud Creek Rd., Troy Boro, Potter County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit. No PA One Call tickets. ***HAND TOOLS were used and there are no violations.***</p> <p>UGI's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Contractor hit and damaged a PL gas service. No 1-Call had been placed for the excavation."</p> <p>UGI later wrote into the PA One Call Center and stated "Could you please remove this AVR from your system, It had been determined that hand tools had been used when this damaged had occurred. I apologize for not having the original email with the confirmation number, I cannot locate it. Please reach out to me if there are any questions or concerns. I apologize for any inconvenience that this may have caused."</p>	
31789	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: Brubacher Excavating Project Owner: Aqua PA Designer: Total</p>	<p><u>On 6/30/2022 7:15:00 AM at JOHNNYS WAY, WESTTOWN TWP, CHESTER</u> Incident occurred on 6/30/2022 at 7:15am at Johnnys Way, Westtown Township, Chester County.</p>	<p>PECO: \$5,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v)</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Engineering LLC Other: Comcast Other: Sunoco Other: Verizon</p>	<p>Where a gas line was hit. 911 was called.</p> <p>PECO's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Contractor, Brubacher Excavating Inc, damaged an incorrectly marked.5" plastic service while working for Aqua. The service was marked using inaccurate PECO records."</p> <p>Brubacher Excavating Inc's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Crew hit Unmarked/Mismarked 3/4" Peco Gas Line at 3'3" with Excavator. This one is being called both a mismark and an unmarked because the comcast line was marked correctly and maybe out of confusion the gas was left unmarked since the line was right on the money. Gas itself was unmarked and the closest alternate gas line was over 25' away." Brubacher Excavating's pictures do not show any accurate markings for gas.</p> <p>Aqua's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Aqua Contractor Brubacher Excavating hit an unmarked/mismarked 3/4inch Peco gas lin at 3ft 3inch with excavator. Contractor states this is both a mismark and an unmarked because the Comcast Line was marked correctly and maybe out of confusion the gas line was left unmarked since the Comcast line was marked correctly. Gas line was unmarked and the closest alternate gas line was over 25ft away"</p> <p>On 11/16/2022 an AVR request letter was e-mailed and mailed to Total Engineering LLC. No AVR has been received from Total Engineering.</p> <p>*PECO is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket 20221581340. Responded "Conflict" on 6/15/2022, but did not field mark until 6/17/2022. Response was due 6/15/2022. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket 20221581295. Responded "Conflict" on 6/15/2022, but did not field mark until 6/21/2022. Response was due 6/15/2022. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket 20221731637. Did not Field mark until 6/27/2022. Response was due 6/24/2022. Recommendation: penalties applied *Total Engineering LLC is in violation of sections: Section 4(8) – Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days of being notified or aware that a violation of this act may have been committed. Recommendation: penalties applied *Sunoco is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket</p>	<p>Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Total Engineering LLC: \$500.00 Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$0.00</p> <p>Sunoco: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$5,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>20221581340. Responded "Insufficient. Do not dig" on 6/8/2022.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3) on Ticket 20221532337. Responded "Insufficient. Do not dig" on 6/3/2022. Did not attend the Complex Meeting.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket 20221581295. Responded "Insufficient. Do not dig" on 6/8/2022.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket on Ticket 20221731637.</p> <p>Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Comcast is in violation of sections:</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3) on Ticket 20221532337. Responded "Clear" on 6/7/2022 and the response was due on 6/6/2022. Comcast did attend the Complex Meeting.</p> <p>Recommendation: NO penalties applied</p> <p>*Verizon is in violation of sections:</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3) on Ticket 20221532337. Responded "Insufficient. Do not dig" on 6/6/2022. Did not attend the Complex Meeting.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket 20221731637. Responded "Clear" on 7/4/2022. Response was due 6/24/2022.</p> <p>Recommendation: penalties applied</p>	
32417	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Natural Gas</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Ohio Township</p> <p>Project Owner: Ohio Township Placeholder</p>	<p>On 7/20/2022 11:23:00 AM at ALAQUA DR, OHIO TWP, ALLEGHENY Incident occurred on 7/20/2022 at 11:23 am at Alaqua Dr., Ohio Township, Allegheny County.</p> <p>A Peoples Gas line was hit. Excavator states 911 was called. Cannot find that this was submitted as a Complex Project. Three separate Routine Tickets were placed within the same street.</p> <p>Peoples Gas' alleged violation report (AVR) states "Ohio Township was installing storm sewers on Alaqua Drive in Ohio Township when they hit a mismarked service line of ours. PNG crew arrived and fixed the damaged service line."</p> <p>On 10/25/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed and e-mailed to Ohio Township. On 11/22/2022 Re-Emailed and mailed the AVR request letter to Ohio Township. Within the letter it was requested to clarify if this was a Complex Project. Ohio Township submitted their AVR on 12/6/2022.</p> <p>Ohio Township's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Png mismarked the line"</p>	<p>Peoples Natural Gas:</p> <p>\$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense</p> <p>\$1,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On 12/12/2022 DPI e-mailed PNG requesting who owned the lateral that was hit? Peoples Gas responded and stated that "Peoples Gas owns the main to curb service line and the consumer owns the curb to meter service line for each case. The damages occurred on the company owned service lines for both cases."</p> <p>*Peoples Gas is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. Recommendation: penalties applied</p>	
31939	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES Contractor/Excavator: Homeowner Project Owner: Homeowner Placeholder</p>	<p><u>On 8/1/2022 1:02:00 PM at 3663 Sr 249, CHATHAM TWP, TIOGA</u> Incident occurred on 8/1/2022 at 1:02pm at 3663 Sr 249, Chatham Twp., Tioga County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit. 911 was called. No PA One Call tickets. ***HAND TOOLS were used and there are no violations.***</p> <p>UGI's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Contractor hit and damaged gas service using hand tools."</p>	
33547	<p>Facility Owner: SERVICE ELECTRIC CABLE TV INC Contractor/Excavator: ELEK PLUMPING Project Owner: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 9/9/2022 11:00:00 AM at 2943 KRISTIN COURT, UPPER SAUCON TWP, LEHIGH</u> The incident occurred on Friday, September 9, 2022, at 2943 Kristin Court, Upper Saucon, Lehigh County.</p> <p>A communication line was damaged. Service Electric Cable TV Inc stated that Elek Plumbing was digging in the tolerance zone with a backhoe and during the excavation their distribution line was severed causing an outage to their customers. Service Electric Cable completed repairs the same day. Service Electric Cable provide photos from USIC, and they are not determining if a backhoe was used in the tolerance zone and there is no clear view of the damage lining up with the locate marks.</p> <p>Elek Plumbing explained, they were installing a routine 3/4" water service line for a customer. At approximately 36"-40" down in the trench we hit flowable fill concrete that was poured over the existing TV cable line. In Elek Plumbing's photos the TV cable line was at the exact depth of our new water service and you can also see some of the concrete on the sides of the trench. Elek Plumbing said they did their best to chip away at the concrete with small electric handheld chipping hammers without damaging the TV cable; unfortunately, we hit the cable and it needed to be repaired. The TV cable was not damaged from digging the trench with our equipment. Elek Plumbing stated they knew the cable was there, but it was encased in concrete and it was nearly impossible to remove the concrete without damaging the cable. In hindsight we should've contacted the Cable company letting them know what we ran into and let them make the decision on how they would want us to proceed.</p> <p>The Homeowner stated, the water line from the street to my home was leaking, and Elek Plumbing was hired to excavate my front yard and replace the copper line from</p>	<p>ELEK PLUMPING: \$250.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>the street into my basement. At the point where the cable line crossed over the water line, there was concrete or similarly hard substance laid over the water pipe and utility line. Elek said that when they were breaking up the hard substance over the water line that they cut the cable, they called Service Electric Cable and they sent workers to repair the cable. Elek Plumbing arranged for One Call to mark my front yard prior to work commencing and markings were clear and visible.</p> <p>On Friday, December 16, 2022, letters were mailed to Elek Plumbing and to the Homeowner, and an email was sent to Elek Plumbing requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). The Homeowner's AVR was submitted on 12/27/2022.</p> <p>On Monday, January 23, 2023, Elek Plumbing called and they explained what happened that caused the communication line break, with hand tools and not an excavator, and said he will submit an AVR. He said the communication line was buried in concrete. Elek Plumbing submitted their AVR on 1/24/2023.</p> <p>*Elek Plumbing is in violation of sections: 5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques 5(16) Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line Recommendation: Education Required. For section 5(4) Violation Applied but Zero Penalty because the provide photos from Service Electric Cable via USIC are not determining if a backhoe was used in the tolerance zone. For section 5(16) penalty reduced by 50%.</p>	

Full Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
