



Damage Prevention Committee

**Summaries and Actions
from the
Meeting of November 9, 2021**



Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

**Damage Prevention Committee Meeting Case List
November 9, 2021**

Omnibus Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
12227	<p>Project Owner: West Branch Regional Authority Designer: Larson Design Group Other: NUCA PENNSYLVANIA</p>	<p>On 12/20/2019 11:00:00 AM at 1000 Commerce Park Drive Second Floor, Suite 201 - Williamsport, <u>WILLIAMSPORT CITY, LYCOMING</u> ****No Damage****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 12/20/2019 at (engineer's address), Williamsport, Lycoming County.</p> <p>NUCA has reported that Larson Design Group has been using wording in their Bid Specifications that are an attempt to waive Section 5(15). NUCA reports that they have found this language repeatedly in documents prepared by Larsen Design Group, and they have made attempts to have it removed.</p> <p>On August 17, 2020, DPI Andrade sent an AVR letter to Larsen asking them to submit an AVR. Larsen submitted their AVR on September 4, 2020.</p> <p>Larson alleges that the wording noted by NUCA refers to compensation for liability, not compensation for any excavating that would be done in order to locate lines.</p> <p>The DPI finds that the wording "CONTRACTOR WILL BE LIABLE FOR DAMAGE TO ANY UTILITY..." is ambiguous and implies that the excavator would be liable for unmarked or miss-marked lines. By stating that the excavator is liable for ALL DAMAGES, this would be a violation not only of 5(15) but of 5(12)(i). This is not permitted.</p> <p>Larson has agreed to update the standard wording in the documents to read that the excavator will only be responsible for damage associated with a violation of Act 50 and not "damage to any utility". Given that this contract was drawn up in 2019, the penalty has been removed to give Larson the opportunity to correct the wording on this, and any other document created by them. DPI feels that it would benefit the community more to have this old language changed than to fine Larsen for a two-year-old violation.</p> <p>Larson has further agreed to update their processes to include the One Call ticket number(s) and PA 1 Call's contact information on the first page of the map.</p>	<p>West Branch Regional Authority: \$0.00</p> <p>Larson Design Group: \$0.00</p>
14275	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Royalty Landscaping Other: Homeowner</p>	<p>On 4/8/2020 1:00:00 PM at 435 S OAK ST, <u>FREEMANSBURG BORO, NORTHAMPTON</u> On April 8, 2020, Royalty Landscaping was excavating to install a fence when they struck UGI's accurately marked service line with an auger. No attempt had been made to verify the</p>	<p>Royalty Landscaping: \$1,500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>position of the line. Royalty had placed an emergency ticket on April 7, 2020 at 8:22 am for this fence installation.</p> <p>On April 22, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent Royalty Landscaping an email asking for an AVR. Mr. Bee of Royalty returned the call on the same day and said he was not aware of the AVR requirement and would submit the AVR that day. DPI Andrade-Locke told him he could submit it any time between April 22 and the following Tuesday, which he did. Mr. Bee admitted that he knew that 1-Call law had changed, but that he had not taken the time to find out what the changes were and was very angry that the Attorney General had not sent him a copy. Following this conversation, DPI Andrade-Locke sent Mr. Bee a copy of Act 50 via email.</p> <p>During the original phone conversation Mr. Bee first alleged that the strike was 14 inches away from the mark, and therefore UGI told him that was not responsible for any line further than 12 inches away from the marks. UGI researched the incident and states that no one ever told him that, and indeed such a statement would be contradictory to anything anyone has ever reported UGI's representatives saying. Regardless, it is Mr. Bee's responsibility to know that the tolerance zone 18-inches. During this first conversation DPI Andrade-Locke informed Mr. Bee that the tolerance zone is 18-inches, has been for quite some time, and is not a part of the updated law. Mr. Bee stated in a subsequent phone conversation (after he received a copy of Act 50), that what he meant was that he was 20-inches away from the mark, alleged that UGI had not permitted him to take photos, and that UGI made new marks after repairing the line to make it appear that he had been excavating closer to the marks than he actually was. In UGI's photos I see no 2nd paint line, keeping in mind that the marks were 1 day old on grass, there is no evidence of UGI having somehow erased the original line and then placing new ones to "cover up" a mis-mark. UGI has no recorded history of refusing to permit photographs to be taken after an area has been made safe. Mr. Bee included photographs of the area in his AVR attempting to measure his excavation from its center of the hole instead of its edge of the hole where the line was hit. The photographs show one very faded yellow line (note, still only one line, not two), that shows the edge of his excavation (where the strike occurred) is still 14-15 inches away from the mark as he originally stated when he believed that the tolerance zone was 12-inches. It should also be noted that Mr. Bee's measurements were taken from where the new post was installed after the strike, which would reasonably be expected to be further from UGI's mark than his original excavation site.</p> <p>Royalty Landscaping is cited for failing to use prudent excavation techniques within the tolerance zone, placing an emergency One Call Ticket for a non-emergency situation, and is cited but not fined for failing to submit an AVR within 10 days of the line strike as he did submit it as agreed upon during our conversations. Education is mandatory for this excavator.</p>	<p>Section 5(9) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
14373	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Inc.</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Millcreek Fence and Farm</p> <p>Project Owner: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 4/17/2020 11:54:00 AM at 1130 EDGEMOOR CT, MANHEIM TWP, LANCASTER</u> At the DPC meeting held on 9/14/2021, the DPC determined to ensure education was recommended (it was already added) and to make sure power equipment was used to dig the fence holes. It was determined during the meeting the excavator stated he would be using an auger on the One Call ticket so power equipment was utilized.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 4/17/2020 at 1130 Edgemoor Ct., Manheim Twp. , Lebanon County.</p> <p>UGI submitted an AVR stating they had to shut down excavation activity, for a new fence being installed at 1130 Edgemoor Court, Manheim Township, Lancaster County on 4/17/2020, under Millcreek Fence and Farm for not having a valid One Call Ticket. Millcreek Fence and Farm submitted One Call Ticket #20200911946 on 3/31/2020 to begin the installation of the fence on 4/3/2020 at 8:00 AM. Millcreek Fence and Farm submitted another One Call Ticket #20201062567 on 4/15/2020 for work to begin on 4/20/2020 at 8:00 AM stating the reason for the Update Ticket was not starting the work at the location. On 4/17/2020, a UGI representative went to the location to locate and mark the facilities, at which time, he found Millcreek Fence and Farm installing the fence before the lawful start date.</p> <p>After the UGI personnel arrived at the location, he placed Emergency No One Call Ticket #20201081334 and requested the excavator to cease all activity because they were not within their lawful dig date. A violation of 5(2.1) is recommended against Millcreek Fence and Farm for excavating outside of the lawful dig date.</p> <p>In their AVR, Millcreek Fence and Farm stated, "Ticket #20201062567 was called in by mistake because their guys were still working onsite under the first ticket. UGI showed up to mark for the second ticket and realized they forgot to mark a second gas line that Millcreek Fence and Farm had requested to be marked on the first One Call Ticket (#20200911946) but they had not hit it so they did not report it". A violation of 5(3) is recommended against Millcreek Fence and Farm since they stated they found an underground line but "did not hit it so they did not report it".</p> <p>On 11/3/2020 UGI personnel responded to my email request stating UGI- photo 7 was incorrectly uploaded and to disregard the photo.</p>	<p>Millcreek Fence and Farm: \$0.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
14487	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Gas</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Pennsylvania American Water</p> <p>Project Owner: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER</p>	<p><u>On 4/27/2020 10:00:00 AM at 116 Mellon St, BUTLER TWP, BUTLER</u> Incident occurred on 4/27/2020 at 116 Mellon St, Butler Township, Butler County PA. AVR received from Peoples Gas and AVR from Project Owner and Excavator PA American Water. Both AVR's state that PA American Water poked a pinhole into a 4"-PLA ML while hand digging using a probing tool to find a water leak. PA Water should have been more prudent in their excavation techniques. This is a violation of section 5(4)</p>	<p>PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER: \$500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
14497	Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: Infrasource	<p>and penalty applied. Since PA American's AVR states the probing was hand dug, it was not a violation to not call in a one call ticket, but it would have been prudent to do so.</p> <p><u>On 4/28/2020 10:00:00 AM at 27 WHITEMARSH RD, HAVERFORD TWP, DELAWARE</u> PECO Energy reported that Infrasource struck their unmarked gas service stub. 911 was called at the time of the strike.</p> <p>PECO is cited for failing to mark this line and for failing to maintain records of abandoned lines as stated in their AVR. PECO is also cited for releasing a project to bid without a final design ticket as Ticket No. 20190983170 was more than a year old at the start of this excavation. On June 15, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke asked PAOC to search or a new design ticket or dig tickets indicating that this project had been started at the other end of Whitmarsh Rd. PAOC responded that they were unable to find any additional tickets.</p> <p>Infrasource is cited for failing to submit a Complex Project Ticket. Ticket 20201071883 is for 700 feet, for Whitmarsh Road between Darby Rd and York Rd., but the project is actually 2900 feet, covering Whitmarsh from it's start at Darby Rd, to its termination point at Overbrook Terrace as shown in Design Ticket No. 20190983170. On June 15, 2020 PAOC confirmed that there was no complex project ticket associated with this project. Training is mandatory.</p>	<p>PECO ENERGY: \$1,250.00 Section 2(5)(13) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Infrasource: \$250.00 Section 5(3.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
14604	Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: CADDICK UTILITIES L L C Project Owner: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER	<p><u>On 5/5/2020 10:00:00 AM at 249 E. CHESTNUT ST, NORRISTOWN BORO, MONTGOMERY</u> *Incident occurred on Tuesday, May 5, 2020, at 249 E. Chestnut Street, Norristown Borough, Montgomery County, where PECO's gas line was damaged.</p> <p>Caddick Utilities was working for PA American Water, replacing a water main, services and hydrants. Caddick Utilities stated that their crew hit and damaged an unmarked gas service line owned by PECO. Emails were sent to PECO on 12/3/2020 and 12/28/2020 requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR), but a report was not submitted and no responses to the emails. PECO did submit an AVR for case 14603 which occurred on the same day and same job.</p> <p>On the New Excavation Routine ticket, 20201112251, was called in on 4/20/20 and with response due date of 4/22/20; PECO responded as: *4/22/20 at 3:46 pm- Conflict Difficulty *5/4/20 at 12:19 pm- Field Marked *5/5/20 at 10:06 am- Conflict Difficulty *5/5/20 at 6:03 pm- Field Marked *5/5/20 at 6:13 pm- Conflict Difficulty *5/6/20 at 1:26 pm- Field Marked</p> <p>*PECO is in violation of Sections: 2.5(i)- Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line 2(11)- Facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission</p>	<p>PECO ENERGY: \$750.00 Section 2(11) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
14750	Facility Owner: Alliance Petroleum Corporation	<p><u>On 5/6/2020 10:00:00 AM at Adrian Road, Multiple, JEFFERSON</u> ***No Damage</p>	<p>Alliance Petroleum Corporation: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Facility Owner: Comcast Facility Owner: EXOTIC OIL AND GAS LLC Facility Owner: Verizon Pennsylvania LLC Other: BELL TOWNSHIP Other: MEALY EXCAVATING AND CONSTRUCTION INC Other: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER Other: United Electric Corporation Inc. Other: YOUNG TOWNSHIP JEFFERSON COUNTY</p>	<p>Incident occurred on 5/06/2020. AVR from Mealy Excavating and Construction requested a Zoom meeting for 5/6/2020 at 10:00 a.m. for PA American Water Complex Project to install 8” ductile iron waterline, including service, fire hydrants, cut and caps, etc., with a response due on May 5, 2020. Mealy stated that they requested a meeting and that there were multiple facility owners who did not attend or respond, naming Alliance Petroleum, Exotic Oil and Gas LLC, Comcast, and Verizon. This is a violation of section 2(5)(viii) and penalty is applied to Verizon, Comcast, Alliance Petroleum, Exotic Oil and Gas LLC, and Bell Township for not participating in the Complex Project meeting. No penalty is given to Bell Township, Exotic Oil and Gas, or Alliance Petroleum for not responding to ticket #20201223240. These are first time offences. Mandatory training is requested for all. This violation was a third offence for Comcast in 2020. Comcast marked that meeting was attended in KARL, but they are not on the sign in sheet. Third offence penalty is applied. These are subsequent Violations for Verizon PA. Penalty is applied. AVR request letters were emailed on 5/10/2021 to the Facility Owners: Exotic Oil and Gas LLC, Verizon, Alliance Petroleum, and Comcast. These Facility Owners are not required to submit an AVR. Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. Violation Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to Designer’s request for information within 10 Business days. Violation 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project as described in section 5(3). Final Design – Young Twp -Ticket# 20200713854: Alliance petroleum Corp had an interim response in Karl. This is a violation of Section 2(4) They sent in an AVR explaining that they worked closely with Mealy and are now informed of their expectations. This is a first-time violation. No Penalty is applied. Education is mandatory. Verizon PA did not respond. This is This is a subsequent violation of Section 2(4) Penalty is applied. United Electric Corporation responded with a design Conflict. This is a first-time violation of Section 2(4) No Penalty given, but mandatory training required. Young Township responded with an interim response stating they had insufficient Info. They attended the Complex Project Meeting. This is a first-time violation of Section 2(4); no penalty is assessed. Mandatory training Recommended. Final Design – Bell Twp - Ticket# 20200713855: Alliance Petroleum and United Electric responded with Conflict. This is a first-time violation of section 2(4) and no penalty applied, but mandatory training recommended.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00 Comcast: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00 EXOTIC OIL AND GAS LLC: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00 Verizon Pennsylvania LLC: \$3,500.00 Section 2(4) Subsequent \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00 BELL TOWNSHIP: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00 United Electric Corporation Inc.: \$0.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00 YOUNG TOWNSHIP JEFFERSON COUNTY: \$0.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
