



Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

**Damage Prevention Committee Meeting Case List
November 8, 2022**

Omnibus Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
16574	<p>Facility Owner: Pa American Water Contractor/Excavator: Pittsburgh Invisible Fence Project Owner: HOMEOWNER</p>	<p><u>On 7/16/2020 10:50:00 AM at 307 HIGH SIERRA CIR, UPPER ST CLAIR TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 7/16/2020 at 307 High Sierra Circle, near Siesta Dr., Upper St. Clair Township, Allegheny County, where an emergency ticket was requested to install a invisible fence.</p> <p>* No damage</p> <p>PA American Water submitted an AVR stating, "Pittsburgh Invisible Fence put in emergency ticket 1 call to install fence. The ticket was submitted on Friday, 07/16/20 and the scheduled excavation was for Monday, 07/20/20. This does not meet criteria of an emergency.</p> <p>Pittsburgh Invisible Fence was mailed an AVR request on 3/7/2022. No AVR has been received to date. An email received on 8/31/2022 stated that written in their notes and customer service recollection the customer required immediate service of Invisible Fence system due to a dog safety issue in the neighborhood (aggressive dog). The home was also in close proximation to Route 19, a heavily traveled highway, and customer was extremely concerned about a vehicle accident if dog ran towards the highway.</p> <p>Customer was told to keep dog safely secured until they were able to get system completed and dog contained properly which according to our records was on Monday 7/20/20. They also state that "At the time we were operating under unique covid guidelines which prevented us from having a full staff. The soonest emergency request appointment available was filled within 3 days of the call, which was added into the schedule to accommodate our customer."</p> <p>One call stated that from September 2018 to September 2020 Pittsburgh Invisible Fence placed 61 Emergency notifications, some were to repair an invisible fence, but most were to install a fence. There were no emergencies placed after September 2020.</p> <p>Homeowner was mailed an AVR request on 3/7/2022. No AVR has been received to date. DPI returned a call to the homeowner on 7/26/2022. Homeowner had questions about the Alleged Violation report notice and what was expected of them. There was no mention of an aggressive dog or safety issue, the DPI did not know to ask about a dog at that time. There is also no mention of an aggressive dog on ticket 20201981513. DPI did try to call the homeowner again after receiving the email and there was no answer.</p>	<p>Pittsburgh Invisible Fence: \$1,250.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(9) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>HOMEOWNER: \$0.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*****</p> <p>Violations: Pittsburgh Invisible Fence 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1. Penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(16) – Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>Homeowner 5(16) Homeowner failed to submit and alleged Violation Report. Penalty is a warning.</p>	
17185	<p>Facility Owner: Verizon PA Contractor/Excavator: WA PETRAKIS CONTRACTING COMPANY Project Owner: Derry Township Municipal Authority Designer: Gibson-Thomas Engineering Co Inc. Other: Centurylink Other: Derry Township Westmoreland County Other: West Penn Power</p>	<p><u>On 8/10/2020 2:00:00 PM at 112 Mase Road, DERRY TWP, WESTMORELAND</u> The incident occurred on 8/10/2020, at 112 Mase Road, near Millwood Road and Peach Hollow Road, in Derry Township, Westmoreland County.</p> <p>WA Petrakis Contracting Company submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stating, "Telecommunication line was dug through with trackhoe at the location of a manhole we were installing. Verizon Hazard was notified to send out repair crew following a complaint from resident in the direct area indicating that phone service was disrupted. No marks were present showing the location of the utility. There is evidence to suggest that this utility is unmarked throughout the entire project area and will impact our progress. A representative was also not present at the mandatory complex preconstruction meeting held on 7/8/2020, nor were any calls received prior to the meeting as indicated in the complex project meeting ticket if attendance could not be met." A violation of 2(5)(i)- failed to mark, stake, locate or otherwise provide the position of the facility owner's underground lines at the work site within eighteen inches horizontally from the outside wall of such line in a manner so as to enable the excavator, where appropriate, to employ prudent techniques is recommended against Verizon.</p> <p>Complex Project One Call ticket #20201001633 was placed on 4/9/2020. Response due date was 4/15/2020 for meeting to take place on 4/16/2020 at 13:00 PM at the intersection of Peach Hollow Road and Millwood Rd. Excavation to start on 4/23/2020 for 6 weeks. Peoples Gas Company and Verizon did not respond to the notification. On 4/15/2020 at 12:15 PM, notes were added to the CP portal stating, "This project has been postponed. The meeting will be rescheduled for a later date TBD. No violations recommended.</p> <p>Complex Project One Call ticket #20201823581 was placed on 6/30/2020. Response due date was 7/7/2020 for meeting to take place on 7/8/2020 at 10:00 AM at the intersection of Peach Hollow Road and Millwood Rd. Excavation to start on 7/15/2020 for 6 weeks. Centurylink and West Penn Power did not respond until 11:00 the day of the meeting; Derry Township Westmoreland County did not finalize a response in the KARL system and Verizon did not respond to the</p>	<p>Verizon PA: \$5,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(11) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Derry Township Municipal Authority: \$250.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Centurylink: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Derry Township Westmoreland County: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>West Penn Power: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>notification. A violation of 2(5)(viii)-failed to participate in a preconstruction meeting for a complex project is recommended for all entities with education in lieu of the monetary penalty for Derry Township Westmoreland County.</p> <p>A courtesy email was sent to the Facility Owner to submit an AVR on 9/29/2021 and to the Project Owner on 10/4/2021 and neither one submitted an AVR. A violation of 2(11)- facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission relating to the commission's enforcement authority under this act within thirty days of the receipt of the request is recommended for Verizon and a violation of 6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike is recommended against Derry Township Municipal Authority.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Verizon: ~2(5)(viii)-failed to participate in a preconstruction meeting for a complex project for ticket #20201823581 ~2(5)(i)- failed to mark, stake, locate or otherwise provide the position of the facility owner's underground lines at the work site within eighteen inches horizontally from the outside wall of such line in a manner so as to enable the excavator, where appropriate, to employ prudent techniques ~2(11)- facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission relating to the commission's enforcement authority under this act within thirty days of the receipt of the request.</p> <p>Derry Township Westmoreland County: ~2(5)(viii)-failed to participate in a preconstruction meeting for a complex project for ticket #20201823581</p> <p>Centurylink: ~2(5)(viii)-failed to participate in a preconstruction meeting for a complex project for ticket #20201823581</p> <p>West Penn Power: ~2(5)(viii)-failed to participate in a preconstruction meeting for a complex project for ticket #20201823581</p> <p>Derry Township Municipal Authority ~6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike</p>	
18296	Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS Contractor/Excavator: A FOLINO CONSTRUCTION Project Owner: PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY	<p><u>On 9/15/2020 11:30:00 AM at FERNWALD RD, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred at Fernwald Road, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>A Folino stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that on 9/15/2020, they were installing a new water line for the City of Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) sawing with a F5-7000 deep saw at 12" deep, when they sawed through a People's Gas properly marked gas line, because it was embedded in asphalt.</p>	<p>A FOLINO CONSTRUCTION: \$1,750.00 Section 5(4) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 3rd Offense \$750.00</p> <p>PITTSBURGH WATER</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>They state this is a Project Owner issue, because the Project Owner failed to respond to notifications received from excavators pursuant to §5(15) and §6.1(2). Pictures were provided.</p> <p>People’s Gas stated in their AVR that A. Folino Construction was saw cutting Fernwald Rd. to install a new water line for PWSA, when they cut through a properly marked 1” steel service line serving 2952 Fernwald Road. The also state that A. Folino failed to use prudent digging techniques within the tolerance zone markings. Pictures were provided.</p> <p>The Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority states in their AVR that A. Folino was milling pavement during this project phase. The contractor was saw cutting the street and cut through a gas service line that was only 12” deep from the top of the road surface. The gas line was embedded in asphalt.</p> <p>DPI emailed an information request to A. Folino asking them to provide verification of §5(15) and §6.1(2) In writing on 11/4/2021. §5(15) states that the excavator shall promptly notify the project owner either in writing or orally. If oral notification is given, the notice shall be reduced to writing within a reasonable time by the project owner or excavator. DPI asked them to provide the information so it could be added to the case.</p> <p>A Folino is in violation of Section: 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques. This is a second offense, and the penalty is applied. Education is required 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff. On 11/04/2021 DPI sent an email to A. Folino asking for any documentation showing that notification was given to Peoples Gas about the embedded line. No response has been received to date. This is a third time offense and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. The KARL response is Conflict. DCTF to ticket 20202545910. This is an interim response.</p> <p>***** Additional Information:</p> <p>Request was emailed to A. Folino to get verification of §5(15) and §6.1(2) In writing on 11/4/2021. §5(15) states that the excavator shall promptly notify the project owner either in writing or orally. If oral notification is given, the notice shall be reduced to writing within a reasonable time by the project owner or excavator. DPI requested that documentation so that it could be added to the case? There has been no response to date.</p>	<p>AND SEWER AUTHORITY: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p>
20902	Facility Owner: UGI Utilities	<p><u>On 9/28/2020 12:00:00 PM at 322 Locust St, WILLIAMSPORT CITY, LYCOMING</u> The incident was called in on Tuesday, October 6, 2020, which</p>	<p>Vinny Sarnowski: \$1,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Contractor/Excavator: Vinny Sarnowski</p>	<p>occurred at 322 Locust Street, in Williamsport City, Lycoming County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI Utilities stated, receiving a call that excavation has taken place without a One Call notification. From UGI's investigation, it was determined that a gas line was damaged on September 28, 2020, or on the 29th, by Vinny Sarnowski, during the installation of a fence with an auger. UGI called in a New Excavation Emergency ticket, 20202803437, to repair the gas line. Pictures were not provided.</p> <p>On UGI's Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented that Vinny Sarnowski has not placed PA One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>On Friday, August 27, 2021, a letter was mailed to Vinny Sarnowski requesting an AVR. There was no response to the letter and an AVR was not submitted.</p> <p>*Vinny Sarnowski is violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. 5(16) Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. They have no previous violations. Recommending: Education. For section 5(2.1) penalty applied. For section 5(16) zero penalty and keep the violation.</p>	<p>\$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
21050	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 11/7/2020 10:50:00 AM at 1803 Merrick Hill Rd, DEERFIELD TWP, TIOGA</u> The incident occurred on Saturday, November 7, 2020, at 1803 Merrick Hill Road, in Deerfield Township, Tioga County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI Utilities stated, a Homeowner was excavating with a backhoe, without a One Call notification. Mr. Roe was digging to locate a water well and during the excavation a gas service line was damaged. Mr. Roe called 911 and 811. The fire department responded, the New Damaged Emergency ticket, 20203120116, was placed at 10:46 a.m., and a New Excavation Emergency ticket, 20203120155, was placed at 11:55 a.m. for completing the excavation. UGI provided 13 pictures. The damage pictures to be viewed: 1 – 6, 10, 12, 13</p> <p>Requests for an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) were sent, an email on Thursday, September 16, 2021, and a letter mailed on September 17th. There was no response to the requests and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*The Homeowner is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. 5(16) Homeowner failed to submit an AVR within 10 business day of striking a line. There are no previous violations. Recommendation: Zero Penalties and No Violations.</p>	<p>Homeowner: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
