



Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

**Damage Prevention Committee Meeting Case List
February 8, 2022**

Omnibus Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
14348	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: Charles L Frame Other: Tenant</p>	<p><u>On 10/9/2019 9:31:00 AM at 191 Dundaff St. CARBONDALE CITY, LACKAWANNA</u> Incident occurred on 10/09/2019 at 191 Dundaff Street, Carbondale City, Lackawanna County, PA. A UGI gas line was hit and damaged while a landlord was repairing a sewer line to their rental property. There was no PA One Call ticket placed by the excavator prior to excavation. Tenant placed a PA One Call the day of the excavation and when damage occurred.</p> <p>UGI states in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that a UGI gas service line was hit and damaged when excavation was taking place to repair a sewer line, without a one call ticket. UGI Reported that the resident of the home, stated that the owner of the property was excavating using a mini excavator to repair a sewer line. AVR from UGI listed the excavator and address. pictures are included. 911 was called, but the excavator vacated the site before anyone arrived. One call notes that this excavator has never placed a One Call ticket.</p> <p>UGI provided more information about the excavator in their second AVR.</p> <p>Mr. Frame, the excavator was mailed an AVR letter on 7/21/2021. No AVR received and no contact made to date.</p> <p>Tenant was sent an AVR request letter on 7/21/2021. No AVR received and no contact made to date. Ticket 20192822163 was submitted by the tenant.</p> <p>Excavator Frame is in violation of: Section 5(2.1) for failing to submit a location request. Penalty is applied. Education is mandatory. Section 5(8) for vacating the work site after causing damage which resulted in the escape of gas or liquid. 911 was called. \$1000 penalty is reduced to \$500. Section 5(16) No AVR was received, no contact was made. Penalty is applied. Section 5(7) the excavator did not report this incident to UGI. \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500. Section 5(4) Appurtenance seen in the UGI pic that was submitted. Although it is not clear when UGI marked this area, the appurtenance is visible, and markings are in line with the equipment. Frame failed to exercise due care and employ prudent technics. \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning. Ticket 20192822163 was submitted by the tenant.</p>	<p>Charles L Frame: \$2,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
14455	<p>Facility Owner: COLUMBIA GAS OF PA - North</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: K&M Boring</p> <p>Project Owner: COMCAST</p> <p>Project Owner: S&M Boring</p> <p>Other: PENN POWER CO</p> <p>Other: VERIZON</p>	<p><u>On 4/16/2020 2:56:00 PM at 2413 WOODLAND DR, SHENANGO TWP, LAWRENCE</u> Incident occurred at 2413 Woodland Drive, in Shenango Township, Lawrence County. K&M Boring was using a boring drill to install cable for Comcast Communications, when they damaged a 1” Columbia Gas Service line.</p> <p>Incident occurred at 2413 Woodland Drive, in Shenango Township, Lawrence County. K&M Boring was using a boring drill to install cable for Comcast Communications, when they damaged a 1” Columbia Gas Service line.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that S&M Boring (it was later verified that this was a different Company named K&M boring, who was hired by S&M Boring) damaged a 1” Columbia Gas line that was not property exposed prior to boring. They also state that 911 was not notified when there was an escape of hazardous gas. Columbia Gas verified that K&M Boring notified Columbia Gas directly. Pictures were provided.</p> <p>S&M Boring state in their AVR that they hired K&M Boring. S&M Boring was called to the site after the gas hit occurred. He believes that S&M boring called 911. They also state that level “A” Subsurface Utility Engineering was used. Upon arrival to the site, S&M Boring noticed that K&M Boring used hand tools to expose the gas line. The hit happened just to the left of the pothole less than 1 Ft away. K&M boring was using a boring drill to install cable when they hit and damaged a 1” Columbia Gas Service Line. S&M boring states that K&M boring believed that they did notify 911. Pictures were provided.</p> <p>K&M Boring was mailed two AVR letters, once on 5/4/2021 and again on 6/17/2021 because it was noticed that Address in AVR letter needed updating. Both requests were verified as emailed. No AVR letter was received to date.</p> <p>Comcast stated in their AVR that S&M Boring contacted K&M Boring to complete an underground project for Comcast. K&M was hand digging within the tolerance zone when they hit a Columbia Gas line. In S&M’s AVR they believe that K&M boring called 911. Columbia Gas repaired the damage and was paid for the repairs. Comcast received the AVR request notice a year after this incident and was not aware that any damaged had occurred prior to this report. They believe that K&M should have submitted their own One Call ticket.</p> <p>K&M Boring is in violation of Sections: 5(16) AVR request letter was emailed and delivered twice, 5/4/2021 and 6/17/2021. Both requests were verified as emailed. No AVR letter was received to date. Education is mandatory. The Penalty is applied. 5(19) Ticket 20201020049 was submitted by excavator S&M Boring to lay cable for Comcast Communication.</p>	<p>K&M Boring: \$2,000.00 Section 5(19) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>S&M Boring verified that the ticket was placed by K&M, using S&M's information. The information provided to One call was not accurate.</p> <p>5(8) Excavator failed to notify 911 when damage resulted in the escape of gas. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques. AVR from Columbia Gas states that the markings were within the tolerance zone and pictures are provided. Photos from Columbia Gas show markings and damage in the area. K&M had a valid One Call ticket, they just misidentified themselves when they placed that ticket and therefore the tolerance zone is valid.</p> <p>Notes: Incident affected one customer 1-6 hours.</p>	
20232	<p>Facility Owner: Windstream</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Delp Excavating</p> <p>Other: Warsaw Township</p>	<p><u>On 5/1/2020 12:24:00 PM at 937 Hazen Richardsville Rd, WARSAW TWP, JEFFERSON</u> ~Incident occurred on 5/1/2020 at 937 Hazen Richardsville Rd, near Humpback Road and Carberry Road, Warsaw Township, Jefferson County.</p> <p>Windstream submitted an AVR stating, "Line was damaged because no locate was requested". A violation of 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe with education in lieu of the monetary penalty is recommended.</p> <p>Damage Ticket #20201221875 was placed on 5/1/2020. Remarks- "Caller was running his dozer and ran across the Windstream line. Windstream has been contacted and instructed Charles to contact 811. Line is nicked. Windstream needs the ug lines marked". Warsaw Township didn't respond to the notification. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Delp Excavating ~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p> <p>Warsaw Township ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for ticket #20201221875</p>	<p>Delp Excavating: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Warsaw Township: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
15417	<p>Facility Owner: Philadelphia Gas Works</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: AP Construction</p> <p>Project Owner: Philadelphia Water Department</p>	<p><u>On 5/15/2020 12:00:00 PM at HAWTHORNE ST, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> *Incident occurred on 5/15/2020 on Hawthorne Street, Philadelphia City, Philadelphia.</p> <p>AP Construction submitted Routine One Call ticket #20200420170 to begin excavation on 2/15/2020 at 7:00 AM on Hawthorne Street in Philadelphia stating the nearest intersections were Comly and Benner Streets. The One Call ticket spanned over multiple intersections</p>	<p>AP Construction: \$2,250.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>and wards generating another One Call ticket, #20200420171 (see Investigator Googlemaps photo).</p> <p>PGW submitted an AVR stating, "Contractor intentionally cut into exposed 4" Cast Iron main thinking it was abandoned." A photo was submitted by PGW. A violation of 5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques and 5(6)(i)- failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage to or minimize interference with a facility owner's facilities in the construction area is recommended against AP Construction. The excavator could have contacted One Call or the facility owner to determine if the line was active or abandoned.</p> <p>PGW indicated in their AVR 911 was not called. A violation of 5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property is recommended against AP Construction.</p> <p>Philadelphia City Water Department marked "Conflict-DCTF" but never finalized their responses for One Call Tickets #20200420170 and #20200420171. Two counts of violation 2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification are recommended.</p> <p>Courtesy letters were sent to AP Construction and Philadelphia Water Department requesting AVR letters be submitted on 5/24/2021. Neither entity submitted AVR's to the Commission. A recommendation of 5(16)- failed to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition and 5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request is recommended against AP Construction. A violation of 6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike is recommended against Philadelphia Water Department.</p> <p>Violations-</p> <p>AP Construction-</p> <p>~5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques</p> <p>~5(6)(i)- failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage to or minimize interference with a facility owner's facilities in the construction area</p> <p>~5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property.</p> <p>~5(16)- failed to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition</p>	<p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Philadelphia Water Department: \$1,250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>~5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request</p> <p>Philadelphia Water Department- ~Two counts of violation 2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification are recommended for One Call Tickets #20200420170 and #20200420171. ~6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike</p>	
15338	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 5/27/2020 4:18:00 PM at 216 SR 660, WELLSBORO BORO, TIOGA</u> ~Incident occurred on 5/27/2020 at 216 SR 660, Wellsboro Borough, Tioga County.</p> <p>UGI submitted an AVR stating, "Gas service was struck using mechanized equipment. There was no PA One Call request made for this excavation." A violation of 5(2.1)-homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended against the homeowner. Photos were submitted by UGI.</p> <p>One Call indicated the homeowner placed a One Call ticket for additional work at his home after this incident.</p> <p>Violations: ~5(2.1)- homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p>	<p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
15829	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA Contractor/Excavator: CTI Underground LLC</p>	<p><u>On 6/10/2020 2:00:00 PM at Gentle Slope Way, STRABAN TWP, ADAMS</u> *Correlates with Case #17026.</p> <p>~Incident(s) have occurred over multiple days. The AVR was submitted for 6/10/2020 and took place at Gentle Slope Way, Straban Township, Adams County.</p> <p>On 1/14/2020 Damage Prevention Educator, Mr. Shupe, met onsite with the landscaper of the development. A meeting occurred with Mr. John Maley of CTI Underground. Mr. Shupe advised Mr. Maley, "His company was digging over top of new gas main with a mini-ex to install their irrigation system and that they are required by law to place a One Call." Bill and Mr. Maley exchanged business cards. A violation of 5(3)- excavator failed to schedule work as agreed upon during a preconstruction meeting is recommended against CTI Underground for failing to place a One Call notification after Mr. Shupe met with the excavator for excavation work performed on 3/5/2020 when a Columbia Gas Technician was onsite setting a new gas meter. The excavator was performing excavation work without markouts. A violation of 5(2.1)- failing to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended against CTI Underground.</p> <p>~~COVID shut-down~~</p> <p>Again on 4/16/2020, Mr. Shupe met with Mr. Maley onsite while visiting another contractor. Mr. Shupe advised Mr. Maley that if work was resuming, they</p>	<p>CTI Underground LLC: \$5,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>would need to put in One Calls. On 5/26/2020, CTI was performing work without placing a One Call. A second recommendation of one count of 5(3) and 5(2.1) are recommended against CTI Underground.</p> <p>For the third time, Mr. Shupe stopped the CTI Underground operator from digging on 6/10/2020 and showed him where the gas main was located. A third count of violations of 5(3) and 5(2.1) are recommended against CTI Underground.</p> <p>Mr. Shupe waited at the site trailer to speak with Mr. Maley, but Mr. Maley stated he didn't have time to talk. Mr. Shupe left another business card and placed a No One Call Emergency Ticket #20201630833.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was sent to CTI Underground on 5/27/2021 and an AVR has not been submitted. Violations of 5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition and 5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request are recommended against the excavator.</p> <p>****Columbia Gas stated that Lennar Homes, MD is the PO. After speaking with multiple people from Lennar Homes, CTI Underground has many PO's for this development.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>CTI Underground-</p> <p>For excavating on 3(5) ~ 5(3)- excavator failed to schedule work as agreed upon during a preconstruction meeting ~5(2.1)- failing to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p> <p>For excavating on 5(26) ~ 5(3)- excavator failed to schedule work as agreed upon during a preconstruction meeting ~5(2.1)- failing to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p> <p>For excavating on 6(10) ~ 5(3)- excavator failed to schedule work as agreed upon during a preconstruction meeting ~5(2.1)- failing to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p> <p>~5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
15789	Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: C. Abbonizio Contractors Inc.	<p>~ 5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request are recommended against the excavator.</p> <p><u>On 6/18/2020 9:00:00 AM at 2512 CUSTER AVE, ABINGTON TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> The incident occurred on Thursday, June 18, 2020 at 2512 Custer Avenue in Abington Township, Montgomery County. PECO stated C. Abbonizio Contractors Inc was excavating without a One Call notification; violation 5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call, and during the excavation the Contractor hit a 1-inch plastic gas service. PECO did not provide pictures.</p> <p>PECO called in a New Excavation Emergency ticket (20201700843) on June18, 2020 at 9:36 a.m., to repair the damage line. On the ticket it mentions in the Location: Sub-contractor Roma Concrete hit a gas line, Crew is here to repair it.</p> <p>Also, attached to the Alleged Violation Report (AVR) was an Update Excavation Routine ticket (20190841753) called in by C. Abbonizio, on March 3, 2019. The ticket was for: Storm sewer and drainage for a duration of 24 months and working for PennDOT.</p> <p>On May 19, 2021, a letter was mailed to C. Abbonizio, and on May 27, 2021, an email was sent requesting an AVR. C. Abbonizio responded to the email on June 3rd and stated being unaware of the incident. Their email was replied to on June 4th with the synopsis of the event, and soon after they responded stating that the incident will be looked into.</p> <p>*C. Abbonizio Contractors Inc.- Violations for sections: 5(3)- failed to preserve mark-outs or request a remark. 5(16)- failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line.</p> <p>With the lack of information provided, it cannot be determined if C. Abbonizio is in violation of section 5(3). Recommending: 5(3) and 5(16)- No Penalty, and No Violation.</p>	C. Abbonizio Contractors Inc.: \$0.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00