14816	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Inc. Contractor/Excavator: Triple Crown Corporation</p>	<p><u>On 5/12/2020 9:10:00 AM at 100 BAR SOUTH DR. EAST PENNSBORO TWP. CUMBERLAND</u> Triple Crown struck an accurately marked UGI line with mechanized equipment. Triple Crown is blaming the installation saying the tape was directly above the gas line.</p>	<p>Triple Crown Corporation: \$500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Triple Crown is cited for failing to use prudent techniques in the tolerance zone. I recommend training for Triple Crown as the depth of the line and whether or not there was tape above it is not an excuse for excavating with a backhoe in the tolerance zone as a means of locating a line. Triple Crown's comments in their AVR show that they were relying on there being evidence or being able to guess about the depth of the line before hand-digging to expose the line, however, depending on how this line was installed, there may or may not have been evidence, so blaming the tape for being too close to the line is not an excuse for using a backhoe to locate a properly marked line, and is not a prudent excavation technique according to Act 50 which makes no mention of the excavator assuming the depth of a line.</p> <p>30 people were evacuated in connection with this event.</p>	
14919	<p>Facility Owner: Aqua Pennsylvania Inc Contractor/Excavator: M And A Excavating Project Owner: Wexford Court LLC Designer: Boyer Engineering</p>	<p>On 5/14/2020 12:15:00 PM at E. MOYER ROAD, NEW HANOVER TWP, MONTGOMERY Incident occurred on 5/14/2020 on E. Moyer Rd., New Hanover Twp. Montgomery County.</p> <p>The One Call Ticket was 7 months old almost when line was hit with no requests made to refresh marks.</p> <p>Final design ticket August 21, 2018. Excavation Ticket from October 16, 2019. Strike May 14, 2020, Aqua asserts excavator was outside the scope of the 7 month old ticket. Estimated work time was 6 months.</p> <p>On July 23, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an AVR email request to M&A Excavating who submitted their AVR on July 30. DPI Locke then sent an AVR email request to Wexford Court LLC, who M&A identified as the project owner. As of today, Wexford Court has not responded to requests for information, nor submitted an AVR.</p> <p>M&A states that the identified work area "may have been slightly short of the damaged water line", they blame the lack of permanent cross county markers. M&A states in their AVR that they made two other requests for mark-outs and say that Aqua did not come out and mark, but they do not list any additional ticket numbers and PAOC did not find any additional ticket numbers. As mentioned before, the tickets they did have were 7 months old at the time of the strike. It is unreasonable to expect that the marks would not have been obliterated by excavation or weather during that time.</p> <p>M&A is cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike, and for working outside of the scope of their ticket. Training is mandatory.</p> <p>Wexford Court is cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of being notified of the damage.</p>	<p>M And A Excavating: \$625.00 Section 5(13) 1st Offense \$375.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Wexford Court LLC: \$250.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
15161	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS Contractor/Excavator: A FOLINO</p>	<p>On 5/16/2020 2:00:00 PM at LAWNSDALE ST, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY Incident occurred on 5/16/2020 at Lawnsdale Street in Pittsburgh, Allegheny Co.</p>	<p>A FOLINO: \$4,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Project Owner: City of Pittsburgh - Paving Other: Wilkesburg - Penn Joint Water Authority</p>	<p>AVR from Peoples Gas stated that on 5/16/2020 at 2 p.m. A. Folino was completing roadwork using a backhoe, on Lawndale Street in Pittsburgh, when they struck and damaged an unmarked 4” steel low pressure main line. There was no one call ticket found for this area. Per email with People’s gas, their internal records show that there was a hazardous gas release and 911 was not called. They stated that an A. Folino employee notified Peoples gas directly. Peoples Gas AVR thinks this may be part of the water line replacement project. On 4/6/2021, email was sent to Pittsburgh Water and Sewer requesting AVR or email denying Project Ownership. Verified that this was not their project. At this time, I have reached out with an email and requested a AVR from the City of Pittsburgh – Paving Department and the City of Pittsburgh Mobility and Infrastructure. Since A. Folino did not submit an AVR this investigator is searching for a project owner.</p> <p>New Information received by a telephone call with the City of Pittsburgh (J.K.) – Paving. They said that Wilkesburg – Penn Joint Water Authority had a pipe that was broken, possibly due to the milling done by A. Folino for the City of Pittsburgh - Paving, but they did not approve any digging, milling is the removal of substance and it would be prudent to submit a one call ticket, since it is risky to know how deep one is milling and where the underground utility lines are. City of Pittsburgh – Paving stated that they did do milling work on 5/14/2020 and a pipe, that was deemed “dead” by Peoples Gas contact, was uncovered. City of Pittsburgh – Paving stated that Peoples Gas told A. Folino to pave over that pipe. AVR is still needed from the Project owner City of Pittsburgh.</p> <p>Wilkesburg – Penn Joint Water Authority (WPJWA) had to fix a water main that was hit by A. Folino. Emergency ticket 20201391542 was submitted. A. Folino notified WPJWA about the water main damage from the vibrations by the equipment they were using. AVR is not needed because the damage was said and agreed to be caused by equipment vibration. A. Folino followed proper procedures and notifications in this situation.</p> <p>There were no one call tickets found, that were placed by A. Folino, for this location on Lawndale Street in Pittsburgh. This is a second violation of Section 5(2.1) and penalty is applied. Training is recommended.</p> <p>Peoples Gas AVR states that 911 was not called. This is a second violation of Section 5(8) by A. Folino and penalty is applied. Training is recommended.</p> <p>A Folino did not submit an AVR within 10 days of striking a line. A Folino called Peoples Gas to let them know about the strike, so they should have known to submit and AVR. This is a violation of section 5(16) and penalty is applied. Training is recommended.</p> <p>A. Folino hit and damaged a Peoples Gas line. This is a second violation of Section 5(4) and penalty applied.</p> <p>There was no indication of steps taken to protect the Underground 4” Gas Main Line. City of Pittsburgh shared that a “dead” line was found when they were milling. Training is mandatory.</p> <p>A Folino did not respond to investigator emails. This is a violation of Section 5(17) and penalty is applied.</p>	<p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>City of Pittsburgh - Paving: \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
15590	<p>Facility Owner: West Penn Power Contractor/Excavator: Homeowner Other: Cecil Township Municipal Authority</p>	<p>An AVR was requested from the City of Pittsburgh – Paving, and Department of mobility and infrastructure. No AVR was received. This is a violation of Section 6.1(7) and penalty applied. Education is mandatory.</p> <p><u>On 5/17/2020 9:00:00 AM at 118 CUMER ROAD, MCDONALD BORO, ALLEGHENY</u> *Incident occurred on 5/17/2020 at 118 Cumer Road, McDonald Borough, Allegheny County.</p> <p>West Penn submitted an AVR stating, "Homeowner contacted West Penn to report damage to an underground line at 118 Cumer Rd in McDonald, while he was tearing down a garage. West Penn responded to the trouble call and completed the repairs to the damaged underground line. USIC performed an investigation finding there was not a one call notification prior to the start of the garage demolition. The homeowner was sent a letter regarding the POCS requirements and directed to the PA One Call website for further information and training available. The root cause of this dig-in was failure to request the location and type of facility owner through the POCS not less than three not more than ten business days in advance of beginning excavation." A violation of 5(2.1)- homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended.</p> <p>One Call ticket #20201500888 was placed after excavation began. Cecil Township Municipal Authority did not respond to the notification. A violation of 2(5)(v)-failing to respond to routine ticket #20201500888 is recommended for Cecil Township Municipal Authority</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Homeowner: ~ 5(2.1)- homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p> <p>Cecil Township Municipal Authority: ~2(5)(v)-failing to respond to routine ticket #20201500888</p>	<p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Cecil Township Municipal Authority: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
15493	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities, Inc Contractor/Excavator: Rock Bottom Construction Project Owner: Taylor Borough Designer: Penn Eastern Engineers, LLC Other: Lackawanna Housing Authority</p>	<p><u>On 5/28/2020 12:21:00 PM at JEFFERSON ST, TAYLOR BORO, LACKAWANNA</u> The incident occurred on Thursday, May 28, 2020, on Jefferson Street, in Taylor Borough, Lackawanna County, where the Rock Bottom Construction crew was digging to install a water main line for Taylor Borough and during the excavation UGI’s gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR), calling 911 and they placed a No One Call Emergency notification (2020150091) on May 29, 2020 at 9:55 a.m. The location information on the Emergency notification was: working approximately 50 yards from Union Street, and the UGI employee stated Rock Bottom hit a gas line at the work site last night (5/28/2020). Also, UGI and Taylor Borough reported one customer’s service was interrupted for 1-6 hours and 20 people were evacuated. Rock Bottom placed a New Excavation Routine notification (20201501059) on May 29, 2020 at 10:14 a.m., type of work “Installing Storm Water”, working for Taylor Borough and duration for “2 weeks”.</p>	<p>Rock Bottom Construction: \$2,250.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Lackawanna Housing Authority: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>See all the pictures, provided by UGI. UGI stated, the work site still had the prior locate markings from Rock Bottom's last New Excavation Routine notification (20192150288) that was placed on August 8, 2019, type of work "Installing Storm Water", working for Taylor Borough and duration for a "Couple of Weeks".</p> <p>On Tuesday, January 26, 2021 an email was sent to Taylor Borough requesting an AVR, and their report was submitted on February 8, 2021. With the report they attached: Construction Drawings for Jefferson Street Storm Sewer Project Phase 2 (provided from Penn Eastern Engineers, LLC) and General Specifications-General Conditions Part I.</p> <p>On Thursday, January 28, 2021 an email was sent to Rock Bottom Construction requesting an AVR; their report was not submitted and they did not respond to the email.</p> <p>*Rock Bottom Construction is in violation of sections: 5(3) Failed to preserve mark-outs or request a remark Recommendation: education, penalty and violation applied 5(4) Failed to exercise due care 5(8) Failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of gas 5(16) Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. Recommendation: education, penalties and violations applied</p> <p>*Lackawanna Housing Authority is in violation of Section 2.5(v) No Response, ticket 20201501089. They have no previous violations. Recommendation: zero penalty, keep the violation and education.</p>	
15437	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA - East Contractor/Excavator: King's Builder Project Owner: Steve Hack Builders</p>	<p><u>On 6/2/2020 1:45:00 PM at 939 GRAYS WOODS BLVD, PATTON TWP, CENTRE</u> The incident occurred on Tuesday, June 2, 2020, on Grays Woods Boulevard in Patton Township, Centre County.</p> <p>Kings Builder was excavating without a PA One Call notification. Columbia Gas stated that the Kings Builder's crew was at a new building site trenching to install site lighting and during the excavation the gas warning tape was dug through damaging the 1-inch plastic gas service line, that was within eye site of the gas meter set. Columbia Gas said they informed Kings Builder of Act 50, PUC enforcement and the Alleged Violation Reporting (AVR) process. Columbia Gas provided pictures of the damage and all of them should be viewed.</p> <p>On the Columbia Gas AVR, the comments from the PA One Call compliance coordinator stated, Kings Builder has not placed notifications with PA One Call in the past.</p> <p>On Wednesday, June 3, 2020, Kings Builder called in a New Excavation Routine notification (ticket 20201551825), and the type of work was running conduit and wire for a parking lot light.</p>	<p>King's Builder: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On Monday, January 25, 2021 an email was sent to King’s Builder requesting an AVR. They did respond to the email on Tuesday, January 28, 2021 with a summary of the incident and stating that he was not expecting to hear from the PA PUC One Call Enforcement, because he did not know a report had to be submitted to someone else. He thought the man he met at the work site was from PA One Call and that he was doing the investigation. His email summary explained what led up to the damage: The type of job was installing sidewalk lighting. He used a mini excavator to dig the ditch; he knew he was crossing a gas line but did not know it was shallow, and during the excavation about 12 – 18 inches in the plastic gas line was hit causing a gas leak. He stated, after smelling gas he got off the excavator and saw the nick in the line and immediately called the contractor that he was working for and the contractor called Columbia Gas. Columbia Gas arrival time was about half an hour to the work site, and they notice the line valve had automatically shut off with the gas leak. About one hour later more men arrived making the repair.</p> <p>The King’s Builder employee explained, he is not a professional excavator but re-entered the construction trade for additional income, and that was the first project in PA he operated an excavator and knowing of the PA One Call. Also, he stated there has been no more excavating jobs since the incident and does not have future plans in construction trade.</p> <p>On the same day, 1/28/2021, King’s Builder’s email was replied to explaining the Act 50, Section 5(16) requiring Excavators to submit a report within ten (10) business days; when an underground line is hit and damaged, through the PA One Call system. http://www.pa1call.org</p> <p>On Friday, February 5, 2021, King’s Builder responded to the email with pictures explaining he could not submit an AVR because he kept getting an error message.</p> <p>King’s Builder is in violation of Sections: 5(2.1), 5(8) and 5(16). King’s Builder has no previous violations. Recommendation: Education, zero penalties and keep the violations.</p>	