21630	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Inc.</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Lobar and Associates Inc</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Solid Ground Services Inc (SGS)</p> <p>Project Owner: Lobar Associates Inc</p> <p>Project Owner: PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AND VETERAN AFFAIRS</p> <p>Designer: BARRY ISETT AND ASSOCIATES INC</p> <p>Designer: LOBAR DESIGN AND ENGINEERING</p> <p>Other: H EDWARD BLACK & ASSOCIATES</p>	<p><u>On 11/11/2020 12:00:00 AM at Area 7, Building 7-23, UNION TWP, LEBANON</u> Incident occurred on 11/11/2020 in Area 7, Building 7-23, Fort Indiantown Gap (FTIG) in Union Township, Lebanon County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Lobar and Associates did not submit a One Call ticket prior to excavation, they failed to notify 911 when the damage resulted in the release of hazardous gas, failed to notify all facility owners as soon as possible in an emergency, and failed to provide support and mechanical protection for known facility owners' lines at the site during excavation. Pictures are included.</p> <p>UGI Emergency Responded was called to this location on 1/19/2021 for gas odor. Leak investigation provided details that the gas service to 7-23 Chestnut St was severed due to excavation. According to Lobar and Associates Supervisor on site storm pipe was installed the gas service damage area on 1/14/2021. The damage occurred during a backfill with a very large tamper. Temporary gas markings in pictures were painted post damage, during the leak investigation. Pictures are included.</p> <p>Pennsylvania Department of Military and Veteran Affairs (DMVA) stated in their AVR that on 1/19/2021, it was reported to the DMVA that the Project Manager (PM) for the Area 7 Infrastructure Project stated that Solid Ground Services (SGS) the subcontractor to Lobar and Associates smelled gas in the vicinity of Building 7-23. Division of Maintenance (DMVA) was informed and visited the site to verify gas odor. See Notes for more information.</p> <p>Lobar and Associates stated in their AVR that this over \$400,000. Project used Level "C" Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE). They also state that the gas line was compromised during the backfilling process and not during the excavation. They state that 911 was not called, because there was no notice of a gas smell until a few days later, when UGI was notified. Lobar and Associates were the project manager and contracted Solid Ground Services Inc (SGS) to excavate this area. In an email with DPI, they stated that they were trying to be helpful, but there was no One Call ticket submitted.</p> <p>Barry Isett & Associates Inc. stated in their AVR that the gas line was compromised not during the excavation process, but apparently it was damaged during the backfilling. They state that the project was < \$400,000. And that Level "C" SUE was used. Pictures are attached. Solid Ground Services Inc (SGS) was mailed an AVR request letter on 12/20/2021. Email was received from SGS stating they were not excavating at the time of the incident. No AVR has been received to date. They were an excavator for this complex project.</p>	<p>Lobar Associates Inc: \$1,125.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AND VETERAN AFFAIRS: \$250.00 Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>BARRY ISETT AND ASSOCIATES INC: \$250.00 Section 4(3) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$125.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Notes from One Call state that Fort Indian Town Gap and Lobar Associates has placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>*Ticket states that the site is 10 Acres of Area 7 – which is all street and lands within the area. This is a complex project. A complex project ticket should have been submitted.</p> <p>***** ***** ***** *****</p> <p>Lobar Associates Inc. is in violation of Sections: 5(3) Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. This is a first-time offense, and the \$250 penalty is reduced to \$125. Education is required. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to the One Call system within the correct timeframe. Penalty is applied. Pictures provided show that this area was marked by another excavator and there is a gas meter a few feet away from the damage. The penalty is applied.</p> <p>Barry Isett and Associates is in violation of Sections: 4(3) Designer’s drawing does not show the position and type of each facility owner’s line and the name of the facility. This is a first-time violation and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125. Education is required. 4(4) Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. This is a first-time offense and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125.</p> <p>Pennsylvania Department of Military and Veterans Affairs is in violation of Section: 6.1(1) This is a first-time offense and \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250. Education is required.</p> <p>All tickets that were submitted were responded to by UGI timely as Conflict. DCTF and responded as field marked a few days later. There are markings in the pictures submitted showing that the markings were in place at the time of the damage. Email with Barry Isett 2/14/2022 stated that UGI did not have a mutual agreement with them to mark out late. Because this complex project did not consider a complex project meeting, UGI is not given any violations for late mark outs.</p> <p>Solid Ground Services (SGS) Notes: AVR was requested from Solid Ground Services (SGS) on 12/02/2021. In an email from Lobar Associates Inc. and from Solid Ground Services, it was verified that SGS was not involved in this damage incident, besides reporting the smell of gas days later. More investigation developed to show that this was a complex project. No violation given for no AVR. SGS did comply with information requests from DPI.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Pennsylvania Department of Military and Veteran Affairs (DMVA) Notes: Contact information was found and given to Lobar and SGS. UGI arrived on site and verified the gas leak. Upon inspection, it was discovered the gas service line to building 7-23 was pulled out of the riser to the meter, resulting in the leak. The contractor was reported as admitting fault, but it is uncertain as to how the damage occurred. Contractor's comment was that it could have been caused by the tamper during trench backfill operations. It appears that the damage occurred when the contractor was working on site on 1/14/2021 or possibly 1/15/2021. Note that 1/18/2021 was a Federal Holiday. It was also discovered at this time (due to the investigation) that the Contractor did not submit their own PA One Call notification, prior to excavation. Education is required.</p>	
21657	<p>Contractor/Excavator: OUT OF SITE TREE STUMP REMOVAL Project Owner: Wildflower Farm Other: PECO ENERGY</p>	<p>On 1/12/2021 2:00:00 AM at 8 CASTLEBAR LN, MALVERN, CHESTER Incident occurred on 1/12/2021 at 8 Castlebar LN in Willistown Township, Chester Co., where an electric line was damaged.</p> <p>PECO Alleged Violation Report (AVR)stated that Out of Site Tree Stump Removal was using a large Auger to install trees, when they damaged a PECO unmarked secondary electric line twice. No One Call was found for this excavation.</p> <p>Out of Site AVR states that he is an owner/operator of a very small company since 2004. He was operating a small mini loader with an 18" auger for the purpose of transplanting trees at 8 Castlebar LN in Willistown Township, Chester Co. He also states that the homeowner made a 811 call prior to the work being performed. He did not realize that a second 811 notification was needed. He states that he and the homeowner had no idea there was any possibility of an electric line in the area. Out of Site states he was just following the homeowner's directions of where they wanted the evergreen trees planted or removed.</p> <p>Wildflower Farms was mailed an AVR request letter on 6/07/2022. There is no AVR submitted to date.</p> <p>Out of Site Tree Stump Removal is in violation of Section: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to the One Call system. This is a first time offense and \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500.</p> <p>Wildflower Farms is in violation of Section: 6.1(7) Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. This is a first-time offense, and the penalty is a warning. Notes from One Call state that no One Call tickets have been placed by Out of Site in the last 5 years.</p>	<p>OUT OF SITE TREE STUMP REMOVAL: \$500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Wildflower Farm: \$0.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
21690	<p>Facility Owner: COMCAST Contractor/Excavator:</p>	<p>On 1/25/2021 12:00:00 AM at 2020 Olivetre, CHESWICK BORO, ALLEGHENY NVR email stated that AVR2022JAN130009 was submitted and that they</p>	<p>SADLER EXCAVATION: \$500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>SADLER EXCAVATION Project Owner: NVR (Parent company for RYAN HOMES)</p>	<p>do not believe that the violation that the project was released to bid or construction before the final design was completed is also not accurate. NVR would like some clarification about these items. DPI responded with an Email on 9/1/2022 clarifying why the violations were applied and requesting the pertinent information be provided.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 1/25/2021 at 2020 Olivetre Drive, Cheswick Borough, Allegheny County, where a CATV line was damaged while digging to install a water line to a new home. There were no markings. There was no PA One Call found for this excavation.</p> <p>Comcast stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Sadler Excavating was trenching with a backhoe/trackhoe to install a water line to a new home, when they hit and damaged a CATV line. Level "B" Sue was used per AVR.</p> <p>Sadler Construction & Excavating stated in their AVR that this is an area under development for Ryan Homes. A PA One call for the general vicinity was submitted around October 2020. When excavating the water line trench, main lines were located by a hand shovel and the CATV line that was hit, was 4 feet away from all other lines. The cable company was notified when the line was hit. They came out and repaired the tubing. They also state that the tubing was empty and contained no wires. There is no information about the type of excavator that was being used at this work site to do the trenching work. Work information is left blank.</p> <p>NVR Inc., Ryan Homes parent company was emailed an AVR request letter on 12/20/2021. DPI had telephone contact with Ryan Homes on 1/06/2022. Representative had questions about the AVR submission process. DPI answered all questions and the Representative stated that he will also encourage the excavator to submit an AVR. AVR was received timely. The information in the AVR was incomplete. Project owner did not give any information about the cost of the entire project, Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) or who the designer was. There was no information about any tickets that were submitted for the designs. DPI is including a snip to highlight the Project Owner's area of responsibility to be filled out in the AVR form.</p> <p>Homeowner was sent an AVR request letter to the address of the incident. Homeowner responded that Ryan Homes owned this property at the time of the incident. No AVR needed.</p> <p>Sadler Construction & Excavating is in violation of section: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request. This is a first-time offense and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500.</p> <p>Ryan Homes is in violation of Section: 6.1(7) Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. The penalty is applied. This is not a first-time offense.</p>	<p>\$500.00</p> <p>NVR (Parent company for RYAN HOMES): \$750.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>6.1(3) Released a project to bid or construction before the final design was complete. No design tickets were found. The penalty is applied.</p> <p>Notes from One Call state that Richard Sadler Excavation has placed One Call notifications in the past, but there is not enough information on the Comcast AVR to verify that this is the same company.</p> <p>DPI Notes: Richard Sadler was listed in the AVR from Comcast and sent an AVR request letter. He reached out to Jerry Sadler, who then reached out to me. DPI appreciates the help to locate the correct excavator. More information needs to be listed in the AVR's.</p> <p>NVR Inc AVR notes: It is one thing really not knowing what happened at the incident and another thing leaving out pertinent information. On 1/6/2022 DPI Maki spoke with a representative from Ryan Homes, who had questions about the process and what they needed to fill out, since they were not at the affected area. DPI specifically requested the Project Owner details NVR Inc. is the parent company to Ryan Homes.</p>	
28532	<p>Facility Owner: Philadelphia Gas Works (PGW) Contractor/Excavator: DJ GENERAL CONTRACTOR LLC Project Owner: Property Owner Other: Property Owner Other: PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION</p>	<p><u>On 2/4/2021 12:00:00 AM at leak at 2301 Frankford Ave, but damage occurred at 2149 E Dauphin St, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> Incident occurred on 2/04/2021 at 2301 Frankford Ave., Philadelphia, Philadelphia Co.</p> <p>Information received after the AVR was submitted stated that this gas line damage was at 2149 E. Dauphin St., while the leak heading address was 2301 Frankford Ave. The contractor is DJ General Contractors LLC, who was excavating without a permit and outdated One Call ticket 20202532564. Marks were not maintained, and they pulled a 1" service line and a 1 1/4" carrier pipe. DJ General Contractors, LLC left the site with gas blowing. PGW was notified via the fire department. 50 residents were evacuated. The PUC investigation report states that it appears that the damage was done with a backhoe. His report specifies that the operator did not notify 911.</p> <p>Damage Prevention Investigator submitted the Alleged Violation Report (AVR) due to an email was received from concerned citizens asking about this incident. No AVR was found. Philadelphia Gas Works (PGW) shared a report that showed an evacuation of 50 people. There was no One Call ticket placed by the excavator who damaged the PGW line. Their investigation also states that the excavator did not notify the facility owner and left the area while the gas was blowing out of the 4" plastic main line. There was also a 1" plastic service line that was damaged and retired after this incident.</p> <p>DJ GENERAL CONTRACTOR LLC were mailed an AVR request letter on 1/21/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p>	<p>Philadelphia Gas Works (PGW): \$125.00 Section 2(11) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>DJ GENERAL CONTRACTOR LLC: \$4,750.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Property Owner: \$0.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>2149 DAUPHIN ST, the location of the damage, was mailed a AVR request letter on 1/31/2022. No AVR has been received to date. This is listed as a residential property in the PUC report. See Google picture.</p> <p>2301 Frankford Ave property owner was mailed an AVR request letter on 1/31/2022. Please see note below.