22882	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: Berks Ridge Company Enterprise Contractor/Excavator: WATSON SERVICES GROUP & WATSON CONTRACTING SERVICES Project Owner: WESTRUM DEVELOPMENT COMPANY Designer: WATSON SERVICES GROUP & WATSON CONTRACTING SERVICES</p>	<p>On 4/2/2021 12:00:00 AM at 956 S MATLACK ST, WEST GOSHEN TWP, CHESTER On 6/13/2023 the Damage Prevention Committee voted to keep violations 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. 4(3) Designer's drawing does not show the position and type of each facility owner's line, and the name of the facility. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. 5(7) Failed to immediately report to the facility owner any break or leak in its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove, or other damage to such lines or to their coating or cathodic protection. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. and to remove the penalties to WATSON SERVICES GROUP & WATSON CONTRACTING SERVICES. They also voted to keep the violation and penalty for 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Education is required.</p>	<p>Berks Ridge Company Enterprise: \$0.00</p> <p>WESTRUM DEVELOPMENT COMPANY: \$1,000.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>WATSON SERVICES GROUP & WATSON CONTRACTING SERVICES: \$1,000.00 Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 4(3) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Watson Services Group disputed all penalties. No reason given. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 04/02/2021 at 956 S. Matlack Street in West Goshen Township, Chester County.</p> <p>PECO Energy stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that “Berks Ridge Company Enterprise (316 Ruth Rd., Harleysville PA 19438, 267-221-5367) or a unknown sub-contactor working for Berks Ridge while hand digging with a shovel damaged a unmarked 1 inch plastic gas service which was 18 inches deep. The person(s) digging left the work site prior to PECO arrival. The building is currently under construction and not occupied. There was no customer impact. There is no PA1 Call for work at this location. The contractor operated without permission and shut off a natural gas valve”.</p> <p>WATSON SERVICES GROUP & WATSON CONTRACTING SERVICES was emailed and mailed an AVR courtesy request letter on 12/5/2022. There was email and telephone communication, after the AVR request letters went out. AVR was received on 3/28/2023, after the stakeholder notices went out. Email on 3/28/2023 stated that “I was communicating with someone else from your company about a different incident (two were referenced in the most recent correspondence we received). With that person, I figured out how to file the AVR even wiuthout knowledge or information on the incident. Is it too late to provide that on this case now? I apologize for all of the questions, I have never dealt with these scenarios before. Let me know.” Then later stated “Ok. I went ahead and submitted the AVR with the limited information that I had, mainly from your letter. Please advise me of the next step”</p> <p>AVR stated that “We were unaware that any line was struck or that any damage was done”,</p> <p>Email with Nicole on 3/22/2023 DPI stated that “On 12/05/2022 an Alleged Violation Report request letter was mailed to 202 Camars Drive Warminster, PA 18974 and emailed to chris@watsonservicesinc.com. On 12/8/2022 DPI Maki received a confirmation that the AVR request letter was received, and that Nicole Watson left a message for me asking what needs to be done. On 12/8/2022 DPI Maki returned a call and left a detailed contact information message at 215.674.3551. On 12/9/2022 detailed instructions were emailed to Nicole stating how to submit an AVR on the One Call system, Link to the One Call system was included. Also included was instructions to reach out to the One Call system, if you have trouble submitting the AVR. Later that same day a thank you was received. I have attached the Administrative Penalty Notice that was mailed on 3/8/2023. You have until 4/7/2023 to disagree with the findings. If you disagree with the findings, please follow</p>	<p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>the instructions on the bottom of the notice. You have 30 days to disagree in writing. If you have any documents or more information that you would like to add to the case, please do so as soon as possible. No new information will be accepted at the Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) meeting.</p> <p>WESTRUM DEVELOPMENT COMPANY was emailed and mailed an AVR courtesy request letter on 12/5/2023. No AVR has been received to date. *****</p> <p>WATSON SERVICES GROUP & WATSON CONTRACTING SERVICES is in violation of Sections: 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 4(3) Designer’s drawing does not show the position and type of each facility owner’s line, and the name of the facility. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(7) Failed to immediately report to the facility owner any break or leak in its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove, or other damage to such lines or to their coating or cathodic protection. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>WESTRUM DEVELOPMENT COMPANY is in violation of Sections: 6.1(7) Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 6.1(3) Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. The penalty is applied. Education is required. *****</p> <p>Additional Information: Berks Ridge Company Enterprise was mailed an AVR request letter on 1/12/2022. No contact nor AVR has been received to date. There was no good faith effort by this contractor. After the stakeholder notices went out, this contractor sent in the information of who was excavating at this site and who the project owner was. They stated that “We were the GC on the project but the work being performed that resulted in this incident was subcontracted directly by the project owner”. The case was rewritten to reflect this new information. Violations 6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report, 6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report, 5(7) Excavator failed to immediately report to the facility owner any</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>break in its lines, 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to the One Call System within the correct timeframe, 5(8) Excavator vacated worksite after causing damage that resulted in the escape of gas, and 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 are withdrawn to Berks Ridge Company Enterprise</p>	
27831	<p>Facility Owner: Lancer Gas Company Contractor/Excavator: Leonard S Fiore, Inc Project Owner: GARVEY MANOR Designer: KELLER ENGINEERS, INC.</p>	<p><u>On 12/14/2021 10:10:00 AM at 1037 S LOGAN BLVD, Allegheny TWP, BLAIR</u> DPC Meeting on Tuesday, June 13, 2023. Lancer Gas Company was NO SHOW, they were disputed their penalties and violations. The DPC Voted to Accept the DPI’s Recommendations with Education Required. ***** Rejection- Lancer Gas Company is disputing all penalties and violations, and they did not give a reason way. See attached ***** The incident occurred on Tuesday, December 14, 2021, at 1037 S. Logan Blvd, in Allegheny Township, Blair County. *Near Miss of a Gas pipeline. Keller Engineers stated an existing gas line utility was never marked for either design or excavation One Call. A gas line was exposed during excavation for proposed building, and the depth was only 15-inch below surface. The gas line is owned by Lancer Resources who is not a member of PA One Call. Garvey Manor, the project owner, stated while stripping topsoil at the north side of the site, the Dozer scraped a pipe, but doing no damage to the pipeline. The pipeline is 30-feet to the south of where it is shown on the drawings. It was not marked because the owner of the pipeline, Lancer Resources, is not a One Call member. The pipeline is outside of the "right of way" shown on the drawings. Lancer Resources stated that they have an easement with "no" width given, and not being a member of One Call, no one marked the line or know it was there. Lancer Resources sent an email with an attachment explaining (please read the entire attachment- Lancers Response): “On the morning of December 8, 2021, Mr. Weber (a pipeline contactor who serves as a field representative for Lancer) received a call from Ms. Moshgat (a person who locates pipelines for Peoples Gas) that a contractor hit a pipeline at Garvey Manor off Logan Blvd in Hollidaysburg. She informed Mr. Weber that the pipeline was not a Peoples Gas pipeline and that Mr. Weber should look into this because it could possible be the pipeline owned by Lancer. Around 10:00 a.m. on December 8, 2021, Mr. Weber arrived at the construction site and met with the construction foeman for Louis Fiore Inc who showed Mr. Weber where Fiore exposed the Subject Pipeline (As part of the 1999, Lancer acquired 58 individual Right-Of-Way agreements where 8-inch gas pipeline-Subject</p>	<p>Lancer Gas Company: \$750.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00 Section 2(1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Pipeline- was already constructed) with a dozer and scraped the coating off the top of the pipeline. (See Exhibit E attached hereto). No further physical damage was observed. After verifying that the impacted pipeline was, in fact, the Subject Pipeline, Mr. Weber contacted the owner of Lancer, Mr. Roberts.”</p> <p>“Subsequently, on Friday, December 10, 2021, Mr. Weber attempted to mark and stake the pipeline across the entire property but his hand-held locator was malfunctioning. Nonetheless, Mr. Weber took a picture of the Subject Pipeline marker (See Exhibit F attached hereto) which is located on the Garvey Manor Property adjacent to Highway 36, aka Logan Blvd on the western side of the Garvey Manor Property. It is Lancer’s position the Subject Pipeline was adequately marked prior to the December 2021 incident. Mr. Weber returned to the site on Saturday, December 11, 2021, and proceeded to paint the Subject Pipeline trajectory with yellow paint across the Garvey Manor Property.”</p> <p>“Since December 2021, Lancer and its counsel have been in contact with Garvey Manor and Keller Engineers regarding an amicable resolution of this matter. As reflected in the Keller Plans, it is Lancer’s position that two proposed structures (i.e., Building No. 107 and Building No. 109) along with portions of a new road will encroach and impact the Subject ROW and the Subject Pipeline. In addition, it is Lancer’s position that Garvey Manor and Keller Engineers were aware of the Subject ROW and had prior knowledge of the Subject Pipeline’s general location. Negotiations with Garvey Manor are ongoing and Lancer remains hopeful that an amicable resolution can be reached in the near future.”</p> <p>On Wednesday, February 23, 2022, emails were sent requesting Alleged Violation Reports (AVR) from Lancer Gas Company and the excavator Leonard S. Fiore Inc. Lancer responded with an email attachment explaining the incident, but no AVR was submitted. There was no response from the excavator and no AVR submitted.</p> <p>Lancer Gas Company is in violation of sections: 2(1) Facility owner is not a member of One Call 2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line Recommendation: penalties applied</p>	
