COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION * * * * * * * * *

PA Public Utility Commission, Office of Consumer Advocate, Jason Dolby, C-2018-3001029

Jason Dolby, C-2018-3001074

Peoples Natural Gas Company C-2018-3001152

LLC, James Fedell, C-2018-3001473

Office of Small Business C-2018-3001556

Advocates, vs

Duquesne Light Company 1308(d) Proceeding

Public Input Hearing

Pages 39 through 144 Piatt Place
Hearing Room 2019
301 Fifth Avenue

Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Thursday, June 14, 2018

Met, pursuant to notice, at 1:00 p.m.

BEFORE:

KATRINA L. DUNDERDALE, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

ANTHONY D. KANAGY, ESQUIRE

Post & Schell, PC

17 North 2nd Street, 12th Floor

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101-1601

(For Duquesne Light Company)

Commonwealth Reporting Company, Inc.

700 Lisburn Road Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011

(717) 761-7150

TISHEKIA E. WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE
Duquesne Light Company
411 7th Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219
(For Duquesne Light Company)

GINA L. MILLER, Esquire
PA Public Utility Commission
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-3265
(For PA Public Utility Commission
Bureau of Investigation and
Enforcement)

JOHN M. COOGAN, Esquire
PA Public Utility Commission
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-3265
(For PA Public Utility Commission
Bureau of Investigation and
Enforcement)

PHILLIP D. DEMANCHICK, Esquire
Office of Consumer Advocate
5th Floor, Forum Place
555 Walnut Street
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101
(For Office of Consumer Advocate)

DAVID T. EVRARD, Esquire
Office of Consumer Advocate
5th Floor, Forum Place
555 Walnut Street
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101
(For Office of Consumer Advocate)

DAVID P. ZAMBITO, Esquire Cozen O'Connor 17 North 2nd Street, Suite 1410 Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101 (For Peoples Natural Gas Company)

Commonwealth Reporting Company, Inc.

700 Lisburn Road Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011 EMMA M. HEMPSTEAD, Esquire
Fair Shake Environmental Legal Services
3459 Butler Street, Suite 102
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15201
(Natural Resources Defense Council)

Commonwealth Reporting Company, Inc.

700 Lisburn Road Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011

(717) 761-7150

1-800-334-1063

		42
1	WITNESS INDEX	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	Direct Testimony of Mr. McGaffin Cross of Mr. McGaffin by Mr. Zambito	64
4		65
5	Direct Testimony of Dr. Reed Cross of Dr. Reed by Ms. Miller Cross of Dr. Reed by Mr. Zambito Cross of Dr. Reed by Ms. Hempstead	69 87
6		90 99
7		103
8	Direct Testimony of Mr. Potts Cross of Mr. Potts by Ms. Williams	105
9		
10	Direct Testimony of Mr. White Cross of Mr. White by Ms. Williams	108 111
11	-	
12	Direct Testimony of Ms. Fuller	113
13	Direct Testimony of Mr. McKay	118
14		
15	Direct Testimony of Mr. Reidbord	126
16	Direct Testimony of Ms. Krepps	130
17		
18	Direct Testimony of Mr. Kyriazi	133
19	Direct Testimony of Ms. Resnick-Day	136
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
2 4 25		

PROCEEDINGS

(1:02 o'clock p.m.)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DUNDERDALE: Good afternoon. I am Katrina

Dunderdale. I'm the administrative law judge

who's been assigned to handle this proceeding, to

conduct the hearings and to prepare a recommended

decision that concerns Duquesne Light company's

request for an increase in its distribution rate

base. Duquesne Light filed its request on

March 28, 2018, and this matter is docketed at

R-2018-3000124. Today's date is Thursday,

June 14, 2018, and the time now is 1:02 p.m. I'm

conducting this hearing in Hearing Room 2019 in

the Piatt Building, which is located at 301 Fifth

Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Before we get started with receiving testimony from the public, I just want to provide you all with a brief explanation about the rate investigation process of the Public Utility Code and a basic timeline for this case, including what has happened so far in this investigation and what I expect will happen in the future. I then am going to explain how you can participate in today's public input hearing.

And because there's a large quantity of important information to convey, I am going to be referring to written material that's in front of me. After that, I will give the attorneys for the company, for the Commission's Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement, for the Office of Consumer Advocate, and any other attorneys who may be present for other parties, I will give them an opportunity to make a brief opening statement.

The court reporter whom you see up here, her purpose here is to transcribe everything said today, so please remember two people cannot talk at the same time and have her still do her job. So for that reason, I will not allow anyone else to talk over another person who is already speaking. That meaning that I ask that you withhold from clapping or booing or commenting loudly for or against anything that might be said by a person who is testifying or by one of the attorneys who may be speaking. And for that reason, I will also ask that you please turn off or silence all cell phones so that you don't interrupt any witness or attorney who is speaking. If there's someone that you wish to speak with on your phone, then please leave from

the back of the room and conduct your conversation outside this room.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. Let me just provide you some background on this base rate filing. As I indicated, on March 28, 2018, Duquesne Light Company filed its Supplement Number 174 Tariff to become effective on May 28, 2019. This filing contained a proposed general increase in the electric distribution rates of approximately \$133.8 million.

Of that \$133.8 million increase, the proposed increase includes \$52.2 million of revenue, which are currently recovered under surcharges and then the remaining \$81.6 million would represent the increase to customers over current charges. If approved, the total bill for an average residential customer using 600-kilowatt hours would increase monthly from \$98.15 to \$106.80, or an 8.82 percent increase. An average commercial customer who would be using 10,000-kilowatt hours would increase monthly from \$984.94 to \$1,013.71, which is a 2.92 per increase. The average industrial customer using 200,000-kilowatt hours would increase from \$18,730.50 to \$19,165.27, which is a 2.32 percent

1 increase.

On April 19, 2018, the Public Utility Commission suspended the start date of the proposed increase to base rates until December 29, 2018, and it started an investigation. The Commission assigned this case to the Office at Administrative Law Judge to conduct such hearings as necessary for the purpose of determining the lawfulness, justness, and reasonableness of the rates, rules and regulations contained in the proposed changes.

Back on May 3, 2018, I conducted a prehearing conference with the active parties, at which time I established a litigation schedule that included scheduling the two public input hearings, one this afternoon and one this evening, as well as scheduling evidentiary hearings to be conducted in Harrisburg from Wednesday, August 15th through Friday, August 17th.

Since March 2018, various individuals and entities have filed complaints or petitions to intervene against Duquesne Light.

To date, there are approximately 15 corporations or entities and 2 individuals who are connected

1 with this proceeding, including 6 formal 2 This rate investigation ordered by complaints. the Commission back in April will be carried out 3 by these parties who filed petitions to intervene 5 or filed complaints in addition to some statutory 6 Those parties that are considered parties. 7 statutory parties are ones who have been 8 established by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 9 to represent specific people and/or interests and 10 two of those are here today. One of them is the Commission's Bureau of Investigation and 11 12 Enforcement, sometimes called BIE which 13 represents the public interest generally; the 14 Office of Consumer Advocate, which represents the 15 interest of residential customers; and the Office 16 of Small Business Advocate, which represents the interest of small commercial and industrial 17 18 customers. 19 All of these parties collectively

All of these parties collectively will scrutinize Duquesne Light's proposed rate increase to see whether the company's claimed expenses and requested returns are just and reasonable and whether Duquesne Light's revenue numbers have been fairly and accurately developed. In addition, they will scrutinize how

20

21

22

23

24

1 the company proposes to allocate the revenue 2 increase among the various classes or types of 3 These parties likely will propose customers. 4 Duquesne Light make changes to its claims as we go through the course of this proceeding.

5

20

21

22

23

24

25

Duquesne Light has already filed 7 its testimony in written form. Written 8 testimonies from the parties other than Duquesne 9 Light will be filed by June 25, 2018, and as I 10 indicated the hearings on all the file testimony is currently scheduled for August 15 through 17th 11 12 in Harrisburg. Briefs will be due from these 13 parties after the hearing and my recommended 14 decision will be completed in October of 2018. 15 The parties will be able to file written 16 exceptions if they do not agree with my 17 recommended decision and, after that, the Commission will enter a Final Order on or before 18 19 December 29, 2018.

All right. That's all the legal stuff. This is the part that has to do with you, the public. The purpose of today's hearing is to hear from you, members of the public. So I'm not looking at the lawyers now, I'm looking around the room because it's to hear from the public and

consumers regarding Duquesne Light's existing and proposed rates as well as it's customer service. All of these parties I mentioned will have an opportunity to make their positions known through testimony and exhibits, but the public input hearing is uniquely designed and intended just to take the testimony of you, of the public, of And for that reason, it's pretty consumers. important. It's important to me and it's important to the Commission to hear from more than just the lawyers and the corporations and so on that are involved.

We have a policy regarding how we handle these public input hearings, and it gives you three options today, any of you who have signed up or indicated that you would like to testify, or excuse me, speak today. One of those options is you may testify formally. That means that I will put you under oath or by affirmation and you will be subject to cross-examination questions from attorneys.

The second option is you may make an unsworn or unaffirmed statement. It will be considered off-the-record. It will not be subject to cross-examination questions. The

court reporter will not transcribe your statement and it will not be considered by me or by the Commission in our decision.

The third option is you don't actually speak today, but you can provide information to the Commission's Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement, and they're here, the Office of Consumer Advocate or the Office of Small Business Advocate, for them to use at a future hearing in Harrisburg at their discretion.

I encourage you. I suspect one or more of the attorneys are going to encourage you to please testify under oath. The reason for that is because I and the Commission can then use your testimony. And we only have to use the -- excuse me. We can use your testimony when we go to enter our final decision. So it really is important.

I'm going to be paying attention as you testify. You might notice I'm not always looking at you. That's probably because I'm taking notes. It actually is important that we hear from you and we actually do want that.

Let me just remind you, we only have use of this particular space for a few

hours. We do have a 6 o'clock public input hearing in Beaver Falls, which means we may not have a lot of time. And so I'm going to ask you that you keep your remarks concise and to the point. If someone testifies before you and they basically say what you were going to say anyway, you can simply refer to that person and say that you agree with what they said. I'm still going to want some information from you like who are you and are you a customer and so on, but you can certainly shorten your testimony by indicating that you agree with the person who testified first.

If there are a lot of people who have signed up, and if I don't think I can get through you all, I may impose time limits.

That's just in order for me to allow as many people as possible to participate. And I haven't actually looked at my list yet, but we might be okay, but please keep in mind to be concise.

Please make sure that if you want to testify and you have not already signed up on the list at the back of the room, that you do so now. The nice lady back there is from the Commission's Communication Office, and she may

have some other material that's there. Some of the material may be available about this proceeding. Some may be available about public utilities in general, consumer issues that consumers often deal with. So please feel free to talk to her and take that material.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me just ask, did the company bring any representatives to talk to people if they want?