</p> <p>Philadelphia Gas Works- was mailed an AVR request on 1/31/2022. Response was received in an email on 2/2/2022 that they had provided the information that was requested by the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) when the investigation was conducted, after the incident occurred. They also stated that they are not required to submit an AVR for this incident, as it does not meet the criteria as outlined in ACT 50. Because of the severity of this incident, PGW has an obligation to provide more information.</p> <p>Report from the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) submitted on 2/5/2021 states that a Philadelphia Gas Works 1 PE service line was damaged with a backhoe. He lists the contractor to be DJ General Contractors LLC2, who had a ticket submitted to work at 2301 Frankford Ave. One picture is included.</p> <p>DJ General is in violation of Sections: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. And the penalty is applied. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. Fine factor of .5 applied to penalty 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner. Fine factor of .5 applied to penalty. 5(8) Excavator vacated worksite after causing damage that resulted in the escape of gas. Fine Factor of .5 applied to penalty.</p> <p>Property owner at 2149 E Dauphin St. is in violation of Section: 6.1(7) Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. Penalty is a warning.</p> <p>Philadelphia Gas Works is in violation of Section: 2(11) Facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission. This is a first-time offense and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125.</p> <p>Notes: This incident was investigated at the time of occurrence by the Gas Safety Inspector, who shared information with the Damage Prevention Investigator. AVR request letter was mailed to property owner of 2301 Frankford Ave. on 1/31/2022. This mail was returned undeliverable. Bobby's a commercial property is listed in Google at- 2301 Frankford Avenue, Philadelphia. I called the number that was listed in Google. The person who answered stated that I have reached the "WIC" office, and verified the number did not match the location of 2301 Frankford Ave.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Notes about DJ General Contractors LLC: DPI called the contact number listed on the One Call ticket for DJ General Contractors LLC. The person who answered asked if I speak Spanish, then asked if I could call back when his secretary was there. DPI returned the call exactly at the requested time of 10 A.M. the next day. Nobody answered the phone. DPI left message and returned the call three more times, throughout the day with nobody picking up the call. A detailed contact message was left each time. DPI called again and reached someone who gave me the owner's number. DPI made one more attempt to reach the owner of DJ General Contractors LLC. DPI briefly spoke with someone with a heavy accent. They again asked me to call back tomorrow at 10 a.m..</p>	
26052	<p>Facility Owner: KISKIMINETAS TOWNSHIP Contractor/Excavator: Kukurin Contracting Inc. Project Owner: Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County Designer: Gibson Thomas Engineering Co Inc. Other: RONALD STEFANIAK</p>	<p><u>On 2/25/2021 10:00:00 AM at 621 Rose Street, KISKIMINETAS TWP, ARMSTRONG</u> Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County disagrees with the violation that they did not use sufficient SUE. They provided a map received from Kisk twp. Kisp township is not listed on the complex project ticket 20193640795. Kiski Valley WTR Pollution is (will attend meeting and responded clear no facilities to all other tickets). They are on the final design ticket 20192674163 with a no response, and Kiski Valley Wtr Pollution reads Clear no facilities. Investigation needs clarity on who is responsible for the sewers. Three possibilities exist. Mr. Miller, esquire for Kiskiminetas Township was sent an email asking for clarification.</p> <p>On 8/15/2022 an email was returned stating that he will follow up wit the township. DPI had asked the township and they responded that all my questions should go their solicitor. DPI is still waiting on response.</p> <p>The incident occurred on 2/25/2021, at 621 Rose Street, in Kiskiminetas Township, Armstrong County, where a street lateral pipe was damaged.</p> <p>Kukurin Contracting Inc. was working on the Apollo River Crossing Project for the Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County (MAWC) when damage was done to a street lateral pipe. Homeowner would like an investigation of the incident, as he believes that this caused the sewer to back up into the residence at 621 Rose Street.</p> <p>Homeowner stated in his Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that MAWC contacted homeowner to install a new water line in Kiskiminetas Township, Armstrong County. During the construction of the water service tap to their new water line the sewer, Kukurin Construction broke the main sewer which he stated, "caused a major sewage backup and damage to 621 Rose Street residence". He also stated that "this could have been avoided if Kiskiminetas Township, would had submitted engineering drawings" to Kukurin and MAWC. He would like an investigation as he believes that a violation</p>	<p>KISKIMINETAS TOWNSHIP: \$125.00 Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>of ACT 50 and 287 has occurred. A detailed history of events starting in 4/10/2020 and a requested remedy is included.</p> <p>Kukurin Contracting Inc. states in their AVR that they did hit an unmarked sewer lateral servicing 621 Rose St. and that ticket 20200292932 shows that the facility owner Kiskiminetas Township responded field marked, but only marked the storms in this area. Sewer mains and laterals were not marked. Photos and One Call ticket are attached.</p> <p>Gibson Thomas Engineering Co Inc states in their AVR that Kukurin Contracting crews struck an unmarked sanitary sewer lateral serving 621 Rose St. Ticket 20200292932 showed responses that the facility owner Kiskiminetas Township responded field marked, but only marked the storms in this area. Sewer mains and laterals were not marked. After the damage, Kukurin repaired the lateral. Photos and One Call ticket were attached.</p> <p>Westmoreland Co. Municipal Water state in their AVR that This project was over \$400,000. Level “B” Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used. They also state that Kukurin Contracting crews struck an unmarked sanitary sewer lateral serving 621 Rose St. Ticket 20200292932 showed responses that the facility owner Kiskiminetas Township responded field marked, but only marked the storms in this area. Sewer mains and laterals were not marked. After the damage, Kukurin repaired the lateral. Photos and One Call ticket were attached. Picture of Map is attached.</p> <p>This is a complex project. Meeting was held on 1/3/2020. List of stakeholders who attended the meeting was provided.</p> <p>Kiskiminetas Township is in violation of Section: 2(5)(i.1) failed to locate an actually known facilities point of connection to its facility. This is a first-time violation and \$250. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Kiskimintas Township responded clear no facilities, and 4 seconds later responded field marked. That could be an accidental entry and correction. In the photos that were provided by the excavator, there are no sewer markings and the water markings do not follow the road but run across the road.</p> <p>Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County is in violation of Section: 6.1(1) Failed to utilize sufficient levels of subsurface utility Engineering. This is a first-time violation an \$500. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Notes: MAWC sent in a map of where the sewer lines were. DPI sent email on 8/10/2022 asking if the point of connection was marked and how old the maps were.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
23789	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: MICHAEL FACCHIANO CONTRACTING</p> <p>Project Owner: PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY</p>	<p>Case 13023 is another investigation which is related by ticket.</p> <p><u>On 5/13/2021 8:00:00 AM at ORANMORE ST, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred at Oranmore St. Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>Michael Facchiano Contracting stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that on 05/13/2021 the crew was digging out an existing catch basin to prepare to build a new one. The operator was using a hoe ram to demolish the existing catch basin walls and hit a gas service line. The damage was a small hole. This was temporarily patched until the gas company could make repairs. They state that since the gas was not blowing, 911 was not needed. They state that 811 was called as well, but they were not able to locate our company account to open an emergency excavation ticket. Estimated cost of the project is < \$400,000. One customer was affected for >6 hours. Pictures are included. Level "D" SUE was used.</p> <p>Peoples gas AVR states that on 5/13/2021 Michael Facchiano Contracting failed to provide the One Call System with the exact information to identify the work site. One Call ticket 20211261670 was placed for Oranmore St to replace catch basins for PWSA at 970 and 1022 Oranmore St. Facchiano worked at 940 Oranmore St. where the unmarked 1" plastic gas line was struck. There was a curb box at the excavation site. Pictures are included.</p> <p>*Update excavation ticket 20211261670 specifies that work is being done at 970 and 1022 Oranmore St. Work is at the two catch basins in front of each address. The Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority stated in their AVR that the contractor damaged a gas service line while breaking out a catch basin.</p> <p>Michael Facchiano Contracting is in violation of Sections: 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911. The penalty is applied. 5(13) Excavator changed the location, scope or duration of a proposed excavation. This is a first-time offense and the \$250 penalty is reduced to \$125.</p>	<p>MICHAEL FACCHIANO CONTRACTING: \$1,125.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(13) 1st Offense \$125.00</p>
25463	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: MISTICK CONSTRUCTION</p>	<p><u>On 7/19/2021 1:00:00 PM at 1511 GARFIELD AVE, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> The incident occurred on, Monday, July 19, 2021, at 1511 Garfield Avenue, in Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County, where a gas line was damaged. Peoples Gas provided photos of the damage.</p> <p>Peoples Gas stated, Mistick Construction did not have an excavation ticket during a sidewalk restoration and when completing the job a 1-inch plastic gas service line was hit and damaged. Also, Mistick did not exercise due care to avoid damaging a line because a foot away there is a visible curb box indicating a gas facility in the area.</p>	<p>MISTICK CONSTRUCTION: \$1,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On Peoples Gas Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented, Mistick Construction has placed notifications with PA One Call in the past.</p> <p>On Wednesday, April 13, 2022, a letter was mailed to Mistick Construction requesting an AVR. They submitted an AVR on May 17, 2022.</p> <p>Mistick Construction believed a One Call ticket was not needed because the operator knew there was a gas line and the location of the line, and the cap for access to the valve box was clearly visible in the sidewalk. A yanmar mini-excavator was used and the line was closer to the surface than 24-inches but approximately 18-inches deep and the bucket hit the gas line. Previous jobs in that neighborhood the gas lines were 24 to 30-inches below the surface, and the plan was to use the excavator to remove the concrete slab and dirt until 12 to 18-inches deep or until the tape and/or sand was visible and continue the dig with a hand shovel.</p> <p>*Mistick Construction is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line Recommendation: Education required and penalties applied.</p>	
26105	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA - East</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Glenn O. Hawbaker, Inc.</p>	<p><u>On 8/23/2021 10:35:00 AM at W WHITEHALL RD, FERGUSON TWP, CENTRE</u> Incident occurred on Monday, August 23, 2021, on Apple View Drive, in Ferguson Township, Centre County when a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated, Glenn O. Hawbaker did not have an excavation ticket for their job, and explained they were digging out a hole when a 2-inch plastic gas main line was hit. Glenn O. Hawbaker called 911 immediately and Columbia Gas contacted.</p> <p>Columbia Gas provided damage pictures.</p> <p>Glenn O. Hawbaker stated in the Alleged Violation Report (AVR), "Did not recall one call after gas line was installed."</p> <p>Glenn O. Hawbaker, Inc placed a New Excavation Routine ticket, 20202093095, on 7/27/2020 with Lawful Start Dates of 7/30/20 - 8/10/20, for a Duration of 1 year. A New Damage Emergency ticket, 20212352118, was called in on 8/23/2021.</p> <p>There are no other excavation tickets.</p> <p>*Glenn O. Hawbaker, Inc is in violation of section: 5(3) Excavator failed to preserve mark-outs or request a remark Recommendation: penalty applied</p>	<p>Glenn O. Hawbaker, Inc.: \$500.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
27389	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator:</p>	<p><u>On 10/9/2021 1:00:00 PM at 3005 AMALFI DR, COLLIER TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on October 9th, 2021 at 3005 Amalfi Dr. in Collier, Allegheny County.</p>	<p>ALFONSO & SON LANDSCAPING: \$2,250.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	ALFONSO & SON LANDSCAPING	<p>People's Gas alleged that Alfonso & Son Landscaping struck and damaged a customer owned service line. The line was not marked. Alfonso & Son Landscaping was working without a PA One Call ticket when the damage occurred.</p> <p>Alfonso & Son Landscaping indicated in their AVR that they called Peoples Gas after striking the line but did not call 911.</p> <p>Alfonso & Son Landscaping claimed to be digging with hand tools, however, the pictures that Peoples Gas provided clearly show evidence of backhoe equipment tracks leading to the hole where the line strike occurred.</p> <p>February 15th, 2022, Alfonso & Son Landscaping was sent an AVR request letter. An AVR letter was received on February 16th, 2022.</p> <p>Alfonso & Son Landscaping was cited for the following: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 days.</p>	<p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