29165	<p>Facility Owner: DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: A. FOLINO CONSTRUCTION INC.</p> <p>Project Owner: PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY</p> <p>Designer: G A I CONSULTANTS INC</p> <p>Other: AECOM</p> <p>Other: AT&T LOCAL SERVICES</p>	<p>On 2/23/2022 9:00:00 AM at 824 5TH AVE, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY On 6/13/2023 The Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) voted to withdraw the 3 violations for Section 2(5)(i) Failure to locate line within 18 inches horizontally – 3rd offense. The DPC also voted to maintain the violations Section 2(5)(vii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project – Ticket No. 20211030815 – 1st offense, Section 2(10) Failure to submit an AVR within 30 business days – 1st offense and Section 2(11) Failure to comply with all requests for information by the PUC – 1st offense.</p> <p>DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY (DLC) disagrees and stated that “Duquesne Light Co. admits to missing the</p>	<p>DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY: \$1,250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(10) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(11) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY: \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Other: ENERGY CENTER PITTSBURGH LLC</p> <p>Other: PITTSBURGH DEPARTMENT OF MOBILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE</p> <p>Other: VERIZON PA LLC</p>	<p>preconstruction meeting held by A Folino Construction Inc. on 19 April 2021. However, DLC followed up the ticket owner to discuss the meeting and exchange information related to the Complex Meeting. Please see the attached email correspondence between me and A Folino Construction Inc. representative Alex Bengel on 19 April 2021. We feel that with this correspondence that our violation and fine should be removed. We have proof of the fact that A. Folino Construction Inc. had been working with PA One Call tickets that specifically requested “No additional mark outs needed” which we interpreted as that there was no need to repaint/remark any of our lines that were involved. Duquesne Light believes with the tickets requesting no further markings, the fines against us should be removed as well. We look forward to the discussion of this case at a future DPC Meeting”.</p> <p>DPI rereviewed all the violations for DLC and responded to all violations in an email sent on 4/24/2023. No violations were withdrawn. Please see email.</p> <p>***** *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 2/23/2022, on 5th Avenue, in Pittsburgh City in Allegheny County.</p> <p>A. Folino Construction stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that they were using a backhoe/tracker to replace water lines for PWSA, when their excavator struck a set of 3 unmarked lines. They also stated, at the time of the strike, the lines did not arc, so they were unsure if these were service lines. They add that when Duquesne Light Company (DLC) showed up, it was determined that the lines were live and carrying 4,000 volts through them. They also stated, this is a serious incident and that having no indication that these electric lines were running vertically in the road and could have had led to a grave outcome. Pictures are attached.</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) stated in their AVR, A. Folino’s excavator struck 3 unmarked Electric lines, while they were excavating to put in new water lines for PWSA. Project was > \$400,000. Sue information was left blank. On 10/25/2022 an email stated that GAI Consulting was the designer for this project.</p> <p>G A I Consultants Inc stated: “While excavating to install new water service line, excavator struck a set of 3 unmarked, active, high voltage lines. Property appears to be 824 Fifth Ave based on photos supplied by excavator. Line owner (Duquesne Light) and locations were shown on plans as indicated by yellow highlights on attached documents. Multiple agencies are working in this area for a future roadway reconstruction project. Designer (GAI) participated in ongoing biweekly, utility coordination meetings during design and construction. Attendees at the meetings included representatives from</p>	<p>\$500.00</p> <p>G A I CONSULTANTS INC: \$250.00 Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>AT&T LOCAL SERVICES: \$5,750.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>ENERGY CENTER PITTSBURGH LLC: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>PITTSBURGH DEPARTMENT OF MOBILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>VERIZON PA LLC:</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>the City of Pittsburgh Department of Mobility and Infrastructure, Duquesne Light, Peoples Gas, Verizon, Port Authority, AECOM (designer of future roadway improvements), as well as GAI and PWSA. Utility designers and owners cooperated and worked closely throughout design and construction.</p> <p>On 12/09/2022 G A I sent information in an email stating that they already had training on 6/10/2022. This was verified. Case 17347 was for a previous project and case 30748 was for a separate project. Neither case has been heard by the DPC to date. On 12/9/2022 G A I wrote:</p> <p>Ok, it sounds like there's three matters to address:</p> <p>1. Training was assigned to GAI for Case 17347, which was a previous project. It was also related to Section 4(2). I completed that training on Friday 6.10.2022. The training for Case 30748 (for a separate project) is still pending. Since it's the same training, would the session I completed earlier this year satisfy that requirement as well?</p> <p>Like I said on the phone, all of these projects were designed around the same time and I have a much better understanding of the requirements of Section 4(2) and other sections now. Due to the pandemic, construction was delayed in many cases and it occurred within the same timeframe as well.</p> <p>Additionally, I have held monthly meetings the last few months to inform others at GAI Consultants about the PA One Call requirements.</p> <p>As a side note, Brandon Dujmic, the local PA One Call damage liaison, will be attending our next Buried Utility Meeting to further enhance our understanding of the requirements as a company.</p> <p>2. No excavation was performed under the Final Design One Calls that I ran.</p> <p>GAI prepared all drawings. We performed SUE QL-C and D directly. We subcontracted Monaloh Basin engineers to provide Field Survey. We subcontracted Lexis Group to perform up to QL-A SUE.</p> <p>Additionally we utilized SUE up to QL-A provided by the following:</p> <p>AECOM (the roadway designers working in the same area for Port Authority of Allegheny County) Lennon Smith Souleret (the gas designers working in the same area for Peoples Gas) Burns McDonnell (the electric designers working in the same area for Duquesne Light)</p> <p>The only excavation done as part of GAI's contract were the test pits where we directed Lexis group to dig to get a better understanding of utility depths and crossings. Please note GAI did not do the digging, we subcontracted Lexis Group to dig the test pits. The routine One Call tickets on the test pits that Lexis Group did are listed below:</p> <p>Fifth Ave: 20201712117, 20201712118, 20201712128, 20201712129, 20201712136, 20201712137, 20201712142, 20201712143, 20201712157</p>	<p>\$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Forbes Ave: 20201753264, 20201753277, 20201753287, 20201753300, 20201753318</p> <p>DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY was mailed and emailed a courtesy AVR request letter on 10/26/2022. AVR was not received until 12/27/2022. This is not only over 30 days from the date of the letter, but they should have submitted an AVR when they were notified of the incident and did the repair. They knew at that time that this incident cost over \$2500, because J B. Fay was called to make the repair and let them know although he did not have an exact amount, it was over \$4738.16, at that time. By this time they should have reported the actual cost of the damage, which was likely an amount that would have a fine factor applied.</p> <p>DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY stated in their AVR that "A Folino Construction began excavating along Fifth Ave installing a new water line for PWSA on 3 January 2022. Duquesne Light Company (DLC) responded to the multiple One Call locations and tickets requested by A Folino Construction along the route on Fifth Ave and Forbes Ave, stretching the corridor between the Uptown area of Pittsburgh to Oakland for approximately 1 mile respectively each way. The series of Update One Call tickets (20220401605) that were received on 9 February 2022 had in the notes at the bottom, "No Additional Markouts Needed" which in turn my locator understood that to mean that no further markings were needed to be done since the same turn of tickets have come in repeatedly every 2 weeks since January. Since we have responded each time to locate and mark our underground facilities multiple times we understood that A Folino Construction did not need the markings to be refreshed and updated. As you can see in our attached photos, where Folino struck our lines there is no red paint on the ground around the damaged lines. DLC was notified of possible damage by the Damage One Call ticket placed on 23 February 2022 (20220540786 & 20220540784). Our locator responded to the site and notified DLC Control of the damaged duct system. Duquesne Light Co. Underground personnel responded to the site to clear and redirect the lines feeding customers that were out of power. JB Fay, a contractor for DLC, responded to the site that evening to make repairs of the conduit and to replace and pull new lines through the conduit to complete the repair. **While I do not have the numbers as to what it cost JB Fay to fix the damage I do have access to the internal DLC items and hours spent, in total was \$4738.16. I did respond to the Complex Meeting One Call ticket for 4/19/2021 as "Will Attend", I emailed Alex Bengel that morning saying I would not be able to attend but that I could give him any maps he needed for the stretch of Fifth Ave and Forbes Ave where they would be working".