MR. KANAGY: Yes, Your Honor.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

If you have any individual service DUNDERDALE: problems with Duquesne Light -- it might not have anything to do with their base rate. It might not have anything to do with what we're here to talk about today, or it might. There are going to be some individuals and employees from Duquesne Light who have left their office to come down and talk to you guys. They are here just to answer questions if they can and to try and be helpful. I highly recommend if you have any questions, again about this or anything else as a consumer, that you take advantage of their presence. Not very often in our media world do we have an opportunity to talk to somebody

face-to-face, and this is one of those few. 1 Where in the room? 2 3 MR. KANAGY: Stand up. (People standing.) 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 5 DUNDERDALE: Those nice people over there, 6 they've just indicated they're from Duquesne 7 8 Light. Thank you very much. And so when the proceeding is over, 9 please feel free to go talk to them. That's why 10 11 they're here. 12 All right. One of the last things 13 I want to do is just to emphasize, this is a 14 legal proceeding. I mean, I am sitting here in a robe, but it is a legal proceeding. It's not as 15 16 formal as a courtroom generally would be, but 17 it's still an important official proceeding. So, 18 therefore, I'm going to ask you to extend the 19 same courtesy to anyone who is speaking that you 20 probably would assume you'd have to just from watching TV shows about courtroom proceedings. 21 22 So if somebody -- if you really think you need to 23 talk to somebody about something, please leave 24 the room and come back later, all right? 25 All right. Now, Counsel for the

parties, I'm going to start with Duquesne Light, which is here in front of me on the right and then just ask you all to kind of go to your right and then back. And if you'd like to make a brief remark -- please, one per party, one attorney per party, and indicate who you are, who you represent, and then if you'd like to make a brief remark, please feel free. And let me just remind you to make sure you can always see the court

MR. KANAGY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good afternoon everyone. I'm am Anthony Kanagy.

I'm of the attorneys for Duquesne Light Company.

With me today, as well, is Tishekia Williams.

She's counsel for Duquesne Light as well.

We want to thank you for coming out today. We encourage you to testify. And again, I want to reiterate what Judge Dunderdale said. If you have questions about your service or about anything from the company, please see the company people or me or Tishekia after the hearing. And if we can't answer your questions, we'll certainly get back to you. So thanks again and we encourage you to testify.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

reporter.

1 DUNDERDALE: Thank you.

MS. MILLER: I'm going to try to do this without having my back to everybody. I'll have to get a little creative here.

Good afternoon, everybody, and thank you for coming out today. My name is Gina Miller and this is my colleague John Coogan, and we represent to Commission's Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement in this case.

That's also known as I&E. I&E represents the public interest in rate proceedings for the Commission, and that basically means for our purposes today we're trying to ensure that Duquesne's rates are just, reasonable, and nondiscriminatory, but also enable Duquesne to provide safe and reliable services at the same time.

So with that in mind, we've been conducting an extensive investigation into the rate filing for the past several months, and aiding us with that investigation is our team of expert witnesses, accountants, engineers, analysts. So we already know a great deal about the filing, but one thing that's missing from our analysis is what you can provide today, your

input into the rate filing, which we know is a very vital part of the case.

So I want to thank you for coming out again. And I do urge you to please testify on the record if you can, and that way we know that we can use it in our case. So thanks again.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DUNDERDALE: Mr. Zambito.

MR. ZAMBITO: Good afternoon. I'm

Dave Zabito with the law firm of Cozen &

O'Connor. I represent People's Natural Gas

Company. People's is the local natural gas

distribution company in the area. People's is a

customer of Duquesne Light's, so we are

contesting the rate increase generally. People's

is also a developer and soon-be owner of combined

heat power plants, and we are specifically

contesting Duquesne's proposed 220 percent

Thank you.

combined power plants.

MR. DEMANCHICK: Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Philip Demanchick, and I'm an assistant consumer advocate for the Office of Consumer Advocate. With me here today is

increase in the back-up rate that would apply to

David Evrard. He's a consumer advocate as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

established to represent the interests of residential ratepayers in front of the Public Utility Commission. That includes proceedings dealing with electricity, water, wastewater and natural gas and telephone issues. In addition, our office represents consumers in front of other public, state and federal courts, as well as other agencies at the federal level.

After reviewing Duquesne's proposal, the OCA file a formal complaint in this matter. Currently, we are investigating and looking into their filings, and we look forward to filing direct testimony on June 25th. again, today, we are here to listen to your comments, and I just want to reiterate what everyone has said, we encourage you to testify on the record. Doing so will allow us to use your position and your comments in coming up with our position in front of the Commission. Again, if you have any questions after the proceeding, please feel free to come up to talk to either one of us. We'd be more than happy to talk to you. And we have some information in front about our

office and what we do. Again, thank you for 1 2 coming out today. I appreciate it. 3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DUNDERDALE: 4 Ms. Hempstead. 5 MS. HEMPSTEAD: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DUNDERDALE: We can't hear you, so if it's 8 easier, you can actually just kind of come up and 9 10 turn around. Then she can hear you and they can 11 see you. 12 MS. HEMPSTEAD: Thank you. So my 13 name is Emma Hempstead. I am part of the 14 Pittsburgh's based Fair Shake Environmental Legal Service. So I, along with my colleagues Emily 15 Collins, Patrick Auth and Mark Zibis (phonetic), 16 17 represent Metro Resources Defense Counsel, an 18 intervener in this rate case. MRDC is 19 participating in this proceeding to ensure that 20 the important role of electric utility rate in 21 place regarding the use of energy efficiency and

Depending on how their structured, utility rates

distributed renewable energy resources are

brought to bear in the ultimate decision on

Duquesne Light's proposed rate increase.

22

23

and programs can help to expand the use of efficiency and renewables or it can impede their expansion for reasons that we'll elaborate in our submission with expert testimony. MRDC opposes the 8.82 residential increase. We believe it will disproportionally harm low-income customers, unfairly allocating costs to multi-unit apartment dwellers and we will present a submission to that effect, but just to give some background.

And we believe that moving forward with this rate increase during the pendency of the alternative docket in the PUC and the House Bill 1782 moving forward with broad-based support in the legislature may limit opportunities to better align utility's business models and revenue streams with our policy objectives of decreasing energy use through more efficient consumption and dramatically reducing greenhouse gas pollution. So we appreciate the public comments and we appreciate the opportunity to participate in furtherance of expanding the use of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and electrification of transportation in the Duquesne service area.

Thank you. Is there any other 1 DUNDERDALE: attorney who is here representing an individual 2 and I have not asked you or you have not had an 3 opportunity to speak? 5 (No response.) ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 6 7 DUNDERDALE: All right. In that case, let's go 8 ahead and get started. 9 Are there any legislators or staff 10 members of legislators present? 11 (No response.) 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 13 DUNDERDALE: All right. With that, let me call the first witness for this hearing. When I call 14 your name, please on up. We don't have a 15 16 microphone, so please just try and be aware that 17 you need to speak up. The room is not all that 18 big so you should be fine, but do please try to 19 speak up. If the court reporter can't hear you 20 or I can't hear you, we'll just ask you to repeat 21 yourself. You will see on the desk here --22 23 and I'm sorry we don't have a podium, so the desk

is the closest thing we have -- but you will see

that there are questions there. The law requires

24

you to state on the hearing record your name, your address, your occupation, your place of employment and whether you are a customer of Duquesne Light currently. So, therefore, I have that sheet there to kind of remain you. Please answer those before you actually get started.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When you testify under oath, the attorneys here may ask you questions about your testimony. Frequently they don't, but sometimes they do. The questions are normal. They're not a personal attack. Sometimes as attorneys we forget that just being asked a question can sometimes be a little disconcerting for people. So if they ask you questions, it doesn't mean you said anything wrong. It's just attorneys who want to make sure that something is clear. Sometimes I do. It's solely for the purpose of making sure that I understand what you're telling me, all right, because I need to make sure the court reporter has very clear information on the transcript.

Just do the best you can. Ask the question as well as you can, and if you don't understand it, you're allowed to say so and that's fine too. But I wouldn't worry about it.

1 If you're reading from a prepared statement and you have an extra copy with you if 2 you could give it to the court reporter before 3 you begin to testify. That's always helpful. 4 recognize that -- I think the second witness has 5 already done that for me. So that's always very 6 helpful for the court reporter. 7 All right. So let's go ahead and 8 9 get started. The first person on my list is 10 Mr. David McGaffin. Come up, sir. If you don't mind standing right over there. 11 12 MR. McGAFFIN: All right. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 13 DUNDERDALE: Are you going to testify or make a 14 15 statement. MR. McGAFFIN: 16 I'm going to 17 testify. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 18 19 DUNDERDALE: Great. Please raise your right hand 20 for me. 21 (Witness sworn.) 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 23 DUNDERDALE: Thank you. If you'd answer the 24 questions for me, please, I'd appreciate it. 25 MR. McGAFFIN: All right. My name

1 is David McGaffin. I live at 119 Napora Lane, 2 Zelienople, Pennsylvania. My job, I'm the 3 director of Pittsburgh Operations for Forest City 4 Realty Trust. I primarily manage the Station 5 Square property in Pittsburgh, and I'm personally 6 a customer of Duquesne Light, and also my 7 employer is a customer of Duquesne Light. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 8 9 DUNDERDALE: Thank you. So what would you like 10 me to know? DAVID McGAFFIN 11 Called as a witness, having been first duly 12 sworn, testified as follows: 13 14 DIRECT TESTIMONY 15 MR. McGAFFIN: So I'd like to say 16 that I am fully in support of justified rate 17 increases for Duquesne Light. Duquesne Light, 18 from my perspective, provides reliable service to 19 my personal acts and to my business accounts. 20 wouldn't like to see that service degrade. 21 any rate increase that is justified, we are -- I 22 am in support of. 23 I am also in support of the 24 Rider 16 increase as long as the amount is

I don't feel that customers who

25

justified.

- 1 aren't generating electricity should bear the
- 2 expense of the infrastructure that's required to
- 3 provide support to small generation customers.
- 4 That's about it.
- 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 6 DUNDERDALE: Okay. Any questions. Duquesne?
- 7 MR. KANAGY: No.
- 8 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 9 DUNDERDALE: Any other party? Mr. Zambito?
- 10 - -
- 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. ZAMBITO:
- 13 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. McGaffin. How are you
- 14 doing?
- 15 A. Fine. How are you?
- 16 Q. Do you have any properties that are subject
- 17 to Rider 16?
- 18 A. No, I don't.
- 19 Q. So your interest in Rider 16 is simply
- 20 ensuring that it's just and reasonable?
- 21 A. Right, and that it doesn't get charged to
- 22 customers to are not generating.
- 23 Q. And have you spoken with anybody from
- 24 Duquesne Light Company about your testimony
- 25 today?