27332	Facility Owner: National Fuel Gas Contractor/Excavator: Mealy Excavating and Construction Inc	<p><u>On 10/12/2021 10:00:00 AM at 10TH ST, FRANKLIN CITY, VENANGO</u> The incident occurred on Tuesday, October 1, 2021, on 10th Street, in Franklin City, Venango County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>National Fuel Gas (NFG) stated, Mealy Excavating and Construction is in violation of not exercising due care when excavating and they did not call 911. Mealy Excavating failed to use prudent digging techniques causing damage to a medium pressure gas service line by ripping the line in half with their machine; the service line was correctly marked. Service was interrupted for over an hour. NFG provided seven pictures of locate marks and line damage.</p> <p>On Wednesday, February 23, 2022, an emailed was sent Mealy Excavating requesting an Alleged Violation Request (AVR). There was no response to the email and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*Mealy Excavating and Construction is in violation of sections: 5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of gas 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line Recommendation: Education and penalties applied</p>	<p>Mealy Excavating and Construction Inc: \$1,750.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
27337	Facility Owner: National Fuel Contractor/Excavator: Mealy Excavating and Construction Inc	<p><u>On 10/18/2021 9:00:00 AM at 10TH ST, FRANKLIN CITY, VENANGO</u> The incident occurred on Monday, October 18, 2021, on 10th Street, in Franklin City, Venango County, where a gas line was damaged.</p>	<p>Mealy Excavating and Construction Inc: \$1,750.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>National Fuel Gas (NFG) stated, Mealy Excavating and Construction is in violation of not using prudent techniques when spotting the gas main but used mechanical equipment. NFG explained, while Mealy Excavating was replacing a water main, a correctly marked 2-inch medium pressure gas main line was damaged. Service was out for 6 hours affecting three customers. NFG provided damage pictures to be looked at. 911 was not called.</p> <p>On Wednesday, February 23, 2022, an emailed was sent Mealy Excavating requesting an Alleged Violation Request (AVR). There was no response to the email and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*Mealy Excavating and Construction is in violation of sections: 5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of gas 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line Recommendation: Education and penalties applied</p>	<p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
27334	<p>Facility Owner: National Fuel Gas Contractor/Excavator: Mealy Excavating and Construction Inc</p>	<p><u>On 10/28/2021 5:00:00 PM at 10TH ST, FRANKLIN CITY, VENANGO</u> The incident occurred on Monday, October 18, 2021, on 10th Street, in Franklin City, Venango County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>National Fuel Gas (NFG) stated, Mealy Excavating and Construction is in violation of not exercising due care. NFG explained that after Mealy Excavating completed their excavation work, they replaced a road sign that was previously pulled. When placing the sign back, Mealy Excavating drove the signpost through a 2-inch medium pressure plastic gas main. Service was interrupted to 9 customers for 5 hours. Mealy Excavating failed to maintain the locate marks and did not call for a remark because they “knew exactly where the main was”. NFG provide pictures. 911 was not called.</p> <p>On Wednesday, February 23, 2022, an emailed was sent Mealy Excavating requesting an Alleged Violation Request (AVR). There was no response to the email and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*Mealy Excavating and Construction is in violation of sections: 5(3) Excavator failed to preserve mark-outs or request a remark 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of gas 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line Recommendation: Education Required and penalties applied</p>	<p>Mealy Excavating and Construction Inc: \$1,750.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
28157	<p>Facility Owner: IAN TOOLE</p>	<p><u>On 11/1/2021 12:00:00 PM at 5312 Lotus Way, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred</p>	<p>A&B Fine Properties LLC: \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Contractor/Excavator: A&B Fine Properties LLC</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: N&N Landscaping LLC</p> <p>Project Owner: A&B Fine Properties LLC</p> <p>Other: CREATIVE PROPERTY SOLUTIONS</p>	<p>on 11/01/2021 at 5312 Lotus Way, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County, where a sewer line was damaged.</p> <p>Facility owner, Ian Toole, stated in his Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that this demolition had no One Call ticket submitted prior to demolition. He also states that the excavation was not permitted by the City of Pittsburgh. The sewer line was broken and repaired. Two houses are in imminent danger of collapse due to the scope of excavation. Email received on 3/10/2022 Ian Toole states he is the facility owner of this sewer line and believes that other property owners were also affected.</p> <p>N&N Landscaping was mailed an AVR request letter on 1/25/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>A&B Fine Properties was mailed an AVR request letter on 1/10/2022. No AVR request letter has been received to date.</p> <p>A&B Fine properties is in violation of Section: 6.1(7) Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>N&N Landscaping is in violation of Sections: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. Penalty is applied. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p> <p>Notes: Mail was received on 1/27/2022 from Gross & Patterson, LLC stating that they represent Creative Solution Investments, LLC and A&B Fine Properties, LLC. Stated that neither of these entities had contracted to have the red brick wall and mound of dirt removed from the rear of 5312 Locus Way, which abuts 5313 Duncan St, Pittsburgh PA. They state that Kenneth and Lisa Ricci, who own 5311 Duncan Street, contracted N&N to remove the collapsing railroad tie retaining wall to be removed from their property. Picture of this wall is included. The wall is on the rear of 5312 Locus Way property and the mound of dirt is behind the brick wall. Pictures of the red brick wall intact, another picture with the red brick wall removed and the third shows the mound of dirt removed from in front of the brick retaining wall. While N&N was there, Andrew Kartesz, who oversaw cleaning out 5312 Lotus Way property, prior to the start of construction, asked N&N to remove the red brick wall from the rear of the 5312 Lotus Way property. When the mound of dirt was removed, a sewer line was exposed. He also states that this sewer line was not connected to any public sewer. If this dirt would not have been moved, no one would have been aware that there was a sewer line there. Outside of removing the red brick wall and the mound of dirt, there has been no excavation conducted at 5312 Lotus Way.</p>	<p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>N&N Landscaping LLC: \$1,250.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Finally, he states that the two houses that look to be in imminent danger of collapse, due to the removal of the red brick wall, is not accurate. The statement ends with an invitation to reach out if there are any questions. DPI Maki responded in an email on 1/25/2022 to Mr. Gross thanking him for explaining what happened and the pictures provided. DPI also stated that an AVR is needed for this occurrence, since A&B Fine Properties requested an excavator to remove the dirt and brick wall. Contact information for N&N Landscaping LLC was also requested.</p>	
27943	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS Contractor/Excavator: NRP GROUP</p>	<p><u>On 11/10/2021 7:45:00 AM at 1679 WATERFRONT PLACE, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on November 10th, 2021, 7:45 am at 1679 Waterfront Place, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company alleged that NRP Group was landscaping the area without a valid PA One call. A 3" medium pressure plastic service line was damaged and 6 homes were affected.</p> <p>911 was called and fire personnel arrived at the scene.</p> <p>Peoples Gas says in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that NRP Group claims that they could dig on ticket number 20212081565 which placed by ALLEGHENY FENCE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY.</p> <p>AVR request letter sent to NRP Group on 3/21/2022. NRP Group did not respond to the AVR request and did not file an AVR</p> <p>NRP Group was cited for the following: 1.1 Excavation did not begin within the legal timeframe 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call 5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and prudent excavation techniques 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 days of striking a line 5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff</p> <p>Education is recommended for this offender.</p>	<p>NRP GROUP: \$3,250.00 Section 1.1 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
27770	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC Contractor/Excavator: Superior Utility Excavating, Inc. Project Owner: Peoples Gas</p>	<p><u>On 12/2/2021 12:10:00 PM at DARK HOLLOW RD, FRANKLIN TWP, GREENE</u> The incident occurred on Thursday, December 2, 2021, on Dark Hollow Road, in Franklin Township Greene County, where a gas line was damaged. Pictures were not provided.</p> <p>Peoples Gas stated, Superior Utility Excavating is in violation of not using prudent techniques within the tolerance zone. Superior was using an excavator when a fitting was ripped off the top of a 12-inch steel main line and as a result gas was released, Superior did not call 911.</p> <p>On Monday, February 28, 2022, an email was sent to Superior requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). There was no response to the email and an AVR was not submitted.</p>	<p>Superior Utility Excavating, Inc.: \$1,750.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*Superior Utility Excavating is in violation of sections: 5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business day of striking a line Recommendation: Education Required and penalties applied</p>	
27868	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES INC. Attn: Pipeline Safety Contractor/Excavator: Baldemar Guzman Project Owner: Lindsey Andrews</p>	<p><u>On 12/7/2021 2:39:00 PM at 125 E. Main Street, WAYNESBORO BORO, FRANKLIN</u> Incident occurred on December 7th, 2021 at 125 E. Main Street Waynesboro, Franklin County.</p> <p>UGI reported that excavator Baldemar Guzman struck an underground gas pipeline with an excavator.</p> <p>Baldemar Guzman did not have One Call ticket prior to excavation work.</p> <p>On February 2nd, 2022 DPI sent a request for an AVR from the excavator and project owner.</p> <p>No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Baldemar Guzman was cited for the following: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line 5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within 30 days</p> <p>Education was recommended for Baldemar Guzman.</p>	<p>Baldemar Guzman: \$1,750.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
28313	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: CADDICK UTILITIES L L C Project Owner: AQUA PENNSYLVANIA INC</p>	<p><u>On 1/14/2022 10:00:00 AM at 1919 FAIRVIEW AVE, ABINGTON TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> PECO disputes saying that the line that was hit was abandoned in 1978. They provided documentation that the original line was installed in 1907 and abandoned in 1978. The law did not require them to keep track of abandoned vs. active lines at that time.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>On January 14th, 2022 at 1919 Fairview Ave, Abington, Montgomery County, Caddick Utilities LLC struck an unmarked gas service line outside the tolerance zone that was later revealed to be abandoned.</p> <p>The abandoned gas service is assumed to be owned by PECO. PECO verified it is their line and according to the USIC report the line is abandoned.</p> <p>PECO was cited for 2(5)(13) failure to maintain existing records of main lines abandoned on or after the date and to mark note there was an abandoned line next to the live line.</p> <p>AVR request letter was sent out to PECO on 1/25/2022. PECO responded via email please see attachments for the content.</p>	<p>PECO: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
28395	<p>Facility Owner: ZAYO BANDWIDTH Contractor/Excavator: DOLI CONSTRUCTION Project Owner: LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP AUTHORITY Designer: DAWOOD ENGINEERING Designer: GHD ENGINEERING SERVICES (Previously C E T) Other: VERIZON</p>	<p><u>On 1/19/2022 7:00:00 AM at HARDING AVE, LOWER PAXTON TWP, DAUPHIN</u> Incident occurred on January 19, 2022, at Harding Ave in Lower Paxton Township, Dauphin County.</p> <p>*No Damage</p> <p>Doli Construction stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that they submitted a routine ticket during construction of a complex project from 2019. Zayo Bandwidth responded with ~092 – Requests meeting. This response is done on the evening of the ticket due date. A day or more later, Zayo responds field marked. They state this is a reoccurring issue. There are no meetings with Zayo to date, and nobody has reached out to Steve Johnson at Doli. There were also no mark outs by the time of the planned construction. This causes costly delays. Zayo did not attend the original Complex project meeting.</p> <p>Lower Paxton Township Authority stated in their AVR that per communication with Doli, ZAYO requested a meeting on refresh tickets. Nobody from ZAYO has contacted Doli Construction to communicate about planning markings to date. Zayo was emailed an AVR request letter on 1/25/2022. No AVR nor response has been received to date. On 4/14/2022 DPI sent an email to contact at Zayo asking when Zayo put their facilities in for the area of the complex project construction. Their response for the Complex project ticket was “Clear no facilities”. No response has been received to date.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Zayo Bandwidth is in violation of sections: 2(5)(v) failed to respond to routine One Call ticket 20220130612 which was due 4/26/2019. Zayo responded clear no facilities. DPI sent an email requesting verification of when facilities were in the area. No response has been received to date. 2(5)(viii) Complex project ticket 20220130616 response was due on 1/18/2022. Zayo requested a meeting on the response due date of 1/18/2022, then field marked late on 1/20/2022. Doli states that no contact has been made to date from ZAYO. This is a third offense violation, and the penalty is applied. 2(5)(v) failed to respond to routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. Ticket 20213570682 was due on 1/02/2022. Zayo had no response until 1/03/2022 when they requested meeting, then field marked late on 1/04/2022. These are third time offenses and penalties are applied. 2(11) Facility owner did not respond to any requests form the DPI. AVR request letter emailed on 1/25/2022 and on 4/14/2022 another AVR letter was emailed requesting information of when ZAYO had lines in the area. No response was received. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Sections:</p>	<p>ZAYO BANDWIDTH: \$4,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(11) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(10) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>VERIZON: \$4,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>2(5)(v) failed to respond to routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. Ticket 20213411641 was due 12/09/2021 and was responded to on 12/14/2021 as field marked. Ticket 20213570682 was due on 1/02/2022. Verizon field marked on 1/03/2022. These are subsequent offense violations and penalties are applied.</p> <p>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. Ticket 20220130612 was due on 1/18/2022. There was no response until 1/27/2022. This is a subsequent violation and penalty is applied.</p> <p>*Routine tickets that have not been responded to in over seven days have been considered as no response by previous DPC votes.</p> <p>See also case 26321. Related cases: 03022, 26862, 27656.</p>	