16802	Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Powell's Excavating	<p><u>On 6/20/2020 7:01:00 PM at 118 Osprey Ln, ARCHBALD BORO, LACKAWANNA</u> The incident occurred on Saturday, June 20, 2020, at 118 Osprey Lane, in Archbald Borough, Lackawanna County; where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI stated, Powell's Excavating did not notify One Call before their job and as result, during their excavating for storm sewers a gas main line was damaged. UGI provided two pictures; to be looked at.</p> <p>On UGI's Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented, Powell's Excavating has placed notifications previously. There were no tickets found.</p> <p>On Wednesday, May 26, 2021, a letter was mailed to Powell's Excavating requesting an AVR. On Thursday, June 3rd they called in response to the letter and stated that they will submit an AVR. As of Thursday, June 10, 2021 an AVR has not been submitted.</p>	Powell's Excavating: \$1,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*Powell's Excavating is in violation of sections: 5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. 5(16)- Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. Recommendation: For 5(2.1)- Education and penalty applied. For 5(16)- Education, zero penalty and keep the violation.</p>	
17012	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: Mid Valley Fence Project Owner: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 7/3/2020 10:00:00 AM at 26 Birkett Street, CARBONDALE TWP, LACKAWANNA</u> The incident occurred on Friday, July 3, 2020, at 26 Burkett Street, in Carbondale Township, Lackawanna County, where a gas distribution line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI Utilities, stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Mid Valley Fence (MVF) did not call in a locate notification before their job; which led to a damaged gas line. UGI provided no pictures. On Tuesday, May 25, 2021, an email was sent to UGI requesting additional information, and they replied the next day with added information stating that MVF hit the gas line with a posthole digger and there was clear evidence of facilities in the area.</p> <p>On Tuesday, May 25, 2021 an email was sent to MVF requesting an AVR. The same day MVF called in response to the email, explaining it was a fence removal job and no digging involved. UGI's gas line was damaged because it was shallow, the line was installed incorrectly, there was no yellow caution tape and no sand. MVF did not know an excavation ticket was needed for removing a fence. Also, the UGI employee at the worksite advised MVF the fault was with UGI and a locate notification was not needed. MFV did not know to submit an AVR since they believed UGI would not submit an AVR because of what they were advised. I told MFV an AVR is required to be submitted when an underground utility is hit due to any type of excavation; even when advised the incident is not the fault of the excavator. MFV said they would submit an AVR. As of Thursday, June 24, 2021 a report has not be submitted.</p> <p>On UGI's AVR the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented, Mid Valley Fence has placed one call notifications previously. There were no tickets.</p> <p>*Mid Valley Fence is in violation of sections: 5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. 5(16)- Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Recommending: Education, penalties applied.</p>	<p>Mid Valley Fence: \$1,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
16538	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities, Inc Contractor/Excavator: H R Weaver Building Services</p>	<p><u>On 7/8/2020 12:37:00 AM at 53 CORTLAND CROSSING, PALMYRA BORO, LEBANON</u> The incident occurred on Wednesday, July 8, 2020 at 53 Cortland Crossing in Palmyra Borough, Lebanon County, where an unmarked gas service line was damaged.</p>	<p>UGI Utilities, Inc: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>H R Weaver Building</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>UGI Utilities stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR), a Facility Owner issue as, marked lines incorrectly. Also, an Excavator issue, H.R. Weaver Building Systems failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner after the damaged line resulted in release of natural gas.</p> <p>UGI provided one picture of the damaged line. Please look at the picture.</p> <p>On May 18, 2021, an email was sent to H.R. Weaver requesting an AVR. There was no response to the email and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*UGI Utilities is in violation of section: 2.5(i)- Failed to locate underground lines. Recommendation: penalty applied</p> <p>*H.R. Weaver Building Systems is in violation of sections: 5(8)- Failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner after the damaged line resulted in release of natural gas. 5(16)- Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. Recommendation: penalties applied and Education.</p>	<p>Services: \$1,250.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
16436	<p>Facility Owner: VALLEY ENERGY INC Contractor/Excavator: Sikora Brothers Project Owner: Valley Energy Inc</p>	<p><u>On 7/8/2020 10:34:00 AM at 329 Pine St. Working off Western Ave., TOWANDA BORO, BRADFORD</u> The incident occurred on Wednesday, July 8, 2020, in the front property of 329 Pine Street in Towanda Borough, Bradford County. Damage occurred to Valley Energy's plastic drip line coming off the 4-inch plastic gas main located on the Eastern side of Western Avenue.</p> <p>Valley Energy stated that Sikora Brothers placed a Complex Project ticket (20201222979) on 5/1/2020 but did not call in a locate ticket before the excavation on Pine Street, and this location is out of scope of the complex project ticket.</p> <p>Valley Energy provided pictures of the damage. Please look at the pictures ending in 113106 and 113128.</p> <p>An email was sent to Sikora Brothers, on 5/14/2021 requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). To date, no response to the email and an AVR was not submitted.</p> <p>*Sikora Brothers is in violation of sections: 5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. 5(16)- Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. 5(17) Failure to comply with PUC requests for information.</p> <p>There are no previous violations for Sikora Brothers. Recommending: Education for both sections. For 5(2.1)- 50% penalty from \$1,000 to \$500. For 5(16) and 5(17)- penalty has not been reduced because Sikora Brothers has not shown good faith by failing to respond to requests for information.</p>	<p>Sikora Brothers: \$1,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
23495	<p>Facility Owner: VALLEY ENERGY INC Contractor/Excavator: Sikora Brothers</p>	<p><u>On 7/9/2020 2:45:00 PM at 306 Third St., TOWANDA BORO, BRADFORD</u> The incident occurred on Wednesday, July 9, 2020, at 306 Third Street in Towanda Borough, Bradford County. Valley Energy</p>	<p>Sikora Brothers: \$2,000.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Project Owner: Valley Energy</p>	<p>stated, Sikora Brothers damaged a plastic gas service line. Sikora Brothers placed a Complex Project ticket (20201222979) on 5/1/2020 but did not call in a locate ticket before the excavation. Valley Energy stated, although no excavation ticket was called in for that work site, they did locate and mark their lines prior to the excavation, but Sikora Brothers failed to maintain the marks because gravel was laid over them. Valley Energy provided pictures of the damage. Please look at all the pictures.</p> <p>An email was sent to Sikora Brothers, on 5/14/2021 requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). There was no response to the email and an AVR was not submitted.</p> <p>*Sikora Brothers is in violation of sections: 5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. 5(3)- Failed to preserve mark-outs or request a remark. 5(16)- Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. 5(17) Excavator failed to respond to PUC requests for information. Education is mandatory and no reduction in penalties is recommended. This excavator hit lines twice in 3 days because they did not have a valid 1-Call ticket and they have not cooperated with either investigation.</p>	<p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
16644	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Gas Contractor/Excavator: Clark Merling Excavating Project Owner: Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County</p>	<p><u>On 7/20/2020 10:00:00 AM at FOREST AVE, MCKEESPORT CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 7/20/2020 on Forest Avenue, McKeesport City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>MAWC has reported that their contractor, Clark Merling Excavating, struck a correctly marked Peoples Gas line. MAWC placed an emergency One Call Ticket to repair a water main leak and then had to stop working to dispatch their backhoe to another job site. They called in Mr. Merling and he relieved the MAWC crew and continued the excavation. He did not place his own emergency ticket.</p> <p>On September 30, 2020, DPI Locke sent an AVR request to Peoples Gas, and created a letter to go out via USPS to Clark Merling Excavating on October 14 2020. To date Mr. Merling has not submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Mr. Merling may have believed that since he was continuing work for MAWC that he could work on their ticket. This company has not been cited in the past for any strike or digging without a ticket. however I recommend no reduction in penalties as Mr. Merling has failed to comply with requests for information and show a good-faith effort to comply with the Act. Education is mandatory.</p>	<p>Clark Merling Excavating: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
16789	<p>Contractor/Excavator: Homeowner Other: UGI Utilities</p>	<p><u>On 7/20/2020 11:23:00 AM at 528 Gino Merli Drive, BLAKELY BORO, LACKAWANNA</u> The incident occurred on Monday, July 20, 2020, at 528 Gino Merli</p>	<p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Drive, in Blakely Borough, Lackawanna County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI Utilities stated that Homeowner, Michael O'Halloran was digging with a mini excavator when the gas service line was damaged. The Homeowner was excavating without a One Call notification ticket. The PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented that the Homeowner has placed a notification in the past.</p> <p>On Wednesday, May 19, 2021, a letter was mailed to the Homeowner requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). As of Tuesday, June 15, 2021, no AVR has been submitted.</p> <p>The Homeowner, Michael O'Halloran is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call 5(16) Homeowner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line The Homeowner has no previous violations. Recommendation: Education, zero penalty and keep the violation.</p>	<p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
17026	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA Contractor/Excavator: CTI Underground LLC Other: CCD Rock Creek LLC</p>	<p><u>On 7/22/2020 8:00:00 AM at BAYBERRY LN, STRABAN TWP, ADAMS</u> *Correlates with Case #15829- NEAR MISS</p> <p>~This incident occurred on 7/22/2020 at Bayberry Lane, near Hunterstown Road and Shrivvers Corner Road, Straban Township, Adams County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas submitted an AVR stating, "Columbia has had several issues with this contractor completing work without one call tickets to have the gas facilities marked out. On July 22, our company locator arrived on site to Lot 118 on Bayberry Lane to complete CTI's one call ticket. The company locator identified that work had already begun. The locator completed his locates for the entire work area and completed his response for the one call. In the scope of work, CTI dug directly over top of two unmarked plastic gas service lines and also the plastic gas main." Pictures were also submitted. A violation of 5(6)(i)- failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage to or minimize interference with a facility owner's facilities in the construction area is recommended against CTI Underground for failing to place a One Call notification after Mr. Shupe met with the excavator on numerous occasions and a violation of 5(2.1)- failing to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe since excavation work began before the Lawful Start Date of 7/23/2020.</p> <p>One Call Ticket #20202021085 was submitted from CTI Underground with a response due date of 7/22/2020 for excavation to begin on 7/23/2020 at 8:00 AM. CCD Rock Creek, LLC replied with "Insufficient Information" but all other entities either marked or cleared the ticket. A violation of 2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call</p>	<p>CTI Underground LLC: \$1,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>CCD Rock Creek LLC: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>notification is recommended against CCD Rock Creek with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>~Columbia Gas stated that Lennar Homes, MD is the PO. After speaking with multiple people from Lennar Homes, CTI Underground has many PO for this development.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>CTI Underground-</p> <p>~5(2.1)- failing to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p> <p>~5(6)(i)- failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage to or minimize interference with a facility owner's facilities in the construction area.</p> <p>CCD Rock Creek-</p> <p>~2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification</p>	
16980	<p>Facility Owner: Verizon Pennsylvania</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: RL LIVINGSTON INC</p> <p>Project Owner: UNITED METHODIST HOME FOR CHILDREN</p>	<p><u>On 7/27/2020 10:45:00 AM at 5120 SIMPSON FERRY RD, LOWER ALLEN TWP, CUMBERLAND</u> Incident occurred on 7/27/2020 at 5120 Simpson Ferry Rd., Lower Allen Township, Cumberland County.</p> <p>RL Livingston's AVR states that they struck an unmarked Verizon line at the United Methodist Home for Children, causing the facility to lose services for several hours. The United Methodist Home states in their AVR that the line was identified as unused and makes no mention of having lost service.</p> <p>On October 6, 2020, DPI Locke sent AVR emails to Verizon and to the UM Home for Children. UM Home for Children submitted an AVR on October 7, 2020. Verizon failed to submit an AVR but is not fined because they are not required to do so by Act 50.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for responding one day late to One Call Ticket No., 20202051693 and for failing to mark and maintain records of abandoned lines as the strike occurred after the line was marked.</p>	<p>Verizon Pennsylvania: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(i)(B) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>