- 1 A. I have spoken with Adam Goldbach a little
- 2 bit about this.
- 3 Q. And what did Mr. Goldbach ask you to testify
- 4 as to?
- 5 A. He just -- I wanted some explanation of the
- 6 increase on Rider 16, and whether it would impact
- 7 us or not.
- 8 Q. What specifically was your interest in
- 9 Rider 16?
- 10 A. Well, my interest is that it doesn't get
- 11 charged to the customers that are not generating.
- 12 Q. Are you aware of how extensive the use of
- 13 Rider 16 currently is?
- 14 A. I am not.
- 15 Q. Are you aware of the impact it would have
- 16 upon you or your company?
- 17 A. I am not.
- 18 Q. Did Duquesne Light specifically ask you to
- 19 discuss Rider 16?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Did you ask them to explain Rider 16?
- 22 A. No -- I did ask for an explanation.
- 23 Q. And what piqued your interest in Rider 16?
- 24 A. Well, I work with Duquesne Light closely
- 25 and, you know, I'm aware of the rate increase and

- 1 just had been asking some questions, because it
- 2 does impact our budget projections. And, you
- 3 know, I didn't really know a whole lot about
- 4 Rider 16, and I did some research, and I can't
- 5 honestly say whether I know if the amount of
- 6 increase is justified financially, but I don't
- 7 think the customers that are not generating
- 8 should have to pay for the back-up.
- 9 Q. Is that the explanation that Duquesne Light
- 10 gave to you?
- 11 A. No, that's my explanation.
- 12 Q. I'm sorry. Could you state the name of the
- 13 Duquesne Light employee again?
- 14 A. Adam Goldbach.
- 15 Q. And could you spell his last name?
- 16 A. G-O-L-D-B-A-C-H.
- 17 Q. And do you know what his title is?
- 18 A. No, I don't.
- 19 MR. ZAMBITO: No further questions.
- 20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 21 DUNDERDALE: All right. Thank you. Any other
- 22 questions from any other party?
- (No response.)
- 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- DUNDERDALE: Sir, thank you very much for your

1 time. 2 MR. McGAFFIN: Okay. Thank you. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 3 4 DUNDERDALE: The next person on my list is Mr. Gregory Reed who also brought a statement, 5 6 which I'm going to give you. 7 DR. REED: This is lengthy. I apologize but I hope to get through it quickly. 8 9 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 10 DUNDERDALE: That's fine. All right. I'm assuming you're going to testify? 11 DR. REED: Yes, ma'am. 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 13 DUNDERDALE: Raise your right hand for me, 14 15 please. 16 (Witness sworn.) 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 18 DUNDERDALE: Thank you. If you'd go ahead and 19 answer those questions first and then you can get 20 started. 21 DR. REED: Certainly. My name is 22 Dr. Gregory Reed. My address is 5024 Northfield Drive in Gibsonia, Pennsylvania. 23 professor at the University of Pittsburgh. 24 25 also the owner and sole proprietor of Power Grid

1 Energy Consulting, LLC. I am not a customer of 2 Duquesne Light. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 4 DUNDERDALE: Okay. Go ahead. 5 DR. GREGORY REED, 6 Called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT TESTIMONY 8 9 DR. REED: Just to preface my 10 statement, they're lengthy. I appreciate the 11 opportunity to get through them and I have a lot to say. They are more specifically in relation 12 13 to distribution assets including generation 14 assets at the distribution and consumer level. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 15 16 DUNDERDALE: Let me just stop you just for a 17 moment. If it makes it easier, I can see about 18 admitting this into the evidence and then you can 19 simply add to your statement. Would you like 20 that? 21 DR. REED: I'm sorry. 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 23 DUNDERDALE: Then you can simply add to your 24 In other words, I can put it in as it statement. is, and then you can add to your statement, so 25

you wouldn't necessarily have to read through all 1 of it. Would that be helpful? 2 That would be helpful, 3 DR. REED: 4 yeah. Although I would like to get through 5 everything in here. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 6 7 DUNDERDALE: That's fine. Do I have any 8 objection from an attorney if I admit this as an 9 exhibit? 10 MR. ZAMBITO: I would like a copy 11 of it for purposes of cross-examination if you are going to admit it into the record. 12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 13 14 DUNDERDALE: We might be able to provide that. Okay. I'm going to mark it as 15 16 Judge's Exhibit 1, and that way you can simply speak from it but you don't necessarily have to 17 18 recite it, okay? DR. REED: Okay. 19 Thank you. 20 (Judge's Exhibit No. 1 was marked 21 and entered into evidence.) 22 DR. REED: So again, my name is Dr. 23 Gregory Reed. I testify today as a 32 year 24 veteran of the electric power industry.

brief in my overview, but I have held positions

in engineering, research and development, executive management and education throughout my career with electric and gas utility, the Consolidated Edison Company of New York, with global manufacturers and technology providers including Westinghouse Electric, ABB, and Mitsubishi Electric, a global international consulting firm in the power industry, DNV-KEMA, and today as an academic with the University of Pittsburgh.

I work across a broad range of activities and constituents in this area and have worked on many complex projects and technologies worldwide supporting the activities of all entities, including about 200 electric and gas utilities across the globe. Thus, I feel very qualified today to speak to the distribution rate increase requested by Duquesne Light for which this hearing has been scheduled.

I'd first like to state that the electric power industry, as a whole throughout the US, is at a crossroads as it relates to distribution system integration and generation assets, both at the utility distribution level and at the end-use, industrial, commercial and

residential levels. 1 Never before has generation been integrated at the distribution and consumer 2 3 end of the system to the penetration levels we are experiencing in recent years, and which are 4 5 projected to increase exponentially in the years Indeed, for many decades, and since the 6 ahead. formation of the electric power industry in the 8 US in the early 1900s, and up until just the early part of the 21st century, the traditional 9 system design and the operation of the power 10 11 system has been from generation at large centralized plants, to the transmission of 12 13 distribution system eventually to local end 14 The proliferation in recent years of 15 distribution and consumer-end level generation is 16 a new paradigm that is evolving in the industry, for which legacy infrastructure was not designed 17 18 or built to integrate effectively or efficiently. This new era of distributed generation 19 20 penetration at these lower voltage levels of the 21 system, coupled with the challenge that many of 22 them are renewable resources, such as solar and 23 wind, as examples, are thus variable and 24 intermittent in their nature, require new 25 developments for integration into our existing

infrastructures in a reliable and safe manner.

In my opinion, industry

deregulation that began in the 1990s and which

eventually eliminated the vertically integrated

utility, primarily separating generation from

T&D, today has unintended consequences when it

comes to distribution level generation asset

ownership and operation. In simple terms,

"wires" utilities, or strictly "T&D" utilities,

such as Duquesne Light, and many more like them

across the country, are today often prohibited by

policy and regulation from owning and operating

generation assets at any level of the system for

customers or other third-party applications for

which they can recover costs.

Thus, in terms of distribution
level generation or consumer-end generation that
is built with excess capacity that can be fed
into the utility distribution system, the
consumer-end generating entity itself is then
responsible for the ownership and operation of
these assets. I strongly believe that this puts
at serious risk our regional and national
electricity reliability and security. In
addition, I also believe that this also puts at

risk a national need, and the attainment of stated goals, to enhance electricity resiliency, increase sustainability, and at the same time preserve strong economic viability of electricity service to all consumers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Within the new market environment that is rapidly developing, it is my opinion that "wires" utilities such as Duquesne Light, provide our best and most experienced option of maintaining, developing and enhancing these five important aspects, which I repeat here as electricity reliability, security, resiliency, sustainability, and economics. I could easily add safety to this list, which is also of paramount importance from an operational sense. I believe that policy and regulation, not only in Pennsylvania but across the country, should align with today's market paradigms, and allow for "wires" utilities to patriciate in generation development, ownership, and operation for consumers, including both for individual facility applications where warranted, as well as for applications within microgrid-type developments.

I go on and it's in the record of my written testimony a description of microgrids.

I'll shorten that here and say that microgrids are self-contained energy networks, smaller grids that provide enough energy resources to meet the supply and demand of the given geographic area. They tend to be one or several or more buildings in size, at the most 10 to 20 megawatts at the smallest, 10s to 100s of kilowatts. I state this because much of the local generation assets at the distribution level and the consumer end were being developed, in some cases, in the sense of microgrids.

I would like to explain a little
bit about a specific microgrid project with his
te Duquesne Light Wood's Run Microgrid project
which represents a very important demonstration
of the technologies, infrastructure, and
operational aspects of these self-supporting
energy and grid systems. This project not only
provides an opportunity for Duquesne Light but
utilities across the country, to learn in a
controlled manner how microgrids will interact
with consumers and the larger grid
interconnection, including islanding scenarios
and many other operational situations. Through
this project, Duquesne Light has the ability to

understand more of what consumers will be or may be installing and operating at distribution level and customer-end sites, and what technologies need to be further developed, improved upon to meet industry operational and interconnection standards, and to inform themselves and the industry in relation to best practices for designing, constructing, and operating within a microgrid environment. Microgrids are a very new and dynamically developing area of the power industry, and in some cases even represent disruptive change. And this is an important area that requires better understanding, more research and analysis, and additional opportunities for demonstration and early-stage developments. these reasons and others, microgrids have actually become an important focus area of my own professional activities.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's also an important
educational aspect to the Wood's Run microgrid
project that Duquesne Light is embarking upon,
not only for the industry, but for future
professionals, including engineers, technicians,
and other supporting utility personnel, and it
can serve as a live test-bed of technological

research in the future.

The University of Pittsburgh
through our energy institute is a partner with
Duquesne Light on this project. We provided
technical support on the development, design, and
concept of the project, and will remain involved
through faculty and student interactions on the
project implementation phases to help further
education and research activities. This is an
important collaboration that the entire industry
is actually following.

Pittsburgh and our region in the spotlight in terms of its importance. We have, in many ways, become a model here in Pittsburgh for others to follow and to learn from. It represents just one of may other microgrid and district energy type projects that we are investing and analyzing as a partner with the City of Pittsburgh, related to a larger regional effort on future energy developments. Pittsburgh, through our collective industry, academic and community partnerships, has recently emerged nationally and even globally as a recognized leading region in the area of microgrid technology development and

demonstration. This type of activity supports regional and economic development. We are proud of this, and it should be noted that Duquesne Light's participation in this initiative is essential. We're bringing awareness to the opportunity and effectively addressing challenges in the microgrid sector, and accelerate the development of solutions that otherwise would require prolonged time frames to achieve.

This microgrid also provides an important community service and offers advantages to Duquesne Light's customers. With the on-site generation assets at Wood's Run, Duquesne Light would be able to recover more quickly from unexpected outages, or "black swan" type events in that part of their system and they would also be able to address other emergency situations in a much quicker manner.

Duquesne Light's operations, engineering, and service departments are located at Wood's Run, which is essentially the "central nervous system" of their operations. By establishing a microgrid there with generation assets, they would provide high levels of reliability and resiliency for their operations

and their critical facilities. Duquesne Light will be able to respond to outages, disasters and other events throughout their entire system in a more expeditious and timely manner. This type of resiliency, offered through the implementation of a microgrid, cannot be overlooked, especially in times of peril and utmost need.

We are experiencing a higher frequency of occurrence of natural disasters and man-made threats to our energy and electricity infrastructure across the globe on a yearly basis, that can continue to threaten our energy security and resiliency. Microgrids are one very viable and important solution that other areas of the country are also considering in response to these changing environmental impacts, as well as from potential terrorist threats.

Electric utilities, including

Duquesne Light, are the backbone of the entire

power industry and have the knowledge, expertise,

tools, and other capabilities, developed over

many decades, to provide the most reliable means

possible for this new era of generation

interconnection at the distribution level. This

interconnection activity is upsetting the

"one-way" flow of traditional supply, not only with a "two-way" flow of electricity but with an "n-way" flow of electricity coming into and out of the distribution system. It is critical that utilities play a more direct role in this integration of distribution and consumer-end 7 Many elements of this issue need to resources. change and be developed technically, including 8 9 areas such as relay protection, control, 10 operation, energy storage devices, advanced power electronics technologies, all as examples. And, 11 thus, policy and regulations must also change and 12 align with contemporary market evolution, in 13 14 order to establish opportunities for utility 15 participation at all levels.