28721	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES INC Contractor/Excavator: HRR Holdings, LLC Project Owner: HRR Holdings, LLC</p>	<p><u>On 2/7/2022 3:56:00 PM at 31 Hillside Acres, RIDGEBURY TWP, BRADFORD</u> Incident occurred on February, 7th, 2022 at 3:56pm at 31 Hillside Acres, Ridgebury in Bradford county.</p> <p>Excavator/ project owner HRR Holdings, LLC failed to notify One Call before excavation and struck a service line causing damage to 1 customer.</p> <p>HRR Holdings, LLC claimed to be using an old One Call mark out. If there were any marks already on the ground before excavation it wasn't from the ticket placed.</p> <p>HHR rented an excavator from Mr. Dirt Excavation.</p> <p>HHR Holdings LLC was cited for the following: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call, education required. Penalty reduced by 50% for first time offender. Original penalty \$1000.00. Revised penalty \$500.00.</p>	<p>HRR Holdings, LLC: \$500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
30748	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS Facility Owner: PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY Contractor/Excavator: A FOLINO CONSTRUCTION INC Project Owner: PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY (PWSA) Designer: G A I Consultant Inc Designer: MONALOH BASIN ENGINEERS Other: DUQUESNE LIGHT CO Other: PA PUC Other: VERIZON</p>	<p><u>On 5/17/2022 4:00:00 PM at 7016 Meade Place Pittsburgh PA 15208, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred at 7016 Meade Place Pittsburgh, Allegheny County.</p> <p>PA PUC Employee submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stating that on 5/17/2022, contractor A Folino was working on behalf of Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) when they hit the gas line in front of 7016 Meade Place. There were no markings, and an excavator was used instead of shoveling by hand. AVR further states that when the line was hit, neither Peoples Gas, 911 nor homeowner was contacted. Homeowner contacted peoples Gas when there was a strong odor of gas. Peoples Gas responded within an hour and stated that A. Folino had bent the gas service line and pulled it apart from the meter. Peoples Gas turned the gas off and remained on site until everything was safe with proper venting, purging, surveying, monitoring, ect. Pictures are submitted.</p>	<p>A FOLINO CONSTRUCTION INC: \$4,500.00 Section 5(8) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY (PWSA): \$4,000.00 Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Peoples Gas AVR states that this is an excavator issue. A. Folinno was installing a new water main and service on Meade Place as part of a complex project for Pittsburgh Water and Sewer authority. On 5/17/2022 Peoples Gas received an outside odor call from the homeowner at 7016 Meade Place. Upon arrival, the homeowner informed the first responder that A. Folino had been digging in his yard, struck the gas line on the customers side, covered it up with a yellow plastic protector and left the site. The homeowner spoke with A. Folino about the damaged service line and states that they did nothing.</p> <p>Peoples Gas locators always try to locate the customer owned service lines. Should the locate signals be inadequate or if it's unattainable, the locator does not apply paint. The Peoples Gas locator notifies the customer by a telephone call. A follow-up email is also sent. This notification informs the excavator to be alert for customer owned service lines leading from the curb box to the customers meter and to "dig prudently". This process was completed for 7016 Meade Place and A. Folino failed to dig prudently. They also did not notify 911 when the line was struck, they did not stay on site after the line was struck and they did not notify Peoples Gas. They did not report this to anyone! This is gross negligence! Pictures are attached.</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water & Sewer Authority (PWSA) states in their AVR that the Peoples Gas line was marked incorrectly. They state word for word as in A. Folino's AVR that A. Folino was working on verification holes for water service lines for PWSA, when they pulled or pushed on a unmarked gas service line while digging with a backhoe. They did not think there was any damage to the line because they did not smell gas. PA One Call, Peoples Gas and 911 was not called. The homeowner called Peoples Gas when there was a strong odor of gas. Peoples Gas came out and shut the gas off. PWSA states that Peoples Gas stated that that the damage was on the private side of the gas service line. PWSA would have to hire a plumber to fix this. They state they contacted Z&M Plumbing and repairs were made. 1 customer was affected. Documents are attached.</p> <p>A. Folino stated in their AVR that they were working on a project for PWSA and were digging with a backhoe/trackhoe when they hit and damaged an unmarked Peoples Gas line. They state they were making verification holes and did not think they caused any damage because they didn't smell any gas. They did not contact One Call, Peoples gas or 911. The Homeowner contacted Peoples Gas when they had a strong odor of gas. Peoples Gas shut the gas off and stated the damage was on the private side of the service line and that a plumber would have to be hired to fix it. Z&M Plumbing was contacted, and they made the repairs. Picture, ticket, and document attached.</p> <p>G A I Consultants Inc stated in their AVR that this was a facility owner issue and that the markings were</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>G A I Consultant Inc: \$250.00</p> <p>Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>MONALOH BASIN ENGINEERS: \$0.00</p> <p>DUQUESNE LIGHT CO: \$0.00</p> <p>VERIZON: \$5,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>incorrect. The SUE on this > \$400,000. project was level "B" The entire project was 4.6 miles.</p> <p>They state word for word same as the AVR from A. Folino and Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority that A. Folino was digging verification holes for water service lines, when they pulled/pushed on an unmarked gas service line. It appeared that no damage was caused to the line and there was no smell of gas. Since the excavator did not think there was any damage, Peoples Gas, 911 nor the homeowner were notified. The homeowner contacted Peoples Gas when they smelled a strong gas odor. Peoples gas shut the gas off and determined that this was on the private side of the service line and that the excavator must hire a plumber to fix it. Z&M Plumbing made the repairs.</p> <p>MONALOH BASIN ENGINEERS (MBE) AVR request letter was emailed on 7/19/2022. MBE submitted an AVR on 8/22/2022. Note that, that is the same day that DPI received a call from MBE. This is also after the stakeholder review went out.</p> <p>MBE stated in their AVR that they are not a designer. They are a survey company specializing in survey, right of way, Utility Coordination and Construction Inspection Services. They state that for this project known as PWSA project No. 2020-325-106-0, 2021 Small Diameter Water Main Replacement – Contract C; MBE is a sub-Consultant to the Project Designer, GAI Consultants, Inc (GAI).</p> <p>MBE stated that they are responsible to GAI for placing One Calls, establishing legal right-of-way lines, and for all survey work for the project. They add that they worked for GAI from 5/2020 until 12/2020 when MBE delivered to GAI Surveyed Topo Drawings, legal RW lines drawings and all PA One Call Final Design received Utility emails and received mapping.</p> <p>MBE stated that there were no other activities performed until 7/19/2022, when MBE was asked to resend all call data to GAI in response to AVR Case 30748 inquiry.</p> <p>MBE stated THAT FOR Meade St and Mead Pl., MBE placed a final design One Call POCS 20202454364 on 9/01/2020 to obtain the utility mapping of existing conditions. Web ticket is attached.</p> <p>MBE has considered this a closed issue until 8/19/2022, when they received invoice 030748-004918. They stat that they do not believe that MBE is a stakeholder in this event. MBE adds that they are filing an AVR within the 30 business days of receiving notification of the event and asking that the violation and fine be lifted. Additional documents were included.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>A. Folino is in violation of Sections: 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of gas. This is a second offense violation, and the penalty is applied.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>5(8) Excavator vacated worksite after causing damage that resulted in the escape of gas. This is a second offense violation, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>5(7) Excavator failed to immediately notify the facility owner. This is a second offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>G A I Consultants Inc. is in violation of Sections: 4(2) Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call system. This is a first offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250. Education is required.</p> <p>Monaloh Basin Engineers is in violation of Sections: 4(8) Designer failed to submit and Alleged Violation Report. The penalty is applied.</p> <p>Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) is in violation of Section: 6.1(3) Released a project to bid or construction before the final design was complete. This is a second offense, and the penalty is applied. 6.1(1) Failed to utilize quality levels of Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE). Penalty is applied. (5)(2)(v) Failed to respond to Routine ticket 20202420323, This is a third offense violation, and the penalty is applied. 5(2)(v) Failed to respond to routine ticket 20220832809 until 10 days after response was due. This is a third offense violation, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. Violation 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project as described in section 5(3).</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(viii) Failed to respond to a preconstruction meeting for a Complex Project. This is a subsequent offense. 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to Routine One Call ticket 20220832809. Ticket was due on 4/3/2022 and was responded to on 4/13/2022. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Notes about Complex Project meeting: Peoples Gas is on the sign in sheet but did not update the ticket response in KARL.</p> <p>Notes about designers: MBE was responsible for Surveyed Topo Drawings, legal RW lines drawings and all PA One Call Final Design received Utility emails and received mapping. DPI sent an email asking for the ticket numbers and pertinent design information for the Subsurface Utility</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Engineering (SUE) for this area on 7/19/2022. G U I sent a routine ticket submitted by Monaloh Basin Engineers, stating that this is how the company was doing things back in 2020, but they have since had education and they are now aware of being in compliance with ACT 50.</p> <p>More about A. Folino Notes: 9 more cases in the system that have not been voted on yet, but that A. Folino is being cited for: 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911. 5(8) excavator vacated worksite after causing damage that resulted in the escape of gas. 5(7) Excavator failed to immediately report to the facility owner any break or leak in its lines.</p>	

Full Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
16674	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Energy Contractor/Excavator: Parkside Utility Construction LLC Project Owner: VERIZON Other: Municipality of Norristown Other: Norristown Municipal Waste Authority</p>	<p><u>On 7/21/2020 7:00:00 AM at 420 MARKLEY ST, NORRISTOWN BORO, MONTGOMERY 11/8/22</u> DPC Meeting- Municipality of Norristown was disputing. Vote: DPC removed the penalty but kept the violation. ***** Rejection- Municipality of Norristown is rejecting the 2.5(v) 2nd offense violation; no reason given. ***** ~The incident occurred on 7/21/2020 at 420 Markley St., near West Airy Street and Ann Street, in Norristown Borough, Montgomery County.</p> <p>PECO submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stating, "On 07/21/2020 at approximately 0735 hrs, Parkside Utility Construction was using a backhoe to excavate to lower a conduit run for Verizon and struck a newly installed primary feeder tripping off barbadoes substation causing a momentary interruption to 3,842 customers and 1,410 customers to be interrupted from 0735-0848hrs until they could be restored through switching. Contractor had made pa-one call # 20201842008 and area had been marked correctly by USIC multiple times for this contractor as well as others who are digging in this area. All marks had been destroyed and although contractor knew duct bank was present in this area, they never called to have area remarked and continue to dig with backhoe in area where they knew duct bank to be present. There were no injuries."</p> <p>One Call ticket #20201842008 was placed on 7/2/2020. Response due date was 7/7/2020 for excavation to begin on 7/8/2020 at 7:30 AM. Verizon didn't respond until 7/18/2020 at 9:05 AM; Norristown Municipal Waste Authority didn't respond until 7/9/2020 at 9:41 AM; Municipality of Norristown didn't respond until 7/8/2020 at 14:34 PM. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for One Call ticket #20201842008 is recommended against the Municipality of Norristown and the</p>	<p>Parkside Utility Construction LLC: \$0.00 Section 5(16) \$0.00</p> <p>VERIZON: \$3,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Municipality of Norristown: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$0.00</p> <p>Norristown Municipal Waste Authority: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Norristown Municipal Waste Authority with education in lieu of the monetary penalty and a violation of 2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification is recommended against Verizon.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was mailed to the excavator on 9/22/2021 and an email was sent to the Project Owner, Verizon on 10/18/2021. Verizon did not submit an AVR.</p> <p>Parkside Utility Construction submitted an AVR stating, "Parkside operator was removing stone from a trench when he hit the marked power source. Stone was on top of an existing duct that crew needed to work on. Crew felt that they were past the marked electric but it made a strange turn into their path." Pictures and statements were submitted with the AVR. Violation, zero penalty, and education for 5(16) excavator failed to submit an AVR in 10 business days of striking a line.</p> <p>There is not enough evidence to support either position as PECO did not submit any photos and Parkside Utility Construction did submit photos which did not depict this incident occurring on 7/21/2020.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Verizon: ~2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification for One Call ticket #20201842008 ~6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike</p> <p>Norristown Municipal Waste Authority: ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for One Call ticket #20201842008</p> <p>Municipality of Norristown: ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for One Call ticket #20201842008</p> <p>Parkside Utility Construction: ~5(16) excavator failed to submit an AVR in 10 business days of striking a line</p>	