15894	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities, Inc Contractor/Excavator: HOMEOWNER Project Owner: HOMEOWNER Other: HAZLETON CITY OF</p>	<p><u>On 6/16/2020 2:00:00 PM at 120 SAINT CHARLES DR., HAZLETON CITY, LUZERNE</u> The incident occurred on Tuesday, June 16, 2020, at 2:00 p.m., on Saint Charles Drive, in Hazleton City, Luzerne County.</p> <p>UGI stated that a Homeowner was excavating without a PA One Call notification ticket and during the dig, with a mini excavator, an unmarked gas service line was damaged. UGI did not submit pictures.</p> <p>On UGI’s Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented that the Homeowner has not placed one calls in the past.</p> <p>On the same day of the incident, the Homeowner did place a New Excavation Routine ticket at 3:53 p.m., with the type of work as installing a fence and replacing a swimming pool.</p>	<p>HOMEOWNER: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>HAZLETON CITY OF: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On Tuesday, January 5, 2021 an email was sent to the Homeowner requesting an AVR. There was no response to the email and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>City of Hazleton (CDC: HCL)- No Response to ticket 20201684050.</p> <p>*Homeowner is violation of Section 5(2.1). Recommendation: Education, zero penalty, and no violation.</p> <p>*City of Hazleton is in violation of Section 2.5(v). They have no previous violations. Recommendation: Education, and 50% reduction of the penalty; from \$500 to \$250.00.</p>	
16218	<p>Facility Owner: Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County Contractor/Excavator: Ollie Bryer Asphalt Paving Project Owner: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 7/2/2020 7:00:00 AM at 164 MARYELLEN DR, NORTH VERSAILLES TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> The incident occurred on Thursday, July 2, 2020, on Maryellen Drive in North Versailles Township, Allegheny County.</p> <p>The Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County (MAWC) reported in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Ollie Bryer Asphalt Paving did not place a One Call notification before their excavation and because Facility Owner's were not notified to locate their lines, an electrical line was hit. The Homeowner called in the New Damage Emergency ticket at 10:53 a.m. (20201841508), and the remarks on the Emergency ticket were: Ollie Bryer Asphalt Paving was doing the work, using a bob cat. It appears to be ground wire on top of a base line under old asphalt; line was cut. It was checked and service was not interrupted, but it is unknown if the line was active or not. Ollie Bryer Asphalt Paving called in a New Excavation Insufficient ticket at 11:15 a.m. (20201841689), and the remarks on the ticket were: Only removing 3-inches of asphalt and will not be below the stone base line. The crew is on site and the asphalt has been ordered; will need to complete the job today. MAWC responded to the ticket as Field Marked.</p> <p>MAWC did not provide pictures with their report.</p> <p>On Thursday, January 21, 2021 emails were sent to Ollie Bryer Asphalt Paving and to the Homeowner requesting AVRs. They did not respond to the email and AVRs were not submitted.</p> <p>*Ollie Bryer Asphalt Paving is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failing to submit a location request through One Call before excavating. 5(16) Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. Recommendation: Education, penalties and violations applied.</p>	<p>Ollie Bryer Asphalt Paving: \$1,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
16348	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA Contractor/Excavator: Reliable Pro Plumbing Project Owner: Homeowner Other: McKees Rocks Borough</p>	<p><u>On 7/6/2020 9:20:00 AM at 324 Russellwood Avenue, MCKEES ROCKS BORO, ALLEGHENY</u> The incident occurred on Monday, July 6, 2020, on Russellwood Avenue in McKees Rocks Borough, Allegheny County. A One Call notification was not placed prior to excavation, and as a result Columbia Gas service line was damaged; pictures were not provided.</p>	<p>Reliable Pro Plumbing: \$3,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Reliable Pro Plumbing called in the New Excavation Emergency ticket (20201881173) at 9:58 a.m., and the type of work was to replace a water line. Columbia Gas sent a company Locator out to the work site after receiving the ticket notification at approximately at 10:00 a.m. The Locator stated, when arriving at the work site a gas service line was damaged and it was believed that Reliable Pro called in the ticket (20201881173) after the damage. The Locator informed Columbia Gas of the line hit and their Field Operations Leader arrived on site. Columbia Gas spoke to a representative from InfraSource, who was working on a job down the road on the behalf of Columbia Gas, and it was discovered: InfraSource had offered the Reliable Pro crew a rubber plug between 9:00 – 9:30 a.m. for the damaged line, and Reliable Pro asked who should be contacted.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated the damaged service line was part of a system to be abandoned due to a new main line being installed.</p> <p>On Thursday, February 4, 2021 an email was sent to Reliable Pro Plumbing requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). There was no response to the email and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*Reliable Pro Plumbing is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. 5(7) Failed to immediately report to the facility owner of the break or leak in its lines, or any dent. 5(8) Failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of gas 5(16) Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. Recommendation: Education, penalties and violations applied</p> <p>*McKees Rocks Borough- No Response to the New Excavation Emergency ticket 20201881173. McKees Rocks Borough is in violation of Section 2.5(vii). They have no previous violations. Recommendation: education, zero penalty and keeping the violation.</p>	<p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>McKees Rocks Borough: \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
17048	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Hopensbergr Contracting</p>	<p><u>On 7/11/2020 10:44:00 AM at 34 N Main St, FAIRVIEW TWP, LUZERNE</u> On 7/11/2020 Hopensbergr Contracting was digging without a valid One Call ticket at 32 North Main St. #34, Mountain Top, Luzerne County and struck and damaged a UGI service line. A UGI gas meter was directly beside the area of excavation.</p> <p>In the AVR submitted by UGI, they indicated the contractor was digging without a PA One Call request and they struck their gas service. Luckily, 911 was called to the scene of the incident. Pictures were included with their AVR submission.</p> <p>An AVR request letter was sent on 4/16/2021, but one has yet to be submitted by the excavator.</p>	<p>Hopensbergr Contracting: \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>PA One Call Compliance stated they have no record of this excavator making a call to the center.</p> <p>Since the excavator did contact 911, a 5(2.1) violation with mandatory education is requested by the DPI as well as mandatory membership to One Call with a 50% reduction in the penalty.</p>	
17144	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: OCCUPANTS</p>	<p><u>On 7/27/2020 6:00:00 PM at 304 THIRD ST, AVONDALE BORO, CHESTER</u> * Event occurred on 7/27/2020 at 304 Third Street, Avondale Borough, Chester County.</p> <p>***Mechanized equipment was not used.</p> <p>On 7/27/2020 tenants were digging with hand tools, post hole digger and shovels, to install a new railing at the property when they struck and damaged a 1/2" plastic gas service line. PA One Call was not called prior to the digging. PECO placed an Emergency One Call ticket to fix the damaged service line and all entities responded.</p> <p>No pictures were submitted by PECO.</p> <p>No penalties have been assessed to the excavator as a One Call ticket is not mandatory for hand-digging.</p>	
17229	<p>Facility Owner: Matheson Tri Gas Contractor/Excavator: National Fuel</p>	<p><u>On 7/29/2020 8:00:00 AM at 516 / 518 HALL AVE, ST MARYS CITY, ELK</u> Matheson called asking for us to remove the remaining penalty because they have all new personnel. Discussed training with Matheson who went to PA 1 Call's website and signed up for training. I agreed to reduce the remaining penalty to \$0 but told Matheson that all violations will remain. Matheson has also begun going back and responding to old outstanding tickets that had no facilities.</p> <p>*NO DAMAGE</p> <p>Incident occurred over the span of 7/17/2020 thru 7/29/2020 at 333 Stackpole St., and 516/518 Hall Ave., St. Marys City, Elk County.</p> <p>NFG submitted an AVR indicating they submitted One Call tickets in which Matheson Tri Gas Inc. has not responded to One Call Notifications to their excavation project. Renotification Tickets were also placed specifically calling out the facility, and they still did not reply to the request. A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a One Call notification 20202061249 and One Call notification 20201992176 is recommended against Matheson Tri Gas Inc. A violation of 2(5)(V.1)-failing to communicate with excavator within 2 hours for One Call notification 20202061249-001 and One Call notification 20201992176-001 is recommended against Matheson Tri Gas Inc.</p> <p>In NFG's AVR they stated, NFG have "filed other AVR's against Matheson for not responding to One Call notifications."</p>	<p>Matheson Tri Gas: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(11) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>A courtesy letter was sent to Matheson Tri Gas on 4/23/2021 and to date an AVR has yet to be submitted. A violation of 2(11)- facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission relating to the commission's enforcement authority under this act within thirty days of the receipt of the request is recommended.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Matheson Tri Gas Inc.:</p> <p>~2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a One Call notification for tickets #20202061249 and #20201992176</p> <p>~2(5)(V.1)-failing to communicate with excavator within 2 hours for One Call notification for tickets #202020612491 and #202019921761</p> <p>~2(11)- facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission relating to the commission's enforcement authority under this act within thirty days of the receipt of the request.</p>	
17134	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES INC. Contractor/Excavator: Belles Tree service</p>	<p><u>On 8/5/2020 11:30:00 AM at 530 HIGH ST., BETHLEHEM CITY, NORTHAMPTON</u> Incident occurred on 8/2/2020 at 503 High Street, Bethlehem City, Northampton County.</p> <p>On 8/5/2020 Belles Tree Service was grinding a stump without a valid One Call ticket at 530 High Street, Bethlehem City, Northampton and struck and damaged a UGI service line.</p> <p>In the AVR submitted by UGI, they indicated the contractor was digging without a PA One Call request and they struck their gas service. 911 was called to the scene of the incident. A picture was included with their AVR submission. A violation of 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>An AVR request letter was sent on 4/16/2021, but one has yet to be submitted by the excavator. A violation of 5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty and 5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request.</p> <p>PA One Call Compliance stated they have record of this excavator making a call to the center prior to the incident. (Case #6914- Ticket #20191351999- accepted by DPC at 2/11/2020 meeting)</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Belles Tree Service:</p> <p>~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p>	<p>Belles Tree service: \$1,250.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>~5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition</p> <p>~5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request</p>	
17262	<p>Facility Owner: Dominion Energy Transmission, Inc.</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Triple M. Lumber</p>	<p><u>On 8/7/2020 11:00:00 AM at 4725 W.Center Rd, Linesville, PA, LINESVILLE BORO, CRAWFORD</u> *No Damage</p> <p>Incident occurred on August 7, 2020 at 4725 West Center Road, Linesville Borough Borough, Crawford County.</p> <p>Dominion Energy stated in their AVR they were doing their monthly aerial patrol when they noticed their ROW was severely rutted and traversed by a logging company (Triple M. Lumber) who was utilizing the ROW as a travel lane. The logging company crossed the pipeline repeatedly with heavy equipment. Dominion Energy indicated there was clear evidence of the pipeline because of the cleared ROW and a pipeline marker. A picture was submitted by Dominion Energy.</p> <p>A violation of Section 5(2.1)- failing to place a One Call ticket prior to crossing Dominion's lines is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary value of the penalty and a recommendation of mandatory membership to PA One Call because PA One Call Compliance stated they have no record of Triple M. Lumber calling into the center.</p>	<p>Triple M. Lumber: \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