1

2

3

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to pose the following question, along with my own answer to it. When it comes to consumer or distribution level generation development and ownership, and more critically "operation" of such generation assets, which might be established by industrial or commercial facilities, industrial or commercial parks, communities or neighborhoods and other similar sites and related infrastructures, all of whom are not primarily in the business of

electricity generation or delivery, are these the entities that we want to rely upon for the reliable, secure, and safe service of electricity to our own homes and businesses? My emphatic answer to this question is no. I much prefer that the electric utilities provide this service in order to maintain the system integrity and the many other aspects already addressed in this testimony. No other entity has the capability to perform these functions that give us, as consumers, the ability to feel confident in our energy and electricity supply, in my opinion. would much rather see an environment in which utilities could at least partner with the likes of the above-mentioned entities and to offer services that establish a sound business, market, and technological approach to the challenges that we face.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A second question to ask, when these assets, such as distributed generation, have an emergency outage or require maintenance or dealing with other issues, and these assets will experience issues, who will be best at addressing these problems, the independent owner or commercial facility operator or community

- 1 board or neighborhood coalition or the
- 2 electricity utility? Again, my clear answer to
- 3 this question is the electric utility. It is
- 4 their primary business and their area of
- 5 expertise and capability developed over many
- 6 decades.
- 7 It is time that policy and
- 8 regulations adapt to today's rapidly changing
- 9 industry environment, and allow the "wires"
- 10 utilities the distribution to at least
- 11 competitively participate in the distribution of
- 12 consumer-end generation markets.
- 13 Furthermore, based on this
- 14 background, I would like to address more
- 15 specifically the tariff being considered today.
- 16 I would like to begin with how this relates to
- 17 social equity and to disadvantaged areas and
- 18 members of the community. Many of the entities
- 19 that first and foremost have the means and
- 20 resources, financially and otherwise, to install,
- 21 own, and operate their own generation assets are
- 22 indeed the entities that are doing so. This may
- 23 include installing solar panels on the roof of a
- 24 building or buildings, as well as ground-mounted
- 25 solar farms and wind turbines where land is

available, and include both individuals with the 1 financial means to do so, as well as many 2 3 industrial and commercial entities. This may also include installing a combined heat and power 5 plant, which are likely much more applicable to industrial or commercial entities. There are 6 7 many other resource options available, and I 8 offer these simply as examples. Regardless of the type of resource, when these entities provide 9 10 their own generation for their operations, to 11 which neither I nor Duquesne Light, in this case, 12 is at all opposed to, the use of these resources 13 naturally reduces the amount of electricity that 14 needs to be supplied by the utility, whether they 15 are recovering rates through billing of their service or not. In all cases, the utility still 16 17 needs to maintain its distribution system, keep 18 it reliably available and with enough capacity to 19 service such an entity in the case that their own 20 generation is lost due to an outage, emergency, 21 natural or manmade disaster, or other related 22 issues. As such, the utility's costs for 23 maintaining and operating its distribution assets 24 are the same, regardless of whether or not load 25 from their system is being taken by a given

consumer or consumers. This includes not only residential consumers but also small business and small commercial entities, which are largely unable to afford installing their own generation assets.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thus, in the case of independent generation assets that are owned and operated by consumers, this effectively results in "lost revenue" to the utility, yet the utility has the same cost to incur. These costs must then be aggregated or spread out among other consumers, who do not have their own generation resources. These consumers today, and in the long run, increasingly are those who do not have the means, resources, or capabilities to install, own, and operate their own generation, and in many or most cases, this represents lower-income consumers and neighborhoods, and certain disadvantaged populations. As a result, these consumers end up paying a higher rate for their electricity, yet they are among the most economically vulnerable across society. And this, in my opinion, creates a serious social issue as it relates to social equity and economic status preferentially of distributed energy resources.

1

participate in the distribution generation side of the market, a fairer and more equitable costing structure could be established and deployed for distribution and consumer-end resources, that would be much more balanced and fair to all ratepayers, and not disadvantaged as it is today to under-represent and lower-income areas of a given community. This has played out in other parts of the country, such as in Hawaii and Nevada. We should not make these same mistakes here in Pennsylvania, and we should learn from the lessons that other states have experienced to date. A model that is fair and equitable to all is achievable, but I do not believe that ultimately it is possible without the electricity utility's ability to participate in the distribution and consumer and generation market.

By allowing utilities to

Short of that ability for the utilities to participate, the rate increase being proposed by Duquesne Light provides an interconnection tariff to independent distribution and consumer-end generation assets that allows the utility the distribution to

25 t

19

20

21

22

23

24

maintain its distribution system in a proper and reliable manner, through a revenue model that is consistent with contemporary costs.

There is, from my understanding, a history specific to the Duquesne Light case, in which this tariff under Rider 16 was originally set at \$6.04 per kilowatt, but that it may have been reduced several years ago since only one entity within Duquesne Light's territory was even subject to the tariff.

Regardless, with the growth and proliferation, and in some cases, significant plans for additional generation by numerous entities that would fall under the definition subject to the tariff, Duquesne Light's request to bring the rate in-line with contemporary charges seems reasonable in my opinion. If benchmarked to other utilities and regions, within and outside of Pennsylvania, the rate increase to \$8 per kilowatt is not an unreasonable request.

Finally, in closing, I would like to state that without responsible policy or regulatory change in reference to the "wires" utility being in a position to own and operate

,	
1	distribution and consumer-end generation
2	resources in the future, we prevent the best
3	opportunity to provide equitable and reliable
4	service to all consumers.
5	I am very grateful for the
6	opportunity to offer testimony and would be
7	pleased to answer any questions. Thank you.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
9	DUNDERDALE: All right. Before I ask if there
10	are any questions, I'm going to hand this around
11	to some attorneys because attorneys like to see
12	paperwork. It makes us more comfortable.
13	All right. Any questions on cross
14	from Duquesne Light?
15	MR. KANAGY: No, Your Honor.
16	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
17	DUNDERDALE: BIE?
18	MS. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor.
19	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
20	DUNDERDALE: Go ahead.
21	
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. MILLER:
24	Q. Thank you, Dr. Reed. I think you might have
25	said this at the outset, but is it correct you

- 1 are not personally a Duquesne Light customer?
- 2 A. I am not. I'm in the First energy Penn
- 3 Power territory.
- 4 Q. And your employer is the University of
- 5 Pittsburgh?
- 6 A. My employer is the University of Pittsburgh,
- 7 yes.
- 8 Q. Do you know in they are customers of
- 9 Duquesne Light?
- 10 A. They are.
- 11 Q. And they've authorized you to come and speak
- 12 today?
- 13 A. They -- I am here as an industry
- 14 professional and as an individual.
- 15 Q. But not as an employee of the University of
- 16 Pittsburgh?
- 17 A. I am not here representing the University of
- 18 Pittsburgh in any official capacity, no.
- MS. MILLER: Just one moment,
- 20 please, Your Honor.
- 21 BY MS. MILLER:
- 22 Q. Dr. Reed, have you personally conducted any
- 23 investigation into Duquesne Light's rate increase
- 24 beyond what you testified to today?
- 25 A. Just beyond -- how beyond what I've

- 1 testified. And again, just some very simple
- 2 benchmarking.
- 3 Q. And you're not here to express the
- 4 University of Pittsburgh's position --
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. -- regarding a rate increase?
- 7 A. No. I'm am not, no.
- 8 MS. MILLER: Your Honor, I would
- 9 move to strike his testimony.
- 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 11 DUNDERDALE: I'm sorry.
- MS. MILLER: I would move to strike
- 13 Dr. Reed's testimony.
- 14 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 15 DUNDERDALE: On what basis?
- 16 MS. MILLER: He's not a customer of
- 17 the service territory. His employer is but has
- 18 not authorized him to speak in their capacity.
- 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 20 DUNDERDALE: All right. I'm going to hold off
- 21 with that.
- DR. REED: Can I answer that?
- 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 24 DUNDERDALE: Actually not yet because you may not
- 25 need to.

1 MR. KANAGY: Your Honor, if I may, 2 he is working -- I think he said he's working 3 with the company on the microgrid project. 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 5 DUNDERDALE: He did. MR. KANAGY: And he is interested 6 7 in the microgrid project, and as his role as a professor and working with students, so I do 8 9 think he has standing to testify. 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DUNDERDALE: Okay. I'm going to ask the other 11 parties for cross-examination questions and then 12 13 I'll deal with the issue of the admission of the 14 testimony. 15 Mr. Zambito. 16 MR. ZAMBITO: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 18 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ZAMBITO: 20 21 Good afternoon, Dr. Reed. 0. 22 Α. Good afternoon. 23 I&E counsel took some of my questions --24 your statement, did you read everything in your

25

written statement?

- 1 A. For the most part, yes.
- 2 Q. So I don't have to go through this.
- 3 A. I eliminated some things on my background
- 4 and some other detailed that I think were common
- 5 knowledge about the operation of the power
- 6 system.
- 7 Q. So we've established you're not a customer,
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And you're not speaking on behalf of the
- 11 University of Pittsburgh, correct?
- 12 A. I am not.
- 13 Q. Are you aware that the University of
- 14 Pittsburgh is a member of the Duquesne Industrial
- 15 Interveners Groups --
- 16 A. I am.
- 17 Q. -- who have intervened in this case?
- 18 A. I am.
- 19 Q. Are you aware of the position that the
- 20 University of Pittsburgh is taking as part of
- 21 that group.
- 22 A. Iam. Iam.
- 23 Q. Are your positions consistent with those of
- 24 your employer?
- 25 A. They're not contradictory to it.

- 1 Q. Could you explain that, please?
- 2 A. Again, these are my own opinions as a
- 3 32-year professional of the industry and as an
- 4 individual.
- 5 Q. Are you speaking on behalf of the University
- 6 of Pittsburgh Center for Energy?
- 7 A. Again, I'm not here on behalf of the
- 8 University in any official capacity.
- 9 Q. What is your role with the Pittsburgh,
- 10 University of Pittsburgh Center for Energy?
- 11 A. I'm the director of the Center for Energy
- 12 and the director of the Energy Grid Institute.
- 13 Q. And what --
- 14 A. And I'm a professor in the Swanson School of
- 15 Engineering.
- 16 Q. What do you do as the director of the Center
- 17 for --
- 18 A. I manage our research and educational
- 19 activities across a very broad range of
- 20 energy-related subjects.
- 21 Q. Where does the -- I'm sorry, is it the
- 22 Energy Institute or the Center for Energy?
- 23 A. Both the Center for Energy and the Energy
- 24 Grid Institute. It's two entities.
- 25 Q. Could you distinguish between the two of

- 1 them?
- 2 A. The Energy Grid Institute represents
- 3 activities that we are currently developing at
- 4 the Pittsburgh Innovation Center, which is an
- 5 off-campus facility. So it's an extension of our
- 6 Center for Energy but simply a distinction of
- 7 where those activities are located as opposed to
- 8 our traditional campus activities.
- 9 Q. And how are they funded?
- 10 A. Through various means, government funding,
- 11 industry support, foundation and community
- 12 support. We have various constituents.
- 13 Q. Do you play a roll in soliciting that
- 14 funding?
- 15 A. I play a roll in proposing and developing
- 16 the programs, managing the programs, and in
- 17 certain cases conducting the research, yes.
- 18 Q. So you play a role in soliciting funding for
- 19 the Center and the Institute.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. I'll come back to that. Have you spoken
- 22 with anybody from Duquesne Light regarding your
- 23 testimony today?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Have you communicated in any way with