</p> <p>Ticket 20220282199 was prepared on 1/28/2022 and requested the lines marked for the area on 5th Ave between 6th Ave and Washington Pl. Ticket 20220520580 also asked for lines to be remarked on 5th</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Ave between 6th Ave and Washington St. Also stated that the area was marked in white. No white marks visible in pictures.</p> <p>*Meeting sign in sheet lists A. Folino, AECOM is a global consulting firm, Port Authority (PAAC), and Pittsburgh Natural Gas.</p> <p>*Duquesne Light Company is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. *Duquesne Light Company response to ticket 20211030815 is that they will attend meeting. Penalty is applied. 2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18” horizontally. For each of the three lines. This is a second offense, and the penalty is applied. 2(10) Facility owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) 2(11) Facility owner failed to reply to all requests for information requested by the Commission.</p> <p>*Pittsburgh Water and Serwer Authority is in violation of Sections: 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete.</p> <p>*G A I Consultants is in violation of Sections: 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. This is a second offense violation for 2022. G A I did complete and passed the required training on 6/10/2022. After the case was completed G A I shared that the design tickets that were submitted were for their subcontractor. The subcontractor’s name was given at that time. Subcontractor is not listed in the case because of the untimely receipt of information. This is a second offense. Since the incident which occurred on 2/23/2022 was prior to the education, the \$1000. penalty is reduced to \$250.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. Violation Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to Designer’s request for information within 10 Business days. Violation 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project as described in section 5(3).</p> <p>ENERGY CENTER PITTSBURGH LLC is in violation of Sections:</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to routine One Call ticket 20220282199. This is a first-time offense, and the \$500. penalty is reduced to \$250.</p> <p>PITTSBURGH CITY DEPT OF MOBILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE IS IN VIOALTION OF Sections: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to routine ticket 20220401608 within the required amount of time. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>AT&T is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to ticket 20220401605, which was due on 2/11/2022. AT&T did not mark until 3/15/2022. The penalty is applied. 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. Ticket 20211030815 response was not through One Call, and they are not on the Complex project sign in sheet. 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to tickets 20213060571, 20213423027, 20213511242, 20213191228 20220030352 and 20220520580. The response was Conflict. Lines nearby. Direct Contact to follow. (Was there direct contact) 2(5)(v) failed to respond to routine ticket 20220401608 within the required amount of time. Ticket was due on 2/11/2022 and was responded to on 3/15/2022 The Penalty is applied.</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Section: 2(5)(viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. Ticket 20211030815 response was not through One Call and they are not on the Complex project sign in sheet. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied. 2(4) Failed to respond to Design's request for information to ticket 20200372527, 20200372529 and 20200372528. This is a subsequent violation, and the penalty is applied. 2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches. This is a third time offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Century Link is in violation of Section: 2(4) Failed to respond to Design's request for information to ticket 20200372527, 20200372529, and 20200372528. The penalty is applied.</p> <p>Notes: Final Design stage shows that the planned Construction dates are 4/26/2021 expected end date is 5/31/2022. Coordinate PA lists that project has no phases.</p>	
30121	<p>Facility Owner: Harrisburg City of Traffic Engineering Contractor/Excavator: Shiloh Paving and Excavating, Inc. Project Owner: City of Harrisburg</p>	<p><u>On 4/15/2022 9:00:00 AM at 2221 RUDY ROAD HARRISBURG, HARRISBURG CITY, DAUPHIN</u> On 6/13/23 the DPC voted to: Shiloh Paving- Remove the penalty but keep the violation Capital Region Water- NO SHOW. Accept the DPI's Recommendation</p>	<p>Harrisburg City of Traffic Engineering: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Other: Capital Region Water</p> <p>Other: Comcast</p> <p>Other: PPL</p> <p>Other: UGI</p>	<p>*****</p> <p>Shiloh Paving & Excavating is disputing Section 5(3). No reason given.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Capital Region Water is disputing section 2(5)(v.1). No reason given.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 4/15/2022 at 9am at 2221 Rudy Road, Harrisburg City, Dauphin County.</p> <p>Shiloh Paving and Excavating hit a mismarked electrical line owned by the City of Harrisburg.</p> <p>Damage was done to the electric powering a streetlight.</p> <p>Shiloh Paving and Excavating's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stated that wires were discovered while removing payment. The mark was approximately 32" from the mark. Shiloh Excavating and Paving Pictures 9-12 show the mark outs with a measuring tape. Total project was 2,400 feet in length. No complex ticket was found.</p> <p>Mailed and emailed the project owner, City of Harrisburg for an AVR on 7/11/22. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>PPL Electric provided agreement between USIC and the excavator on mark out times.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>City of Harrisburg Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally. 20220890717. 1st offense \$500 + Education is required. Section 2(5)(v.1) - Failed to respond to a renotify within 2 hours. 20220890717. 1st offense \$500 + Education is required. Section 2(5)(v.i) - Failed to respond to an emergency ticket. 20221050524. 1st offense \$1,000 + Education is required. Section 2(10) - Failed to submit an alleged violation report within 30 business days. 1st offense \$500 + Education is required.</p> <p>Capital Region Water Section 2(5)(v.1) - Failed to respond to a renotify within 2 hours. 20220890717. 1st offense \$500 + Education is required.</p> <p>UGI Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket in a timely manner. 20220890717. Subsequent offense \$1,000</p> <p>Comcast Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket in a timely manner. 20220890717. Subsequent offense \$1,000</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(10) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Shiloh Paving and Excavating, Inc.: \$0.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Capital Region Water: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>UGI: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Shiloh Paving and Excavating Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. Education is required.</p>	
30324	<p>Facility Owner: PECO, AN EXELON COMPANY Contractor/Excavator: J D ECKMAN INC Project Owner: London Grove Township Designer: MCMAHON ASSOCIATES INC</p>	<p><u>On 4/18/2022 11:00:00 AM at STATE RD, LONDON GROVE TWP, CHESTER</u> On 6/13/23 the DPC voted to 4.2 Remove the penalty but keep the violation. For section 4.4 remove the penalty and violation. Add education. ***** McMahon Associates DBA Bowman is disputing the violation of Section 4(2) and 4(4). McMahon documents attached show final design ticket from 2017 and test pits at various sites.</p> <p>Final design ticket 2017 and bid 2021. ***** Incident occurred on 4/18/2022 at 11am along State Road, London Grove Township, Chester County.</p> <p>JD Eckman, Inc was excavating in the tolerance zone when they hit a properly marked gas line owned by PECO. They were operating as a subcontractor of Wexcon. 911 was called.</p> <p>PECO's alleged violation report (AVR) stated, "Contractor damaged a correctly marked .5" plastic service. The contractor failed to dig prudently."</p> <p>JD Eckman's AVR states, "Excavator operator, on his own accord, attempted to take an extra bucket of dirt from an excavation when he was directed not to by his spotter/laborer." Photo provided by JD Eckman shows the line strike. Notes 911 was called.</p> <p>DPI emailed JD Eckman requesting who the project owner is on 7/28/22. JD Eckman replied the same day with the project owner being London Grove Township.</p> <p>London Grove Township's AVR states, "Facility Owner was not present at incident or aware of incident until 7/28/22. Form completed based on information provided by Excavator JD. Eckman Excavator operator, on his own accord, attempted to take an extra bucket of dirt from an excavation when he was directed not to by his spotter/laborer."</p> <p>Mchmahon Associates, Inc's AVR states, "Until I was notified by an email response from London Grove's Shane Kinsey in response to pa.gov rep John Cooper, I was unaware of the utility line strike with all times or other knowledge of the situation in this AVR report from me are speculative based on discussions with others. As a representative of the designer I can discuss the level of utility investigation on the project but despite me being the Inspector In Charge on the project I have no recollection of being informed of this utility strike when it happened." Notes project over \$400,000 and SUE Level A.</p>	<p>J D ECKMAN INC: \$500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>London Grove Township: \$500.00 Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>MCMAHON ASSOCIATES INC: \$0.00 Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Coordinate PA Documents note that the project went for bid on 7/15/2021 and construction began on 11/8/2021. The design ticket, 20170520310, is expired. London Grove Township stated that the project cost 1.9 Million in an email.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>JD Eckman Inc Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Education is required.</p> <p>London Grove Township Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. Education is required. Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. Education is required.</p> <p>McMahon Associates Inc Section 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. Education is required. Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. Education is required.</p>	