17163	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA - Central</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Brentwood Borough</p>	<p><u>On 7/29/2020 12:05:00 PM at 3708 WOODROW AVE, BRENTWOOD BORO, ALLEGHENY</u> *Incident occurred on 7/29/2020 at Pointview Ave., near Woodrow Ave. and Brownsville Rd., Brentwood Borough, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas submitted an AVR stating, "A Brentwood Borough Municipal crew damaged a 4-inch plastic gas main line while installing a catch basin at the intersection of Pointview Road and Woodrow Avenue. The crew was digging without a 1-call ticket. The damage caused a 92 customer outage."</p> <p>Brentwood Borough stated, "The Brentwood Borough supervisor has been sent out to mark three (3) project sites on Friday, Aug 20th 2020. The PW supervisor marked all three (3) sites with white paint, indicated of limits of work and Painted on the surface ground "PA 1 CALL". Later that week the PW supervisor supposed to</p>	<p>Brentwood Borough: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>submit the calls to PA 1 call system. It is appeared to be that PW supervisor submitted/placed calls/tickets to PA1 call for two (2) project sites only. Following week the PW crew has been dispatch to relocate storm sewer inlet to the street under assumption that PA1 call ticket has been submitted previous week. Upon arrival to the job site PW crew performed the following: 1. Saw cut the road surface with an accordance of the discussed project limits (approximately 5x7)</p> <p>2. Performed excavation of the road surface" A violation of Section 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended against Brentwood Borough with a multiplier of 0.5 since the event caused the loss of utility service to more than 50 customers.</p> <p>One Call indicated Brentwood Borough had placed One Call notifications in the past. Education is also recommended with this violation.</p> <p>Violations: Brentwood Borough- 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p>	
17457	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA - East</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: JA Myers Building and Development</p>	<p><u>On 8/7/2020 7:31:00 AM at 975 Beck Mill Road, PENN TWP, YORK</u> The incident occurred on Friday, August 7, 2020, at 975 Beck Mill Road, Penn Township, York County. However, the actual gas line damage possibly occurred 3 – 4 weeks prior to August 7th.</p> <p>Columbia Gas reported that the contractor, JA Myers Building and Development did not call an excavation ticket before their job.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated, receiving a call on August 7th that there was no gas service at 975 Beck Mill Road and Columbia Gas responded by sending their Service Tech to the location. The Service Tech determined that there was little to no gas pressure; the gas service was set up with an excess flow valve (EFV) that was tripped shut. Additional investigation was completed by Columbia Gas, and it was determined that the reason for the closed EFV was- after stripping the 1-inch plastic gas service line, it was found that the new 1-inch plastic gas service was damaged with the backhoe bucket, on the top side of the line. There was a storm pipe installed over top of the gas service line and backfilled. Columbia Gas provided pictures; please look at all three pictures.</p> <p>Columbia Gas damage prevention team educated JA Myers and assisted them in their new process of their one call notifications.</p> <p>JA Myers did call in a New Excavation Routine ticket (20200772405) on March 17, 2020, with the type of work as New Home Construction and UG Utilities, but there was no duration date; and there were no additional excavation tickets. JA Myers submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR); however, the report did not</p>	<p>JA Myers Building and Development: \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>summarize their view of the incident but the view of Columbia Gas.</p> <p>*JA Myers Building and Development is in violation of sections: 5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. 5(16)- Failed to submit an AVR. There are no previous violations for JA Myers. Recommendation: Education, zero penalties and no violations.</p>	
17510	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: BRIGHTON TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY</p> <p>Project Owner: BRIGHTON TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY</p>	<p><u>On 8/12/2020 1:45:00 AM at 840 DUTCH RIDGE RD, BRIGHTON TWP, BEAVER</u> Incident occurred on 8/12/2020 on Dutch Ridge Road, near Beaner Hollow Road and Colvin Drive, Brighton Township, Beaver County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas submitted an AVR stating, "Brighton Twp Municipal Authority was excavating with a backhoe to repair a water main break when they struck and damaged an unmarked 1" plastic gas service line. There were 2 separate locates requested to complete this repair. The employee locator mistakenly saw the second locate ticket as a duplicate ticket and didn't properly mark the second excavation area associated with the second ticket. The second ticket was submitted to move to a new excavation area." A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended against Columbia as the emergency locate request was missed.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Columbia Gas- ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification</p>	<p>Columbia Gas: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p>
17841	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 8/31/2020 8:00:00 AM at 346 RENFREW ST, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> * Incident occurred on 8/31/2020 at 346 Renfrew St, Near Lincoln Ave and Turret St, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny. - NEAR MISS EVENT.</p> <p>Peoples submitted an AVR stating, "Verizon PA placed a routine PA One Call to replace a broken pole marked with an orange cone near 346 Renfrew St. The lawful dig date was September 2, 2020. Peoples Gas locator arrived onsite to locate and mark our facilities at 8:30 am on September 1, 2020. As you can see in the attached photos, the pole was already installed. Verizon PA worked before the lawful dig date. Peoples Gas has a 3" mainline 3' from the pole and a 1" service line 6' from the pole." No One Call markings were shown in the photos. A violation of Section 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended against Verizon.</p>	<p>Verizon: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p>
18539	<p>Facility Owner: UGI</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: JEFF TATE PAVING</p>	<p><u>On 9/24/2020 10:57:00 AM at 35 RESERVE LN, DERRY TWP, MIFFLIN</u> On September 24, 2020 Jeff Tate Paving struck UGI's gas line with a trackhoe. Jeff Tate Paving had placed an in sufficient ticket on</p>	<p>JEFF TATE PAVING: \$1,750.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>September 24 at 10:28 AM with a start date of September 24 at 8:30 am. This ticket calls out gas companies in general because the caller stated that they were informed that there were gas lines in the area. At around 10:57 AM Tate Paving found one of those gas lines with a backhoe. 911 was called.</p> <p>On January 25, 2021 DPI Locke sent an email AVR request to Jeff Tate Plumbing also asking for contact information for the project owner as there are several businesses located at this job site. As of March 1, 2021 no AVR has been received and the excavator has not contacted the investigator.</p> <p>Jeff Tate Paving is cited for failure to place a One Call Ticket within the correct timeframe, failure to employ prudent excavation techniques because a trackhoe was being used when the excavator 's ticket shows that the excavator was aware of gas lines in the area and only waited two minutes after the ticket was placed to begin working, failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike. I recommend mandatory excavator training.</p>	<p>Section 5(5) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
18947	<p>Facility Owner: Penelec Contractor/Excavator: Sugar Hollow Water Services, LLC Project Owner: Penelec Other: BKV Operating LLC</p>	<p><u>On 10/1/2020 1:20:00 PM at 30 VIRGINIA DR, TUNKHANNOCK TWP, WYOMING</u> ~Incident occurred on 10/1/2020 at 30 Virginia Dr, near W Tioga St, Tunkhannock Twp, Wyoming County.</p> <p>Penelec submitted a report stating, "On 10/1/20, Sugar Hollow Water, Excavator contracted by PN, damaged PN's underground facilities. USIC's investigation determined that the excavator failed to expose the marked facilities before digging with the track excavator." A violation of 5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques is recommended against Sugar Hollow Water Services, LLC for not excavating prudently when there were marks on the ground and a violation of 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe because an excavation ticket was not placed by the excavator and they were working off of Penelec's One Call ticket. Education is recommended in lieu of the monetary penalties because the DPC has never seen this entities prior to this case.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>*BKV Operating LLC- 2(5)(v)-failed to respond to a One Call notification #20202550843</p> <p>*Sugar Hollow Water Services, LLC 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. 5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques</p>	<p>Sugar Hollow Water Services, LLC: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>BKV Operating LLC: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
20025	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 10/13/2020 6:02:00 PM at 469 Potter Game Club Road, ROULETTE TWP, POTTER</u> ~Incident occurred on 10/13/2020 at 469 Potter Game Club Road, Roulette Township, Potter County.</p>	<p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>***** No Damage occurred</p> <p>*Older case-Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works has passed the One Call responsibilities on to Pittsburgh Department of Mobility and Infrastructure.</p> <p>On 3/14/2019 at 2:00 PM, Infrasource placed Complex Project Ticket #20190732411 for all entities affected in the upcoming Columbia Gas main and service replacement excavation project to attend the Complex Project Meeting on 3/19/2019 at 10:00 AM at 45 Imperial Industrial Park Rd., Oakdale PA. This date was prior to the DPC's determination of CP tickets; no violations given. However, many One Call violations occurred during this project as the CP notes taken during the meeting showed the tickets would be standard tickets.</p> <p>Routine Ticket #20191842896- Response due date was 5/4/2019 to begin excavation on 5/5/2019 at 7:00 AM. A renotification had to be placed for PA American Water and Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works. PA American responded "Field Marked" on 4/8/2019 at 18:26 and Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works didn't respond.</p> <p>A renotify, #201918428961, was called in by Infrasource stating, "Attn Pennsylvania American Water and Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works you have not yet responded. Please responded. ASAP." The One Call indicated direct contact was not needed within a 2-hour timeframe. No violations given per supervisor. PA American responded "Field Marked" on 7/9/2019 at 17:37 and No Response was recorded in KARL from Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works.</p> <p>Routine Ticket #20190924137- Response due date was 5/4/2019 to begin excavation on 5/5/2019 at 7:00 AM. PA American Water responded "Field Marked" on 4/8/2019 at 18:26 and Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works didn't respond.</p> <p>Routine Ticket #20191580618- Response due date was 6/11/2019 to begin excavation on 6/12/2019 at 7:00 AM. PA American Water responded "Field Marked" on 6/12/2019 at 15:16 and Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works didn't respond.</p> <p>Routine Ticket #20190791244- Response due date was 3/27/2019 to begin excavation on 3/28/2019 at 7:00 AM. Verizon responded "Field Marked" on 3/29/2019 at 9:24, Pittsburgh Water and Sewer responded "Field Marked" 3/28/2019 at 3/28/2019 at 10:40 and Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works didn't respond.</p> <p>Routine Ticket #20191842895- Response due date was 7/8/2019 for excavation to begin on 7/9/2019 at 7:00 AM. A renotification was placed for PA American Water and Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works.</p>	<p>PA American Water: \$1,875.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$375.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$375.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$375.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$375.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$375.00</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water & Sewer: \$750.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$375.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$375.00</p> <p>Verizon PA: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>PA American Water responded "Field Marked" on 4/8/2019 at 18:26 and Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works didn't respond.</p> <p>A renotify, #201918428951- Renotify stating, "Attn Pennsylvania American Water and Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works you have not yet responded. Please responded. ASAP." The One Call indicated direct contact was not needed within a 2-hour timeframe. No violations given per supervisor. PA American responded "Field Marked" on 7/9/2019 at 17:37 and No Response was recorded in KARL from Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works.</p> <p>Routine Ticket #20191580617- Response due date was 6/11/2019 to begin excavation on 6/12/2019 at 7:00 AM. PA American Water responded "Field Marked" on 6/12/2019 at 15:16 and Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works didn't respond.</p> <p>Routine Ticket #20190791245- Response due date was 3/27/2019 to begin excavation on 3/28/2019 at 7:00 AM. Pittsburgh Water and Sewer responded "Field Marked" 3/28/2019 at 3/28/2019 at 10:41 and Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works didn't respond.</p> <p>Violations: Ticket #(s):</p> <p>20191842895- A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket is recommended for Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time is recommended for PA American Water.</p> <p>20191580618- A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket is recommended for Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time is recommended for PA American Water.</p> <p>20190924136- A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket is recommended for Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time is recommended for PA American Water.</p> <p>20190791244- A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket is recommended for Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works and Verizon. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time is recommended for Pittsburgh Water and Sewer.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>20191580617- A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket is recommended for Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time is recommended for PA American Water.</p> <p>20190924137- A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket is recommended for Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time is recommended for PA American Water.</p> <p>20190791245- A violation of 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket is recommended for Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time is recommended for Pittsburgh Water and Sewer.</p>	