- 1 somebody from Duquesne Light?
- 2 A. I indicated to Ben Morris and Jessica Rock
- 3 that I intended to testify here.
- 4 Q. Who are Ben Morris and Jessica Rock?
- 5 A. I don't know their exact titles. They're
- 6 employees at Duquesne Light. Jessica I believe
- 7 is Director of Marketing and Communications.
- 8 Ben, I don't know Ben's s exact title, but he is
- 9 involved in operations.
- 10 Q. Are in here today?
- 11 A. They are not.
- 12 Q. Did you seek them out to discuss your
- 13 testimony today or did they seek you out?
- 14 A. Neither really. I simply informed them that
- 15 I planned to testify.
- 16 Q. Did you tell them the general content of
- 17 your testimony?
- 18 A. I explained both to the University of
- 19 Pittsburgh Facility of Management Personnel as
- 20 well as to Mr. Morris and Jessica Rock generally
- 21 my comments, but did not seek input from either
- 22 entity.
- 23 Q. Did they give you input?
- 24 A. No, they did not.
- 25 Q. Dr. Reed, you testified that you're

- 1 generally in favor of distributive generation,
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. Absolutely. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you testified that you believe that the
- 5 wires companies, in the case Duquesne Light
- 6 Company, should be engaged in developing and
- 7 distributing --
- 8 A. That's my main message, absolutely. Again,
- 9 often and in most cases, electric utilities, the
- 10 wire companies like Duquesne Light, are
- 11 prohibited from participating in the
- 12 construction, ownership, and operation of
- 13 distributed assets at the distribution level or
- 14 consumer end. And that's the crux of my
- 15 testimony is to provide a policy and regulatory
- 16 environment that allows them to do that, to
- 17 partner with the likes of a University of
- 18 Pittsburgh or a People's Gas or a community or an
- 19 industrial park to be able to provide those
- 20 assets for them. I think that's a much more
- 21 reliable and secure way of doing it as compared
- 22 to these other individual entities bring so many
- 23 resources online, and really not as strategic a
- 24 manner street and again with less experience of
- 25 doing that. So you have a lot of entities who

1 aren't in this business that are beginning to put generation assets on their own facilities, and in 2 3 a long run this reduces -- it provides risk to 4 reliability, security, safety, resiliency. 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 6 DUNDERDALE: Okay, I'm going to interrupt. 7 spending a lot of time on one witness and I have a whole bunch of other witnesses. 8 Yeah, I'm sorry. 9 DR. REED: ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 10 DUNDERDALE: So I apologize to -- because I'm 11 12 going to shorten up. I need you to keep your 13 cross really short now, and I need the others to do as well because I have other participants. 14 15 MR. ZAMBITO: I'll finish up, 16 Your Honor. BY MR. ZAMBITO: 17 But yes, I'm in favor of that. 18 Α. 19 Are you familiar with the concept of a 20 standby rate? 21 Α. Yes. 22 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 23 DUNDERDALE: A stand-by? 24 MR. ZAMBITO: Stand-by rate or

25

back-up rate.

- DR. REED: Yes.
- 2 BY MR. ZAMBITO:
- 3 Q. Would you agree that if the back-up rate is
- 4 too high, it would discourage the development of
- 5 distributed generated projects?
- 6 A. It could.
- 7 Q. When the utility develops it's own
- 8 distributed generation projects for a microgrid,
- 9 do you believe that it's appropriate that other
- 10 ratepayers should share in the expense of that
- 11 project because of the overall benefit?
- 12 A. If it improves the reliability of the
- 13 overall system to all consumers, yes.
- 14 Q. If a private entity develops a distributed
- 15 generation project that improves the reliability
- 16 to the overall system, should other ratepayers
- 17 also share in that as well?
- 18 A. In the same way, if it does have tangible
- 19 reliability improvements, yes.
- 20 Q. You mentioned that you were partnering with
- 21 Duquesne Light Company on the Wood's Run Project.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. I'll finish up now. Could you specify how
- 24 much money Duquesne Light has contributed to both
- 25 your institute and your center in the past, and

- 1 what you anticipate them to be contributing in
- 2 the future?
- 3 A. We have a partnership agreement that has not
- 4 been finalized yet. So I really do not have a
- 5 number to give you. They have been our partner
- 6 in our activities at the Energy Innovation Center
- 7 and to date have provided in-kind interconnection
- 8 into our laboratory at that facility. Beyond
- 9 that, there has been no financial exchange from
- 10 Duquesne Light to the University of Pittsburgh
- 11 related to my programs.
- 12 Q. Have they made a commitment, a financial
- 13 dollar amount commitment?
- 14 A. There is a partnership agreement that was
- publicly announced in 2015 towards a \$500,000
- 16 investment. But again, this has not been --
- 17 there has been no financial exchange to date on
- 18 that partnership.
- 19 Q. So just to summarize, Duquesne Light has
- 20 committed to contribute a half-million dollars to
- 21 your institute?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. No further questions.
- 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 25 DUNDERDALE: OCA?

MS. HEMPSTEAD: Yes, Your Honor. 1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 2 3 DUNDERDALE: First OCA. No? 4 MR. DEMANCHICK: No. 5 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 6 DUNDERDALE: Okay. Ms. Hempstead. 7 MS. HEMPSTEAD: Yes, Your Honor. 8 do have a question. 9 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HEMPSTEAD: 11 Could you elaborate on your qualification 12 Q. 13 and background with regard to the issues that you 14 mentioned --15 With what? Α. 16 With regard to the equity issues that you Q. 17 mentioned in your testimony as it relates to --18 Not specifically. Again, I've been in the Α. 19 middle of these issues for many years throughout 20 my career, and especially in recent years because 21 I've been involved quite significantly, and in a 22 national level in regards to microgrid 23 developments. And the more that I participate in 24 that and see what's happening with distributed 25 resources and ownership and operation of

- 1 resources, I'm seeing the social equity issue be
- 2 a big problem with what we're doing. And again,
- 3 I think policy and regulation would help with
- 4 that.
- 5 But I don't do social work
- 6 specifically if that's what you're asking. I'm
- 7 not sure of the nature of the question. Again,
- 8 this is all based on my experience and my
- 9 representation here as an industry professional
- 10 and years of experience.
- 11 Q. Is that experience primarily related to your
- 12 work at the University of Pittsburgh?
- 13 A. At the University of Pittsburgh as well as
- 14 at my work with Mitsubishi Electric, with the
- other organizations including Con Edison in my
- 16 past that I've worked with. So it's really an
- 17 evolution of experiences.
- MS. HEMPSTEAD: No further
- 19 questions.
- 20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 21 DUNDERDALE: Is that it?
- MS. HEMPSTEAD: Yes.
- 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 24 DUNDERDALE: I have a motion to strike. I'm
- 25 going to deny it. I'm going to allow the

statement to come in for what it's worth. I do recognize this individual is not a customer. We have permitted non-customers to testify periodically. So I'm going to allow it to stand.

That very much, sir.

DR. REED: Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DUNDERDALE: All right. The next person on my list, and I'll just apologize ahead of time because I, just two witnesses ago told you that it doesn't take long and then barely any cross-examination and the attorneys just proved that that statement wasn't right. But hopefully, we'll move on fairly quickly.

I will note for the record, we do
have a bunch of individuals who may be customers
but they're also individuals who may be coming
with some longer testimony. So for that reason,
I am going to try and limit us. No one else gave
me a prepared statement, so I don't think I have
that in the future. However, I'm probably going
to start looking at my watch after about five,
certainly after ten minutes. So let's try and
keep it a little shorter if we can.

All right. That having been said,

1 Mr. Jonathan Potts. I always feel like saying, "come on down." 2 3 Oh, he does have a written 4 statement. I was wrong. Thank you. Are you 5 going to testify? 6 MR. POTTS: I am. 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 8 DUNDERDALE: All right. Would you raise your 9 right hand for me, please? 10 (Witness sworn.) ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 11 12 DUNDERDALE: Thank you. I will ask you to answer 13 those questions, and while you do so I'm going to 14 hand these out, not that we're going to admit the 15 statement but I shouldn't be the only one who 16 gets to look at these notes. If you'd answer the 17 questions for me, please. 18 MR. POTTS: Sure. My name is 19 Jonathan Potts. I'm here on behalf of my 20 employer, Robert Morris University which is located at 6001 University Boulevard, Moon 21 22 Township, 15108. The University is a customer of 23 Duquesne Light. I happen to be as well at my 24 home which is at 540 Rossmore Avenue, Pittsburgh 25 15226.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

2 DUNDERDALE: Great. Thank you.

JONATHAN POTTS,

Called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. POTTS: Good afternoon. As noted my name is Jonathan Potts. I'm the Vice President of Public Relations and Marketing at Robert Morris University in Moon Township, and I speak today on behalf of the University regarding the rate increase request by Duquesne Light. In particular, we are concerned about Duquesne Light Company's proposal to increase the distribution component of the Back-Up Service rate charged to owners of electric power generation facilities taking this type of service by 220 percent.

Currently, RMU is exploring the possibility of developing a combined heat and power, or CHP system, in order to increase energy efficiency on campus and provide a more reliable and resilient energy supply. The distribution component of the Back-Up Service in Rider Number 16 of Duquesne Light's tariff is proposed to increase by 220 percent as noted, from \$2.50 per

```
1
    kilowatt to $8.00 per kilowatt. A rate increase
2
    of such magnitude could threaten the financial
    viability of a CHP on our campus, as RMU's
3
    primary source of revenue is the tuition paid by
5
    our students.
                 Furthermore, the proposed increase
6
7
    to the distribution component of Duquesne Light's
8
    Back-Up Service would undermine the Public
9
    Utility Commission's own policy statement
10
    endorsing CHPs. The Commission has in the recent
11
    past emphasized the many benefits of CHPs,
12
    including environmental stewardship, reduction in
13
    energy consumption, and enhanced reliability.
14
    The rate increase currently under consideration
15
    would, unfortunately, be a significant impediment
16
    to the development of these vital projects.
    Thank you.
17
18
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
19
    DUNDERDALE: All right. Thank you.
20
                 Any questions on cross?
21
                 MS. WILLIAMS:
                                 Yes, Your Honor.
22
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
23
    DUNDERDALE:
                 Go ahead.
24
                 MS. WILLIAMS:
                                 Thank you.
```

25

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MS. WILLIAMS:
- 3 Q. You testified that the increase, the
- 4 proposed increase to Rider 16 was 220 percent.
- 5 What is the source of that number?
- 6 A. I believe I got that from doing our research
- 7 and consulting with the people on campus who have
- 8 been, you know, more familiar with our
- 9 exploration of this project.
- 10 Q. So the source of your 220 percent increase
- 11 is RMU --
- 12 A. It's a public record. I believe -- I did
- 13 some -- when I was called in to help with this,
- 14 they did some research, and our staff at the
- 15 University who have been more closely working on
- 16 this provided me with that information.
- 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 18 DUNDERDALE: I will also note for the record it's
- 19 at least in People's materials that are available
- 20 publicly.
- 21 BY MS. WILLIAMS:
- 22 Q. Were you asked to come here and testify by
- 23 anyone?
- 24 A. My senior leadership at the University had a
- 25 discussion and made a decision to send someone,

- 1 and I was the most likely person.
- 2 Q. And is Robert Morris University working with
- 3 People's Natural Gas on this issue?
- 4 A. We're exploring a project with them. That's
- 5 all I'm at liberty to say about that.
- 6 Q. And one last question. You are not
- 7 currently a customer on Rider 16?
- 8 A. We're not currently -- we do not currently
- 9 have a combined heat and power or other microgrid
- 10 that I'm aware of. So no to the best of my
- 11 knowledge.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
- 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 14 DUNDERDALE: BIE?
- MS. MILLER: No, Your Honor.
- 16 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 17 DUNDERDALE: Mr. Zambito?
- MR. ZAMBITO: No questions,
- 19 Your Honor.
- 20 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 21 DUNDERDALE: OCA?
- MR. DEMANCHICK: No, Your Honor.
- 23 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
- 24 DUNDERDALE: See, that's the way it's supposed to
- 25 be.