30731	<p>Facility Owner: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER Contractor/Excavator: M & J Excavating Project Owner: White Deer Township Designer: Larson Design Group</p>	<p><u>On 5/17/2022 7:58:00 AM at 451 MAIN ST, WHITE DEER TWP, UNION</u> On 6/13/23 the DPC voted to: Larson Design Group. For 4.8 section, remove the violation and penalty. For 4.2 section, keep the penalty and violation.</p> <p>***** Larson Design Group is disputing sections 4(2) and 4(8). *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/17/22 at 7:58am at 451 Main Street, White Deer Twp, Union County.</p> <p>A water line was damaged.</p> <p>Pennsylvania Water Company (PAWC) Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "M & J Excavating were undercutting the roadway due to it being soft. They are digging very close to the same depth as our main and services. When they were crossing the service line to 451 Main St., they failed to use prudent techniques to locate the copper service line and hit and pulled it from the water main. They called me directly and informed me of the incident. I called a crew to respond. This required us to shut down the main putting multiple customers out of water without notice. After the water was off, we excavated from the main to the curb line</p>	<p>M & J Excavating: \$500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>White Deer Township: \$1,000.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Larson Design Group: \$500.00 Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>using a union we replaced the damage piece of copper with new."</p> <p>On 9/30/2022, M&J Excavation and White Deer Township were mailed and emailed a request to submit an AVR.</p> <p>On 9/30/22, Larson Design Group were mailed and emailed a request to submit an AVR.</p> <p>Larson Design Group submitted a Blank AVR. They also submitted a blank AVR on behalf of White Deer Township. No supporting documents were attached to the AVRs.</p> <p>303 business days occurred between the final design and first routine ticket.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>M&J Excavating Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. 20221250591. Education is required.</p> <p>Larson Design Group Section 4(8) – Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days of being notified or aware that a violation of this act may have been committed. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed.</p> <p>White Deer Township Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete.</p> <p>Related to project 30713 and 31045.</p>	
30772	<p>Facility Owner: Verizon</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: UTILITY LINE SERVICES</p> <p>Project Owner: AQUA PENNSYLVANIA</p> <p>Designer: Gilmore Associates</p> <p>Other: PECO</p>	<p>On 5/18/2022 10:00:00 AM at 1232 FAIRVIEW AVE, HAVERFORD TWP, DELAWARE On 6/13/23 the DPC voted to:</p> <p>Aqua of PA: (6.1(3) NOT DISPUTING THIS VIOLATION) Remove the penalty and violation for 6.1(1)</p> <p>Gilmore Associates: Remove the penalties and violations.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Gillmore & Associates is disputing sections 4.2 and 4.4 with the reasoning that, "No new lines were installed after our initial request for information therefore our design was prepared per all existing information available."</p> <p>Gillmore documents are attached.</p> <p>*****</p>	<p>Verizon: \$10,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(10) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>AQUA PENNSYLVANIA: \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Aqua is disputing sections 6.1(3) and 6.1(7) with the attached powerpoint.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/18/2022 at 10am at 1232 Fairview Ave, Haverford Twp, Delaware County.</p> <p>A telecom line was hit.</p> <p>Utility Line Services Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "While the crew was working in the area of 1232 Fairview Avenue to install a water main, they hit and damaged an unmarked Verizon line." ULS has hit 2 or more Verizon lines within 5 months. Reference AVR2022NOV080010 for additional Verizon line hit within six months.</p> <p>Aqua's AVR states, "Excavator indicated they hit and damaged an unmarked Verizon line." Aqua's email notes, "This project was awarded as a part of Aqua's annual construction contracts with a construction start date of 5/2/22. SUE Level C was utilized during the design and the project was estimated at \$669,000."</p> <p>On 9/30/2022, Verizon and Gilmore & Associates were mailed and emailed individual requests to submit an AVR. No AVR has been received from Verizon.</p> <p>Gilmore & Assoc. AVR stated, "Design serial numbers 20211731347, 20212440962. Gilmore was notified by an email received on September 30, 2022. Gilmore had no previous knowledge of the underground utility strike until the 9.30.2022 email. The drawings were issued for construction on September 28, 2021. As the designer we do not know if the one call system was notified of the utility strike. Gilmore had no presence on site during the utility installation."</p> <p>Final Design, 20212440962, was submitted on 9/1/2021 and the Complex meeting ticket was placed on 4/20/2022. This is a difference of 156 business days.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20212440962 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221300173 Section 2(5)(vii) – Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification. 20221381918 Section 2(10) – Facility owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days after receipt of notice that the facility owner’s lines have been damaged by excavation or demolition work or if the facility owner believes a violation of this act has been committed in association with excavation or demolition work.</p>	<p>\$500.00</p> <p>Gilmore Associates: \$0.00</p> <p>PECO: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Aqua Pennsylvania Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. 20212440962. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. 20212440962. Education is required.</p> <p>Gilmore & Associates, Inc Section 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. 20212440962. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. 20212440962. Education is required.</p> <p>PECO Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221300173</p>	
31045	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: M and J EXCAVATION Project Owner: WHITE DEER TOWNSHIP Designer: Larson Design Group</p>	<p><u>On 5/24/2022 12:08:00 AM at MAIN ST, WHITE DEER TWP, UNION</u> On 6/13/23 the DPC voted to: Larson Design Group. Remove the penalty and violation</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Larson Design Group is disputing their violation for Section 4(8).</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/24/22 at 12:08am along Main Street, White Deer Township, Union County.</p> <p>UGI's alleged violation report (AVR) stated, "Contractor hit and damaged a gas service while doing roadwork. Facility was accurately marked."</p> <p>Larson Design Group, White Deer Township, and M & J Excavation were mailed and emailed request to complete AVRs on 11/2/22.</p> <p>M&J Excavation's AVR states, "When the accident occurred on 5/24/2022 we took the precautions we were to take when an accident occurs." AVR was submitted on 11/9/22.</p> <p>Larson Design Group submitted two blank AVRs. One AVR on the behalf of White Deer Township and the other for their role as the designer. No supporting documents were attached to the AVRs, and they AVRs are essentially blank.</p> <p>Violations:</p>	<p>M and J EXCAVATION: \$1,000.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>WHITE DEER TOWNSHIP: \$500.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Larson Design Group: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>M and J Excavation Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. 20221250967. Education is required. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required.</p> <p>White Deer Township Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Education is required.</p> <p>Larson Design Group Section 4(8) – Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days of being notified or aware that a violation of this act may have been committed. Education is required.</p> <p>Related to project 30713 and 30731.</p>	
32158	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS Contractor/Excavator: A. FOLINO CONSTRUCTION INC. Project Owner: PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY Designer: G A I CONSULTANTS INC Other: MONALOH BASIN ENGINEERS</p>	<p><u>On 6/20/2022 3:30:00 PM at MEADE PL., PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> On 6/13/2023 the Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) voted to remove the violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(vi) Lines not marked in compliance with CGA Best Practices – 2nd offense - \$500.00 and Section 2(5)(i) Failure to locate underground lines with 18 inches – Subsequent offense - \$2000.00 to Peoples Gas.</p> <p>Peoples Gas is disagreeing. they state that "Peoples Gas would ask that you review the attached photos from the AVR, locate photos, and information and reconsider the violations and penalties please. First, Peoples Gas would like for you to consider the following information for violation 2(5)(vi). Peoples Gas only applied yellow paint while marking this 2” plastic gas service line as shown on the attached locate photos. The other markings were not applied by Peoples Gas. A Folino applied those markings. Not sure why? They are also going down inside the excavation; therefore, Peoples Gas did not apply those markings. Peoples Gas applied yellow markings only for this 2” gas service line. Peoples Gas ask that violation and penalty for 2(5)(vi) be removed with the attached photos and information. For violation 2(5)(i), the 2” plastic gas service line was marked by using standard locating equipment. The locator had a signal, and marked the 2” plastic gas service line where his signal lead him too. Peoples Gas only has one record of an active gas service line serving this building. The 2nd line in the photo is not active. The damage occurred 13” from the active marked 2” plastic gas service line. The damage occurred well within the tolerance zone markings of the active 2” plastic gas service line. There should have been no mechanized equipment in the tolerance zone markings of this active 2” gas service line. A Folino used mechanized equipment in soft digging rather than prudent techniques once through the asphalt. A Folino failed to use prudent digging techniques within the tolerance zone of the</p>	<p>PEOPLES GAS: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(vi) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>active marked 2” gas service line. The 2” plastic gas service line was marked within the tolerance allowed by law; therefore Peoples Gas ask that violation and penalty for 2(5)(i) be removed. Thank you for your time in reviewing this case".