10913	<p>Facility Owner: Penelec / First Energy Contractor/Excavator: BISON CONTRACTING Project Owner: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER Designer: Kopko Engineering Other: Atlantic Broadband Other: Corner Water Supply and Service Corporation Other: Gas City Oil and Gas Corporation Other: NFG Other: Northeastern ITS LLC Other: Verizon Pennsylvania</p>	<p><u>On 10/22/2019 2:00:00 PM at STEHLE LANE, PAINT TWP, CLARION</u> Tuesday, 2/8/22 DPC Meeting. Rejection from NFG, rejecting violation 2(5)(v)- Responding Late. Vote: Remove the penalty and violation.</p> <p>***** **Please note: Northeastern ITS LLC's violation is listed under ticket #20192953947- Correct violation but incorrect ticket #. Correct # would be #20191420756</p> <p>On 10/22/2019 Bison Contracting was working for PA American Water installing a new sewer main and services on Stehle Lane, Paint Township, Clarion County when they struck and damaged an unmarked Penelec's underground secondary facility. Penelec admitted in their AVR they were at fault because USIC did not mark any underground facilities at this location until the damage occurred. After the damage to the secondary line was reported, USIC field marked the line for further excavation by Bison Contracting in the area. A violation of 2(5)(i)- failing to locate lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line is recommended against Penelec for failing to mark their underground line.</p> <p>On 10/28/2020, an email was sent to Bison Contracting requesting the sign-in sheet and CP notes from the meeting to compare the sign-in sheet to the One Call Complex Meeting Ticket. All Facility Owners attended the meeting, or indicated they did not have facilities in the area, except for Verizon Pennsylvania. A violation of 2(5)(viii)- failing to participate in a preconstruction meeting is recommended against Verizon Pennsylvania for failing to attend the meeting, or indicating in the KARL system they did not have any underground</p>	<p>Penelec / First Energy: \$750.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Atlantic Broadband: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Corner Water Supply and Service Corporation: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Gas City Oil and Gas Corporation: \$0.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>NFG: \$0.00</p> <p>Northeastern ITS LLC: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon Pennsylvania: \$750.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>facilities in the area in which Bison Contracting was planning to excavate.</p> <p>Although NFG did respond to Routine Ticket #20192740027 within the required amount of time, a violation of Section of 2(5)(v)late- failing to respond to a One Call notification within the required timeframe is recommended because their last and final answer to this ticket was on 10/7/2019 at 10:17 AM indicating "Conflict-DCTF" and a final response was never posted in the KARL system. A violation of Section 2(5)(v)late is also recommended for Corner Water Supply and Service Corporation because they did not respond until 10/7/2019 19:28 PM.</p> <p>A violation of 2(4)- failing to respond to a Designer's request within 10 business days is recommended for the following facility owners for not responding to the request or failing to respond within the allowed 10 day timeframe: Penelec, Northeastern ITS LLC, Corner Water Supply and Service Corporation, Gas City Oil and Gas Corporation, Atlantic Broadband and Verizon Pennsylvania</p>	<p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
14411	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Inc. Contractor/Excavator: C&S Concrete Inc Contractor/Excavator: Empire Masonry Contractor/Excavator: Triple Crown Corp Project Owner: Triple Crown Corp Designer: R.J. FISHER AND ASSOCIATES Other: COMCAST Other: Lower Paxton Township Other: LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP AUTHORITY Other: PPL Other: Verizon Other: ZAYO Bandwidth</p>	<p><u>On 4/22/2020 11:23:00 AM at Tibor Lane & Alexandra Lane, LOWER PAXTON TWP, DAUPHIN 2/8/2022</u> DPC meeting voted to accept all staff recommendations. The DPC voted on this case in an omnibus session on 2/9/2021 This case was heard on 10/5/2021 and the DPC voted on all fines except those assessed to Lower Paxton Township Authority ONLY Other – Lower Paxton Township Authority</p> <p>Lower Paxton Township Authority returning, DPC had tabled the investigation until address information was updated.</p> <p>10/07/2021 DPC pre discussion meeting: UGI: Ticket 20201131335 - Remove violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(v). Keep violation Section 2(4) as is. Lower Paxton Township Authority. Table until future investigation as presented. The contact and address information was incorrect, but has been verified and updated. Empire Masonry: NO SHOW - Keep violation and penalty 5(2.1) and 5(16). Triple Crown: Keep violation remove penalty 6.1(7), Keep violation and penalty for Section 6.3 and remove violation and penalty Section 6.1(1).</p> <p>Incident occurred on 4/22/2020 at 11:23am Empire Masonry was working on a Complex Project for Triple Crown Corp, grading with a loader on Tibor and Alexandria Ln. in Lower Paxton, Dauphin Co. Alleged Violation Report reads that excavator did not place an One Call ticket for this work.</p> <p>*No Damage</p>	<p>UGI Utilities Inc.: \$500.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Empire Masonry: \$1,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Triple Crown Corp: \$500.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>R.J. FISHER AND ASSOCIATES: \$0.00 Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>COMCAST: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Lower Paxton Township:</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Disagrees: Empire Masonry is disputing the violation of striking a line and listed Section 5(16) which is Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). On 12/23/2020 DPI Maki spoke with Representative from Empire Masonry and explained why an AVR is needed. A follow-up e-mail with an AVR request letter attached was mailed later that day.</p> <p>Disagrees: Triple Crown Corporation email from 2/26/2021 states that Violations 6.1(7), 6.1(3) and 6.1(1) are inaccurate and improperly applied to Triple Crown Corporation.</p> <p>Triple Crown Corp is listed on the maps, tickets and the AVR's as both the excavator and project owner. The first AVR request letter was sent on 12/23/2020 with a brief description of the incident. An emailed response was received on 12/29/2020 with a response letter attached asking for evidence and documentation of the matter – See Triple Crown Response letter.</p> <p>12/29/2020 DPI asked for an AVR in an email for the second time. A sign in sheet for the complex project meeting was also requested at this time. On the same day, for the third time, an email was sent explaining why an AVR is needed from Triple Crown Corp. with an explanation about the need for an AVR from Triple Crown.</p> <p>Omnibus session was on 2/9/2021. AVR was submitted on 2/08/2021. Triple Crown listed themselves as the General Contractor, not representing any other company. No with information was provided in AVR. There was no information given as to who the different project owner may be, what kind of SUE was used or who the designer is. There are no tickets found or listed.</p> <p>Disagrees: Lower Paxton Township Authority states that the sewer system referenced in the notice is not owned by the Authority or the township, therefore not required to mark these facilities. This sewer system is within the Blue Ridge Village development and is part of a developer installed sewer extension. The sewer system is not owned by the Authority until all the specification requirements are met and the proper legal documents are submitted for the Authority Board to accept the dedication of the sewer system to the Authority system. It is my understanding that the developer is in process of preparing the dedication documents but at the time of the one call tickets in January and April 2020 the Authority did not own the system. There are three 2(5)(v) violations. There are no penalties applied. Training was recommended.</p> <p>DPI requests that the 2(5)(v) violation be removed for Lower Paxton Township Authority. The violation was entered incorrectly.</p> <p>Disagrees: UGI Ticket 20201131335 is an emergency ticket. UGI UTL Damages did not respond, but UGI Harrisburg did respond timely. DPI requests the removal of violation.</p> <p>*Ticket 20193571431 was erroneously entered as a design ticket violation, but ticket is requesting a meeting on Dec 26, 2019. UGI did not respond until 12/30/2019 that they will attend the meeting. DPI is requesting the</p>	<p>\$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP AUTHORITY: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>PPL: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(vii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>ZAYO Bandwidth: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>violation 2(4) to be changed to 2(5)(v) and to keep the penalty of \$250.</p> <p>Ticket # 20200061448. UGI accepts remedial action. AVR's requested on Dec 23, 2020 from designer- R.J.Fisher Engineering, excavator and project owner- Triple Crown Corporation, and excavator – Empire Masonry.</p> <p>R.J. Fisher sent in AVR. There is no final design ticket submitted through one call. This is a violation of Section 4(2). No Penalty applied but Training is recommended. No AVR received from excavator Empire Masonry. This is a violation of Section 5(16) and penalty applied. No AVR requested from Excavator C&S Concrete since their project was putting in the sidewalks. This was completed by January 2020.</p> <p>AVR form Triple Crown Corp. was received the day after the Omnibus Vote. This is a Violation of Section 6.1 (7) and no penalty is applied.</p> <p>Emergency Ticket 20201131335</p> <p>Verizon never responded. This is a subsequent violation of Section 2(vii) and penalty applied. Lower Paxton Township replied a day late to this emergency ticket. Violation 2(5)(v) with penalty applied.</p> <p>UGI first responded field marked, then almost two hours later had two interim responses. There was never a final response to either of those interim responses. Violation 2(5)(v) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>Lower Paxton Township had a late response. Training in lieu of a penalty is recommended.</p> <p>Ticket 20193571431 Triple Crown Concrete - excavator requested a meeting. DPI Maki requested a sign in sheet from Triple Crown Corporation. Triple Crown Corp wrote emails back and forth explaining why they did not need to submit an AVR and that I need to prove that there was a violation first. They never submitted an AVR, nor cooperated with any information for this case. This is a violation of Section 6.1(7) Penalty is applied as the Project Owner. Training is recommended.</p> <p>UGI responded with an interim response, that they will attend meeting, four days after the meeting was held. This is a violation of section 2(4) and penalty applied.</p> <p>Verification received that USIC was representing PPL at the meeting. Sign in sheet and GPS verification submitted.</p> <p>ZAYO Bandwidth had a late response, which is a violation of Section 2(5)(v) penalty applied.</p> <p>Comcast Communications had a late response. This is offence of Violation of Section 2(5)(v) penalty applied.</p> <p>Ticket 20200101480 had a late response from Lower Paxton Township Authority. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(v) Training in lieu of fine recommended.</p> <p>Ticket 2020010450 had one late response from Lower Paxton Township Authority. This is a violation of Section 2(5)(v). The responses are done at the end of the day on the day of the dig. Training in lieu of penalty is recommended.</p> <p>Ticket 20200061448 Lower Paxton Twp Authority, Zayo Bandwidth, PPL Utilities, Comcast Communication, and UGI all responded after the lawful dig time. Violation of Section (5)(v). Penalty is applied</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>to Zayo Bandwidth, PPL Utilities, Comcast Communication and UGI. This is a first violation for Lower Paxton Authority. Training in lieu of fine is recommended.</p> <p>Ticket 20173382748 was submitted to show preliminary design with One call telephone number and serial number. This is prior to July 2019 changes in the DPC. No response violations were considered due to the age of the ticket. A There was no final design ticket found. This is a violation of 6.1(3) Triple Crown Corp released a project to bid or construction before the final design was complete.</p>	
15476	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: A PIAZZA NURSERY & LANDSCAPE SOLUTIONS</p>	<p><u>On 6/2/2020 10:00:00 AM at 788 DEKALB PIKE, WHITPAIN TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> On February 8, 2022 the DPC voted to uphold all violations and penalties as written. A Piazza Landscaping did not show to the meeting.</p> <p>A Piazza Landscaping disputed their penalties.</p> <p>On June 2, 2020 A Piazza Nursery and Landscaping was installing large bushes at 788 Dekalb, Whitpain Township, where they struck the customer's 1/2-inch plastic gas service line. This landscaper had no One - Call Ticket, has no history of having ever placed any One-Call Ticket, and was excavating directly in front of the gas meter. The strike was called in by the customer who was having trouble with their gas service and smelled gas in the yard.</p> <p>On August 24, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent the excavator a courtesy AVR letter. Excavator responded on 8/26/20 that he was not excavating, just planting arborvitae and said he smelled gas. He does not want to do an AVR because he feels he did not strike the line and he claims that he placed the call about smelling gas, not the homeowner. The excavator was informed that planting bushes and driving stakes into the ground to support said bushes is excavation as defined by Act 50, and requires a One Call Ticket. The excavator then stated that he was not near the gas meter, however PECO has provided four photographs showing the meter and they show that the damage was directly under the bushes that were planted near the meter. A Piazza then stated that that there were "other excavators" digging in exactly this spot near the meter. They were warned that they were responsible to file an AVR because of the lack of One Call Ticket, even if they do not believe that they caused the strike. As of September 21, 2020, the excavator has not submitted an AVR.</p> <p>A. Piazza Nursery and Landscaping is cited for failing to place a One Call Ticket, failing to excavate prudently since they were digging in front of the gas meter, failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days, failure to call 911 when gas is leaking, and failure to be a member of PA One Call since there is no record of their having ever placed a ticket. The penalties for failure to submit an AVR and failure to call 911 have been left at 100%, the penalty for failing to excavate prudently and failure to place a 1 call ticket, and failure to be a member of One</p>	<p>A PIAZZA NURSERY & LANDSCAPE SOLUTIONS: \$1,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(21) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		Call have been reduced to warnings for this offender because this is their first offense.	