17002	Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: Site Pro Construction Project Owner: Creekside Concrete	<p>On 7/27/2020 11:00:00 AM at 263 MILLER ST, LUZERNE BORO, LUZERNE On 11/08/2022 the Damage Prevention Committee voted to withdraw the violation section 2(5)(v) to UGI.</p> <p>UGI is respectfully rejecting the Investigators proposed 2(5)(v) violation and penalty for Case 017002 – Luzerne Borough, Luzerne County. As shown in the attached KARL Responses, UGI did respond to KARL on 7/17/2020 at 10:07 AM. Considering the Response Due Date for this ticket was 7/17/2020 we feel the response was on time. Ticket 20201970463 was responded to as clear no facilities on the due date, but UGI did have facilities there. The KARL response created an unsafe environment for the excavator since it was an incorrect</p>	UGI: \$750.00 Section 2(5)(vi) 3rd Offense \$750.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>response. An incorrect response is more dangerous than a non-response. In an email received on 9/21/2022, UGI stated that this KARL response was accidental. DPI responded that this is a safety issue. Accidents can be prevented.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 7/23/2020 at 263 Miller St, Luzerne Borough, Luzerne County. Site Pro Construction was demolishing a building when a wall collapsed onto a service riser that was said to have been abandoned. There was a release of gas. UGI and 911 were notified.</p> <p>Site Pro Construction states in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that they were demolishing a building for Creekside Concrete. Site Pro Construction had received a confirmation letter from UGI, that there were no facilities in the area and that they were clear for demolition. One Call ticket 20201970463 was placed and UGI marked Clear No facilities. There was no gas meter. During the demo, the western masonry wall collapsed onto the service riser. When the wall struck the riser there was a release of gas, from the deemed abandoned gas service. 911 and UGI were notified. When UGI arrived, they stated that their records did not indicate a service onto the property being demolished. UGI turned the gas off and made repairs. Letter from UGI is included. No pictures were included.</p> <p>UGI Utilities state in their AVR that a crew digging for demolition struck an unmarked gas service. No pictures were included.</p> <p>Creekside Concrete LLC stated in their AVR that A letter was received from UGI verifying that there was no gas service for the existing structure at 263 Miller St, Luzern Borough, Luzern County, that was to be demolished. During the demolition, the western masonry wall collapsed onto the service riser, where no gas meter existed. The riser was deemed nonexistent/abandoned according to the UGI letter received on 7/15/2020. When the wall struck the riser, there was a release of gas. When UGI arrived, they stated that their records did not indicate that there was a service to the property. UGI turned the gas off and made repairs. Creekside Concrete LLC would like it noted that Site Pro Construction marked the existing structure all the way to the street before UGI came to shut off the gas. They further state that it is an issue that Site Pro Construction and Creekside Concrete LLC both received letters stating that there was no service to the structure and the One Call ticket 20201970463, that was submitted showed that UGI had no facilities.</p> <p>UGI is in violation of Sections: 2(\5)(i) Lines were not marked in compliance with the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices for Temporary Markings. This is a third time offense. The penalty is applied. 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. This is a second offenses and penalty is applied.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
17421	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: DENNIS EVANS CONCRETE and MASONRY CONSTRUCTION Project Owner: HOMEOWNER/ EXCAVATOR</p>	<p><u>On 8/8/2020 12:00:00 AM at 1230 BRIDGETOWN PIKE, NORTHAMPTON TWP, BUCKS</u> On 11/8/2022 the Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) voted to accept the Damage Prevention Investigators recommendations as presented.</p> <p>Dennis Evans left a voice message disputing that he is the excavator who did the damage. During the telephone conversation he stated that He did not use any equipment. He also stated that the homeowner rented an excavator and did all of his own excavating. DPI requested that Mr. Evans put this in writing. On 9/19/2022 Dennis Evans made a statement. See notes.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 8/8/2020 at 1230 Bridgetown Pike, where an electric and a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>PECO Energy submitted two Alleged Violation Reports (AVR). They state that on 08/08/2020 a PECO Electric line and a PECO gas line were hit and damaged by Dennis Evans Concrete and Masonry while using a Bobcat at 1230 Bridgetown Pike in Bucks Co. No one call was found for the excavation. They list that 911 was not called.</p> <p>Dennis Evans Concrete and Masonry Construction was emailed an AVR request letter on 12/23/2021. No AVR has been received to date. On 12/29/2021 DPI Maki returned a telephone call to Dennis Evans Concrete. He stated that he was the one who called in the gas line break. He stated he did not hit anything and was not using any equipment at the time. He said he heard the line break and smelled gas, then panicked. He thinks he called PECO but was not sure. He also stated that he was doing concrete work and the gas line was less than 8” underground. He did give DPI the name of the homeowner but would not say who else was excavating at that location. DPI resent an AVR request letter to the excavator to physical address that was given. In a telephone conversation on 9/16/2022, Dennis Evans stated that the homeowner was the excavator for this project and that the homeowner rented the excavator. He also stated that the laborer who was at the site that day, laying cement block is no longer with the company.</p> <p>Homeowner/excavator was mailed an AVR request letter on 12/24/2021. No AVR has been received to date. A gas line and an electric line was damaged and 911 was not called. This excavator did not reach out even after two AVR requests were mailed to the address where the damage took place.</p> <p>Excavator and project owner is in violation of Sections: 6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days. No good faith effort shown. Penalty is applied. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Penalty is applied.</p>	<p>DENNIS EVANS CONCRETE and MASONRY CONSTRUCTION: \$1,750.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 5(21) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>HOMEOWNER/ EXCAVATOR: \$2,750.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff. The penalty is applied. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when the damage resulted in the escape of gas or liquid. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>Dennis Evans Concrete and Masonry Construction is in violation of Sections: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests from PUC staff. Penalty is applied. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911. Excavator is the one who smelled the gas and should have notified 911, as a professional excavator. The penalty is applied. 5(21) Excavator failed to pay the annual fee for services provided by the One Call system. The penalty is applied.</p> <p>One Call notes:</p> <p>As a professional in the excavation business Dennis Evans lists that they have a wealth of experience with patios, Driveways, Basements, Stamping, Additions, Walks and Dye. One Call notes that Dennis Evans Concrete has not placed One Call notifications in the past. Please see the On-line add which lists all the services provided by Dennis Evans. Denis Evans sent a text stating that "I Dennis Evans reject the DPI report. I do not own excavation or operate any machines. I was on job, labor, materials only. My laborer amd I were dropping off materials and smelled gas. My laborer called PECO to report this. I was at 1230 Bridgetown Pike, 8/8/20. Labor and materials only for cash. Homeowner Mehmet Yilmers was project owner. PECO worker stated line was not on map was only 8" deep. I was called by Sara Locke and told claim was dismissed. Dennis Evans"</p> <p>Sara Locke has no notes that she has ever called Mr. Evans.</p>	
20023	<p>Facility Owner: City of Lancaster, PA Contractor/Excavator: DTL VAC SERVICES Contractor/Excavator: Kinsley Construction Project Owner: UGI Designer: E. N. Engineering Other: COMCAST Other: LANCASTER CITY ELECTRIC</p>	<p><u>On 10/19/2020 8:00:00 AM at N. LIME ST, LANCASTER CITY, LANCASTER</u> On 11/08/2022 the Damage Prevention Committee voted to modify the staff recommendations to DTL Vac Services. They voted to keep the violations of 5(16) with a penalty of \$250. and 5(2.1) with a penalty of \$1000. to be reduced by 50%. New penalty amount for violations of Section 5(16) with a penalty of \$250 reduced to \$125. and Section 5(2.1) with a penalty of \$1000 is reduced to \$500. Education is required.</p> <p>UGI is in violation of Section: 4(3) Designer’s Drawing does not show the position and type of each facility owner’s lines and the name of the facility. This is a first-time violation and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125. Has been withdrawn. UGI sent in the proprietary designs with the required information.</p>	<p>DTL VAC SERVICES: \$625.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>UGI: \$0.00</p> <p>COMCAST: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>LANCASTER CITY ELECTRIC: \$1,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>UGI disagrees that violation 4(3) To show upon the drawing the position and type of each facility owner's line, derived pursuant to the request made as required by clause- (2), and the name of the facility owner as shown on the list referred to in Section 1. Upon review they state that they have placed multiple Design tickets for this project and not once was traffic signal loop information provided, so no traffic signal loops are in the drawings. Moreover, it also was not apparent that there was a traffic signal loop at the job site, since no wires were present.</p> <p>DTL Services rejects their penalties with the following statement, "I reject the DPI report and am presenting my statement.</p> <p>DTL Vac Services is not a excavator. DTL Vac Services was subcontracted by Kinsley Construction to come in and soft dig locate utilities in proposed trench line. DTL Vac Services does not make PA ONE calls. It is responsibility of contractor or excavator to call in prior to DTL Vac Services doing the soft dig. DTL Vac Services job is to go up through their supposed excavation areas and locate all utilities with soft digging. With removing hard surface unmarked traffic signal that was imbedded in surface/asphalt was hit."</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 10/19/2020 on N. Lime Street, Lancaster City, Lancaster County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>Kinsley Construction states in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that DTL Vacuum Excavator was core drilling using vacuum equipment, to expose what they thought was a communications line, when they hit and damaged the traffic loop which was embedded in concrete/asphalt pavement. This was inside the proposed trench of the gas main.</p> <p>Kinsley Constructions stated in their second AVR that Kingsley construction was assisting Dale Burkholder in using vacuum excavation techniques when a traffic loop, which was embedded in the road was damaged. The PA One Call responses did not indicate that the traffic loop was present.</p> <p>City of Lancaster stated in their AVR that this was an excavator issue, who failed to plan the excavation work.</p> <p>UGI stated in their AVR that this was a facility owner issue, as they failed to locate the point of connection to the facility lines. Contractor was exposing an unknown communications line in the proposed gas line trench. This turned out to be a traffic loop which was embedded in the roadway. There was no response for the traffic loop on the PA One Call ticket. This was Vac excavated.</p> <p>DTL Vac Services was emailed an AVR request letter on 12/16/2021. No AVR has been received to date.</p>	<p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$125.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*****</p> <p>DLT Vac Services is in violation of Sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. Penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. This does not show a good faith effort, the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Lancaster City Electric (LCE) is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250. 2(4) Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. Tickets 20192823260 was due on 10/24/2019 LCE field marked on 10/28/2019 and 20202162455 due on 8/17/2020 was marked clear no facilities. This is a first-time offense and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125 for each offense. 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to emergency ticket 20202930511 which was due on 10/19/2020. Lancaster C Electric responded Field Marked on 10/22/2020. This is a first-time offense and the \$1000. penalty is reduced to \$500. 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to routine tickets 20202753158, 2020275173, 20202753193, and 20202753210, Lancaster Electric response is “Clear no facilities”. Ticket 20202753158 is specifically for the area of the damage, which worksite is on Lime St. between the intersections of E. James St and E. Fredrick St. The late response was clear no facilities.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency ticket as soon as practicable.</p> <p>Comcast is in violation of Section: 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to emergency ticket 20202930511 as soon as practicable. They never responded. This is a second offense. The penalty is applied. *****</p> <p>Designer notes pre 2019: E. N. Engineering submitted a Final Design ticket in 2018. No AVR was requested. UGI submitted the final design in 2020.</p> <p>Notes case 15171: Violations for tickets 20201071116 and 20200292165 are listed in case 15171, Which is part of the same complex project.</p>	