17209	<p>Facility Owner: COLUMBIA GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: A. Folino Construction, Inc.</p> <p>Project Owner: PITTSBURGH WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY THE</p> <p>Designer: BUCHART HORN</p> <p>Designer: Collective Efforts</p> <p>Designer: JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN, & THOMPSON (JMT)</p> <p>Other: City of Pittsburgh</p> <p>Other: PEOPLES GAS</p>	<p><u>On 8/11/2020 8:49:00 AM at 173 MONASTERY AVE, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> JMT sent in documentation 10/5/2021 that they were working on the preliminary design phase for the sewer replacement project for Pittsburgh Water and Sewer. JMT one of the design consultants, but not the designer for this phase of the work.</p> <p>Columbia Gas sent in documentation showing they made several attempts to contact the excavator.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 8/11/2020 at Monastery Ave, in the City Pittsburgh, Allegheny Co.</p> <p>AVR's received from Columbia Gas, A. Folino Construction and Pittsburg Water and Sewer, all read that on 8/11/20 an accurately marked Columbia, 1" plastic gas line was damaged, while excavator was using a backhoe, working on a curb/sidewalk, digging a trench in the road, on Monastery Ave, in the City Pittsburgh, Allegheny Co. The three AVR's agree that this was an excavator issue. A. Folino Construction Inc. is in violation of Section 5(4) To exercise due care and to take all reasonable steps necessary to avoid injury to or otherwise interfere with all lines. Penalty is applied and Education is mandatory. This is a Complex Project costing over \$400,000.00 for the Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) in the City of Pittsburgh. There are three designers and three separate phases for this project. The project included plans</p>	<p>COLUMBIA GAS: \$0.00</p> <p>A. Folino Construction, Inc.: \$1,250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(3.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>PITTSBURGH WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY THE: \$3,250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>to replace the small diameter water main replacements throughout the city, by A. Folino Construction Co. This Complex Project had three separate Designers: **Buchart Horn (BH), ***Johnson, Mirmiran and Thompson (JMT), ****GAI Consultant, Inc. to create a revitalized water system for PWSA. Designer and excavator, Collective Efforts LLC (CE) was sub-contracted by Buchat Horn to be responsible for the subsurface engineering by potholing. Collective Efforts placed one call tickets for design and excavation, but per Collective Efforts AVR , no excavation was ever completed by this company, due to a lack of funding. By the end of 2019, Collective Efforts reported that they were no longer a part of this project.</p> <p>**Buchard Horn designed the 2020 Small Diameter Water Mains. There were no tickets found that were submitted by this designer in 2020. This is the designer for this phase of the project.</p> <p>***Johnson, Mirmiran and Thompson (JMT) were responsible for the Sewer rehabilitation part of the project. were the designers, consultants, and excavators, who were responsible for designing a system to rehabilitate the sewer and water system, including manholes, using a variety of power trenching equipment, in the City of Pittsburgh and Pittsburgh Municipal Communities, Allegheny Co. PA. During the time of this strike, JMT was doing preliminary design work for PWSA’s sewer rehabilitation.</p> <p>****GAI Consultant, Inc. are listed as the designers for the 2020B part of the project.</p> <p>*This investigation was brought to attention, because multiple AVR’s were submitted since 8/11/2020, concerning multiple incidents with gas lines, owned by Columbia Gas and People’s Gas and damaged by the same Excavating Company while working in various locations. PWSA is in violation of Section 6.1(1) To utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering. AVR’s from Collective Efforts states they never did any SUE, Buchart Horn state that level “C” SUE was used. JMT state in an email that Level “B” SUE was used. Level “A” is appropriate SUE that should be used in a extensive project as this one. Penalty is applied and education is recommended.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Ticket # 20191581479: Columbia Gas requested a meeting, but they never updated a final response in KARL. Columbia Gas sent in documentation showing they made several attempts to contact the excavator.</p> <p>Peoples Gas responded that their area was not marked. This is not a final response and a violation of Section 2(5)(v) failure to respond to a routine one call ticket. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>City of Pittsburg did not respond at all. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(v) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority is the project/facility owner who responded with insufficient</p>	<p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>JOHNSON, MIRMIRAN, & THOMPSON (JMT): \$0.00</p> <p>City of Pittsburgh: \$8,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>info. This is a second violation of section 2(5)(v) this year and penalty applied. A final response is needed in KARL. Ticket # 20201883666:</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer did not respond for 13 days after the due date. This is a second offense violation of Section 2(5)(v) and penalty applied.</p> <p>As the project owner PWSA is also in violation of Section 6.1(3) for releasing a project to bid or construction before the final design is completed. Penalty is applied. Education is recommended.</p> <p>The City of Pittsburgh had interim responses to tickets: 20191354443, 20192743332, 20191772377, 202021115555, and 20202111542. This is a second offence in violation of Section 2(4) failure to respond to a designer's request for information. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>No tickets found for a preconstruction meeting. A. Folino is in violation of Section 5(3) and penalty is applied. In a complex project or if an excavator intends to preform work in multiple locations or over a large area, to take reasonable steps to work with facility owners, including scheduling and conducting a preconstruction meeting.</p> <p>Design ticket# 20191293094 had no response from Pittsburg City Department of and an interim response from Pittsburgh Water and Sewer. Both are in violation of Section 2(4) with penalties applied.</p> <p>Design ticket# 20191414093 had no response from Pittsburg City Department. This is a second offence violation of Section 2(4) with penalties applied.</p> <p>Emergency Ticket 20202240647 has a note that they advised caller to notify 911. AVR from Columbia Gas reads that 911 was not called. Email from A.Folino states that the crew foreman called 911.</p> <p>Additional case notes.</p> <p>Cases 17209, 17533, 17784, 17890, 18068, 18127, 17586, 21732, 18067, 13860, 18324, 21324, 21765, 20329, 17275, 20302, and more cases continued to be submitted for not only this location, but other areas including Washington Co. PA. with cases 22404, 17533, 20329, 17586, 17890, 17981, 18067, 18920, and 21732.</p>	
17500	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: Homeowner Other: Warminster Township</p>	<p><u>On 8/18/2020 2:00:00 PM at 545 LEMON ST, WARMINSTER TWP, BUCKS</u> **Incident occurred on 8/18/2020 at the private residence at 545 Lemon Street, Warminster Township, Bucks County.</p> <p>*PECO stated in their AVR the damage occurred with hand digging and hand tools.</p> <p>On 8/18/2020, PECO stated, "Homeowner failed to get a PA1 ticket and damaged an unmarked 1" plastic gas service while digging to repair a water leak."</p> <p>No pictures were submitted by PECO.</p> <p>Penalties to homeowner all reduced to \$0</p>	Homeowner: \$0.00
20462	<p>Facility Owner: PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS Contractor/Excavator: Philadelphia City Department of Streets</p>	<p><u>On 9/9/2020 10:00:00 AM at 1628 S ORKNEY ST, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> Incident occurred on 9/9/2020 at 1628 S. Orkney St., Philadelphia City, Philadelphia County.</p>	<p>Philadelphia City Department of Streets: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On September 9, 2020, Philadelphia Department of Streets struck an unmarked PGW gas service line. No 1 Call ticket was placed for this excavation.</p> <p>On March 5, 2021 DPI Locke sent an AVR email request to Philadelphia Department of Streets. To date, no AVR has been received.</p> <p>Philadelphia Department of Streets is cited for failing to place a One-Call Ticket and failure to submit an AVR after a line strike both as a project owner and an excavator. Education is mandatory. This is not Philadelphia's first offense.</p>	<p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
20329	<p>Facility Owner: COLUMBIA GAS Contractor/Excavator: A. Folino Construction Inc. Project Owner: EQT Designer: KLH</p>	<p><u>On 10/20/2020 10:54:00 AM at LINCOLN AVE EX, CHARLEROI BORO, WASHINGTON</u> Incident occurred on October 20, 2020 at 10:54 a.m.at the intersection of 2ND ST. and SHADY AVE, Charleroi Borough, Washington Co. PA. Routine Ticket listed that work will be done on Lincoln Ave Extension. Both Columbia Gas and A. Folino's AVR lists the incident occurred on Lincoln AVR Extension. This is the same incident, but the Lincoln Ave Extension listed in the AVR's are at least three blocks off.</p> <p>AVR from Columbia Gas states that A. Folino Construction Inc hit and damaged an accurately marked Columbia Gas 6-inch plastic gas main, while installing new water line valves using a bucket. A. Folino's AVR reads that the gas line was right underneath the asphalt and was not marked. Pictures were provided from Columbia Gas and A. Folino Construction Inc. AVR from KLH Design states that level "C" Sue was used. They provided a picture with gas markings and agree that more prudent techniques should have been used.</p> <p>Pictures show that the gas markers were clear. Prudent techniques should have been used around this area. 911 was notified. Cases # 017586, 017890, 017981, 018067, 018068, 018920 and 17533 are more cases for this Complex Project, No Complex Project ticket was found. Tickets involve the same stakeholders on this Complex project. This project was ongoing at the time of this case completion.</p> <p>A. Folino Construction is in Violation of Section 5(4) for failing to use prudent excavation techniques. This is a second offence of this violation and penalty is applied. Project Owner EQT did not submit and AVR. This is a violation of section 6.1(7) and penalty applied. Education is recommended.</p>	<p>A. Folino Construction Inc.: \$1,000.00 Section 5(4) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>EQT: \$250.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
20218	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: TWINING CONSTRUCTION Other: Comcast Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 10/20/2020 12:00:00 PM at 4886 YORK RD, BUCKINGHAM TWP, BUCKS</u> Incident occurred on 10/20/20 at 4886 York Rd., Buckingham Twp. Berks County.</p> <p>On October 20, 2020, Twining Construction struck a PECO electric line that was mis-marked by approximately 14 feet per PECO's AVR.</p> <p>Violations: On February 3, 2021, DPI Locke sent an AVR email request to Twining Construction asking for their AVR. As of April 16 ,2021 no AVR has been received. Twining has</p>	<p>PECO ENERGY: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>TWINING CONSTRUCTION: \$250.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>been cited for failure to submit an AVR. No reduction in penalty is recommended as Twining failed to respond to the DPI's request. Education has been recommended.</p> <p>PECO is cited for failing to mark their line within 18-inches.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for responding late to Ticket No. 20202793140. Due date was October 7. Verizon made no response (clear) until October 8. (1 day late). Verizon's penalty remains at subsequent offense due to their history. Comcast is cited for responding late to Ticket No. 20202793140. Due date was October 7. Comcast made no response (clear) until October 8. (1 day late).</p>	<p>Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>
20367	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: TARQUINI & SONS PAVING Other: Comcast Cablevision Other: Verizon Other: West Norriton Township</p>	<p><u>On 10/22/2020 12:00:00 AM at 2495 GENERAL ARMISTEAD AVE, WEST NORRITON TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> Incident occurred on 2495 General Armistead Ave., West Norriton Twp., Montgomery County.</p> <p>On October 26, 2020 PECO discovered a severed service line at the job site. PECO states they were informed that Tarquini and Sons had been excavating at the site on October 22, 2020, 4 days before their ticket was valid. PECO states in their AVR that the excavator did not call 911 or contact PECO, and there is no emergency ticket found for this strike. The line was severed and gas would have been leaking when the damage was originally done since this was an active line. PECO reports that the excavator had uncovered the curb stop and shut the gas off. PECO further reports that along with the severed line there were several other hits on the same line. The customer reported that service had been out since October 22. PECO does not have photos of the site.</p> <p>On February 8, 2020, DPI Locke sent an AVR email request to the excavator also requesting information identifying the Project Owner. To date neither party has submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Tarquini & Sons has not filed an AVR nor identified the Project Owner. Tarquini has been cited for failing to submit an AVR and failure to comply with PUC requests for information. No reductions have been made for either penalty as the excavator failed to comply after being notified. Education is recommended. Tarquini is also cited for excavating without a valid One Call Ticket, for failing to call 911, for failing to use prudent techniques, failure to notify 911 when there is an escape of gas, vacating the worksite when there is an escape of gas, and failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike. All penalties EXCEPT for failing to notify 911. Penalties have not been reduced due to the severity of this incident and lack of good-faith effort by the excavator to comply with requests for information. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Comcast has been cited for responding to Ticket No. 20202953382. Response was due 10/23/20. No response was made until 10/26/20 at 12:26.</p> <p>West Norriton Township has been cited for responding to Ticket No. 20202953382. Response was due 10/23/20. No</p>	<p>TARQUINI & SONS PAVING: \$4,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Comcast Cablevision: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>West Norriton Township: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>response was made until 10/26/20 at 15:26. This is West Norriton's first ever offense, so I have reduced the penalty from \$250.00 to a warning and mandated education. Verizon has been cited for failing to respond to Ticket No. 20202953382. Response due 10/23/20. No response was made until 11/4/20 (12 days late)</p>	
20365	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: MICHAEL F. RONCA & SONS INC Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 10/26/2020 11:00:00 AM at SPRAGUE RD, LOWER MERION TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> Incident occurred on October 26, 2020 on Sprague Rd., Lower Merion Twp., Montgomery County. On October 26, 2020 Michael F. Ronca & Sons Inc. struck an accurately marked PECO gas main with a backhoe within the tolerance zone. PECO states that 911 was not called, but there is no evidence at this time indicating an escape of gas.</p> <p>On February 8, 2020, DPI Locke sent an AVR email request to Michael F Ronca asking for an AVR and the identity of the project owner. To date, Michael F. Ronca has not responded to the request for an AVR or for more information.</p> <p>Michael F. Ronca & Sons Inc. is cited for failing to use prudent techniques within the tolerance zone and failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days. No fine reduction is recommended as this is not a first offense for either citation and they have not demonstrated a good faith effort to comply with Act 50 by failing to submit an AVR or answer questions as requested. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket No. 20201570372.</p>	<p>MICHAEL F. RONCA & SONS INC: \$1,750.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>