15312	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: LINDE CORP Project Owner: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER Other: AT&T Other: City of Wilkes Barre Other: Frontier Communications Other: Service Electric Cable Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 6/2/2020 1:00:00 PM at 188 HIGH ST, WILKES BARRE CITY, LUZERNE</u> On February 8, 2022 the DPC voted to uphold all violations and penalties as-written.</p> <p>Service Electric rejects all penalties stating: 1. Service Electric is cited for responding “insufficient” to Complex Ticket 20200762435 When our field personnel went out on 6/2/2020 to the described area to check to see if we have lines in the area, they reported that there were no white lines marking the dig site. That is why they replied “insufficient”.</p> <p>2. Service Electric responded “Field Mark” on 3/18 As stated previously, when our personnel failed to find any white paint marking the dig site they decided to locate any and all of our facilities reasonably close to the described dig site location. They did this out of an abundance of caution incase the dig site was not described accurately or would exceed the described area. The proper response would be “Clear” since we have no facilities in the described dig location.</p> <p>Going forward, we will only use the response verbiage listed on a given ticket. ***** ***</p> <p>Incident occurred on June 2, 2020, at 188 High Street, Wilkes Barre City, Luzerne County. UGI reports that Linde struck UGI's mostly accurately-marked line while removing pavement. The line was accurately marked, the type of material listed and the size of the line was not accurate. Linde caught the line with the tooth of the backhoe bucket when they were trying to lift the concrete. UGI has submitted photos showing the damaged line is directly in line with UGI's marks.</p> <p>Linde is cited for not using prudent techniques in the tolerance zone. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Frontier Communications is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20200732238. Response due 3/18, meeting held 3/19. Frontier responded "insufficient info" on 3/19 which is not a response to a complex ticket. Frontier is cited for failing to attend Complex Project Meeting 20200762684. Frontier responded that they had attended the meeting, but the notes provided by the excavator show that they did not attend either in-person or electronically.</p> <p>The City of Wilkes Barre is cited for failing to respond to the following tickets (the City made no response at all), 20200732238, 20200762684, 20201351312, 20201483143, 20201254033, 20200762435, 20201012052, 20201131135. I recommend mandatory training in addition to monetary penalties as the City</p>	<p>LINDE CORP: \$500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>AT&T: \$3,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>City of Wilkes Barre: \$4,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Frontier Communications: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Service Electric Cable: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$6,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>ignored every ticket that was placed in connection to this incident.</p> <p>AT&T is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20200732238. Response due 3/18. AT&T responded "Conflict" more than a month later on 5/2. AT&T failed to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20200762684. Response was due 3/18. AT&T responded "Conflict " on 5/2. Complex Project Ticket 20200762435 was due 3/18, again AT&T responded "Conflict" on 5/2. AT&T did not attend any complex project meetings.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20200732238. Response due 3/18, Verizon did not respond until over a month later on 4/5 when they responded "Clear". Verizon failed to respond to Complex Project 20200762684 response due 3/18, Verizon responded "clear" over a month later on 4/25. Verizon failed to make any response to Complex Project Ticket 20200762435. Verizon did not attend any complex project meeting related to this project.</p> <p>Service Electric is cited for responding "Insufficient" to Complex Ticket 20200762435. Service Electric is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket 20200762684. Response due 3/18, meeting 3/19. Service Electric responded "Field Mark" on 3/18 but did not attend the complex meeting either in -person or virtually per sign in sheet provided by the excavator. Mandatory education is also recommended for this company as "Field Mark" is not an appropriate response to a Complex Ticket, The ticket itself said that the response must be "Clear" or that the meeting must be attended.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>
15739	<p>Facility Owner: COLUMBIA GAS OF PA - CENTRAL</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: A. Folino Construction, Inc.</p> <p>Project Owner: City of Pittsburgh</p>	<p><u>On 6/12/2020 9:15:00 AM at 511 CATHEDRAL AVE, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> On February 8, 2022 the DPC voted to remove the disputed penalties and violations for Columbia Gas</p> <p>*** Columbia Gas has disputed 2 penalties stating: Regarding 2(5)(i) -- Columbia would like to appeal this on the basis of the law stating lines shall be marked, "to the extent such information is available in the facility owner's records." Columbia did not have records of the existence of the stub that was damaged.</p> <p>Regarding 2(5)(v) -- Columbia made contact with the excavator prior to the response due date (6/3/20) to advise the location would need to be vacuum excavated. There were no issues with the excavator due to work not starting yet. The vac truck was on site and gas facilities were marked (6/4/20) the day after the response due date. A. Folino did not begin work on this job site until Monday, June 8. Please see locator's notes on the attached ticket. (DPI Note, the new documentation with the locator's notes is included but I don't see any evidence that they spoke with anyone or had an agreement - SAL)</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 6/12/2020 at 511 Cathedral Ave., Pittsburgh, Allegheny County.</p>	<p>COLUMBIA GAS OF PA - CENTRAL: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>A. Folino Construction, Inc.: \$250.00 Section 5(11) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>City of Pittsburgh: \$250.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On June 12, 2020. A. Folino struck Columbia's unmarked 1-inch plastic gas service line. They notified 911 and the gas company. Columbia Gas admits in their own AVR that they did not mark the line because they had no record of the line.</p> <p>On August 24, 2020. DPI Andrade-Locke sent an AVR request to the City of Pittsburgh. As of May 14, 2021, The City of Pittsburgh has failed to respond or send an AVR. The City has been cited for failure to submit an AVR, and education is mandatory.</p> <p>Columbia Gas is cited for the following: Late response to original One Call Ticket 20201530723. Response due 6/3. Columbia responded "conflict" on 6/3 and did not mark until 6/4, several hours after excavation was scheduled to begin. Failure to respond to Emergency Ticket 20201630821. This ticket was placed on 6/11 at 9:09. Columbia responded "conflict" on 6/11 at 17:07 but did not mark their line until four days later on 6/15 despite having placed their own emergency ticket for this location on 6/12. Columbia may attempt to argue that they were on site within 24 hours because their emergency ticket was placed the following day, however, the emergency ticket was placed on 6/11 at 9:09 AM, and Columbia did not place their own emergency ticket until 6/12 at 10:38 am - more than 24 hours after the emergency ticket was placed. Failure to mark the line. Columbia admits in their AVR that they didn't have it on their records because it was abandoned at the curb but not at the main line.</p> <p>A, Folino is cited for using red to mark the job site. One Call Ticket 20201530723 states that they marked the area in red with stop and start marked. I recommend mandatory training regarding the use of paint colors.</p> <p>The City of Pittsburgh is cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of their excavator striking a line.</p>	
15919	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES NATURAL GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: MORGAN EXCAVATING</p> <p>Project Owner: Elizabeth Borough Municipal Authority</p>	<p>On 6/18/2020 12:39:00 AM at BAYARD ST, ELIZABETH BORO, ALLEGHENY On February 8, 2021 the DPC voted to remove the violation and penalty for Section 5(4), and to reduce the penalty for 5(8) by 50% to \$500.00</p> <p>***Morgan Excavating disputes the penalty for failing to call 911 because they say they capped the leak "immediately" and therefore no gas escaped. They further state that their AVR doesn't say they damaged the line during saw cutting, they admit they were saw cutting but say the damage didn't happen until they were lifting the pavement.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 6/19/2020 on Bayard Street, Elizabeth Borough, Allegheny County.</p> <p>On June 18, 2020, Morgan Excavating struck a service line belonging to Peoples Gas. According to both AVRs,</p>	<p>MORGAN EXCAVATING: \$500.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>911 wasn't called. Morgan stated that they plugged the line. Morgan also states that the line was severed during saw cutting, but the line is not cut where the blade went through the pavement, there are several inches beyond the cut sticking out from the ground and it looks like the line was pulled when they were trying to remove the concrete. According to the photos shown by both parties, the line was pretty close to the concrete. However, Morgan goes on in their AVR to state that the fault belongs with Peoples, although they don't state how Peoples was at fault when their line was marked within 18 inches.</p> <p>On September 21, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke emailed an AVR request letter to Elizabeth Borough Municipal Authority. Elizabeth Borough submitted their AVR on September 25, 2020.</p> <p>Morgan Excavating is cited for imprudent excavation within the tolerance zone and failure to call 911 after striking a gas line. Training is mandatory.</p>	
15697	<p>Facility Owner: Verizon Contractor/Excavator: DOLI CONSTRUCTION Project Owner: Upper Pottsgrove Township Designer: LTL CONSULTANTS LTD Other: FirstEnergy / Met-Ed Other: PECO Energy Other: UGI</p>	<p><u>On 6/18/2020 9:00:00 AM at ROSE VALLEY RD, UPPER POTTS GROVE TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> On February 8, 2022 the DPC voted to remove the violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(v)</p> <p>***Met Ed has disputed the penalty for late response to ticket No. 20201543450 saying that USIC worked out an agreement with Doli. The DPI has read USIC's Dig Track ticket and their response says that they approved a 1-day delay, not that Doli approved, or even agreed to a late markout.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 6/18/20 on Rose Valley Rd., Upper Pottsgrove Twp., Montgomery County.</p> <p>Doli Construction has reported striking an unmarked Verizon FIOS line. Verizon marked some of their lines for this excavation, but they had not marked this one.</p> <p>Per the Complex Project Sign-in Sheet, only Doli, Aqua, and Upper Pottsgrove attended the meeting.</p> <p>On August 31, 2020, DPI Locke sent AVR email requests to Verizon and Upper Pottsgrove Township. DPI Locke also emailed Doli asking for the Complex Project Sign-in Sheet on 8/31. Upper Pottsgrove sent their AVR via LTL Consultants. Verizon has not submitted an AVR as of September 24, 2020.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for failing to mark their line within 18-inches. Verizon is also cited for failing to attend the Complex Project Meeting 20201221099. Met-Ed has been cited for failing to attend the Complex Project Meeting 20201221099. Met Ed only responded "Insufficient Information" to this ticket and they are not listed on the sign-in sheet (see attached). Met Ed is cited for responding late to Excavation Ticket No., 20201543450. Response due 6/4. Met Ed responded</p>	<p>Verizon: \$4,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>FirstEnergy / Met-Ed: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>PECO Energy: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>UGI: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>"Scheduled" on 6/4, but did not mark until 6/5 at 21:13 (1 day late) PECO is cited for failing to attend the Complex Project Meeting 20201221099. PECO responded "Conflict" on 5/6 but did not respond that they attended the meeting. The sign-in sheet shows that they did not attend. PECO is also cited for responding late to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20201543450. Response due 6/4, PECO "Scheduled" on 6/4, "Conflict" on 6/5, and did not mark until 6/8 (4 days late). UGI is cited for failing to attend Complex Project Meeting 20201220199. This meeting was held on 5/6 and while UGI responded "clear" on 5/1, and then stated on 6/3 in PA One Call that they would attend, the sign in sheet shows that UGI did not attend, and USIC did not attend in UGI's place.</p>	
16014	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS Contractor/Excavator: CASPER COLOSIMO AND SONS INC Project Owner: PA AMERICAN WATER COMPANY</p>	<p><u>On 6/23/2020 10:00:00 AM at ARROWOOD DR, MT LEBANON TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Tuesday, 2/8/22 DPC Meeting. Rejection from PAWC, rejecting violation 6.1(7) Vote: Remove the penalty and violation.</p> <p>***** Incident occurred on Tuesday, June 23, 2020, on Arrowood Drive in Mt. Lebanon Township, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company stated, Casper Colosimo and Sons Inc. was saw cutting to install a water line for PA American Water Company (PAWC) and during the excavation a 1-inch gas plastic service line was cut through. Casper was unaware of cutting through the line at the time of the damage because Peoples Gas was contacted by the homeowner with pressure problems and that is when Peoples Gas obtained gas readings in the street at the saw cut lines. Peoples Gas provided pictures. On Friday, January 8, 2021 emails were sent to Casper, and to PAWC requesting Alleged Violation Reports(AVR). Casper and PAWC did not respond to the email and they did not submit their AVRs.</p> <p>*Casper Colosimo and Sons Inc. are in violation of Sections: 5(4) Failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Recommending Education. Reduced the fine amount to \$0 because this is a first-time offense. 5(16) Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Recommending Education.</p> <p>*PAWC is in violation Section: 6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike.</p>	<p>CASPER COLOSIMO AND SONS INC: \$250.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>PA AMERICAN WATER COMPANY: \$0.00</p>