23105	Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES Contractor/Excavator: Roto Rooter Project Owner: Homeowner Other: BLAKELY BOROUGH ELECTRIC	<p><u>On 3/8/2021 12:22:00 PM at 450 2ND ST, BLAKELY BORO, LACKAWANNA</u> On 11/08/2022 The Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) voted to keep the Damage Prevention Investigators recommendations as presented.</p> <p>Blakely Borough disagrees with the investigator's findings. No reason given. *****</p>	<p>Roto Rooter: \$1,750.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(6)(ii) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Incident occurred on 3/08/2021 at 450 2nd Street in Blakely Borough in Lackawanna County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Roto Rooter submitted an emergency ticket. UGI also stated that they informed the crew at the site that UGI was having trouble locating the service and they would have to do more work to mark out the line. The Roto Router crew did not wait for UGI to return and struck the service directly in front of the gas meter while they were using a backhoe/trackerhoe. Pictures are included. 911 is checked as not being notified. 1 customer was affected for > 6 hours.</p> <p>Roto Rooter stated in their AVR that the gas line was not marked in the proper location and only 3” below grade. RotoRooter sent an email explaining that the emergency was there was no use of drains to the home.</p> <p>AVR request letter was mailed to homeowner 1/12/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Roto Rooter is in violation of Sections: 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner. Penalty is applied. 5(6)(ii) Excavator failed to provide support and mechanical protection for a known facility owner’s lines at the construction site. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250. Education is required. 5(7) Excavator failed to immediately report to the facility owner any break or leak in it’s lines. This is a first-time offense and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500.</p> <p>Homeowner is in violation of Section: 5(16) Homeowner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. The penalty is a warning.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(vii) failed to respond to an emergency ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Blakely Borough Electric is in violation of Section: 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to Emergency ticket 20210670622 as soon as practicable. Ticket was submitted on 3/08/2021 at 8:49 and Blakely Borough Electric marked “Clear no facilities” on 3/09/2021 at 11:28. This is a first-time offense and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500.</p>	<p>\$500.00</p> <p>Homeowner: \$0.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>BLAKELY BOROUGH ELECTRIC: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
23129	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: Emlaw Concrete Project Owner: WEST PITTSTON BOROUGH Designer: REILLY ASSOCIATES</p>	<p><u>On 3/23/2021 2:29:00 PM at 528 Luzerne Ave, WEST PITTSTON BORO, LUZERNE</u> On 11/08/2022 the Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) voted to remove the violation Section 4(3) and maintain the violation 4(4) to Reilly Associates.</p> <p>Reilly Engineering provided the design with the One Call number and Serial number on it. Violation 4(5) -</p>	<p>Emlaw Concrete: \$1,750.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Other: Frontier Communications</p>	<p>Designer's drawing does not include One Call's toll-free number and the serial number of the design ticket on it. Violation is withdrawn.</p> <p>Reilly Associates stated in an email that was received on 11/02/2022 that a POCS Design was submitted SN20192940324. They add that the project occurred during the beginning of the COVID 19 pandemic. SN 20200661456 is also a final Design which was submitted to One Call. They state that this was not a complex project. They add that this was a very compact project area and not geographically extensive, being only 1-1/2 blocks long, they measure this to be 875' long. DPI measured over 1000' in google maps following the directions on design ticket 20200661456. This measurement did not include the intersections at the ends. They state that a preconstruction conference was held on 5/21/2020 with West Pittston Borough, Reilly Associates, and the facility owners and that UGI was present at this meeting. At the Project Preconstruction meeting the plans and proposed work was shared and reviewed with all. Utility coordination and the requirements for the One Call system were discussed and the project schedule was discussed and coordinated. Reilly Associates states that the Contractor held a field meeting on 7/15/2020 to coordinate the work prior to mobilization and Field Construction began on 8/25/2020.</p> <p>Reilly Associates wish to reject the DPI report and present their case in at a DPC meeting. They submitted documents that explain that the Reilly Associates Representative was at another project site at the time of the incident. They add that the UGI gas lateral was extraordinarily shallow. They state that this accident was not the result of Reilly Associates actions, but due to the Contractor/excavators not making a timely request for One Call mark outs. They describe in detail the work done in their design drawings and that this work was in the plans. Item 1: Section 4(3) Reilly Associates properly showed the position and type of the gas lines on Plan Sheet 2 at house #528. Item 2: Section 4(3)- Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage and minimize interference with the facilities in the construction area. they state is incorrect statement. They state that Reilly Associates property constructed drawings to avoid damage. Details are shown on the plan drawings. Item 3: Designers Drawing does not include One Call's toll-free number and the serial number of the ticket. They state that this is not correct. The One Call System Logo and ticket 20200661456 is on the cover sheet of the project plans.</p> <p>***** **</p> <p>Incident occurred on 3/23/2021 at 528 Luzerne Ave in West Pittston Borough in Luzerne County.</p> <p>UGI states in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Emlaw Concrete was digging for sidewalks without a valid One Call ticket. They also state that the UGI field report indicates that the contractor did not stop</p>	<p>Section 5(6)(ii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>WEST PITTSTON BOROUGH: \$500.00 Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>REILLY ASSOCIATES: \$125.00 Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Frontier Communications: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>excavating after damaging the line and did not stop after being asked to stop by UGI.</p> <p>Reilly Associates states in their AVR that on 4/23/2021 (Incident date should be 3/23/2021) that they contacted the Reilly Associates Project Representative (RPR) for this project. They stated that at the time of this incident, there was minimal physical work being done on the project site. The RPR stopped at the site near the end of the workday and was informed by the contractor/excavator that a gas service was encountered. UGI was contacted and they came out to fix the service. At the time of the RPR's visit, the repaired gas line was not visible. The contractor described the gas service to the RPR as being close to the surface, near the bottom of the existing sidewalk that was being replaced. Email received on 4/01/2022 stated that the cost of the bid was <\$400,000. This email also verified that the date of the incident was 3/23/2021. AVR submitted had 4/23/2021 due to a clerical error. Length of the project was approximately 900”.</p> <p>West Pittston Borough also listed 4/23/2021 as the violation date. They did not fill out much in the AVR and wrote that the AVR request letter was the first notification they had received about this event. This is the project owner, and no information was filled out about this project. Emails sent on 4/1/2022 asking about the entire cost of the project and if any other One Call tickets were submitted for this project. Please see notes,</p> <p>Emclaw was mailed an AVR request letter on 1/14/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>***** ****</p> <p>Emclaw is in violation of Sections: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit and Alleged Violation Report. The penalty is applied. This excavator did not show a good faith effort. Education is required. 5(2.2) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Penalty is applied. 5(6)(ii) Excavator failed to provide support and mechanical protection for known facility owner's lines. Excavator continued to dig after the line was damaged and UGI asked that the excavation halt.</p> <p>West Pittston Borough is in violation of Sections: 6.1(1) Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of Subsurface Utility Engineering. Penalty is applied. Education is required. 6.1(3) Released a project to bid or construction before the final design was complete. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>Reilly Engineering is I violation of Sections: 4(4) failed to prepare construction drawing to avoid damage and minimize interference with facilities in the</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>construction area. This is a first-time violation and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125. Education is required.</p> <p>4(5) Designer’s drawing does not include One Call’s toll-free number and the serial number of the ticket. This is a first-time violation and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125.</p> <p>4(3) Designer’s drawing does not show the position and type of each facility owner’s line and the name of the facility. This is a first-time violation and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125.</p> <p>More information:</p> <p>One Call notes that Emlaw has placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>West Pittston Borough Notes: West Pittston Borough submitted an AVR, but with very little information about the project. One Call notes that there was not enough information provided on this AVR to determine if any notifications could be found. West Pittston Borough stated in an email received on 11/3/2022, that they believe that Emlaw is not in business any longer and provided a telephone number that they have for him.</p> <p>Reilly Engineering Notes: Reilly Engineering submitted their AVR promptly and followed up on all questions promptly.</p>	
22773	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: LUKE FAULSTICK ENTERPRISES Project Owner: Adam Clinger Other: COUDESSPORT BOROUGH AUTHORITY</p>	<p><u>On 4/2/2021 10:28:00 AM at 501 N WEST ST, COUDESSPORT BORO, POTTER</u> On 11/08/2022 the Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) voted to keep the Damage Prevention Investigator's recommendations as presented.</p> <p>*** Coudersport Borough Authority disputes their penalties because their line wasn't hit, they are a small operation, and nobody saw the emergency ticket until the next day. The water line was clearly marked and completely out of the dig area. When the gas line was hit, an employee of the water department was on scene and was assured that the water line was not affected in this area. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 04/02/2021 at 501 N West Street, Coudersport Borough, Potter County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Luke Faulstick Enterprises was setting poles with an auger, for a customer when he struck and damaged a UGI Gas line. Hazardous gas was released. 911 was not called. The contractor had the customer submit the PA One Call ticket. There was an Outside meter-set visible at the site.</p> <p>AVR from Adam Klinger stated that Someone from UGI called him on the telephone and stated there are no gas lines where the holes were being dug. UGI did not mark or flag his yard. Water and sewer were both marked.</p>	<p>UGI: \$3,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>LUKE FAULSTICK ENTERPRISES: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>COUDESSPORT BOROUGH AUTHORITY: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On the first hole that was dug, the gas line was hit. The work stopped and the neighbor (who works for UGI) was called. UGI responded in less than 20 min. to shut the line off. This line only supplied his house. Within the next hour, an UGI repair truck came and repaired the line. UGI apologized for not marking the line and assuming it followed the water and sewer lines. He also stated that UGI admitted that the incident was their fault and assured him that this would never happen again. If the homeowner or the excavator had been aware of the lines, the hole placement would have changed. He also states that UGI assumptions caused this mishap.</p> <p>Luke Faulstick Enterprises stated in their AVR that he was hired to auger holes for a solar panel install, after the owner decided, he did not want to dig the holes by himself. The homeowner called in a One Call ticket; the lines were marked. There were no gas markings.</p> <p>After the gas line was hit, One Call was notified and UGI was notified. They dispatched technicians and the homeowner and excavator helped hand dig to locate the gas line. They- repaired the line very quickly. We covered the exposed pipe after the job was complete. The solar panel layout for the posts was changed so it would not interfere with the gas lines.</p> <p>Notes from One Call state that Luke Faulstick Enterprises has placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>UGI is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. This is a third offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18” horizontally of the outside wall of line. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Luke Faulstick Enterprises is in violation of sections: (2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. This is a first-time offense and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when the damage resulted in the escape of gas. The penalty is applied.</p> <p>Coudersport Borough Authority is in violation of Section: (5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable. This is a first-time violation and the \$1000. penalty is reduced to \$500.</p> <p>Note: Emergency ticket 20210920878, There is hazardous release of gas. One call advised for caller (Luke Faulstick Enterprises) to notify 911. UGI had no response until 4/05/2021. Coudersport Borough Authority had no response until 04/05/2021</p> <p>Ticket 20210763906 was submitted by homeowner and states that power equipment is being used. UGI marked clear no facilities.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