</p> <p>Another email stated that "Section 2(13) states to maintain existing records of main lines abandoned on or after the effective date of this paragraph and to mark, locate, or identify the main lines if possible, based on existing records. Etc. This retired facility in question was a service line. The law does not require the locator to have record of this retired service line and to mark in the field. Peoples Gas fulfills its responsibilities of 5(13) by supplying written or electronic documents for abandoned main lines on or after the effective date of this paragraph, or the law".</p> <p>Please note that 911 was called because of the release of gas.</p> <p>Once the tolerance zone is established, the excavator is responsible for all utilities within the tolerance zone markings. I believe that is why excavators are required to treat all pipe/facilities like they are live. Just in case a Facility Owner fails to mark an active line, or we retire a line and another Facility Owner uses it as a carrier line for their active line now. CGA Best Practice 4-10 is referring to have multiple active facilities in the same trench line. In this case, the exposed line by A. Folino was not active; therefore, it did not need to be marked, and it was an abandoned service line. Can you have all this information available at the DPC meeting for review please.</p> <p>PWSA disputed their penalty. They responded to the update ticket in the early morning hours of the start date, before excavation was scheduled to begin. DPS agreed to lower penlaty to \$0 but keep the violatoin. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 6/20/2022 at Meade Place in Pittsburgh City in Allegheny County.</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) stated in thie AVR “A. Folino was digging to install new main water line when we hit an unmarked gas service line. There were two gas service lines near each other, only one of them was marked. The one we uncovered was marked correctly. The one we hit was unmarked. 911 was called and a damage ticket was made. Peoples Gas responded and made the repairs. Pictures are included.</p> <p>A. Folino stated in their AVR “A. Folino was digging to install new main water line when we hit an unmarked gas service line. There were two gas service lines near each other, only one of them was marked. The one we uncovered was marked correctly. The one we hit was unmarked. 911 was called and a damage ticket was made. Peoples Gas responded and made the repairs”. Pictures are included.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>G A I Consultants stated in their AVR “Folino was digging to install new main water when they hit an unmarked gas service line. There were two gas service lines near each other, only one of them was marked. The first one uncovered was marked correctly. The one hit was unmarked. 911 was called and a damage ticket was made. Peoples Gas responded and made the repairs”. Pictures are included.</p> <p>Peoples Gas stated in their AVR “A Folino Construction was completing a new water install for PWSA on Meade Pl. when they struck and damaged a 2" plastic low pressure gas service line within the tolerance zone markings that serviced 10 homes. The damage occurred 13" from its markings for the service line. A Folino failed to exercise due care when excavating to avoid damaging the underground gas facility servicing 10 units.”</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Peoples Gas is in violation of Section: 2(5)(vi) Lines were not marked in compliance with the Common Ground Alliance 4-10. This is a second offense violation, and the penalty is applied. 2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18” horizontally. This is a subsequent violation, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>PWSA is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to ticket 20221670729. This is a subsequent offense and the penalty is applied. (penalty reduced to a warning - see above)</p> <p>*****</p> <p>CGA BEST PRACTICES 4-10 MARKING MULTIPLE FACILITEIS INTEH SAME TRENCH. Number of lines in trench equal the number of lines marked on the surface.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Complex Project Meeting attendees: HATCH SCI TEK PEOPLES GAS ALLEGHENY UTILITY SERVICES A. FOLINO</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Connected case: 30748. Complex project violations listed in case 30748.</p>	
32287	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS Contractor/Excavator: A. FOLINO CONSTRUCTION INC. Project Owner: PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY Other: Johnson Mirmiran</p>	<p><u>On 7/20/2022 2:00:00 PM at 912 N ST CLAIR ST, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> On 6/13/23 the DPC voted to: Johnson Mirmiran and Thompson. Remove both penalties and violations for sections 4.2 and 4.4.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>JMT, Inc is disputing Section 4(2) and 4(4) with the reasoning SUE level B was utilized and a final design was placed on Jan 20, 2021 and the final design work</p>	<p>PEOPLES GAS: \$0.00</p> <p>A. FOLINO CONSTRUCTION INC.: \$8,500.00 Section 5(16) 3rd Offense \$1,000.00 Section 5(8) Subsequent</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>and Thompson (JMT) Other: Verizon</p>	<p>was completed on February 11, 2021. The project was put out to bid and a construction contract was awarded in June 2021, but due to financial issues with PWSA funding of the project, the contract was cancelled. PWSA then rebid the project in November 2021. The rebid documents were dated November 2021, but the final design and existing utilities did not change.</p> <p>Please note the complex project ticket was placed 275 days later. *****</p> <p>Peoples is disputed their violation for 2(5)(i.1) with the reasoning that A Folino located the line during the main install then asked for no additional mark outs on update tickets while installing laterals when they hit the gas line. The line was also marked in pink by the excavator which is very faint in the photo.</p> <p>DPI is removing Peoples violation and penalty. *****</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer disputed 6.1(7) by providing AVR from incident date of 6/16/22 for 912 N St. Clair Street. DPI removed violation for 6.1(7).</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 7/20/22 at 2pm at 912 North Saint Clair Street, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "A Folino Construction was installing new water facilities for PWSA on N. St. Clair Street in Pittsburgh. The customer at 912 N. St. Clair Street had gas service previously and Peoples Gas had to stop gas service and pinlock his meter on June 16, 2022 for safety reasons. The customer called in for the gas service to be turned back on by removing the pinlock from the meter and open the lock stop on the meter bar on 7/20/22. This is all the Serviceman should have had to do complete to have the gas begin flowing through the meter again. Once the Serviceman completed the steps mentioned above, there was no gas pressure. He then called the O&M Supervisor and asked for a crew's assistance. The crew snaked the line and determined there was a blockage in the line located in the road where A Folino's newly dug ditch was. The crew dug the ditch from A Folino and found a repair had been made on the Peoples Gas' owned gas service line with non approved pipe and fittings shown in the pictures. The pipe and fittings are water supplies, not gas supplies, and not gas rated or approved. Peoples Gas contacted A Folino about the damage and they were completing an internal investigation into what was found in their ditch line. Peoples Gas checked and their were no other PA One Calls placed in the area after A Folino. Peoples Gas was not notified of the damage, as far as we know, 911 was not notified, and A Folino failed to report the damaged line to Peoples Gas." Pictures show white 5.15 between the yellow marked curb stop and main line. AVR notes 1 customer for 48+ hours.</p>	<p>\$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 3rd Offense \$2,500.00</p> <p>PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY: \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Johnson Mirmiran and Thompson (JMT): \$0.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Peoples snaked the line to find the blockage but failed to mark the point of connection for the contractor by snaking the line with tracer wire. Complex Project notes on 3/14/2022 state: "Peoples expressed concern over service line that they cannot locate and will vac and snake the lines to locate."</p> <p>The damage to the line occurred between June 16th and July 19th.</p> <p>A. Folino and Pittsburgh Water & Sewer Authority (PWSA) were mailed and emailed on 7/26/22. Delivery receipts that were sent by the PWSA email server are attached. No AVR has been received from PWSA to date.</p> <p>A Folino's AVR was received on August 3rd, 2022. The line strike happened between 7/12/2022 and 7/19/2022 making their AVR passed due by 1-15 days. Peoples Gas Company discovered the problem on 7/20/22, and the line had been buried and paved over.</p> <p>A Folino's AVR states, "A. Folino was digging to install new main water line when we hit an unmarked gas service line. We attempted to fix the gas service line so 911, 811, and Peoples Gas were never contacted." A Folino had two prior line hits on North St. Clair Street where they followed proper protocols by calling 911 and notifying 811.</p> <p>Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson's (JMT) AVR stated, "A. Folino was excavating to install new main water line when an unmarked gas service was struck. A. Folino attempted to fix the gas service line so 911, 811, and People Gas were never contacted. A. Folino didn't not provide any pictures taken on site when the line was struck, and the attempted repair was performed." AVR notes that the \$400,000 project used level B SUE.</p> <p>Final Design ticket was issued on 1/20/2021 and the complex project ticket was placed on 2/28/2022. This is 275 business days.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>A. Folino Construction: Section 5(7) – Failed to immediately report to the facility owner any break or leak in its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove, or other damage to such lines or to their coating or cathodic protection. Education is required. Section 5(8) – Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. Education is required. Section 5(8) – Excavator vacated worksite after causing damage that resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. Education is required.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company: Section 2(5)(i.1) – Failed to locate an actually known facility’s point of connection to its facilities. 20221931529. Education is required.</p> <p>Johnson, Mirmiran, & Thompson (JMT): Section 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. 20210201843. Education is required. Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. 20210201843. Education is required.</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water & Sewer Authority: Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. Education is required. Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. Education is required.</p> <p>Verizon: Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20220591913.</p>	