15832	<p>Facility Owner: Duquesne Light Company Contractor/Excavator: West Penn Utilities Project Owner: Comcast</p>	<p><u>On 6/29/2020 8:00:00 AM at MULTIPLE AREAS, MULTIPLE, ALLEGHENY</u> Case 15832 shows all the investigations related by ticket number. Cases 16608, 16444, 16412, 16443, 16135, 16134,16132, 17361, and 17362 refer to more One Call tickets, requested by West</p>	<p>Duquesne Light Company: \$2,250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Other: Aleppo Twp Authority/ Aleppo Twp</p> <p>Other: INDIANA TOWNSHIP</p> <p>Other: Peoples Gas</p> <p>Other: RICHLAND TOWNSHIP</p> <p>ALLEGHENY COUNTY</p> <p>Other: Verizon</p>	<p>Penn Utilities with a late or incomplete response from Duquesne Light Company (DLC). Some tickets have one or two renotification requests. Tickets 20202033905, 20202063099, 20202023729 and 20201974162 were found in the One Call site, are included in case 15832, but are not connected with any AVR's that would be found in a system search. There are more tickets that were listed in the comments section of some of the AVR's found. The 10 cases have plenty of information to get a view of the issue that Duquesne Light Company was not marking the site nor contacting the excavator. On or about June 29, 2020, multiple AVR's were submitted, because Duquesne Light Company response in KARL was either "scheduled mark", or "insufficient info" in the KARL system, but they were not marking nor contacting the excavator on site, as requested on the tickets. Duquesne Light Company (DLC) responded that they would call this a complex project. DLC could have at any time, asked for a meeting.</p> <p>West Penn Utilities excavates for different telecom companies daily. Using a Ditch Witch Machine, excavation can be for one household, a housing cluster, or a new subdivision. Requests for mark outs are scheduled to be within a reasonable time. The tickets have a specific address or white markings at the dig site. There is also a request to contact excavator if there are any questions. Because of the amount of AVR's for the same issue, there was concern for issues affecting electric safety. It was verified by an electric safety engineer from the PUC, that SUE was evident at the dig locations that he visited.</p> <p>West Penn utilities reported that there have not been many issues with other underground companies, but noted that Duquesne Light Company has consistently not responded to requests to mark or contact West Penn Utilities. Multiple renotify tickets have been requested, specifically asking Duquesne Light Company to mark their lines. This looks to be a repetitive and costly issue for all involved, including Duquesne Light Company and West Penn Utilities.</p> <p>Information submitted by DLC verifies that there were markings at the work locations, after a renotify ticket or in some cases two, were placed. DLC also submitted some AVR's with even more tickets. Many of the tickets showed the same nonresponse issue. I highly recommend that Duquesne Light Company receive training on One call response obligation. It was decided that there are already so many tickets that represent this issue, that more tickets would take time away from other damage prevention projects. There are 10 cases that were investigated.</p> <p>Each case has its own AVR's , tickets and citations attached, so as not to duplicate any violations. Case 15832 has all the tickets and AVR's attached. Most of the ticket information was found on the One Call site. By the time all the information came in, one case number was not big enough to hold the all the data.</p> <p>Case 15832: Ticket # 200201694382 was requested by excavator West Penn Utilities, to mark an area in Hampton Township, Allegheny Co. A renotify ticket</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$500.00 Section 6.1(7) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Aleppo Twp Authority/ Aleppo Twp: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>was called in on July 7, 2020 specifying that Duquesne light Company did not mark their lines. There was evidence of Duquesne cable in the excavating area. Over the course of the next two weeks, 9 more tickets were requested, all of the tickets had at least one renotify request attached, specifying Duquesne Light Company to please mark their utilities. All the tickets and AVR's are included in one case, since this is a reoccurring issue with the same company. AVR's and Violations have been put into 10 separate cases.</p> <p>I spoke with a representative from Duquesne Light Company. She shared that there have been some contact changes. I advised that One Call needs updating, and it would be prudent to update us with the contact info. AVR request was forwarded to Duquesne Light Company. Contact information for locator questions in the field was shared.</p> <p>Proper contacts from both companies were identified, and a meeting was held. New Duquesne Light Company representative admitted fault with the way the locators were managing the tickets. As of 8/7/20, this issue has been remedied.</p> <p>Case 15832: Ticket #20201694382 and 20201694382-001: Violation Section 2(5)(v) applied to DLC for late response. Violation Section 2(5)(v.1) applied for not marking for three hours and 37 min after renotification ticket #2020169438-001 was requested. Note that Verizon marked after the due date, but before the dig time.</p> <p>Ticket # 20202033905 and renotify ticket # 20202033905-001 Violation Section 2(5)(v) applied to Verizon. This is a subsequent offence for Verizon. They did not respond until 8/3/20, this has been considered a non-response, because it is over 7 days late. Violation Section 2(5)(v) and 2(5)(v.1) applied to Duquesne Light Company. They did not mark until the renotification ticket was requested, and markings were over two hours late. Violation Section 6(1)(7) applied to Comcast, the project owner did not submit an AVR. An emailed AVR request letter was sent on July 24, 2020.</p> <p>Ticket 20202063099 Violation Section 2(5)(v) applied to Aleppo Township for responding late to routine ticket. Violation Section 2(5)(v) subsequent offence applied to Verizon for a late response.</p> <p>Ticket 20201974162 Violation Section 2(5)(v) applied to Duquesne Light Company for insufficient response.</p> <p>Ticket #20202023729 Violation Section 2(5)(v) applied to DLC for not responding until a renotification ticket was requested.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
16180	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: Joseph J. Danielle Contracting Other: Chester Water Authority Other: Comcast Cable Other: VERIZON</p>	<p><u>On 6/30/2020 4:00:00 PM at 33 HUNTERS LN, CHESTER HEIGHTS BORO, DELAWARE</u> Tuesday, 2/8/22 DPC Meeting. Rejection from Chester Water Authority, rejecting violation of 2(5)(vii). Vote: Chester Water Authority- reduce the penalty to 50% (\$1,000 to \$500) and keep the violation.</p> <p>***** Incident occurred on Tuesday, June 30, 2020, on Hunters Lane in Chester Heights Borough, Delaware County.</p> <p>PECO stated that Joseph J. Danielle Contracting (JJD Contracting) was installing a water service and/or sanitary sewer line to a new residence and while backfilling the backhoe crushed the 1-inch plastic gas service stub. PECO said JJD Contracting could not provide an excavation ticket and when searching through One Call records a ticket was not found for JJD Contracting. PECO did not provide pictures. PA One Call compliance comments on PECO's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stated, "there are 2 tickets (completed on 6/10/2020- 20201623814, 20201623822) placed for Hunters Lane by Joseph J. Danielle Contracting with the same mailing address and phone number listed on the AVR." PECO responded to both New Excavation Routine tickets (20201623814, 20201623822) on 6/12/2020 as Field Marked. On 1/21/2021 an email was sent to JJD Contracting requesting an AVR. They did not respond to the email and no report was submitted.</p> <p>JJD Contracting is in violation of Act 50, Sections: 5(4) Failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. 5(16) Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time: *Verizon- Late Responses to New Excavation tickets 20201623814 and 2020163822, both response due date on 6/12/2020. Verizon responded on 6/15/20 as Field Marked to both tickets. No Response to New Excavation Emergency ticket 20201823961, called on 6/30/2020, for the Gas Leak Repair, by PECO.</p> <p>*Comcast- Late Responses to New Excavation tickets 20201623814 and 2020163822, both response due date on 6/12/2020. Comcast responded on 6/15/20 as Field Marked to both tickets.</p> <p>*Chester Water Authority (CDCs: CR5 & CR)- No Response to New Excavation Emergency ticket 20201823961, called on 6/30/2020, for the Gas Leak Repair, by PECO.</p>	<p>Joseph J. Danielle Contracting: \$750.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Chester Water Authority: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Comcast Cable: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>VERIZON: \$5,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Recommendation: Education, applied violation and penalty.</p> <p>On 8/19/21- Chester Water Authority sent a letter by email rejecting the penalty. Updated Recommendation: zero penalty, keep the violation, no education.</p> <p>Chester Water Authority has CDC:CR and CDC:CR5, and both have the same contact information. They did respond on time to CDC:CR as "Field Marked", but no response to the CDC:CR5. They are not required to respond via emergency CDC if they have responded on time in a different CDC.</p>	
17586	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: A. Folino Construction, Inc.</p> <p>Project Owner: EQT</p> <p>Designer: KLH</p>	<p>On 8/17/2020 1:00:00 PM at <u>LINCOLN AVE EX, FALLOWFIELD TWP, WASHINGTON</u> 2/08/2022</p> <p>Damage Prevention Committee voted to keep all Damage Prevention Investigator recommendations as presented.</p> <p>On 8/17/2020 at 1 p.m. A Folino Construction was digging with power equipment to install a water line for EQT when they struck a 1” unmarked Columbia Gas line. This line lead to an abandoned lot. All AVR’s agree that the gas line was not marked. Information in Columbia’s Gas records read that this was an abandoned line, per the AVR. This line was not abandoned when the strike occurred, but Columbia Gas properly abandoned this line afterwards. 911 should have been called.</p> <p>Email from A. Folino reads that they should have called. The question "was there a hazardous gas released?" was responded to as "just normal gas". There is no information on any of the AVR’s about calling 911. This is a violation of section 5(8) To immediately notify 911. Emergency Ticket # 20202301932 and Emergency Ticket number 20202301935.</p> <p>KLH is the designer and did not submit an AVR. This is a violation of Section 4(8) and penalty applied. DPI requested an AVR on 10/15/2020 via email and USPS. Columbia Gas failed to mark and maintain existing records of abandoned lines. The known line was listed incorrectly as abandoned in Columbia’s maps, but was not marked at all in the field. This is in violation of Section 2B(5)(i) and a subsequent offence. Penalty applied.</p> <p>This investigation was brought to attention, because multiple AVR’s were submitted since 8/11/2020, concerning multiple gas lines, owned by Columbia Gas and People’s Gas that were getting hit and damaged by the same Excavating Company while working in various locations.</p> <p>Cases 17209 and 18127show an over \$400,000.00 Complex Project for the Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) in the City of Pittsburgh to restore the water line system. Three Separate Designers were hired for this project: JMT, Buchart Horn, and Collective Efforts. The project included plans to replace the small diameter water main replacements throughout the city, by A. Folino Construction Co. The design tickets found as of 10/31/2020, are listed and processed in case 17209. Each case has an unique damage and situation.</p> <p>Another area being excavated by the A. Folino Excavation Co. occurred in Charleroi Boro, Fallowfield</p>	<p>Columbia Gas: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(i)(B) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>A. Folino Construction, Inc.: \$2,000.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>KLH: \$250.00 Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Twp, Twilight Boro, and Speers Boro in Washington County, PA. case #'s 17533, 18068, 18067, 17890. This project, costing over \$400,000. was financed by JMT who contracted EQT Corp., to oversee the installation of a water line in four Communities. KLH is the designer. All design tickets found, are listed and processed in case 17533. Each case is unique to the damage and situation. Case 17784 A Folino was repaving the road for the City of Pittsburgh.</p> <p>More cases have been found for these two complex projects. The AVR's list different ticket numbers and because there is no connecting ticket, as in a complex project ticket, or even final design ticket, there is no way to locate all the tickets to connect to one case. As I find tickets that should be grouped together, I will refer to case 17209 (PSWA) or Case 17533 (Charleroi area), or case 17784. Any new violations will be in the new case, so not to overwhelm the system.</p>	
17784	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Gas Contractor/Excavator: A FOLINO CONSTRUCTION Project Owner: CITY OF PITTSBURGH</p>	<p><u>On 8/18/2020 7:00:00 AM at 1316 W SYCAMORE ST, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> On 02/08/2022 the Damage Prevention Committee voted to keep all of the Investigators recommendations, but to also apply education to both parties. Case was pulled for discussion by 2 DPC members. No disputes</p> <p>Incident occurred on 8/18/20 at 1316 W. Sycamore Street in the City of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County. ***No Damage</p> <p>On 10/05/ 2021 case 17784 was in pre discussion with the Damage Prevention Committee (DPC). Damage Prevention Investigator (DPI) Maki sent an email on 10/18/ 2021 to A. Folino asking for a timeline and a list of questions. No response has been received to date. Incident occurred on the morning of 8/18/20, at 7:00 a.m. Excavator A. Folino Company Inc got to their work site at 1316 W Sycamore St Pittsburgh City, Allegheny Co. PA to resurface the road, and immediately smelled gas. Foreman then used a shovel to find each service line on the road. Once the gas line was exposed, 911 was called. It would be prudent to call in an emergency ticket and 911 before locating the line, when there is a gas smell. Peoples Gas AVR states the incident occurred at 1:48.</p> <p>AVR from A. Folino states that they arrived at their work site at 7 a.m. 4 and a half hours later an emergency ticket was placed. On 10/09/2020 email was sent to A. Folino asking about this project. No response was received.</p> <p>AVR from People's Gas states that this old steel gas service line was not damaged. It was uncovered by A. Folino. A Peoples Gas worker found this gas line to have a grade 1 leak, with pinholes, caused by corrosion.</p> <p>Emergency ticket 20202311807, placed at 11:25, does not list anything about a gas line, or what the emergency is. It lists that crew is on site and the work is for base</p>	<p>A FOLINO CONSTRUCTION: \$4,000.00 Section 5(9) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 5(17) 2nd Offense \$500.00 Section 5(2.1) 3rd Offense \$2,000.00 Section 5(17) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>CITY OF PITTSBURGH: \$250.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>repair. Email was sent on 10/09/2020 to A. Folino asking for more information about this emergency and if this is part of a larger project. No response was received. 911 and Peoples Gas were called after the line was discovered at 1:49 p.m.</p> <p>The City of Pittsburgh has not submitted an AVR to date.</p> <p>The City of Pittsburgh is in violation of Section: 6.1(7) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>A Folino is in violation of Sections: 5(9) Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of “emergency” as defined in Section 1. Penalty is applied. The emergency ticket is the only ticket found for the area. If A. Folino had called in a ticket before any digging, Peoples Gas would have found the leaking gas line. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. This is a third offense violation, and the penalty is applied. 5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within 30 days of receipt. Three emails were sent to A. Folino with no responses. The emails were sent 10/09/2020 and 4/5/2021 and 10/18/2021. The 10/18/2021 email was sent after the DPC discussion, where the DPC members requested the Damage Prevention Investigator (DPI) reach out to A. Folino and get a timeline of events and more information. No response from A. Folino to date. This violation had two offenses and the penalty is applied both times.</p> <p>Notes: This investigation was brought to attention, because multiple AVR’s were submitted since 8/11/2020, concerning excavating and notification issues with multiple gas lines, owned by Columbia Gas and People’s Gas, in various locations.</p>	