22056	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES NATURAL GAS Contractor/Excavator: DANIELS EXCAVATING</p>	<p><u>On 1/16/2021 9:19:00 AM at 214 S. 4th St., APOLLO BORO, ARMSTRONG</u> *Incident occurred on 1/16/2021 at 214 South 4th Street, Apollo Borough, Armstrong County.</p> <p>**Mechanized equipment was not used according to Peoples Gas' AVR.</p> <p>On 1/16/2021 Daniels excavating was driving pins in the ground for concrete. Peoples Gas indicated a hole was punched into the facility and a One Call was not placed.</p> <p>Daniels Excavating is being recommended for violating Section 5(2.1)- failing to submit a One Call Ticket prior to excavation since they are familiar with contacting One Call for locate tickets, but since mechanized equipment was not used, education is recommended in lieu of the monetary penalty. A violation of 5(8) is being recommended as 911 was not contacted.</p>	<p>DANIELS EXCAVATING: \$1,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
24391	<p>Facility Owner: Verizon Contractor/Excavator: Uwchlan Township Other: Homeowner/Business Owner</p>	<p><u>On 6/17/2021 2:00:00 PM at 320 Biddle Drive, Exton, PA 19341, UWCHLAN TWP, CHESTER</u> The incident occurred on Thursday, June 17, 2021, at 320 Biddle Drive, in Uwchlan Township, Chester County, where a communication line was damaged, and service was interrupted.</p> <p>Charles Oswald, the Homeowner stated that the excavator (Uwchlan Township) widened the road by 2–3 feet and</p>	<p>Uwchlan Township: \$625.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$125.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>removed dirt away that was up to 18-inches in depth. The Homeowner was advised by the Township that a call to PA One Call is not needed and that Verizon is at fault for burying the communication line at 1-inch deep. Also, the Homeowner said there are gas lines where the Township removed the dirt in the widened road.</p> <p>The Homeowner provided pictures and the first picture shows the damaged line.</p> <p>There are no tickets associated with this incident.</p> <p>In the Homeowners Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented, Uwchlan Township has placed notifications with PA One Call in the past.</p> <p>On Thursday, June 24, 2021, emails were sent to Uwchlan Township and Verizon, requesting AVRs. There were no responses to the emails and no AVRs were submitted.</p> <p>*Uwchlan Township is in violation of sections: 5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. 5(16)- Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. They have no previous violations. Recommendation: For both violations Education, and penalties reduced by 50%. 5(2.1)- from \$1,000 to \$500 and 5(16)- from \$250 to \$125.</p>	

Full Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
15089	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Gray Brothers Inc</p>	<p><u>On 4/15/2020 8:00:00 AM at 2696 SWAMP PIKE, NEW HANOVER TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> **Gray Bros. disputes all penalties** On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to reduce the penalty for Section 5(2.1) by 50% and keep the violation for Section 5(16)</p> <p>***NO DAMAGE***</p> <p>UGI reported spotting Gray Brothers Inc. excavating on May 15, 2020, one day before their lawful start date. UGI states that they spotted the excavator when they went out to mark the line and that the excavator was shut down. UGI has included a photo of the excavator operating equipment. Gray Brothers is insisting that they were not excavating until the 16th, but UGI stated in a follow-up email that both the locator and then later, the person who submitted the AVR saw him on the 15th. The backhoe in the photograph has a bucket full of dirt that most likely didn't make the trip on the trailer as alleged by the excavator.</p> <p>On July 30, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an AVR email request to Gray Brothers. Gray Brothers submitted their AVR on August 3.</p> <p>Originally Gray Brothers did not want to submit an AVR and stated that they did not excavate until the 16th and that the photo was probably only of his worker moving the equipment off of the trailer, although he could not explain</p>	<p>Gray Brothers Inc: \$500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>why there was dirt in the bucket. The excavator then recanted and admitted that his guy started on the wrong day but claims that he packed up when the locator told him he was digging too soon. UGI says that's not the case, I spoke with the person who submitted the AVR and he stated that he went out and took the attached photos when the locator came back and reported that Gray Bros would not stop excavating after the locator told him that he was too early. Had the excavator stopped working when the locator pointed out that they were a day early, those photos would not have been possible.</p> <p>Gray Brothers is cited for failing to submit their location request within the proper timeframe and for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a violation of Act 50. The financial penalty for the AVR has been waived. I do not recommend waiving or reducing the penalty for excavating before the lawful start date because UGI's photos are proof that while the excavator did not stop digging even after being informed that they were digging a day early. Training is also mandatory for this excavator.</p>	
14968	<p>Facility Owner: Philadelphia Gas Works Contractor/Excavator: CJ DRILLING Project Owner: PENN DOT Other: AT&T Other: NAVFAC Midatlantic PWD PA Other: Philadelphia Dept of Streets Other: Philadelphia Water Department Other: Thomas Jefferson University Other: Verizon Pennsylvania</p>	<p><u>On 4/15/2020 10:00:00 AM at ROOSEVELT EXPY, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> ***CJ Drilling and PennDOT disputed all fines and penalties. On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to remove the violation and penalty for Section 5(3) for CJ Drilling and to uphold the violations and penalties for PennDOT for Section 6.1(7).****</p> <p>NO DAMAGE PGW reporting that CJ Drilling is filing multiple One Call Tickets to avoid submitting a Complex Project Ticket. PGW gave us a list of 19 tickets placed on April 15, April 21, and April 29 for this project. I am reviewing the tickets sent on April 15 as they give a good overview of what happened. PGW does state that the excavator was willing to work with them to schedule mark-outs. CJ Drilling responded in their AVR that they project had not started yet and they then submitted Complex Project Ticket 20202122707 on July 30, after being contacted by DPI Andrade-Locke.</p> <p>On July 24, 2020, DPI Andrade sent AVR email requests to CJ Drilling and PennDOT. CJ Drilling did submit their AVR. As of September 24, 2020, PennDOT has not submitted an AVR.</p> <p>PennDOT is cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 days of being notified.</p> <p>CJ Drilling is cited for failing to hold a preconstruction meeting before beginning a complex project. I recommend training for CJ Drilling because they submitted their Complex Project Ticket on July 31, after being informed that they were cited for failing to have a complex ticket because in their words "they hadn't started the project yet", which leads me to question why they submitted the tickets in the first place. I believe CJ Drilling needs training about Complex Projects and how the different tickets work and when they are to be filed.</p>	<p>Philadelphia Gas Works: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>CJ DRILLING: \$0.00</p> <p>PENN DOT: \$1,000.00 Section 6.1(7) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>AT&T: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>NAVFAC Midatlantic PWD PA: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Thomas Jefferson University is cited for failing to respond to One Call Tickets 20201061627, 20201061675, 20201061700, 20201061718, 20201061725, 20201061731, 20201061748 - All tickets were due on April 17, Thomas Jefferson responded "Clear" seven days late (April 24) to all tickets. Penalty will be reduced by 50% if Thomas Jefferson University sends personnel to training.</p> <p>Philadelphia City Water is cited for failing to respond to One Call Tickets 20201061627, 20201061675, 20201061700, 20201061718, 20201061725,- These Tickets listed received the response "Scheduled Mark" on April 16, but there was no final response made. Phila Water made no response to Ticket Nos. 20201061731 and 202010614748. Training in addition to fines is mandatory</p> <p>Philadelphia Gas Works is cited for failing to respond to One Call Tickets 20201061627, 20201061675, 20201061700, 20201061718, 20201061725, 20201061731, 20201061748 . PGW responded "Insufficient Info, DCTF", but did not follow up their response on any ticket. PGW states in their AVR that they did contact the excavator who was amenable to changing the markout dates, but they did not finalize their response in Karl. I have reduced the citations to warnings, but PGW needs to understand that just because the excavator committed a violation, and because an agreement was made, there is still a requirement to finalize their response in KARL.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for failing to respond late One Call Tickets 20201061627, 20201061675. All responses were due 4/17. Verizon did nor respond (Clear to all tickets) until 4/20.(3 days late). Verizon responded "Insufficient Information DCTF" on April 20 to Ticket Nos. 20201061700, 20201061718, 20201061725, 2020106173 but did not follow up and did not make contact. Verizon is also cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20202122707.</p> <p>AT&T is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20202122707</p> <p>NAVFAC Mid Atlantic PWD PA is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20202122707</p>	<p>Philadelphia Water Department: \$3,250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Thomas Jefferson University: \$3,250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon Pennsylvania: \$12,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v)</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
			Subsequent \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00
14793	<p>Facility Owner: PPL Electric Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Plow Farms LP Project Owner: Homeowner Other: Borough of Wyomissing</p>	<p><u>On 4/16/2020 9:30:00 AM at 84 GRANDVIEW BLVD, WYOMISSING BORO, BERKS</u> Eshelman Plow Farms or Plow Farms LP disputed all penalties and violations. On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to reduce all penalties by 50% and add education.</p> <p>On December 29, 2020 Eshelmans sent an email chain to DPI Locke in an attempt to prove they had a valid ticket when they began excavation. I have included the email chain in the case. The chain is between Eshelmans and the homeowner/contractor(?) who placed the ticket. Eshelmans did not place their own ticket, which is in violation of Act 50. I have informed Eshelmans that they cannot piggyback on someone else's ticket. I recommend that the DPC keep the penalties and add mandatory education in exchange for a reduction in the penalty amount of 50% for failing to place a ticket. I recommend no reduction in penalty for failing to report the damage, and I recommend no reduction in penalty for failing to submit an AVR when they were asked to do so and made no response or attempt to submit one.</p> <p>On April 16, 2020 Eshelmans Plow Farms was excavating to plant a tree for the homeowner, when they struck an unmarked PPL line. Eshelmans Plow Farms was excavating on a ticket they had the homeowner place for them, and they did not contact PPL when the line was hit, but again had the homeowner place an emergency One Call ticket. The emergency ticket states that the line was struck with a spade shovel, but the line is shredded, wires are cut, and the hole was much deeper than the power line and the marks in the dirt appear to have been made with mechanized tree spade instead of hand dug with a shovel as it has been made to sound.</p> <p>On July 14, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent AVR emails to both the homeowner and excavator. Neither has submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Eschelmans Plow Farms is cited for excavating without a valid One Call ticket as they never placed a ticket for this excavating. They are also cited for failing to inform the facility owner when they struck the line, once again, placing the burden on the homeowner to place an emergency ticket. Eshelman Plow Farms is also cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike.</p> <p>PPL is cited for failing to mark their line within 18 inches per their own admission..</p>	<p>PPL Electric Utilities: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Plow Farms LP: \$1,125.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Borough of Wyomissing: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
15095	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Inc Contractor/Excavator: Grande Construction Company Other: Lower Heidelberg Twp.</p>	<p>Wyomissing Borough is cited for failing to respond to One Call Ticket 2020092667.</p> <p><u>On 4/16/2020 12:02:00 PM at 40 Merganser Dr. LOWER HEIDELBERG TWP, BERKS</u> ***Lower Heidelberg is disputing their penalty stating that the ticket was due just before the COVID shutdown and they were only a few days late.</p> <p>On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold all violations and penalties as-written.</p> <p>**NO DAMAGE**</p> <p>UGI has reported that Grande Construction Company was augering near a gas service line for trees which is outside of the scope of Ticket 20200640767..</p> <p>On July 30, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an AVR request email to Grande Construction Company. As of September 21, 2020, they have not responded or submitted an AVR</p> <p>Grande Construction is cited for excavating outside of the scope of their ticket and for failing to submit an AVR within 10 days of committing a violation and after they were asked for an AVR. I recommend the penalty for excavating outside of the scope of the ticket be reduced by 50% after training. Since they were contacted an informed of the law, I do not recommend a reduction in penalty for failing to submit an AVR.</p> <p>Lower Heidelberg Twp. is cited for responding 4 days late to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20200640767. Response due 3/6/20. Lower Heidelberg made no response until they responded "clear" on 3/10/20. The Governor did not begin the COVID shutdowns until March 17, 2020.</p>	<p>Grande Construction Company: \$500.00 Section 5(13) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Lower Heidelberg Twp.: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