Committee Review

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
30458	<p>Facility Owner: COMCAST</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Sippel Developmnet Company, Inc</p> <p>Project Owner: Sisters of Divine Providence</p> <p>Project Owner: WARFEL CONSTRUCTION</p>	<p><u>On 4/22/2022 12:00:00 PM at 9000 Babcock BLVD, HAMPTON TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred 4/22/22 at 12pm at 9000 Barbock Blvd, Hampton, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Comcast's Alleged Violation Report States, "Tech States: Feeder damaged at point of broken conduit and also at both ends where it leaves the conduit. Sheath is cut and feeder is kinked." Pictures show cut line and small excavator. No lines are visible. Comcast alert system notified Comcast of broken line on 4/22/22.</p> <p>DPI mailed and submitted via Warfel website an AVR request letter on 8/8/22 to Warfel Construction. Asked Warfel for tickets and project owner information. Warfel responded on 8/12/22 that they subcontracted out the project to Sippel Development Company, Inc.</p> <p>Warfel Construction's AVR on behalf of the Sisters of the Devine states, "Warfel Construction Company was unaware of if this alleged violation prior to initial contact</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>from PUC on August 8th. Warfel Construction Company acts as the general contractor for this project and subcontracts all work performed including all excavation. Warfel Construction Company does not have any documentation of any excavation on site during the time of the alleged violation. Warfel Construction Company does not have any incident reports that coincide with this alleged violation. Warfel Construction Company is providing a utility plan of the site, a contact sheet, and a copy of the daily logs completed on and around the time of the alleged violation."</p> <p>Emails from Warfel Construction was the project manager and Sippel Development Company show that their excavation work was done in prior to the line strike damage report. Sippel excavated in January and the Comcast alert system triggered the damage alert on April 22nd, 2022.</p> <p>DPI is unable to find who the excavator was due to lack of evidence. No penalties.</p>	
31685	<p>Facility Owner: Lehigh County Authority Contractor/Excavator: Shelly Drilling Inc Project Owner: Kinsley Inc Project Owner: PennDOT</p>	<p><u>On 6/23/2022 9:00:00 AM at Lehigh St between Mill St and Martin Luther King Jr, ALLENTOWN CITY.</u> LEHIGH Incident occurred on 6/23/2022 at Leigh St. between Mill St. and Martin Luther King Jr. Dr., Allentown City, Lehigh County</p> <p>Where a water line was hit. The project was \$400,000+ estimated 500 feet long and using Level D SUE. This project was for bridge replacement.</p> <p>Lehigh County Authority's alleged violation report (AVR) states "On Thursday, June 23, 2022 LCA received a call that the contractor working at the bridge project at Lehigh Street and Mill Street may have damaged a water pipe. Upon discovery it was found that Shelly Drilling Inc was drilling holes for steel anchors to support the ground in preparation for the bridge replacement when they struck the 36 inch marked water main. The damages caused the steel water main to leak. The drill left a 8 inch by 1/2 inch slice into the pipe. LCA crews had to shut down a large transmission feed that supplies water to our eastern side of the city of Allentown and reroute the flow of water via other mains. The line had to be shut down and drained for crews to cut out the damaged section of steel and weld a new section into the pipe. The process from start to finish lasted from Thursday, June 23 until Monday June 27. One commercial business was out of water completely for 72 hours. Discussion with the driller the same morning this occurred (operator) he explained that he had traveled from Pittsburgh that morning and was not familiar with the job. He was told no pipes were in the way of the location he was sent to drill. Even though he crossed over two marked stakes that clearly indicated a water main was in the vicinity."</p> <p>On 10/24/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed and e-mailed to Shelly Drilling Inc. and Kinsley Inc. On</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>10/26/2022 Shelly Drilling Inc. d/b/a Shelly Foundations, Inc. submitted their AVR.</p> <p>Shelly Drilling Inc. d/b/a Shelly Foundations, Inc.'s alleged violation report (AVR) states "The One Call ticket states under Location Information that the site is to be marked 100' north and south of the existing bridge and for the width of the road PLUS 75' on each side of the road. The ticket was entered by PAOne Call operator, and under Mapped Type, the coordinates entered DO NOT reflect 75' beyond the edge of the road. The water line was marked with stakes for a distance of approximately 25' beyond the edge of the road at which point no further markers were placed. The drilling that encountered the water line was approximately 45' beyond the edge of the road."</p> <p>11/2/2022 DPI spoke with Kinsley Inc., stated which project this was for and that they just needed to file an AVR.</p> <p>11/18/2022 Kinsley e-mailed a brief statement along with drawings from the project.</p> <p>11/22/2022 DPI e-mailed Kinsley back and explained that Kinsley still needed to file an AVR. Also, realized that PennDOT was also the Project Owner on this project and e-mailed and mailed an AVR request letter to PennDOT. Kinsley submitted their AVR on 11/23/2022. PennDOT submitted their AVR on 12/12/2022.</p> <p>Kinsley's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Shelley Drilling requested a pa1 call 100 feet east and west beyond the width of the roadway. This would have covered Shelley drilling's scope of work. It appears that the locator did not mark out the requested area properly."</p> <p>PennDOT's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Shelly drilling was a subcontractor of Kinsley construction subcontracted to drill holes for soldier piles for excavation support to excavate for bridge abutment footer placement. The design plans indicate the piles at 6' center spacing and also indicate the water line running perpendicular to the soldier pile wall. The PA one call was made LCA marked the water line and Shelly set up for drilling to straddle the water line. They began drilling in a location that should have been away from the line but evidently something happened that shifted the drill underground and caused us to rub a hole in the pipe. Once we were drilling we noticed water coming up not sure if it was ground water. We stopped drilling for 15-20 minutes and it was evident that we hit the line. LCA was called out immediately they confirmed we hit the line. LCA got their contractor in to excavate and repair the water line the next day once the line pressure was dropped. LCA exposed the line and Kinsley was able to weld a patch to repair the line. Once the line was repaired to LCA's specifications the line was backfilled and we completed the soldier pile wall."</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*Shelly Drilling, Inc. is in violation of sections: Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Section 5(6)(i) – Excavator failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage to or minimize interference with a facility owner’s facilities in the construction area. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Recommendation: Education Required, penalties applied</p>	
31802	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Gas Company Contractor/Excavator: WILSON EXCAVATING - A LINDY GROUP COMPANY Project Owner: Peoples Gas Designer: Peoples Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 6/23/2022 11:00:00 AM at 2713 MERWYN AVE, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 6/23/22 at 11am at 2713 Merwyn Ave, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>A Gas line was hit.</p> <p>Wilson Excavating's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Gas line outside the tolerance zone struck with excavator. Line was approx. 3 feet from the mark. 911 was called and facility owner was notified." AVR notes that 911 was notified and one customer was affected for under one hour. Estimated repair cost of \$1-\$1,000. Pictures provided by Wilson Excavating indicate the lateral mark and damaged lateral line being off by 3 ft.</p> <p>Google Street view from June of 2022 shows mark outs prior to the excavation.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company's AVR states, "Wilson Excavating was installing new gas facilities for Peoples Gas on Merwyn Ave. The gas curb box was exposed and had yellow paint at the box. The crew moved over a few feet to start the new PNG service line unaware of the offset on the customer owned side of the service where the damage took place on the other side of the curb box. No marks were present on the customer owned service line due to no wire or records for the locator to locate the facility. Wilson did receive an email stating Peoples Gas did not own or have information on the customer owned service line."</p> <p>Emailed Peoples Gas Company for information on the level of SUE utilized along with the total estimated cost of the project. Peoples email states that the cost of the project was over \$400,000 and utilized level C SUE. What was the total estimated cost of this project? Pipeline and Service Replacement: \$360,756 Restoration Cost: \$341,787 Total: \$702,543</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more.</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20221221685</p>	