1	Thank you very much.
2	MR. POTTS: Thank you.
3	MS. HEMPSTEAD: No, thank you.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
5	DUNDERDALE: Let me just note for the record that
6	we have been joined by one of our local
7	representatives, Mr. Jake Wheatly. Thank you for
8	coming down.
9	REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLY: Thank you.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
11	DUNDERDALE: And I understand that you don't need
12	to speak today?
13	REPRESENTATIVE WHEATLY: No. I
14	think you have enough speakers today.
15	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16	DUNDERDALE: All right. Let me call next I
17	apologize. I don't know if this is a Mr. or Ms.,
18	Jamie White. A Mr. White, are you going to
19	testify today?
20	MR. WHITE: I am, Your Honor.
21	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
22	DUNDERDALE: All right. If you could raise your
23	right hand for me, please.
24	(Witness sworn.)
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW HINGE

1	DUNDERDALE: Thank you. If you'll answer those
2	questions for me, please.
3	Oh, we have a statement here.
4	MR. WHITE: My name is Jamie White.
5	I live at 25 Grandview Avenue, Hickory, PA. I
6	work for LLI Engineering. I'm actually one of
7	the owners, and we are a customer of Duquesne
8	Light through our landlord. I'm am an engineer
9	that designs CHP plants. I'm here
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
11	DUNDERDALE: Go ahead.
12	JAMIE WHITE,
13	Called as a witness, having been first duly
14	sworn, testified as follows:
15	DIRECT TESTIMONY
16	MR. WHITE: Good afternoon, my name
17	is Jamie White and I am a Board Member and
18	speaking on behalf of NAIOP Pittsburgh. I am
19	also a Professional Engineer registered in
20	multiple states including Pennsylvania, who
21	designed utility services within facilities and
22	campuses, including co-gen plants.
23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
24	DUNDERDALE: Can I stop you for just a minute.
25	Could you tell me that acronym again NATOP?

1	MR. WHITE: NAIOP. It's used to
2	stand for National Association of Industrial
3	Office Parks.
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
5	DUNDERDALE: Thank you.
6	MR. WHITE: It's a commercial real
7	estate organization.
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
9	DUNDERDALE: All right. Thank you very much. Go
10	ahead.
11	MR. WHITE: NAIOP is the largest
12	regional association of developers, owners,
13	investors and professionals of commercial real
14	estate in Pittsburgh. On behalf of the more than
15	350 members, I am here today to express our
16	strong concerns for certain provisions within the
17	proposed Duquesne Light proposed tariff changes.
18	The commercial real estate
19	development in Pennsylvania is a powerful
20	economic engine, creating jobs and generating
21	significant financial contributions to the
22	economy. Within our state alone, commercial real
23	estate contributes \$36.4 billion to the Gross
24	Domestic Product.

As the Pittsburgh Chapter of NAIOP,

we have deep concerns about these proposed changes and believe that the proposed tariff, if approved, will have a chilling effect on the economy within the Duquesne Light territory.

Specifically, we are concerned with Rider 16, which increases the current "Back-Up Service Distribution" charge from \$2.50 per KW to \$8.00 per KW.

Several NAIOP members have co-generation projects in various stages of development within the Duquesne Light territory. This increase to the back-up service fee may cause those projects to be cancelled. In fact, Duquesne Light provided an analysis to one of my CHP clients showing that the Duquesne Light fees will be the same after the client installs a 3-megawatt CHP plant as the fees are prior to the installation, whereas should the Rider 16 charges remain as per the existing tariff, the project would provide meaningful savings to the client.

We believe that co-generation, CHP, solar, wind and other on-site generation utilizing microgrid technology is important for improving the business competitiveness of the region. It will lower overall utility costs.

1	And, most importantly, it will improve the
2	reliability to the existing electric grid by
3	diversifying generation sources.
4	We hope that you will take our
5	membership's concerns with the seriousness with
6	which they are offered, and deny the Rider 16
7	rate increase entirely.
8	On behalf of NAIOP Pittsburgh,
9	thank you for your attention to these issues.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
11	DUNDERDALE: Thank you.
12	Any questions on cross? Duquesne?
13	MS. WILLIAMS: Just one,
14	Your Honor.
15	
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. WILLIAMS:
18	Q. You testified that someone from Duquesne
19	Light provided an analysis about a pending CHP
20	project. Who was that?
21	A. Who from Duquesne Light?
22	Q. Uh-huh.
23	A. I'm not sure. I can give it to you and you

25 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

24

can tell me.

```
1
    DUNDERDALE: He doesn't know. The answer is he
    doesn't know.
2
                 MR. WHITE: I don't know.
3
4
                 MS. WILLIAMS: No further
5
    questions.
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
6
7
    DUNDERDALE:
                 Okay. BIE?
8
                 MS. MILLER: No questions,
9
    Your Honor.
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
10
11
    DUNDERDALE: Mr. Zambito.
                 MR. ZAMBITO: No, Your Honor.
12
13
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
14
    DUNDERDALE: OCA?
15
                 MR. DEMANCHICK: No, Your Honor.
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16
17
    DUNDERDALE: Okay, Ms. Hempstead?
18
                 MS. HEMPSTEAD: No, Your Honor.
19
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
20
    DUNDERDALE: All right. Sir, thank you very
21
    much.
22
                 I will apologize, not to all of you
    here because I just got my list screwed up, so if
23
    you notice that somebody came after you and has
24
    testified before you, I apologize. I think I'm
```

- 1	
1	about to get it back in order, but I might so
2	anyway, I apologize.
3	I do have a Ms. Cherylie Fuller.
4	Did I pronounce that correctly?
5	MS. FULLER: Yes, ma'am.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
7	DUNDERDALE: Please come up. Are you going to
8	testify today?
9	MS. FULLER: Yes, ma'am.
10	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
11	DUNDERDALE: All right. If you'd raise your
12	right hand, please.
13	(Witness sworn.)
14	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
15	DUNDERDALE: Thank you. Would you answer those
16	questions and just make sure you spell your first
17	name.
18	MS. FULLER: My name is Cherylie
19	Fuller, C-H-E-R-Y-L-I-E, Fuller, F-U-L-L-E-R. I
20	live at 7043 Hamilton Avenue, Pittsburgh. I'm
21	retired and yes, I am a Duquesne Light customer.
22	CHERYLIE FULLER,
23	Called as a witness, having been first duly
24	sworn, testified as follows:
25	DIRECT TESTIMONY

1	MS. FULLER: I am against the
2	increase. As a retiree, my husband and I are
3	senior citizens and we are retirees. We are on a
4	fixed income. We receive pension. My husband
5	receives Social Security. I will be receiving
6	Social Security this year, great. I have finally
7	reached that age of coming to where I can afford
8	a little bit more, and that little bit more would
9	not like to go into increased electrical bills.
LO	The electrical bills now for our home are sort of
L1	astronomical. We are at a income limit to where
L2	we do not qualify for any of programs. We do not
13	qualify for LIHEAP, and it's the same with the
14	gas company. So when we the more you
15	increase, the more we pay. So where do we get a
16	savings? And we're not here we're not so much
17	concerned with the low-income, because we're not
18	considered low income. We're not considered high
19	income. We are in the middle. So my concern is
20	when the bills go up, we are set where we are
21	set, but then no more income is coming in. And I
22	don't want to have to go get another job because
23	my utility bills are going up. Thank you.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

24

25

DUNDERDALE: Thank you. Any questions on cross.

1		No from Duquesne? BIE?
2		MS. MILLER: No, Your Honor.
3		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
4	DUNDERDALE:	Mr. Zambito?
5		MR. ZAMBITO: No.
6		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
7	DUNDERDALE:	OCA?
8		MR. DEMANCHICK: No.
9		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
10	DUNDERDALE:	Ms. Hempstead?
11		MS. HEMPSTEAD: No.
12		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
13	DUNDERDALE:	Thank you very much.
14		MS. FULLER: Thank you.
15		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16	DUNDERDALE:	Ms. Jacqueline Hill. Were you going
17	to testify?	
18		MS. HILL: Comment.
19		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
20	DUNDERDALE:	Were you going to testify?
21		MS. HILL: Can I comment?
22		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
23	DUNDERDALE:	You can comment. It will be off the
24	record. Is	that all right?
25		MS. HILL: Yes, ma'am.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

- 2 DUNDERDALE: All right. That's fine. Go ahead.
- 3 MS. HILL: My name is Jacqueline
- 4 Hill. My address is 92 Jeremiah,
- 5 J-E-R-E-M-I-A-H, Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15218. I
- 6 am currently retired, and I am a customer of
- 7 Duquesne Light.

1

24

25

I think it's important to take into 8 9 consideration that Allegheny County is one of the 10 largest populations of senior citizens in the 11 country. So when you talk about increasing 12 consumer rates, you're impacting a group of 13 people whose income, for the most part, is 14 stagnant, will not grow. Because of being on a 15 fixed income, either retirement Social Security. 16 So it makes a difference when you continue to take -- to take these increases without taking 17 18 that into consideration. The average income of 19 senior citizens in Allegheny County is somewhere 20 around \$890. A number of their rents exceed 50 21 percent of that income. Many are ineligible for the various energy programs. 22 I heard the 23 gentleman who works at Station Square say he was

shift -- that instead of consumer increase, that

I say that we

in favor of the rent increase.

1	we shift it to t	he commercial and industrial
2	markets and leav	e consumers alone. Thank you.
3	ADM	INISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
4	DUNDERDALE: All	right. Thank you very much.
5	Oka	y. Mr. Henry McKay. Oh my
6	goodness, he gav	e me a whole bunch of copies. Is
7	that all one thi	ng?
8	Ms.	MILLER: No.
9	ADM	INISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
10	DUNDERDALE: Two	pages?
11	MR.	McKAY: Two pages, yeah.
12	ADM	INISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
13	DUNDERDALE: Not	that we're going to be admitting
14	this into the re	cord, Attorneys. I'll be passing
15	this out because	a bunch of trees had to die.
16	If	you would are you going to
17	testify?	
18	MR.	McKAY: Yes.
19	ADM	INISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
20	DUNDERDALE: Rai	se your right hand, please.
21		Witness sworn.)
22	ADM	INISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
23	DUNDERDALE: Tha	nk you. If you'd answer those
24	questions for me	first, please.
25	MR.	McKAY: My name is Henry McKay.