14595	<p>Facility Owner: Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County Contractor/Excavator: Gulisek Construction Project Owner: PennDOT Other: EAST MCKEESPORT BOROUGH OF Other: NORTH VERSAILLES TOWNSHIP Other: NORTH VERSAILLES TWP Other: SANITARY Other: PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC Other: VERIZON PENNSYLVANIA, LLC</p>	<p><u>On 4/29/2020 11:00:00 AM at SR 30, North Versailles Twp and East McKeesport Borough, ALLEGHENY MAWC, Gulisek, North Versailles TWP, North Versailles TWP.</u> Sanitary, Borough of McKeesport, and Peoples Gas all disputed their penalties. On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to remove penalties for for all of those parties, but added education for Gulisek.</p> <p>*No Damage*</p> <p>Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County stated, Gulisek Construction called in 16 separate routine excavation tickets for a single continuous PennDOT project along SR 30 in North Versailles Township, with no Complex Project ticket and no complex project meeting scheduled.</p> <p>On Friday, October 30, 2020, emails requesting AVRs were sent to Gulisek Construction and PennDOT. They did not submit their report and they did not respond to the email.</p> <p>Tickets: All are New Excavation Routine. Called in on 4/29/2020 with a response due date on 5/5/20 (expect for Ticket 20201202020 with a response due date of 5/1/20). Location was on SR 30 in Allegheny County and with different Intersections: 1. 20201201845: at 11:06, East McKeesport Borough, Nearest Intersections: SR 148 and Park Ave</p>	<p>Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County: \$0.00</p> <p>Gulisek Construction: \$0.00</p> <p>PennDOT: \$750.00 Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>EAST MCKEESPORT BOROUGH OF: \$0.00</p> <p>NORTH VERSAILLES TOWNSHIP: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>2. 20201201844: at 11:06, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: SR 148 and Park Ave</p> <p>3. 20201201860: at 11:08, East McKeesport Borough, Nearest Intersections: Park Ave and Edward Street</p> <p>4. 20201201859: at 11:08, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: Park Ave and Edward Street</p> <p>5. 20201201879: at 11:11, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: Edward Street and Jackman Rd</p> <p>6. 20201201888: at 11:13, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: Jackman Rd and Jacob Street</p> <p>7. 20201201899: at 11:15, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: Jacob Street and Broad Street</p> <p>8. 20201201912: at 11:17, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: Broad Street and McKee Rd</p> <p>9. 20201201922: at 11:19, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: McKee Rd and Naser Rd</p> <p>10. 20201201934: at 11:21, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: Naser Rd and Danning Way</p> <p>11. 20201201953: at 11:23, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: Denning Way and Leuhm Ave</p> <p>12. 20201201972: at 11:25, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: Leuhm Ave and Dix Drive</p> <p>13. 20201201988: at 11:27, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: Dix Drive and SR 48</p> <p>14. 20201202020: at 11:31, New Versailles Twp, Nearest Intersections: SR 48 and Reiss Lane</p> <p>TICKET RESPONSES:</p> <p>**East McKeesport Borough: No Response to ticket 20201201860</p> <p>**North Versailles Twp (CDC NVR): No Response to tickets 20201201912, 20201201922, 20201201934, 20201201953, 20201201972, 20201201988, 20201202020</p> <p>**North Versailles Twp Sanitary(CDC NVS): Late Response- on 5/13/20 as Field Marked to tickets 20201201844, 20201201859, 20201201879, 20201201888, on 5/4/20 as Field Marked to ticket 20201202020</p> <p>**Peoples Gas Company: No Responses to any of the tickets listed above, but responded to all tickets (except for 20201201953) as "Insufficient Information" with no follow-up with "Clear No Facilities" or "Field Marked". They responded to 20201201953 as "Requests Meeting", but no follow-up.</p> <p>**Verizon: Late Responses- as Field Marked to tickets 20201201845, 20201201844, 20201201860, 20201201859, 20201201879, 20201201888, 20201201934, 20201201953</p> <p>**Westmoreland County Municipal Authority: No Response on ticket 20201201844; responded on 4/29/20 requesting a meeting, on 5/6/20 scheduled marked but there was no follow-up with Field Marked or Clear No Facilities. For all other tickets they Requested A Meeting, Scheduled Markings and the final responses were Field Marked.</p>	<p>NORTH VERSAILLES TWP SANITARY: \$0.00</p> <p>PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC: \$0.00</p> <p>VERIZON PENNSYLVANIA, LLC: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
14642	Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS	<u>On 5/2/2020 8:00:00 AM at 327-329 SAPPHIRE WAY, PITTSTON CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> ***PLEASE NOTE THAT PUGLIANO CONSTRUCTION IS NOT BEING	c/o Pugliano Construction: \$4,250.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Contractor/Excavator: c/o Pugliano Construction</p>	<p>FINED OR CITED, ONLY ANGELO PUGLIANO WHO WAS EXCAVATING WITHOUT A ONE CALL TICKET AND THEN TRIED TO COVER UP THE GAS LINE DAMAGE IS BEING CITED, HOWEVER, BECAUSE HE WILL NOT PROVIDE US WITH A MAILING ADDRESS, THE LETTERS AND NOTICES ARE BEING SENT TO PUGLIANO CONSTRUCTION BECAUSE WE KNOW THIS IS A VALID CONTACT ADDRESS****</p> <p>On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold all violations and penalties and add mandatory education.</p> <p>Incident occurred on May 2, 2020 at 327-329 Sapphire Way, Pittston City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>On May 2, 2020 Angelo Pugliano of Pugliano Construction was excavating without a 1 call ticket when he struck a 2-inch steel gas service line, knocking out service to two customers. Peoples Gas reports that the excavator was then seen to pull out a key to shut gas off at the curb valve and attempted to cover up the area and flee the scene. The excavator did not call 911. Peoples originally reported Pugliano Construction had broken their line as Angelo (Vice President) had used company equipment to perform the work, so the company logo was seen on site.</p> <p>On May 21, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an email to Pugliano Construction requesting an AVR. Pugliano Construction(Angelo J. Pugliano) responded that only Angelo (Angelo C. Pugliano) was excavating on personal and private property to dig up a blocked sewer line and believed that the gas line should have been on the other side of the property. DPI Andrade-Locke responded that Angelo still needed to submit an AVR per Act 50, as the Act does not differentiate between personal and public property. Due to Mr. Pugliano’s position within a family-owned construction company, he as a property owner is in a special position, knows about Act 50 and attempted to make arguments that the line was on private property and originally did not want to submit an AVR because he already had an account with 1 Call and did not want the incident connected to Pugliano Construction. In his AVR, Mr. Pugliano further attempts to declare his excavation “exempt” as “minor routine maintenance” based on exemptions for road work (which this was not) and provided a false address in his AVR. He does not live at the address where the line strike occurred, so correspondence sent there is very unlikely to reach him.</p> <p>Angelo Pugliano of Pugliano Construction is cited for the following: Failure to place a 1-Call ticket and wait 3 business days before excavating Failure to immediately notify the facility owner of any break in the lines Failure to notify 911 if damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property Fleeing the scene after striking a gas line involving an escape of gas Failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike</p>	<p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Given the extreme negligence and lack of concern for the safety of the people who live in the area of this line strike, I recommend no reduction in penalties and mandatory training to be completed within 90 days or all penalties be raised to \$2,500.00 per infraction. I encourage the DPC to send a message that this sort of behavior will not be tolerated, in particular, the failure to call 911 and attempting to cover up the strike before fleeing the scene. Please keep in mind that this is not an ordinary "civilian" as stated in his AVR, but someone who already had an account with 811 and who has specialized knowledge of the construction industry.</p>	
14582	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities, Inc Contractor/Excavator: Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain Project Owner: PA AMERICAN WATER COMPANY Other: Ashley Borough Other: Service Electric Company</p>	<p><u>On 5/3/2020 10:00:00 AM at 85 BROWN ST, ASHLEY BORO, LUZERNE</u> ***PA American Water and Service Electric disputed their penalties. On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold all penalties for both parties.*** On Sunday, May 3, 2020, Rossie Rooter Sewer and Drain was hired by PA American Water for an emergency excavation to repair a damaged sewer lateral. During the excavation Rossie Rooter hit and damaged an incorrectly marked gas service line, owned by UGI.</p> <p>PA American Water stated, Rossi Rooter hit a mismarked gas service at 85 Brown Street while working an emergency excavation to repair a damaged sewer lateral, and that Rossi Rooter did call UGI's emergency number when the hit occurred. PA American Water does not have pictures of the incident.</p> <p>UGI is in violation of Section 2(5)(i). UGI stated, the line was incorrectly marked because their facility record was inaccurate, and Rossi Rooter should have called One Call about the mismarked gas line. UGI believes Rossi Rooter is in violation of Sections: 5(9), 5(20), 5(2.2), 5(11) and 5(13). UGI believes Rossi Rooter emergency ticket does not meet the requirements for an emergency because on Wednesday, May 6 they saw Rossi Rooter was still excavating in the street in various locations. UGI also believes Rossi Rooter was working out of the scope of their emergency ticket, saying the ticket only has "sidewalk" checked on location, and during UGI site investigation they could not see white excavation marks indicating a large extent of excavation. Also UGI explained, Rossi Rooter should have exposed the gas line since they planned to excavate beyond the locate marks, but they dug through the yellow locate marks. UGI provided pictures of the incident.</p> <p>On 10/21/2020, an email was sent to Rossi Rooter and PA American Water requesting AVRs. PA American Water submitted an AVR. Rossi Rooter did not submit an AVR and did not respond to the email. Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain is in violation of Sections: 5(16), 5(20), 5(2.2), 5(11) and 5(13).</p> <p>20201230435, New Excavation Emergency Ticket called in on 5/2/2020 *Ashley Borough- Responded Late on 5/4/2020 as "Field Marked".</p>	<p>UGI Utilities, Inc: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain: \$1,250.00 Section 5(2.2) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(11) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(20) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(13) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>PA AMERICAN WATER COMPANY: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Ashley Borough: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Service Electric Company: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*Service Electric Company- Responded Late on 5/3/2020 as "Clear No Facilities".</p> <p>*PAWC (CDC:WW)- Responded Late on 5/4/2020 as "Field Marked".</p> <p>*PAWC (CDC: WG4)- Responded as "Conflict Difficulty" and no follow-up response as "Field Marked" or "Clear No Facilities".</p>	
14738	<p>Facility Owner: Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Costabile Construction</p> <p>Project Owner: Irwin Borough</p> <p>Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 5/4/2020 2:30:00 PM at 2ND ST, IRWIN BORO, WESTMORELAND</u> ***Costabile disputes all violations and penalties. On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to remove the financial penalty but uphold the violation and add an education requirement***</p> <p>**No Damage**</p> <p>Westmoreland Area Municipal Authority has reported that Costabile Construction placed 10 One-Call Tickets in 12 minutes for a project that covered several streets in Irwin Borough. This job should have been done as complex project. MAWC does state that Costabile did work with them to schedule the times of markouts</p> <p>On July 7, 2020 DPI Andrade-Locke sent AVR emails to Costabile Construction and Irwin Borough. Irwin Borough said they "thought" there was a Complex ticket, but then admitted there was not one and did not submit an AVR.</p> <p>Irwin Borough is cited for failing to submit an AVR. Irwin Borough may choose to take education in return for a 50% reduction in the fine as a remedial action.</p> <p>Costabile contacted DPI Andrade saying they did not know their project was considered to have been complex . This seems to be a common misunderstanding for projects that are not in a line and therefore do not appear to meet the "1000 feet or intersection to intersection rule stated in Act 50. Costabile maintains that this is One Call's fault for not making them submit a Complex Project Ticket. I am not fining them for failing to submit an AVR, however I am recommending both a financial penalty and mandatory education for failing to submit Complex Project Tickets. The Complex Project ticket is not a new section of PA One Call Law and it is Costabile's responsibility as a an excavator to stay current on the laws.</p> <p>MAWC has not been cited for marking late for tickets 20201253035, 20201253023, 20201253047, 20201253009, 20201253057 as they were able to work out a mutually agreeable schedule with Costabile Construction. Those tickets were all marked "Scheduled Mark" on 5/8 before the final responses were made.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for responding 1 day late for tickets 2020125304, 20201253009, 20201253095, 20201253078. These tickets were all due on 5/10, and Verizon made no response before marking those tickets clear and there is no evidence that they attempted to work out a schedule with Costabile as MAWC did.</p>	<p>Costabile</p> <p>Construction: \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Irwin Borough:</p> <p>\$125.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$4,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>