32010	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: Miller Pipeline Project Owner: PECO Placeholder Designer: EN Engineering Other: Borough of Lansdowne Other: Miller Pipeline Corporate Headquarters</p>	<p><u>On 6/24/2022 9:00:00 AM at 87 WILLOWBROOK AVE, LANSDOWNE BORO, DELAWARE</u> Incident occurred on 6/24/2022 at 9:00am at 87 Willowbrook Ave., Lansdowne Boro, Delaware County.</p> <p>A PECO gas line was hit. 911 was called. This is the first line hit of two within 12 days. Second line hit is Case #35380.</p> <p>PECO's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Miller Pipeline, damaged an unmarked 1.25” plastic gas stub while replacing gas main. This incident took place at 87 Willowbrook Ave. The service stub wasn’t marked due to it not being on the print. The stub was plugged and will be cut off at the main."</p> <p>On 12/6/2022 an AVR request letter was e-mailed and mailed to Miller Pipeline and EN Engineering.</p> <p>On 1/9/2023 PECO verified that there were two line hits on this project. One on 6/24/2022 at 87 Willowbrook Ave. and another one on 7/6/2022 at 83 Willowbrook Ave. (see attached e-mail from PECO 1/9/2023). PECO could not verify if this project was over \$400,000.</p> <p>On 1/19/2023 an Updated AVR request letter was e-mailed and mailed to Miller Pipeline as well as Miller Pipeline Corporate Headquarters. Miller Pipeline submitted their AVR on Feb. 7, 2023.</p> <p>Miller Pipelines alleged violation report (AVR) states "While excavating to install a replacement plastic 4" gas line on Willowbrook Road the crew excavated over all of the marked facilities by hand excavation. All the gas line services were located. When digging the trench the crew struck and damaged an unknow gas stub that PECO had no records of. PECO, 811, and 911 were called. USIC did not mark out a gas stub due to no record."</p> <p>*PECO is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. Section 2(5)(vi) – Lines were not marked in compliance with the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices for Temporary Marking set forth in ANSI standard Z535.1. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket 20221532622. Responded "Clear" on 6/7/2022. Response was due 6/6/2022. Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. Recommendation: penalties applied *Miller Pipeline is in violation of sections: Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Recommendation: Education Required; penalties applied</p> <p>*EN Engineering is in violation of sections: Section 4(8) – Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days of being notified or aware that a violation of this act may have been committed. Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area Recommendation: Education Required; penalties applied</p> <p>*Borough of Lansdowne is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket on Ticket 20221532622. Recommendation: penalties applied</p>	
31747	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA Contractor/Excavator: Daniels Excavating Project Owner: Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County Other: City of Jeannette</p>	<p><u>On 6/24/2022 5:00:00 PM at 806 EVANS ST, JEANNETTE CITY, WESTMORELAND</u> Incident occurred on 6/24/2022 at 5:00pm at 806 Evans St., Jeannette City, Westmoreland County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit. Columbia Gas states 911 was not called. The Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County (MAWC) states that they did call 911.</p> <p>Columbia Gas' alleged violation report (AVR) states "There were a total of four emergency tickets called in for this emergency work; two by the Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County (MAWC) and two for Daniels Excavating, working on behalf of MAWC. When the first ticket came through Thursday afternoon, 06/23/2022, the locator responded immediately but was having trouble locating the gas facilities. The locator attempted to contact MAWC to explain the issue and come to an agreement but was unable to make contact until later in the evening. No one was onsite Thursday afternoon/evening. An agreement was ultimately made that the locator would put white marks down where he believed the gas facility might be based upon measurements. The three additional tickets came through on 06/24/2022. The locator was onsite Friday morning and offered to have the unlocatable facility vac'ed, but the excavator stated they were unable to wait. The locator again stated he put white marks in the area he believed the facility to be based upon measurements alone and advised them to hand dig in that area. Columbia is unsure whether MAWC or Daniels Excavating struck the line Friday evening, 06/24/2022, as both crews, with the exception of one MAWC employee, vacated the job site. Both companies placed a damage notification through One Call, and MAWC</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>notified Columbia Gas directly regarding the damage. 911 was not notified as the line was gouged, and there was no release of gas. After the damage occurred, it was determined the 3" gas main was unlocatable because the uncoated tracer wire had broken. The Columbia Gas crew placed marker balls where the Ts were located and updated measurements in the company records to make this facility locatable for the future." Possible gas lines were marked with white paint and a question mark. Columbia Gas provided pictures of the site.</p> <p>Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Project Owner, MAWC's contractor Daniels Excavating while repairing a water main break, hit a 3" plastic gas line with the backhoe operated by Excavator. The marks where the line supposedly crossed Evans RD were approximately 20 feet away and were not done to PA1Call standards. No gas was released and 3 small tooth marks were put into the plastic gas line. 911 was notified by MAWC. Columbia Gas did respond and MAWC crew left material to backfill the excavation." Columbia Gas stated that they could not locate their lines, that they would vac to locate the lines, but MAWC stated that they could not wait. Columbia Gas also stated that they are not sure exactly when the line was damaged, but everyone vacated the damaged site, except for one MAWC employee. These actions made by MAWC do not comply with the Common Ground Alliances Best Practices. The Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County provided pictures of the site.</p> <p>On 11/10/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed and e-mailed to Daniels Excavation. An AVR was not received by Daniels Excavation to date.</p> <p>*Columbia Gas is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Daniels Excavating is in violation of sections: Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Section 5(6)(i) – Excavator failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage to or minimize interference with a facility owner’s facilities in the construction area. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Section 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request. Section 5(8) – Excavator vacated worksite after causing damage that resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. Recommendation: Education Required, penalties applied</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*City of Jeannette is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket for ticket 20221742691. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket for ticket 20221750368. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket for ticket 20221752167. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket for ticket 20221752325. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket for ticket 20221753671. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket for ticket 20221753773. Recommendation: Education Required, Reduced penalties applied</p>	
31839	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Natural Gas Contractor/Excavator: Penn Line Service, Inc. Project Owner: PennDOT Designer: PennDOT Placeholder</p>	<p><u>On 6/30/2022 8:27:00 AM at SR 1004, STONEBORO BORO, MERCER</u> Incident occurred on 6/30/2022 at 8:27AM at SR1004, Stoneboro Boro, Mercer County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit. Level B SUE used. Project cost \$400,000+ and length was 6.7 miles long. 911 was called by the Excavator.</p> <p>Peoples Gas alleged violation report (AVR) states "Penn Line Services was installing guide rail on SR1004 (Fredonia Road) in Stoneboro Mercer County for PENNDOT when they hit a mismarked Plastic Main Line of ours. PNG was notified. PNG crew arrived and squeezed off our mainline putting 7 customers without gas. PNG crew fixed the damaged Main Line. Crew installed a Marker Ball and a locate box which will be added to our mapping system."</p> <p>Penn Lines alleged violation report (AVR) states "Penn Line crew installing guide rail and struck an incorrectly marked gas line. The flags and paint to mark the gas line were 4 feet from the location where the line was struck."</p> <p>On 11/21/2022 an AVR request letter was e-mailed and mailed to PennDOT. On 11/23/2022 PennDOT filed their AVR.</p> <p>PennDOT's AVR states "Penn Line struck a gas line near 934 Fredonia RD Stoneboro PA on the right. Peoples gas were called to fix the line. The 1-call picked up the old steel abandoned gas line and that was what was marked. Penn Line struck the new gas line. Peoples fixed the gas line while Penn Line's flaggers controlled traffic and Penn Line moved to another location to continue their work. Penn Line called Peoples gas around 8:30 am on 6/30/22. Peoples gas arrived on scene around 9:30 am fixed the line around 4:30 PM. Penn line came back to finish the guide rail installation on 7/5/22. PA OneCall was contacted and utilities were marked within the project limits. The utility locator marked the old abandoned steel gas line for this project and did not mark the operational plastic gas line that was damaged by installation of guide rail posts."</p> <p>*Peoples Natural Gas is in violation of sections:</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(5)(vi) – Lines were not marked in compliance with the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices for Temporary Marking set forth in ANSI standard Z535.1. Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Penn Line Service, Inc. is in violation of sections: Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. Recommendation: Education Required, penalties applied</p> <p>*PennDOT is in violation of sections: Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Recommendation: penalties applied</p>	