1	I live at 6522 Landview Road, Pittsburgh
2	Pennsylvania. My job is Pennsylvania Program
3	Director of Solar United Neighbors and I am a
4	customer of Duquesne Light.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
6	DUNDERDALE: Thank you. Go ahead.
7	HENRY MCKAY,
8	Called as a witness, having been first duly
9	sworn, testified as follows:
10	DIRECT TESTIMONY
11	MR. McKAY: As I said, my name is
12	Henry McKay. I am the Pennsylvania Program
13	Director of Solar United Neighbors. We are a
14	nonprofit organization that helps people go
15	solar, join together, and fight for their energy
16	rights.
17	Today I am speaking on behalf of
18	Solar United Neighbors of Pennsylvania and the
19	Duquesne Light customers who have signed our
20	petition in opposition to this proposed increase
21	in our electricity costs. The signatory of the
22	petition are included on the statement.
23	I am also speaking on behalf of
24	myself, a Duquesne Light customer.

We support maintaining and

upgrading our electrical grid to be more resilient and capable of integrating solar, battery storage, EVs, and other forms of clean energy. We understand that customers may need to shoulder some of the costs of modernizing the grid. However, we strongly believe that increasing the fixed charge, or customer charge is a fundamentally unfair way to raise revenue.

Higher fixed charges erode customer control over our energy costs by weakening the connection between our actual electricity usage and our bills. In doing so, they discourage people from adopting energy efficiency measures and installing solar photovoltaic systems. This is particularly troubling because Allegheny and Beaver Counties each recently earned a grade of "F" on the American Lung Association's State of the Air Report Card. By discouraging distributed solar energy and energy efficiency, higher fixed costs weaken two of our most important tools to improve our air and protect our health.

Higher fixed costs are also regressive in that they disproportionately burden lower-income ratepayers. The proposed cost increase would make up a larger share of a

- 1 lower-income ratepayer's monthly budget and
- 2 reduce their control over their costs.
- 3 Additionally, the proposed rate increase will
- likely discourage moderate and low-income
- households from investing in solar. 5

7

8

9

11

13

20

21

22

25

To illustrate this point, consider three hypothetical homeowners who are interested in installing a solar photovoltaic system that would produce just over 90 percent of their annual electricity usage. Keep in mind that the 10 central metric for most people considering going solar is how much money they can save on their 12 electric bill. Solar United Neighbors estimates 14 that under Duquesne Light's proposed new rates, a 15 homeowner with 10,000-kilowatt hours of annual 16 electricity usage considering a 7.5-kilowatt 17 solar system would see their expected year one 18 savings fall by \$2.23. A homeowner with only 19 4,000-kilowatt hours of annual usage considering a 3-kilowatt solar system would see their expected year one savings fall by \$14.57 under the proposed rates. And finally, a homeowner 23 with 3000-kilowatt hours of annual usage considering a 2.3-kilowatt solar system would see 24

their expected annual savings fall by \$16.32

under the proposed rates.

electricity usage generally correlates with income, it's clear that the disincentive to go solar created by the proposed rates is stronger for lower-income households. This is fundamentally unfair, disproportionately impacting those families that could most benefit from lower energy costs through rooftop solar.

Instead of discouraging people from weatherizing their houses or going solar,
Duquesne Light should consider alternative rate-making systems that support and reward clean and efficient energy while still providing adequate revenue, according to the PUC's recent guidance to Utility Docket Number M-2015-2518883. The existing rate-making paradigm rewards consumption and inefficiency by compensating utilities based largely on the volume of electricity they sell. Since utilities are beholden to profit-seeking shareholders, this paradigm inevitably puts them in conflict with the public's interest in reducing energy consumption and controlling electricity costs.

Instead, utilities should be

1 financially rewarded based on how well they 2 achieve broadly supported goals that benefit the public. A rate-making paradigm that is 3 4 performance-based, not volume-based, would allow 5 utilities and their customers to work together towards the cleaner, fairer energy system of the 6 7 future. And crucially, it would reduce the need 8 for fixed charge increases such as this one. 9 It's time for the Commission to 10 take a firm stand against unfair fixed charges 11 and support performance-based rate-making. 12 Thank you. 13 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 14 DUNDERDALE: I noticed that part of what you 15 handed to me to -- to the court reporter, and I 16 to the attorneys, seems to be some sort of a 17 petition? 18 MR. McKAY: Yes. 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 20 DUNDERDALE: And it's addressed to the PUC 21 Commissioners. Did you want me to admit this 22 into the record? 23 MR. McKAY: Yes, please. 24 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 25 All right. I have passed out DUNDERDALE:

already copies of this to the attorneys. Is
there any objection from the attorneys? I have
counted. There appears to be approximately 38
names on this petition. It includes names, home
address. I think that's it, and it's a petition
that indicates that these are customers.

MR. KANAGY: Your Honor, we would object to Mr. McKay sponsoring the petition with the customers. I don't believe he's an attorney or authorized to represent them. So we would note our objection.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DUNDERDALE: Yeah. I don't think he's an attorney either. I probably used the wrong term when I said would you like me to "admit" this to the record. I think it's more a question as to whether or not we bring it in and it's something that the Commissioners can see more than anything else. Is there still a standing objection.

MR. KANAGY: No objection if it's not in the record.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

23 DUNDERDALE: It would be an exhibit.

MR. KANAGY: I think with the qualification that you provided, we're fine,

1	Your Honor.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
3	DUNDERDALE: Okay. Any statement from the BIE?
4	MS. MILLER: We support the
5	admission into the record, Your Honor.
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
7	DUNDERDALE: Okay. Mr. Zambito for People's.
8	MR. ZAMBITO: Nothing, Your Honor.
9	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
10	DUNDERDALE: OCA?
11	MR. DEMANCHICK: We support the
12	admission, Your Honor.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
14	DUNDERDALE: Ms. Hempstead says yes or she nods
15	yes.
16	MS. HEMPSTEAD: Yes.
17	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
18	DUNDERDALE: Okay. I'm going to mark it as
19	Judge's Exhibit 2, just this specific it is a
20	one piece of paper, two-sided and it has 38
21	names and a short petition at the top of it. And
22	I'm going to mark it as Judge's Exhibits 2.
23	(Judge's Exhibit No. 2 was marked
24	for identification.)
25	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

-		
1	DUNDERDALE:	All right. Any questions on cross,
2	because I di	dn't ask that.
3		No?
4		MS. MILLER: No, Your Honor.
5		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
6	DUNDERDALE:	And I'm assuming Peoples none?
7		MR. ZAMBITO: No.
8		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
9	DUNDERDALE:	OCA?
10		MR. DEMANCHICK: No, Your Honor.
11		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
12	DUNDERDALE:	Ms. Hempstead?
13		MS. HEMPSTEAD: None.
14		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
15	DUNDERDALE:	Thank you very much.
16		All right. Mr. Todd is it Redbud
17	or	
18		MR. REIDBORD: "Reidbord."
19		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
20	DUNDERDALE:	What is it?
21		MR. REIDBORD: "Reidbord."
22		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
23	DUNDERDALE:	"Reidbord"?
24		MR. REIDBORD: Yes.
25		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

1	DUNDERDALE: All right. I know that I will
2	eventually need you to spell your name for me.
3	Are you going to testify today?
4	MR. REIDBORD: Yes.
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
6	DUNDERDALE: Good. Raise your right hand.
7	(Witness sworn.)
8	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
9	DUNDERDALE: Thank you. Answer those questions
10	for me and spell your last name.
11	MR. REIDBORD: Sure. My name is
12	Todd Reidbord, R-E-I-D-B-O-R-D. I live at
13	1437 Inverness Avenue, Pittsburgh. I'm the
14	president and founder of Walnut Capital. We're a
15	commercial development firm in Pittsburgh. And
16	we are a large commercial customer as well as I'm
17	a personal customer at my house.
18	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
19	DUNDERDALE: Thank you.
20	TODD REIDBORD,
21	Called as a witness, having been first duly
22	sworn, testified as follows:
23	DIRECT TESTIMONY
24	MR. REIDBORD: I'm here to we
25	are customers of Duquesne Light, Peoples, all the

```
1
    major utilities in the City of Pittsburgh, large
    commercial customers. I'm really here to testify
2
3
    that -- to fairness and rate charges. We think
4
    that it's appropriate that those who decide to go
5
    the route of the microgrids bear the costs of
6
    that so that the customers who aren't quite as
    large as some of the institutions can -- don't
7
    have to pay for that and can -- don't have
8
9
    excessive rate increases due to the fact that
10
    other customers are using the microgrids.
11
                 We understand that Duquesne Light
12
    needs to provide good, solid, reliable power,
13
    which is very important for us and our commercial
14
    customers and our tenants.
                                 Thank you.
15
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16
    DUNDERDALE:
                 Okay. Any questions on cross.
17
                 Duquesne?
18
                 MR. KANAGY: No, thank you.
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
19
20
    DUNDERDALE:
                 BIE?
21
                 MS. MILLER: No, Your Honor.
22
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
23
    DUNDERDALE:
                 Peoples?
24
                 MR. ZAMBITO: No.
25
                  ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
```

1	DUNDERDALE:	OCA?
2		MR. DEMANCHICK: No.
3		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
4	DUNDERDALE:	Ms. Hempstead?
5		MS. HEMPSTEAD: No.
6		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
7	DUNDERDALE:	Thank you very much.
8		MR. REIDBORD: Thank you.
9		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
10	DUNDERDALE:	Okay. Mr. Mark Johnson. Is he
11	still here?	
12		MR. JOHNSON: I didn't sign up to
13	testify.	
14		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
15	DUNDERDALE:	I'm sorry. Say again?
16		MR. JOHNSON: I don't want to
17	testify.	
18		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
19	DUNDERDALE:	Okay. All right.
20		Ms. Hempstead, I have both
21	Ms. Rosini's	name and your name down here. You
22	weren't plan	ning on testifying, were you?
23		MS. HEMPSTEAD: No.
24		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
25	DUNDERDALE:	Mr. David Onorato. Is he still

```
1
    here?
2
                 AUDIENCE MEMBER: He had to leave.
3
    He left.
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
4
5
    DUNDERDALE: He left?
                 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
6
7
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
    DUNDERDALE: Okay. Ms. Kelsey Krepps.
8
9
                 Oh good. I like to have customers
    or individuals, whether you're a customer or not.
10
11
                 MS. KREPPS: It's a mix.
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
12
13
    DUNDERDALE: Are you going to testify?
                 MS. KREPPS: Yes.
14
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
15
16
    DUNDERDALE: Great. Please raise your right
17
    hand.
18
                    (Witness sworn.)
                 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
19
20
    DUNDERDALE: Thank you. If you can, please
21
    answer the questions for me.
22
                 MS. KREPPS: Sure. My name is
23
    Kelsey Krepps. I live at 16 Gaskill Street, and
24
    I am the Western PA outreach coordinator for Penn
    Future. I also am a customer of Duquesne Light.
25
```

1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 2 DUNDERDALE: Okay. And you spell your name --3 MS. KREPPS: K-E-L-S-E-Y, Krepps, 4 K-R-E-P-P-S. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 5 DUNDERDALE: All right. Thank you. 6 7 KELSEY KREPPS, Called as a witness, having been first duly 8 9 sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT TESTIMONY 10 11 MS. KREPPS: For the most part --I apologize. I am sick so please bear 12 sorry. 13 with me. But for the most part, I agree with 14 most of the points that's been brought up. apologize. I also didn't get your name but the 15 program director of the Solar United Neighbors. 16 17 For the most part, I am concerned 18 about these proposed rates falling on low to 19 middle-income families who are already paying high energy burdens within Pittsburgh. 20 21 Pittsburgh is nationally ranked as one of the 22 higher cities, within the top ten, as far as 23 energy burdens. These proposed rates, especially 24 on residential consumers, are unfair to them and 25 what they are able to -- to make ends meet.

independent evaluator has repeatedly reiterated that we're also not implementing as many of our cost-effective energy efficiency measures available to us, and I think instead of increasing residential customer rates that the DLC should support and implement clean energy and more energy efficient measures. Putting these rate on low to middle-income families decreases their potential interest and also makes it less attractive to actually partake in weatherization programs, solar programs, any energy efficiency measures such as retrofitting light fixtures. With our costs that are already high, these kinds of things are as -- as desirable.