17561	<p>Facility Owner: SUEZ Contractor/Excavator: J F KIELY CONSTRUCTION Project Owner: UGI UTILITIES Other: Dallas Area Municipal Authority Other: UGI Electric</p>	<p><u>On 8/20/2020 9:41:00 AM at 71 N PIONEER AVE, KINGSTON TWP, LUZERNE</u> On 8/20/2020, JF Kiely was excavating for UGI Corrosion Department on North Pioneer Ave., Kingston Township, Luzerne County when they struck and damaged an unmarked 6 inch Suez water main. Suez did have locate marks on the ground 30 inches away from the damaged water main, and admitted As-Builts were used to locate the lines from 1989 which were not accurate.</p> <p>The damage to the water main caused the water to flow downhill and into the basement of the house located at 71 North Pioneer Ave. The homeowner indicated the damage has to be submitted to his insurance company due to the massive amount of water that filled his finished basement and the extensive damage this break has caused to his home.</p> <p>Suez has indicated the water main break left more than 50 customers without water for less than 6 hours. The</p>	<p>SUEZ: \$850.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$850.00</p> <p>UGI UTILITIES: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>Dallas Area Municipal Authority: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>UGI Electric: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>damage had to be fixed and lines needed to be flushed and restored for residential use.</p> <p>Suez is being recommended for violating 2(5)(i)- failing to locate lines horizontally within 18 inches. A fine factor of (0.5) for affecting over 50 customers and a fine factor of (0.2) for the cost of damages to the Homeowner's basement will be added to each violation due to the severity of this water main break.</p> <p>Dallas Area Municipal Authority and UGI Electric is being recommended for violating 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to One Call Ticket #20202252140.</p> <p>UGI is being recommended for violating Section 2(5)(v) late- failing to mark within the required timeframe for Ticket #20202252140. The response due date was 8/14/2020 and UGI did not respond until 8/17/2020 at 9:41 AM.</p>	
18068	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: A. Folino Construction Inc.</p> <p>Project Owner: EQT</p> <p>Designer: KLH</p>	<p><u>On 8/25/2020 8:00:00 AM at LINCOLN AVE EX, FALLOWFIELD TWP, WASHINGTON</u> On 2/08/2022 the Damage Prevention Committee voted to remove all violations for Columbia Gas and KLH.</p> <p>On 8/25/2020 at 8:00 a.m. A Folino Construction Company struck an unmarked Columbia Gas Line at 308 Fox Stop Rd and Lincoln Ave Extension, Fallowfield, Washington Co, PA. 911 was called. Columbia Gas came to the site and found that this line was not an abandoned line, as A Folino's AVR read, but a 1" plastic service line, that Columbia Gas had no record of. 911 was called, and Columbia gas also properly abandoned this line, at that time.</p> <p>There were at least two more hits later this same day. At 1:00 p.m. another presumed to be abandoned line was hit. See case 18067. Case 17981 shows a hit at 5:51 pm. This case 18068, Columbia Gas submitted an AVR for an 8pm strike. They had no record of the 8-a.m. strike. Was that a fourth strike? Neither Columbia Gas nor A. Folino could verify that there was or was not another strike. A Folino resent the 8 a.m. AVR when I requested more information.</p> <p>Concern is that there are gas lines that are not properly abandoned, that are being hit. This line was hit twice in one day. I must think about the issue in Maine, which blew up a house, while all the crew was there. This was due in part to an unmarked, not properly abandoned line. Columbia Gas is in violation of Section 2B(5)(i) as a subsequent offence. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>KLH is in violation of Section 4(8) No AVR was received.</p> <p>Case 18068 is part of a complex project and a larger issue, where gas lines are hit and damaged See cases 17586, 17533, 18920, 20329. The list continues to grow. There is also an issue of incomplete information in so many AVR's.</p>	<p>Columbia Gas: \$0.00</p> <p>KLH: \$0.00</p>
18067	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: A. Folino Construction Inc.</p>	<p><u>On 8/25/2020 1:00:00 PM at LINCOLN AVE EX, FALLOWFIELD TWP, WASHINGTON</u> On 2/08/2022 the Damage Prevention Committee voted to remove all violations for Columbia Gas and KLH.</p>	<p>Columbia Gas: \$0.00</p> <p>A. Folino Construction Inc.: \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Project Owner: EQT PRODUCTION Designer: KLH</p>	<p>On 8/25/2020 at 1pm. A Folino Construction was using power equipment to hammer a concrete and asphalt roadway, when they hit and damaged what was believed to be an unmarked, possibly abandoned Columbia gas line. Case 18067, also happened on 8/25/20 and reports an incident where A. Folino noted an abandoned line was hit, but it was a 1" plastic service line.</p> <p>No AVR received from Columbia Gas for this incident. This is a violation of Section 2(10) There have been multiple hits, by this excavator, on Columbia Gas lines in the past six months. Penalty is applied. Even though the AVR from A. Folino reads that the line was abandoned, case 18068 had a line that was hit and described abandoned, but Columbia gas did not abandon the line until after it was hit. This is relevant information for this case. Columbia Gas failed to mark this possibly abandoned line. This is a subsequent violation of section 2B(5)(i), but a new year, so first offence penalty is applied.</p> <p>A. Folino hit a gas line. There is no reference to a notification to the facility owner. This is a violation of section 5(7) Penalty is applied.</p> <p>Designer KLH did not submit an AVR. This is a violation of Section 4(8) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>This investigation was brought to attention, because multiple AVR's were submitted since 8/11/2020, concerning multiple gas lines, owned by Columbia Gas and People's Gas that were getting hit and damaged by the same Excavating Company while working in various locations.</p> <p>Cases 17209 and 18127 show an over \$400,000.00 Complex Project for the Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) in the City of Pittsburgh to restore the water line system. Three Separate Designers were hired for this project: JMT, Buchart Horn, and Collective Efforts. The project included plans to replace the small diameter water main replacements throughout the city, by A. Folino Construction Co. The design tickets found as of 10/31/2020, are listed and processed in case 17209. Each case has a unique damage and situation.</p> <p>Another area being excavated by the A. Folino Excavation Co. occurred in Charleroi Boro, Fallowfield Twp, Twilight Boro, and Speers Boro in Washington County, PA. case #'s 17533, 18068, 18067, 17890. This project, costing over \$400,000. was financed by JMT who contracted EQT Corp., to oversee the installation of a water line in four Communities. KLH is the designer.</p> <p>All design tickets found, are listed and processed in case 17533. Each case is unique to the damage and situation. Case 17784 A Folino was repaving the road for the City of Pittsburgh.</p> <p>More cases have been found for these two complex projects. The AVR's list different ticket numbers and because there is no connecting ticket, as in a complex project ticket, or even final design ticket, there is no way to locate all the tickets to connect to one case. As I find tickets that should be grouped together, I will refer to case 17209 (PSWA) or Case 17533 (Charleroi area), or case 17784. Any new violations will be in the new case, so not to overwhelm the system.</p>	<p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>KLH: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
18037	<p>Facility Owner: PPL Contractor/Excavator: Zephyr Thomas Home Improvement</p>	<p><u>On 9/8/2020 12:00:00 PM at 316 N HOMESTEAD DR, EAST HEMPFIELD TWP, LANCASTER</u> Tuesday, 2/8/22 DPC Meeting. Rejection from Zephyr Thomas Home Improvement, rejecting violation 5(7). Vote: Remove the penalty and violation. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 9/8/2020 at 316 N. Homestead Drive, East Hempfield Twp., Lancaster County.</p> <p>On 9/8/2020, Zephyr Thomas Home Improvement was trying to install 3' footers for a small deck off of the house located at 316 North Homestead Drive, East Hempfield Township, Lancaster County when they damaged a PPL underground service line. Zephyr Thomas stated in their AVR that the service line, in their marked out area, was not marked and located by PPL. They submitted pictures showing the cable and the electrical lines' markings taking a right from the electric meter on the back of the house and the damaged electrical line took a left into their excavation area. The Hit Kit shows the locator markings were more than 18" from the damaged facility. A violation of 2(5)(i)- for failing to mark their underground lines at the work site within eighteen inches horizontally from the outside wall is recommended against PPL.</p> <p>The Emergency Excavation ticket called in included remarks saying, "Caller states that the damage occurred yesterday. Caller states that they damaged an unmarked electrical line within the white marked area." A violation of 5(7)- failing to immediately report to the facility owner any break or leak on its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove or other damage to such lines or to their coating or cathodic protection, made or discovered in the course of the excavation or demolition work is recommended against Zephyr Thomas Home Improvement for not contacting One Call and reporting the damage until the following day.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was sent to PPL asking to submit an AVR. PPL responded with a cost estimate and stated the damage was under \$2500.00 and they will not be submitting an AVR for this incident.</p>	<p>PPL: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Zephyr Thomas Home Improvement: \$0.00</p>
17890	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas Contractor/Excavator: A. Folino Construction, Inc. Project Owner: EQT Designer: KLH</p>	<p><u>On 9/20/2020 4:00:00 AM at LINCOLN AVE EX, FALLOWFIELD TWP, WASHINGTON</u> On 2/08/2022 the Damage Prevention Committee voted to remove all violations for Columbia Gas and KLH.</p> <p>On 9/20/20 A Folino Construction was digging with a backhoe/tracker to install a 16" waterline for EQT. The bucket caught the gas line, which was marked correctly, causing damage. 911 was called. One AVR request letter was emailed to all the companies, requesting separate AVR's for the gas hits in this area. Each incident is different. AVR's were requested from EQT Violation Section 6.1(7), KLH violation Section 4(8), and Columbia Gas (multiple hits within 6 months by the same excavator) Violation Section 2(10). None of these companies submitted an AVR for this incident. This is a violation of Act 50. Penalties applied.</p>	<p>Columbia Gas: \$0.00</p> <p>A. Folino Construction, Inc.: \$500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>EQT: \$250.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>KLH: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>This investigation was brought to attention, because multiple AVR's were submitted since 8/11/2020, concerning multiple gas lines, owned by Columbia Gas and People's Gas that were getting hit and damaged by the same Excavating Company while working in various locations.</p> <p>Cases 17209 and 18127 show an over \$400,000.00 Complex Project for the Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) in the City of Pittsburgh to restore the water line system. Three Separate Designers were hired for this project: JMT, Buchart Horn, and Collective Efforts. The project included plans to replace the small diameter water main replacements throughout the city, by A. Folino Construction Co. The design tickets found as of 10/31/2020, are listed and processed in case 17209. Each case has a unique damage and situation.</p> <p>Another area being excavated by the A. Folino Excavation Co. occurred in Charleroi Boro, Fallowfield Twp, Twilight Boro, and Speers Boro in Washington County, PA. case #'s 17533, 18068, 18067, 17890. This project, costing over \$400,000. was financed by JMT who contracted EQT Corp to oversee the project. EQT is the Project Owner at this time. In the future, there is a plan for the City of Charleroi to take ownership of this water line. KLH did the consulting and is the designer for the installation of a water line in four Communities. KLH is the full-time inspector for this project. All design tickets found, are listed and processed in case 17533. Each case is unique to the damage and situation.</p>	

Committee Review

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
15137	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Inc Contractor/Excavator: Reading Area Water Authority</p>	<p>On 5/18/2020 9:35:00 AM at <u>FERNWOOD ST, MUHLENBERG TWP, BERKS</u> *Near miss incident. Violation of Act 50</p> <p>~Incident occurred on 5/18/2020 on Fernwood Street, near Reservoir Road and Deer Path Road, Muhlenberg Township, Berks County.</p> <p>UGI submitted an AVR stating, "Excavator was utilizing mechanized equipment to dig up the roadway prior to the PAOC being cleared." The AVR stated the violation occurred on 5/18/2020 and the One Call ticket states the scheduled excavation date is 5/20/2020. An additional ticket, #20201391011, was provided by UGI after requesting more information. The No One Call ticket was placed by UGI on 5/18/2020. A violation of 5(2.1)-excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended against Reading Area Water Authority with education in lieu of a monetary penalty as they have not had a violation since 2018 and education has not been acquired within the last 2 years for the DPC.</p> <p>Violations: Reading Area Water Authority-</p>	<p>Reading Area Water Authority: \$0.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Education is mandatory.	