14670	<p>Facility Owner: PECO</p> <p>Facility Owner: Springfield Township</p>	<p><u>On 5/5/2020 9:15:00 AM at BALTIMORE PIKE, UPPER DARBY TWP, DELAWARE</u> ***PECO Energy disputed</p>	<p>PECO: \$4,250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Facility Owner: Verizon Contractor/Excavator: Danella Construction Project Owner: AQUA Designer: Gannett Fleming Water Resources Other: Morton Borough</p>	<p>all penalties. On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold all violations and penalties.***</p> <p>On 5/5/2020, Danella Construction was working for Aqua to install a new water main and services along Baltimore Pike, Upper Darby Township, Delaware County. Danella Construction stated on this date they damaged two underground lines; one being an unmarked Verizon underground line and a marked electrical cable line owned by Springfield Township. Danella Construction provided photos of the excavation site showing no locator markings on the ground, but stated the electrical line was embedded in the pavement and was marked. This damage was unavoidable. When the pavement was removed, another electrical line was found underneath which was not harmed. Initially, Danella Construction called PECO out on the One Call Damage Ticket #20201269814, but in their AVR stated the damaged line belonged to Springfield Township. Both facilities were asked to complete an AVR for this investigation. At this time, AVR's were not submitted by either facility owner. An email was sent to Danella Construction requesting to confirm the owner of the damaged facility line. Danella Construction indicated the embedded, damaged electrical line was owned by Springfield Township, and the other, unmarked line belonged to PECO.</p> <p>Three violations of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a locate request are recommended against Verizon because they failed to answer One Call Tickets #20201142977, 20201142979 and 20201142978. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- failing to respond to an Emergency Notification as soon as practicable following notification is also recommended against Verizon for Ticket #20201260814 because they were the only stakeholder who replied "Insufficient Info" when everyone else was able to find the excavation location for this Emergency Ticket.</p> <p>Three violations of 2(5)(v)late- failing to respond to a locate request within the required timeframe are recommended against PECO for Tickets #20201142977, #20201142979 and #20201142978 because response due date for all three tickets was 4/27/2020 for excavation to begin on 4/28/2020 at 7:00 AM and PECO responded on 7/29/2020. A violation of 2(5)(i)- failing to locate underground facilities within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line is also recommended against PECO for failing to indicate a line was beneath the damaged Springfield Township line.</p> <p>A violation of 6.1(3)- releasing a project to bid before final design was complete is recommended against Aqua because a final design was never placed for this project. The last Design ticket placed was in 2018 and they were all Preliminary Designs Tickets.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$8,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 3rd Offense \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>AQUA: \$1,000.00 Section 6.1(3) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p>
14691	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Inc. Contractor/Excavator: Kukurin Contracting Inc. Project Owner: Bedford Borough</p>	<p><u>On 5/6/2020 10:00:00 AM at WEST ST (Service to 301 W. Pitt St.), BEDFORD BORO, BEDFORD</u> UGI states that Kukurin struck their line while pulling the new water service through the earth because they did not pothole the accurately marked UGI service line first. Kukurin did call 911. UGI states that the line was not exposed and was</p>	<p>Kukurin Contracting Inc.: \$250.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Bedford Borough:</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Designer: Stiffler McGraw & Associates Other: Sunoco/Energy Transfer</p>	<p>properly marked. Kukurin states that they did spot the line but did not see the repair coupler that was around the line was not seen and that's what was torn out. .</p> <p>On July 1, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent emails to the Project Owner, Excavator, and Designer asking for AVRs. Project Owner and Excavator submitted theirs on July 1. The Designer submitted theirs on July 2.</p> <p>***Bedford Borough disputed their violation. On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold the violation and penalty***</p> <p>Kukurin is cited for failing to submit their AVR within 10 days of a line strike. Their AVR response suggests that they require training regarding the law regarding an AVR for every line strike whether they feel they are at fault or not. This law has been in effect for more than 2 years and I am not reducing the fine because we can no longer accept the excuse from parties that they "didn't know" when part of their job IS to know. This company has submitted many AVRs in the past, and thus they are aware that an AVR is needed. Mandatory training as a remedial measure is recommended. Proof of completion of training must be submitted within 90 days.</p> <p>Bedford Borough is cited for failing to have a valid design ticket when the job was released for construction. The most recent final design was from May/June and the Complex Project ticket which starts the excavation was not until October, so the Final Design Ticket was out of date. Bedford Borough may opt to attend training in exchange for a 50% reduction in their financial penalty if training is completed within 90 days.</p> <p>Sunoco Pipeline is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20192881561, however the sign in sheet shows that they did attend, so this citation is reduced to a warning.UPDATE: PAOC was able to verify that Sunoco did attempt to respond but for some reason their response was kicked back. Penalties are removed.</p>	<p>\$500.00 Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
14740	<p>Facility Owner: Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County Contractor/Excavator: Donegal Construction Corporation Project Owner: Tresco</p>	<p><u>On 5/7/2020 1:30:00 PM at TARA DR, NORTH HUNTINGDON TWP, WESTMORELAND</u> ***Donegal Construction Corporation disputed all penalties. On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold all violations and penalties and add an education requirement.</p> <p>**NO DAMAGE**</p> <p>On May 7, 2020, Donegal Construction placed 13 One Call Tickets for adjoining streets in lieu of a Complex Project Ticket. These tickets cover an area of 7874 linear feet. Tickets 20201282419 and 20201282415 are for the same street and state that he area is 1398 feet. Ticket 20201282383f or Tara Drive is also above the limits for a complex project at 1392 feet. Westmoreland Area Municipal states in their AVR that they contacted Donegal and asked for more time but Donegal refused.</p>	<p>Donegal Construction Corporation: \$750.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On July 7, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke emailed an AVR letter to Donegal Construction. Donegal responded on July 8 that they will not submit an AVR.</p> <p>Donegal Construction is cited for failing to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. Donegal is also cited for failing to submit an AVR after being informed of their violations of Act 50, and for failing to respond to the PUC's request for information within 30 business days. Donegal may disagree since there was no damage, but the request for an AVR was made because of other reported violations to the Act, not because of a line strike.</p>	
14754	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Gas Co Contractor/Excavator: MEALY EXCAVATING AND CONSTRUCTION INC</p>	<p><u>On 5/7/2020 1:30:00 PM at Various as listed, SLIGO BORO, CLARION</u> Peoples Gas disputed the violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(viii) On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to remove the financial penalty but uphold the violation.</p> <p>***NO DAMAGE** Peoples Gas did not attend a complex project meeting, and responded that they would attend about an hour after the meeting was held.</p>	<p>Peoples Gas Co: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
14692	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Gas Contractor/Excavator: Pride Masonry Inc</p>	<p><u>On 5/11/2020 9:30:00 AM at 689 Chilliwack Ln, MARS BORO, BUTLER</u> ***Pride Masonry disputed all penalties and violations. On November 9, 2021, the DPC voted to uphold all violations and penalties and add mandatory education.***</p> <p>On May 11, 2020, Pride Masonry was excavating without a One Call Ticket when they struck a 4-inch plastic main line belonging to Peoples Gas.</p> <p>On July 2, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke created an AVR letter for Pride Masonry. The AVR letter was mailed on August 17, 2020. As of September 17, 2020, Pride Masonry has not submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Pride Masonry is cited for failing to place a One Call Ticket and for failing to submit an AVR within 10 days of a line strike. I recommend that training be levied and must be completed within 90 days or all fines be raised to \$2,500. per occurrence. I recommend no reduction in penalties as placing a One Call Ticket is not a new part of the law, and Pride was sent a letter requesting an AVR that they ignored. Pride is further cited for failing to provide the PUC with information that is requested within 30 days of the request as the AVR letter also requested the contact information and identification of the project owner.</p>	<p>Pride Masonry Inc: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
14744	<p>Facility Owner: BLOOMSBURG MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY Contractor/Excavator: J.F. Kiely Construction Project Owner: UGI</p>	<p><u>On 5/12/2020 11:50:00 AM at 11 E 5TH ST, BLOOMSBURG TOWN OF, COLUMBIA</u> ***Bloomsburg Municipal Authority disputed all penalties. On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to remove the violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(i) but upheld the violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(v)***</p> <p>On May 12, 2020, JF Kiely struck an unmarked storm drain during excavation.</p>	<p>BLOOMSBURG MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On July 7, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an AVR email to Bloomsburg Municipal asking for an AVR. As of July 22, Bloomsburg has not responded. Their AVR is not mandatory.</p> <p>Bloomsburg Municipal is cited for failing to mark their line. Bloomsburg Municipal is also cited for failing to respond to the original routine ticket (20200692455)</p> <p>Several parties did not respond to the Complex Project Ticket, or they responded "field marked" instead of that they would attend, but the sign in sheet shows that those parties (Suez, Bloomsburg Municipal, and City of Bloomsburg) did attend the meeting and have not been cited for failing to attend/</p>	
15007	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: HRI INC Project Owner: South Williamsport Borough Designer: Herbert Rowland & Grubic Inc Other: Verizon</p>	<p>On 5/13/2020 1:46:00 PM at <u>MAIN STREET, SOUTH WILLIAMSPORT BORO, LYCOMING</u> UGI disputed all penalties. On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold all violations and penalties. ***** HRI was excavating for the Borough of Williamsport and was holding an exposed UGI line with a nylon choker and applied too much pressure, breaking the line. 911 was called.</p> <p>On July 29, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent AVR email requests to South Williamsport and Herbert Rowland & Grubic(designer). South Williamsport made contact with DPI Andrade-Locke on 7/30 and DPI Andrade-Locke has agreed to give them until August 14, 2020 to submit their AVR. South Williamsport submitted their AVR on August 4, 2020. Herbert Rowland & Grubic submitted their AVR on July 31, 2020</p> <p>South Williamsport is cited for releasing a project to bid without a valid Design Ticket. The only Design Ticket found for this excavation was 20190500555, from February 2019. South Williamsport is cited but not fined for failing to submit their AVR report within 10 business days of an incident. They were very cooperative and were not aware that if their own crew didn't hit the line that they needed to submit a report as a project owner.</p> <p>HRI is cited for failing to plan their excavation work to avoid damaging the line. This penalty was chosen instead of failure to provide support as HRI was attempting to support the line which ended up causing the damage instead.</p> <p>UGI is cited for failing to respond to Excavation Ticket No. 20201192156. This ticket was due on 4/30/20. UGI responded "Scheduled Mark" on 4/30, but had not marked by 5/8 (already 8 days late), and then requested a meeting only after they were renotified (and they responded 3 hours and 31 minutes instead of responding within the 2 hour window). This renotification ticket does state that UGI does not need to come out, but it does say that UGI had not responded in KARL and they need a response which was not done within 2 hours. The line was not marked until 5/12. UGI is also cited for failing to respond to the renotification within 2 hours.</p>	<p>UGI Utilities: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>HRI INC: \$250.00 Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>South Williamsport Borough: \$500.00 Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Verizon is cited for responding 1 day late to Excavation Ticket No. 20201192156. Response due 4/30, Verizon field marked on 5/1.</p>	