Additionally, the proposed rates increases for back-up power discourages clean and renewable energy production. Not only are these rates targeting myself and other customers who could be interested in purchasing more clean power, but they're also targeting our natural resources. These proposed rates take away our right to choose what's best for us and kind of force the hands of both low and middle-income families and also people who are more interested

1		
1	in purchasin	g cleaner power.
2		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
3	DUNDERDALE:	Okay. Thank you. Any questions on
4	cross?	
5		MR. KANAGY: No.
6		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
7	DUNDERDALE:	BIE?
8		MS. MILLER: No, Your Honor.
9		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
10	DUNDERDALE:	Peoples?
11		MR. ZAMBITO: No, Your Honor.
12		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
13	DUNDERDALE:	OCA?
14		MR. DEMANCHICK: No, Your Honor.
15		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
16	DUNDERDALE:	Ms. Hempstead?
17		MS. HEMPSTEAD: No, Your Honor.
18		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
19	DUNDERDALE:	All right. Thank you very much.
20		All right. Mr. Nicholas that's
21	a great last	name. Can you pronounce it?
22		MR. KYRIAZI: "Kyriazi."
23		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
24	DUNDERDALE:	"Kyriazi." I love that name. Are
25	you going to	testify?

1	MR. KYRIAZI: Yes, Your Honor.
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
3	DUNDERDALE: You are.
4	(Witness sworn.)
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
6	DUNDERDALE: Thank you. If you would answer
7	those questions and spell your first and last
8	name, please.
9	MR. KYRIAZI: Nicholas Kyriazi,
10	K-Y-R-I-A-Z-I, 517 Avery Street. I'm a retired
L1	biomedical engineer, and yes, I am a customer of
12	Duquesne Light.
13	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
L 4	DUNDERDALE: Thank you. Go ahead.
15	NICHOLAS KYRIAZI,
16	Called as a witness, having been first duly
17	sworn, testified as follows:
18	DIRECT TESTIMONY
19	MR. KYRIAZI: I think Duquesne
20	Light is a good company. I think it does its job
21	well. I think it should operate without
22	subsidies, but certainly, charge what it
23	enough to cover its cost and make a profit.
24	I am an all-electric customer, and
25	I the reason being is I'm afraid of gas and I

don't like the idea of flammable fluids coming 1 2 into my house. And Duquesne Light used to have 3 an electric heat discount which it phased out. It worked that if you used more than a certain 5 quantity of kilowatt hours from November through 6 April you paid a discount rate, a quantity 7 discount. I have two heat pumps in my house, 8 9 another one in a rental house, and three more in 10 an apartment building that I own. Whenever we have very cold weather, my electric bills are 11 12 very high. I've heard the kilowatt hours listed 13 for different customers. My kilowatt-hour usage 14 in January was 5,200-kilowatt hours. 15 equated to a \$669 electric bill. My tenant in my rental house had a heating bill that was over 16 17 \$800, which is close to her rent. 18 So I would simply like to request 19 that Duquesne Light bring back the quantity electric heat discount. 20 21 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 22 DUNDERDALE: Thank you. Any questions from 23 Duquesne?

MR. KANAGY:

No.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

24

			135
1	DUNDERDALE:	BIE?	
2		MS. MILLER: No, Your Honor.	
3		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	
4	DUNDERDALE:	Peoples?	
5		MR. ZAMBITO: No.	
6		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	
7	DUNDERDALE:	OCA?	
8		MR. DEMANCHICK: No, Your Honor.	
9		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	
10	DUNDERDALE:	Ms. Hempstead?	
11		MS. HEMPSTEAD: No.	
12		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	
13	DUNDERDALE:	Thank you very much, sir.	
14		All right. Ms. Eva Resnick. Is it	
15	Resnick Bay?		
16		MS. RESNICK-DAY: Resnick-Day.	
17		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	
18	DUNDERDALE:	Is it Resnick-Bay?	
19		MS. RESNICK-DAY: Resnick-Day.	
20		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	
21	DUNDERDALE:	Come on up.	
22		Are you going to testify?	
23		MS. RESNICK-DAY: Yes.	
24		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE	
25	DUNDERDALE:	Raise your right hand, please.	

1	(Witness sworn.)
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
3	DUNDERDALE: Thank you. If you would answer
4	those questions. And you are E-V-A, and if you
5	could spell your last name.
6	MS. RESNICK-DAY: Yeah. So Eva
7	Resnick-Day. E-V-A and then R-E-S-N-I-C-K,
8	hyphenated D-A-Y. I live at 504 Peebles Street,
9	Pittsburgh, PA 15221. I am a customer of
10	Duquesne Light, and I currently work as a
11	community organizer at the Sierra Club.
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
13	DUNDERDALE: Go ahead.
14	EVA RESNICK-DAY,
15	Called as a witness, having been first duly
16	sworn, testified as follows:
17	DIRECT TESTIMONY
18	MS. RESNICK-DAY: So, I as a
19	community organizer at the Sierra Club, my goal
20	is to help transition Pittsburgh to renewable
21	energy in a way that benefits all. So we do a
22	lot of work to advocate for cleaner energy
23	sources on the grid that are accessible to
24	customers who are demanding more options for
25	alogn energy but also to have a sheige a slean

energy choice that is local so that the clean energy that we're using is helping to improve our health here locally and build our economy and putting control and choice about our energy bills in the hands of our most vulnerable populations.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

More recently our campaign got extremely concerned with the issue of energy burden in Pittsburgh. We learned that the rates Pittsburghers are paying for their electric and gas bills are so high that it's affecting the ability of many to provide for their families, make rent, put food on the table and afford hospital costs for their families. So the national percent of income used on energy bills is 3.5 percent for families, and in Pittsburgh over a quarter of our low-income families are paying over an astounding 16 percent of their income on their utility bills, one of the worst rates in the country. And actually, Pittsburgh and Cleveland are the only two cities that are ranked in the worst top ten list for both burdens on low-income households and African American households at some of the worst rates in the entire country.

So to begin to slowly address this

1 issue, Sierra Club partnered with the grassroots Green Homes Program, with CCI and also Duquesne 2 3 Light and Peoples Gas are partners on that, where we signed up 300 Homewood families to participate 4 5 in a free year-long program that provides 12 tools and tips to save energy and money on 6 7 utility bills. The first round of the program in Oakland and Uptown saved participants an average 8 9 of 15 percent on those bills. Through door-knocking and talking to hundreds of 10 residents in Homewood, we learned that this 11 12 wasn't just statistics. People were really 13 getting hit hard with bills. Some households 14 were talking to us about getting a \$400 15 electricity bill that they were unable to pay. Lots of folks on fixed incomes reiterated that 16 17 just saving a few dollars per month would really 18 make a difference in their lives, and folks were 19 lining up and falling over themselves just for 20 energy-efficient light bulbs. 21 And yes, residents care about the 22

And yes, residents care about the environment, but this was about money that they couldn't afford not to save. Nearly every household we talked to spent over 15 minutes without filling out all these long forms to

23

24

participate in the energy-saving program. So when I got a letter in the mail from Duquesne Light about a significant rate increase, I became extremely concerned for those 300 families we talked to and the hundreds and thousands more that we haven't talked to throughout Pittsburgh.

Duquesne Light's proposed rates are just and reasonable. And the proposed rate increases for as many as 600,000 customers could raise rates 9 percent for residential customers while discouraging the energy efficiency and clean renewable energy that's working to create affordable rates and a healthy future for our residents in Pittsburgh.

There's so much work that we have to do on energy efficiency before we're adding new capacity, and the cheapest energy is that which isn't used. So if we are doing more to invest in efficiency measures, there's so many non-profits like the Sierra Club and CCI who want to go and help engage residents to maximize these programs and incentivize money and energy savings.

Let's educate around changing your

thermostat, getting better insulation, increasing solar panels. But when the fixed rate goes up, there's way less incentive for residents to go down those routes and actually save energy in their homes, especially for low income and moderate income families suffering the most from energy burden.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the residents of Pittsburgh have expressed our values and priorities strongly for clean energy and our health. According to a recent study the Sierra Club conducted, 71 percent of Pennsylvania voter support moving to 100 percent renewable energy by 2030, republican and democrat alike, and in Allegheny County that number is 86 percent, which is by far the highest in the entire state. Our city just passed a really bold Climate Action Plan 3.0 that proposes 50 percent greenhouse gas emission reductions by 2030 along with many other goals like adding 200 megawatts of local renewable energy and efficiency measures. But we can't achieve those bold goals if we are facing fixed rate increases that are disincentiving energy efficiency measures. This is a part of who we are as Pittsburgh. These are our values. We value

health, we value equity and justice. We want to be a leader in efficiency and clean energy, and these rate hikes would be a major setback at a time when we must be investing more to move to renewable energy quicker and faster, and at a time when we must be investing more and faster in efficiency measures to protect our lowest income customers in Pittsburgh.

So on behalf of the members of the Sierra Club and the thousands of folks, we engage on a regular basis, and a lot of ratepayers who are not able to make it in the middle of the day and take off work to testify here, we oppose the rate increases.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DUNDERDALE: Can you remind me, what does CCI stand for?

MS. RESNICK-DAY: Oh, Conservation Consultants, Incorporated. I am -- I am not testifying on behalf of them or the grassroots Green Homes Program, but I am as a Sierra Club employee.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DUNDERDALE: Any questions from Duquesne?

MR. KANAGY: No, Your Honor.

1		
1		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
2	DUNDERDALE:	BIE?
3		MS. MILLER: No, Your Honor.
4		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
5	DUNDERDALE:	People's?
6		MR. ZAMBITO: No, Your Honor.
7		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
8	DUNDERDALE:	OCA?
9		MR. DEMANCHICK: No, Your Honor.
10		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
11	DUNDERDALE:	And National Resource?
12		MS. HEMPSTEAD: No, Your Honor.
13		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
14	DUNDERDALE:	Thank you. Thank you very much.
15		All right. That's the last person
16	I have on my	list. Was there anyone who is here
17	who wished t	o testify but has not?
18		(No response.)
19		ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
20	DUNDERDALE:	All right. I'm seeing none, so I'm
21	going to go	ahead and I'm going to end the
22	proceeding f	or today. Thank you all for coming.
23	The time now	is 2:34 p.m.
24		Thank you.
25		(Whereupon, the hearing was

```
143
     concluded at 2:34 p.m.)
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding,
4	R-2018-3000124, were reported by me on Thursday,
5	June 14, 2018, and that I, Diane G. Galvin, read
6	this transcript and attest that this transcript
7	is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.
8	
9	COMMONWEALTH REPORTING COMPANY, INC.
LO	
L1	
L2	By: Meane & Dalrier (10H)
L3	Diane G. Galvin Notary Reporter
L 4	
15	
16	
L7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	