21765	<p>Facility Owner: COLUMBIA GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: A Folino Construction</p> <p>Project Owner: Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority</p> <p>Designer: BUCHART HORN INC</p> <p>Designer: Collective Efforts</p> <p>Designer: JMT</p> <p>Designer: Wade Trim Pittsburgh PA</p> <p>Other: MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATIONAL</p> <p>Other: Pennsylvania American Water</p> <p>Other: Peoples Gas</p> <p>Other: PITTSBURGH DEPARTMENT OF MOBILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE</p> <p>Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 11/9/2020 9:00:00 AM at 1942 Arlington Ave, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY 02/08/2022</u></p> <p>Damage Prevention Committee meeting pre discussion noted to find out if Is line owned by Columbia Gas or Homeowner? A registered plumber could do that repair. Was proper level of SUE used? Depending on who owns it, have to find out who owns it. Verify if 911 was really called or not. We don't know if the line was located properly.</p> <p>Columbia Controls the flow of gas there you still have to be certified to work on a gas line. Must be qualified with DOT192. This was at curb line.</p> <p>Level C SUE level was used. This is not a sufficient level.</p> <p>Need to consider violations for Project Owner and designer</p> <p>At the meeting, Zagroki said that they were limited on what kind of SUE they could use.</p> <p>Incident occurred 11/09/2020 at 1942 Arlington Ave in Pittsburgh, Allegheny Co. PUC received an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stating that an inspector had witnessed A. Folino fixing a damaged gas line.</p> <p>PUC received an AVR on 12/28/2020 stating that an inspector from Michael Baker International had witnessed an A. Folino employee fixing damage to a gas line at 1942 Arlington Ave on 11/09/2020. The inspector told A. Folino that they must report this incident. The inspector filled out an AVR with this issue. When the PUC received this information, Columbia Gas was notified. In a situation like this, an emergency ticket should have been placed.</p> <p>Upon receipt of this notification from the PUC, Columbia Gas immediately (12/30/20) sent a leak inspector to the property to complete a leak survey. The leak inspector did not detect any leaks. After some internal discussions and review, Columbia Gas made the decision to dig this gas service line up to determine if it had in fact been damaged and repaired by A. Folino without reporting the damage to Columbia Gas, 911, or 811. The Columbia Gas crew arrived on site 1/28/21 and spoke with the homeowner. The homeowner confirmed that her gas service was interrupted back in November and then restored after repairs were made. Columbia Gas then verified, that there was no knowledge of this incident.</p> <p>St Patrick Street is connected to Arlington Ave. See Google Maps image named Arlington Ave and St Patrick St.</p> <p>This incident was traced to an alleged- non-reported, unauthorized, gas line strike and repair by A. Folino on St. Patrick St.</p>	<p>COLUMBIA GAS: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$0.00</p> <p>A Folino Construction: \$5,000.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority: \$11,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>BUCHART HORN INC: \$500.00 Section 4(3) 1st Offense</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>AVR request sent to designers Wade Trim and Collective Efforts. Wade Trim Pittsburgh PA was listed on ticket 20193111028 as the designer, but both PSWA and Wade Trim denied using those designs for this project. No AVR is needed.</p> <p>No AVR requested from Collective Efforts because it has been established that this Design Company was excused in Mid July 2019, due to funding.</p> <p>Buchart Horn was the responsible designer for this phase of the project. AVR request sent on 6/2/2021, due to not having the designer information until 6/2/2021. AVR received promptly.</p> <p>A. Folino Construction is in violation of ACT 50, sections: 5(7) Failed to report immediately to the facility owner any break or leak in its lines. There was no emergency ticket or notification to the gas company. This is a second offence violation and penalty applied. 5(8) Failed to immediately notify 911. This is a second offence violation of section and penalty applied. 5(8) vacated the worksite after causing damage, without letting Columbia Gas know about the line strike. This is a first-time offense and penalty is applied. 5(4) Failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques. This is a second-time offense violation of Section and penalty is applied. A. Folino did not notify Columbia Gas about the Line strike and although they submitted an AVR, when I requested one for case 21765, this issue was not addressed.</p> <p>**Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Pittsburgh Department of Mobility and Infrastructure is in violation of Sections: 2(4) for 4 tickets: 20193111028, 20191293766, 20193111027, 20193111029. This is a second offense, and the \$2000. penalty is reduced to \$1000. 2(5)(v) for 5 tickets: 20191563965, 20191563965, 20191773039, 20191773038, 20191583344. This is a second offense, and the \$5000 penalty is reduced to \$2000. Training is mandatory.</p> <p>Columbia Gas is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to One Call ticket 20191583344 within the required amount of time. This is a second offense violation and the \$500. penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) for failing to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time for 5 tickets 20201770316, 20201770317, 20191773039,</p>	<p>\$125.00</p> <p>Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 4(5) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Pennsylvania American Water: \$750.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$250.00</p> <p>PITTSBURGH DEPARTMENT OF MOBILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE: \$3,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$5,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>20191773038, and 20191583344. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Buchart Horn Inc is in violation of Sections: 4(3) Designers drawings does not show the position and type of each facility owners' line, and the name of the facility. 4(2) Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call system 4(5) Designers drawing does not include One Call's toll-free number and the serial number of the ticket. These are first offences and \$1000. Fine is reduced in half. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>PA Water is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(v) for tickets 20191563965, 20191563964 and 20191773038 for failing to respond to a routing One Call ticket in the required amount of time. This is a second time violation. \$1500. Penalty is reduced in half.</p> <p>More Notes</p> <p>This was one phase of a three phase, huge complex project to replace the water lines for the City of Pittsburgh. Another phase of this project is noted in case 17209.</p> <p>No final design was submitted to One Call. No information for a meeting was received. Level "C" SUE was listed in AVR from Project Owner PWSA and confirmed in an email with Buchart Horn. The tickets from Collective Efforts, where the pot holing was to be done, was never completed, per email with Buchard Horn and confirmed in a telephone conversation with Collective efforts.</p> <p>The Geotech boring was never started.</p> <p>*Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority is the Project Owner. Violations of Section 6.1(3), 6.1(1), are listed in case 17209.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>
22060	<p>Facility Owner: PPL Contractor/Excavator: DOLI Construction Corporation Project Owner: Lower Swatara Township Municipal Authority Designer: HERBERT ROWLAND AND GRUBIC INC (HRG INC) Other: Comcast Other: Frontier Communications Other: LOWER SWATARA MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY Other: Verizon PA</p>	<p><u>On 2/10/2021 7:30:00 AM at 2031 MOUNTAIN VIEW RD, LOWER SWATARA TWP, DAUPHIN</u> Synopsis 22060</p> <p>02/09/2022 DPI Maki inherited this case. DPI sent an email to PPL asking about design and to USIC asking about the Complex project meeting USIC attendance and who they were representing. USIC stated that they represented PPL Electric and Comcast Cable at the Complex Project meeting.</p> <p>02/08/2022 Damage prevention Committee discussion notes: Get to the root cause. How did the communication occur? Point out the severity of not being contacted about the damage. They were not notified until the 17 by the homeowner. Streetlight wire was wrapped around the mailbox. This was live wire. Anyone could have been electrocuted. Doli said they have updated their standards.</p>	<p>PPL: \$750.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vi) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>DOLI Construction Corporation: \$1,000.00 Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$750.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>Frontier Communications:</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>This is a communication issue. They have taken measures that this kind of thing does not happen again. Could have this been figured out in the design phase. We have to look at the Design more.</p> <p>~On 2/12/2021 Doli Construction was working for Lower Swatara Township Public Works at 2031 Mountain View Rd., Lower Swatara Township, Dauphin County.</p> <p>Doli Construction and Herbert Rowland and Grubic indicated in their AVR Doli Construction, "Hit 2 1/4" inch unmarked electrical lines for light poles 50 feet out from I-3". No photos were attached to the submitted AVR's.</p> <p>PPL submitted an AVR stating, "PPL Public Safety questioned Matt Epler from Doli as to why the damaged wire was not reported to PA One Call or PPL on the day of the damage. He stated that they believed the line to belong to Lower Swatara Township and that their assigned inspector from H.R.G. Inc. said he "would take care of it". A call to Lower Swatara Public Works Director, Lester Lanman confirmed they were contacted by the H.R.G. inspector and told the inspector that the line belonged to PPL." A violation of 5(7)- excavator failed to report immediately to the facility owner any break or leak on its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove or other damage to such lines or to their coating or cathodic protection, made or discovered in the course of the excavation or demolition work is recommended against Doli Construction.</p> <p>PPL submitted many photos showing the markouts in the area for the project. A picture was found (DPI-photo 1), showing the markouts from December next to the pole in question. The photo confirms markouts, but the markout was not in compliance with CGA standards. Doli, Lower Swatara Township and Herbert Rowland and Grubic Inc. stated the lines were 1/4", not 2", which is the assumed marking size when no width is indicated in a markout. A violation of Section 2(5)(vi)- failed to make lines in compliance with the Common Ground Alliance for Best Practices for Temporary Marking is recommended against PPL.</p> <p>PPL's AVR stated, "USIC's locator reported that he had spoken to Doli workers who had told him they would be working within the street. The streetlight wire was located within the grass strip between the curb and sidewalk." Doli's One Call Ticket #20203642224 indicated they would be working in the street, sidewalk, Public Property, Private Property (DPI One Call Routine Ticket information for location of work). Looking at the picture (DPI-photo 1), snow is on the ground between the light pole and the street.</p> <p>Lower Swatara Township submitted an AVR stating, "Excavator struck 2 small ~1/4" power lines that fed power to street lights in the area. This occurred while</p>	<p>\$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon PA: \$5,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>installing a storm water inlet and line labeled as I-3 on plans." No plans were available to view from the One Call Tickets and Project information received from One Call. Doli Construction submitted page of the plans where the damage occurred (Designer Plans).</p> <p>According to the Complex Meeting sign-in sheet, Verizon PA was not in attendance at the meeting and failed to respond to the One Call meeting request. A violation of 2(5)(viii)- failing to participate in a preconstruction meeting is recommended against Verizon PA. Minutes taken at the meeting on 10/15/2020 stated the One Call Tickets will be standard tickets which will be limited to necessity during each call and Update Tickets will be called in when the marks become lost.</p> <p>Updated Ticket #20203642224 had a response due date of 12/31/2020 for excavation to begin on 1/4/2021 and Verizon PA responded on 1/5/2021 at 14:29 PM. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failing to respond to a Routine One Call Ticket within the required amount of time is recommended against Verizon PA.</p> <p>Routine Ticket #20203433145 had a response due date of 12/13/2020 for excavation to begin on 12/14/2020 at 7:00 AM. Comcast responded to the ticket on 12/21/2020 at 12:19 PM, PPL responded to the ticket on 12/15/2020 at 7:27 AM and Frontier Communications responded to the ticket on 12/21/2020 at 10:54 AM. Verizon PA failed to respond and then responded with "Insufficient Info" on 12/23/2020 at 13:33 PM and did not finalize a response in the KARL system. A violation of Section 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine One Call notification is recommended against Verizon PA and a violation of Section 2(5)(v)late- failing to respond to a Routine One Call Ticket within the required amount of time is recommended against Comcast, PPL and Frontier Communications.</p> <p>With the provided information, a determination cannot be made as to whether PPL marked incorrectly, or if an Update Ticket needed to be placed.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Verizon: ~2(5)(viii)-failed to participate in a preconstruction meeting for a complex project ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time- Updated Ticket #20203642224 ~2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification- Routine Ticket #20203433145</p> <p>Comcast: ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time- Routine Ticket #20203433145</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>PPL: ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time- Routine Ticket #20203433145 ~2(5)(vi)- failed to make lines in compliance with the Common Ground Alliance for Best Practices for Temporary Marking.</p> <p>Frontier Communications: ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time- Routine Ticket #20203433145</p> <p>Doli Construction- ~5(7)- failed to report immediately to the facility owner any break or leak on its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove or other damage to such lines or to their coating or cathodic protection, made or discovered in the course of the excavation or demolition work.</p>	