15131	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities, Inc. Contractor/Excavator: NEPA Asphalt and Sealcoating Project Owner: R.C. Moore Trucking Other: AT&T Other: Comcast Cablevision Other: PA American Water Other: Pittston Township</p>	<p><u>On 5/15/2020 10:00:00 AM at 301 Oak St, PITTSTON CITY, LUZERNE</u> ***Pittston Township disputed all penalties. On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold all violations and penalties and added education.***</p> <p>**NO DAMAGE**</p> <p>NEPA Asphalt was spotted excavating near UGI lines without a One Call Ticket. UGI placed an emergency ticket when they discovered the excavation. NEPA proceeded to first place an insufficient excavation ticket followed by their own emergency ticket 8 minutes later so they would not have to wait to excavate. Repairing potholes is not an emergency event, and while NEPA may argue that they had torn up the driveway and blocked traffic for their client, there would have been no emergency had NEPA not created one. ***PLEASE NOTE This is not the first time this company has been discovered excavating without a One Call Ticket. NEPA was not cited back in 2019 when they were named in case 000638 for excavating without a One Call Ticket. The only reason NEPA was not fined because it was believed that they did not know about Act 50. They were educated by 811 after arguing with DPI Andrade and refusing to submit an AVR because they "never had to submit tickets before". At the time, both Paul Metro and Maria White educated NEPA Asphalt directly. ***</p> <p>Based on this incident, it is apparent that their behavior has not changed. NEPA is cited for failing to place a One Call Ticket before excavating, placing an emergency ticket for a non-emergency event, and failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a violation of Act 50. I ask the DPC to make education mandatory for NEPA's employees since we believed that they understood Act 50 back in 2019, but they have not changed their behavior.</p> <p>On July 30, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke emailed NEPA Asphalt and the project owner asking for AVRs. NEPA responded on July 31 that they don't need a one call ticket because there were already marks there from another excavator and they "always do take the necessary steps and precautions on all jobs"....except for placing One Call Tickets.. As of August 27, 2020, NEPA has not submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Comcast is cited for failing to respond to New No 1 Call Emergency Ticket 20201361945. .Ticket was placed on 5/15. Comcast responded "insufficient" on 5/15 but did not finalize their response. Comcast did respond to the emergency and insufficient tickets on time so it is believed that they overlooked this ticket. They are cited but not fined.</p> <p>AT&T is cited for failing to respond to New No 1 Call Emergency Ticket 20201361945. .Ticket was placed on 5/15. AT&T did not respond "clear" until 5/18. AT&T is also cited for failing to respond to Emergency Excavation ticket No. 20201362103 within 24 hours. AT&T did not</p>	<p>NEPA Asphalt and Sealcoating: \$2,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(9) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>AT&T: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Comcast Cablevision: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>PA American Water: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Pittston Township: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>respond to this ticket until 5/18. Emergency Tickets should be responded to within 24 hours.</p> <p>Pittston Township is cited for failing to respond to New No 1 Call Emergency Ticket 20201361945. .Ticket was placed on 5/15. Pittston did not respond clear until 12 days later on 5/27. Pittston is also cited for failing to respond to New Excavation Ticket No. 20201362059. Ticket placed on 5/15 with a due date of 5/18. Again, Pittston didn't respond "clear" until 5/27 (9 days late). Pittston is further cited for failing to respond to New Excavation Emergency Ticket No. 20201362103. This ticket was placed on 5/15 and again Pittston didn't respond "clear" until 5/27 (12 days late). i recommend a 50% reduction in penalty if personnel responsible for marking lines attend training through PA 1 Call.</p> <p>PA American Water cited for failing to respond to New No 1 Call Emergency Ticket 20201361945. .Ticket was placed on 5/15. PAWC responded "insufficient" on 5/15 but did not finalize their response. PAWC did respond "clear" to the insufficient and emergency tickets 20201362059 and 20201362103 placed for the same site on the same day, so the citation has been reduced to zero as it appears this ticket was overlooked.</p> <p>NEPA Asphalt is cited for failing to place a One Call Ticket, placing an emergency One Call Ticket for a non-emergency event, and failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of committing a violation of Act 50. I recommend that no reduction in fines be permitted and that further education be mandatory.</p>	
15085	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS Contractor/Excavator: INFRASOURCE Project Owner: COLUMBIA GAS OF PA Other: Mt Lebanon Public Works</p>	<p><u>On 5/15/2020 11:30:00 AM at COCHRAN RD, MT LEBANON TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> ** Mt. Lebanon has rejected their penalty stating that they did attend the meeting and were frequently in contact with the excavator** On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to reduce all penalties to Mt. Lebanon by 50%</p> <p>**No Damage**</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/15/2020 on Cochran Rd., Mt. Lebanon Twp., Allegheny County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas and Infracource have both reported that Infracource spent a day vacuuming a road searching for Peoples Gas service lines that were marked as crossing a road when the lines did not cross the road. Infracource vac-trucked holes 6 ft long, 2 ft. wide and 5 ft. deep, Infracource estimates that this cost them around \$50,000. Peoples Gas stated that they felt that there should have been a renotification, however, in most cases renotifications are done when a facility says it has marked and the excavator finds evidence of unmarked lines. Peoples offered no photographs or other evidence showing that the excavator should have believed that the lines weren't in the road as marked.</p> <p>On July 30, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent Peoples Gas an email requesting an AVR. Peoples submitted their AVR on August 7, 2020.</p>	<p>PEOPLES GAS: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>COLUMBIA GAS OF PA: \$500.00 Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Mt Lebanon Public Works: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Peoples Gas is cited for failing to locate their lines within 18-inches.</p> <p>Columbia Gas is cited for releasing a project to bid or construction without a Final Design Ticket. The only design ticket for this project was Preliminary Design Ticket 20192211159.</p> <p>Mt Lebanon Public Works is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket No. 20193522023. Response due 12/22, Meeting on 12/23. Mt. Lebanon did not make any response until 1/2 (11 days late) when they responded "Clear" to the ticket. Mt. Lebanon sought education and penalty was reduced from \$500 to \$250.</p>	
14947	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia gas</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Lee's Plumbing and Excavating</p> <p>Project Owner: Pennsylvania American Water</p> <p>Other: City of Connellsville</p> <p>Other: Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County</p>	<p><u>On 5/19/2020 9:00:00 AM at 302 WILLS RD, CONNELLSVILLE CITY, FAYETTE</u> Columbia Gas has reported that Lees Plumbing and Excavating struck their accurately marked gas line with a backhoe. Lees Plumbing does not provide much information in their AVR, but they do admit that the line was accurately marked.</p> <p>On July 23, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an AVR request email to PAWC. PAWC sent their AVR on July 24.</p> <p>Lees Plumbing and Excavating is cited for failing to use prudent excavation techniques in the tolerance zone</p> <p>The City of Connellsville is cited for failing to respond to the Complex Project Meeting for Complex Project Ticket No. 20200570517. Due date was 3/3/20 and the City did not respond until they entered a clear response on 3/9/20. The sign-in sheet shows that they did attend the meeting, but they did not respond until several days later. I recommend education and \$0 in fines.</p> <p>Pennsylvania American Water is cited for releasing a project to bid or construction without a final design ticket. The only design ticket found for this project was Preliminary Design Ticket 20203431654.</p>	<p>Lee's Plumbing and Excavating: \$0.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Pennsylvania American Water: \$500.00 Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>City of Connellsville: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
15148	<p>Facility Owner: Edgewater Borough Municipal Water Authority</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Miller Pipeline</p> <p>Project Owner: Columbia Gas</p> <p>Other: Leetsdale Borough</p>	<p><u>On 5/19/2020 11:00:00 AM at 24 WINDING RD, LEETSDALE BORO, ALLEGHENY</u> ***Leetsdale Borough is rejecting the penalty for failure to mark the point of connection as they state that they own the sewer facilities but the water lines and main are owned by Edgeworth Borough Municipal Water Authority. Leetsdale has agreed to the other two citations.</p> <p>** As a result of the information provided above, I recommend that the DPC remove the penalty for failure to mark the known point of connection from Leetsdale and cite Edgeworth instead. The report below still names Leetsdale because this is how the events were originally reported.</p> <p>On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to withdraw the violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(I.1)</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/19/2020 24 Winding Road, Leetsdale, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Miller Pipeline struck an unmarked water service line owned by Leetsdale Borough. Their AVR states that the Borough claims that the property owner owns the line and it's not their responsibility, however, Leetsdale did not respond to the One Call Ticket and Act 50 does mandate</p>	<p>Leetsdale Borough: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>that they mark their water main and points of connection to that main.</p> <p>On July 31, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent AVR emails to Leetsdale and Columbia Gas. Leetsdale does not have an email address published on their website, but they do have a form where you can submit information and that is how the AVR request was sent.. Columbia submitted their AVR on August 3. Leetsdale has not submitted their AVR as of August 27, 2020.</p> <p>Leetsdale Borough is cited for failing to respond to One Call Ticket No. 20201333327 and for failing to mark their point of connection to the main. Leetsdale is cited but not fined for failing to respond to Emergency Ticket No. 20201402416. The ticket states that Leetsdale was there and claimed they did not own the line that was hit, so they did come out to the strike, but Act 50 mandates that they respond in KARL, which they did not do. I recommend training in addition to financial penalties.</p>	
14984	<p>Facility Owner: City of Carbondale Contractor/Excavator: Kriger Pipeline Project Owner: UGI Other: PPL Electric Other: Verizon Pennsylvania</p>	<p><u>On 5/21/2020 11:00:00 AM at park st., CARBONDALE CITY, LACKAWANNA</u> On November 9, 2021 the DPC upheld the DPi's recommendation for Carbondale who had disputed both penalties. The 50% reduction for section 2(5)(viii) was upheld and education is mandatory.</p> <p>**NO DAMAGE**</p> <p>Kriger Pipeline has reported that the City of Carbondale owns sewer lines in the area where Kriger had placed a complex project ticket but that Carbondale is not a member of Pa 1 Call. The City does not appear in any of the Design or Complex Project Tickets.</p> <p>Kriger contacted Carbondale directly to try to get them to attend the Complex Project Meeting but they did not attend.</p> <p>The City of Carbondale is cited for failing to be a member of PA One Call, for failing to attend the Complex Project Meeting despite being requested to attend via means other than the Complex Project Ticket. I recommend that all fines be reduced by 50% after attending education for failing to attend the meeting. I have reduced the penalty for failing to be a member of 1 Call to a warning because Carbondale has already been fined twice for this penalty (Case Nos. 016115 and 015669) on December 10 and they have become members since then.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for failing to attend the Complex Project Meeting. Verizon failed to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20201393875 and the sign in sheet shows that they did not attend. - Subsequent offense, previous offenses for 2020 are listed with Verizon's penalty amounts. Verizon's penalties are not reset to first offenses due to their ongoing pattern of non-compliance.</p>	<p>City of Carbondale: \$250.00 Section 2(1) 1st offense \$0.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon Pennsylvania: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>
15171	<p>Facility Owner: City of Lancaster Bureau of Water Contractor/Excavator: Kinsley Construction Project Owner: UGI Other: AT&T Local</p>	<p><u>On 6/1/2020 10:30:00 AM at 223 N Lime St, LANCASTER CITY, LANCASTER</u> ***PPL disputed the penalty for Section 2(5)(v). On November 9, 2021 the DPC voted to remove both the violation and penalty.</p>	<p>City of Lancaster Bureau of Water: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Services Other: Frontier Communications Other: Hillrise Mutual Housing Other: MAW Communications Other: PPL Electric Utilities Other: Verizon Business Formerly MCI</p>	<p>Kinsley struck the City of Lancaster's mis-marked water service line. According to the AVRs, the nearest mark was 11 feet away. Lancaster admits they did not have accurate records and did not mark the line correctly.</p> <p>Lancaster City is cited for failing to mark their line within 18-inches.</p> <p>Hillrise Mutual Housing is cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket No. 20192823074. Hillrise is also cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket No. 20200292162. Hillrise is cited for failing to respond to Update Complex Project Ticket No. 20200500388. Hillrise was able to show me that their One Call notices were going to their Spam folder and federal law states that they are not to open any emails in this folder. They are having their MIS team set up their email account so that the emails will go to the proper channels. Therefore I am recommending that their penalties be set to warnings only.</p> <p>Verizon Business is cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket No. 20192823074. Verizon Business is also cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket No. 20200292162. Verizon is further cited for failing to respond to Update Complex Project Ticket No. 20200500388. Verizon is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket No. 20201071116.</p> <p>AT&T is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket No. 20200292162. Response to this ticket was due 2/6 and the meeting was held on 2/7. AT&T did not respond until almost 3 months later when they responded "Conflict DCTF" on 5/1. AT&T is cited for failing to respond to Update Complex Project Ticket No. 20200500388. Response due 2/23, AT&T did not respond until 5/1 (Conflict). AT&T is further cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket No. 20201071116. Response due 4/23. At&T responded "Conflict" on 4/24 and did not attend the meeting or finalize their response.</p> <p>MAW Communications is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket No. 20200292162. Response due 2/6, MAW made no response until 2/17 (11 days late) when they responded "clear".</p> <p>Frontier Communications is cited for responding late to New Excavation Routine Ticket 20201411320. Response due 5/22. Frontier Scheduled a mark on 5/22, but did not mark until 5/26 at 11:22 AM. Excavation was scheduled to start on 5/26 at 7am.</p> <p>PPL is cited for responding late to New Excavation Routine Ticket 20201411320. Response due 5/22. Frontier Scheduled a mark on 5/22, but did not mark until 5/26 at 11:22 AM. Excavation was scheduled to start on 5/26 at 7am.</p>	<p>AT&T Local Services: \$1,750.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Frontier Communications: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Hillrise Mutual Housing: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>MAW Communications: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>PPL Electric Utilities: \$0.00</p> <p>Verizon Business Formerly MCI: \$7,500.00 Section 2(4) Subsequent \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Committee Review

No cases scheduled.