22992	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain Other: Adams Cable TV Other: City Of Carbondale Other: City of Scranton Other: Clarks Summit Borough Other: Comcast Other: Dunmore Borough Other: Lackawanna River Basin Sewer Authority Other: Olyphant Borough Light Corporation/Olyphant Borough Other: PPL Other: South Abington Twp Other: The Borough of Jessup Other: Waverly Township</p>	<p><u>On 4/14/2021 8:08:00 AM at 441 19TH AVE, SCRANTON CITY, LACKAWANNA</u> 11/8/22 DPC Meeting- Rossi Rooter and City of Carbondale were disputing their violations. Vote: Rossi Rooter- The DPC removed all of the 5(9) penalties and violations and kept the 5(17) violation and penalty. City of Carbondale- NO SHOW. The DPC accepted all of the DPI's recommendations.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Rejection- Rossi Rooter sent email 8/25/22, rejecting all violations, stating- We received the attached document. Due to the nature of jobs listed on this document, we strongly believe they are all classified as an Emergency One Call. The majority of our sewer line repairs are considered an emergency due to the fact our customers have raw sewage backing into their homes. We would like to request a meeting with the DPC as we are rejecting the revised DPI report.</p> <p>Rejection- City of Carbondale is rejecting all violations. No reason given. They stated, Please be advised that the City of Carbondale is rejecting the DPI Report. Subsequently we are respectfully requesting to appear and present our case before the DPC at a future DPC Meeting.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>~ The Non-Damage violations occurred over multiple locations during the early months of 2021.</p> <p>UGI submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stating, "After a lengthy conversation between Eric and Vince, Rossi Rooter continues to place scheduled Emergency One Calls for work to take place within the next day or two. Attached please find a spreadsheet with the One Calls in question, and a letter between Eric Swartley and Vince Rossi discussing the abuse on March 9th, 2021." All violations prior to, and the date of (since it was sent at 3:37 PM), the letter from UGI have a recommendation of mandatory education for Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210043015 was placed on 1/4/2021. Response due date was 1/5/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/6/2021 at 8:00 AM. All facilities responded on time per the DPC's determination of responding to Emergency tickets within 24 hours. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210051345 was placed on 1/5/2021. Response due date was 1/5/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/5/2021 at 11:00. Crew on site. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this</p>	<p>UGI Utilities: \$0.00 Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain: \$250.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00 Adams Cable TV: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00 City Of Carbondale: \$4,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 City of Scranton: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00 Clarks Summit Borough: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210052067 was placed on 1/5/2021. Response due date was 1/5/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/6/2021 at 8:00. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210052094 was placed on 1/5/2021. Response due date was 1/5/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/6/2021 at 8:00. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210062247 was placed on 1/6/2021. Response due date was 1/7/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/8/2021 at 8:00. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210072300 was placed on 1/7/2021. Response due date was 1/7/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/8/2021 at 8:00. Olyphant Borough Light Corporation/ Olyphant Borough did not respond until 1/11/2021 at 10:24. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended for Olyphant Borough with mandatory education in lieu of the monetary penalty. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210112908 was placed on 1/11/2021. Response due date was 1/11/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/12/2021 at 8:00. The Borough of Jessup did not respond until 1/15/2021 at 7:33 AM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended for the Borough of Jessup. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A</p>	<p>Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Dunmore Borough: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Lackawanna River Basin Sewer Authority: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Olyphant Borough Light Corporation/ Olyphant Borough: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>PPL: \$0.00</p> <p>South Abington Twp: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>The Borough of Jessup: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Waverly Township: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210130505 was placed on 1/13/2021. Response due date was 1/13/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/14/2021 at 8:00. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210131048 was placed on 1/13/2021. Response due date was 1/13/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/14/2021 at 8:00. Dunmore Borough did not respond until 1/14/2021 at 11:11 which is not within the allotted 24 hours per the DPC's determination of responding to Emergency Tickets. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended for Dunmore Borough with education in lieu of the monetary penalty. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210180157 was placed on 1/18/2021. Response due date was 1/18/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/18/2021 at 7:30 AM. Dunmore Borough did not respond until 1/19/2021 at 8:56 AM which is not within the allotted 24 hours per the DPC's determination of responding to Emergency Tickets. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended for Dunmore Borough with education in lieu of the monetary penalty. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210180166 was placed on 1/18/2021. Response due date was 1/18/2021 for excavation to begin at 1/18/2021 at 7:45 AM. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210181880 was placed on 1/18/2021. Response due date was 1/18/2021 for excavation to begin at 1/19/2021 at 8:00 AM. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210201478 was placed on 1/20/2021. Response due date was 1/20/2021 for excavation to begin at 1/21/2021 at 7:00 AM. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210210810 was placed on 1/21/2021. Response due date was 1/21/2021 for excavation to begin at 1/22/2021 at 8:00 AM. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210220354 was placed on 1/22/2021. Response due date was 1/22/2021 for excavation to begin at 1/23/2021 at 8:00 AM. Clarks Summit Borough did not respond and UGI did not respond until 1/27/2021 at 9:28 AM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended for all three stakeholders with education in lieu of the monetary penalty for Clarks Summit. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210250939 was placed on 1/25/2021. Response due date was 1/25/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/25/2021 at 9:45. Adams Cable TV did not respond to the notification until 1/28/2021 at 8:26 AM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210251338 was placed on 1/25/2021. Response due date was 1/25/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/26/2021 at 8:00. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210252397 was placed on 1/25/2021. Response due date was 1/25/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/26/2021 at 8:00 AM. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210260859 was placed on 1/26/2021. Response due date was 1/26/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/27/2021 at 8:00. Clarks Summit Borough did not respond to the notification. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210272936 was placed on 1/27/2021. Response due date was 1/27/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/28/2021 at 8:00 AM. All facilities responded to the notification. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210280962 was placed on 1/28/2021. Response due date was 1/28/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/29/2021 at 8:00 AM. All facilities responded to the notification. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210300249 was placed on 1/30/2021. Response due date was 1/30/2021 for excavation to begin on 1/31/2021 at 7:00 AM. UGI didn't respond to the notification until 1/31/2021 at 15:16 PM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended for UGI. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210310053 was placed on 1/31/2021. Response due date was 1/31/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/1/2021 at 7:00 AM. Lackawanna River Basin Sewer did not respond to the notification. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210342424 was placed on 2/3/2021. Response due date was 2/3/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/4/2021 at 7:00 AM. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210352110 was placed on 2/4/2021. Response due date was 2/4/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/5/2021 at 8:00 AM. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix water and sewer lines, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210482805 was placed on 2/17/2021. Response due date was 2/17/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/18/2021 at 7:30 AM. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210490413 was placed on 2/18/2021. Response due date was 2/18/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/19/2021 at 8:00 AM. UGI did not respond to the notification. A renotify ticket was called. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended against UGI. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Renotify Emergency One Call ticket #202104904131 was placed on 2/22/2021. Renotify ticket- UGI did not respond to the notification until 2/23/2021 as the ticket indicated the KARL response was "Field marked" on 2/18/2021 but no markings were present at the site according to the caller. UGI responded "Field Marked" on 2/23/2021 at 8:57 AM. A violation of 2(5)(v.1)- failed to communicate directly to the excavator within two hours after renotification of the information about its facility location and, if necessary and possible, go to the proposed work site to mark, stake or locate its underground lines or to verify to the excavator that the facility owner's underground lines are not within the area of the proposed work site is recommended for UGI. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210490424 was placed on 2/18/2021. Response due date was 2/18/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/19/2021 at 8:00. Clarks Summit Borough did not respond to the notification. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210490426 was placed on 2/18/2021. Response due date was 2/18/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/19/2021 at 8:00. All facilities responded. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210491750 was placed on 2/18/2021. Response due date was 2/18/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/19/2021 at 7:00 AM. PPL did not finalize a response in the KARL system; UGI didn't clear the ticket until 4/3/2021 at 11:32 AM; Comcast failed to respond to the ticket; City of Carbondale didn't clear the ticket until 3/1/2021 at 15:42 PM and Adams Cable TV didn't clear the ticket until 2/22/2021 at 8:09 AM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended for these stakeholders. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210500321 was placed on 2/19/2021. Response due date was 2/19/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/19/2021 at 8:45 AM. City of Carbondale didn't clear the ticket until 3/1/2021 at 15:42 PM; Adams Cable TV didn't clear the ticket until 2/22/2021 at 8:09 AM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210540520 was placed on 2/23/2021. Response due date was 2/23/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/24/2021 at 8:00. Clarks Summit Borough did not finalize a response in the KARL system. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket #20210550879 was placed on 2/24/2021. Response due date was 2/24/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/25/2021 at 8:00 AM. Adams Cable TV did not clear the ticket until 2/26/2021 at 10:49 AM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20210550880 was placed on 2/24/2021. Response due date was 2/24/2021 for excavation to begin on 2/25/2021 at 8:00 AM. South Abington Township didn't clear the ticket until 2/26/2021 at 7:30 AM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20210552849 was placed on 2/24/2021. Response due date was 2/24/2021 for</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>excavation to begin on 2/24/2021 at 15:45 PM. Clarks Summit Borough did not respond to the notification. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20210631854 was placed on 3/4/2021. Response due date was 3/4/2021 for excavation to begin on 3/4/2021 at 14:30 PM. City of Scranton did not clear the ticket until 3/9/2021 at 15:57 PM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20210642467 was placed on 3/5/2021. Response due date was 3/5/2021 for excavation to begin on 3/6/2021 at 8:00 AM. UGI responded with "Conflict-DCTF" on 3/5/2021 at 16:00 PM and "Field Marked" on 3/8/2021 at 8:22 AM; The City of Carbondale did not respond to the ticket; Adams Cable TV did not clear the ticket until 3/11/2021 at 8:11 AM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a water line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20210672854 was placed on 3/8/2021. Response due date was 3/8/2021 for excavation to begin on 3/9/2021 at 8:00 AM. Olyphant Borough Light Corporation did not clear the ticket until 3/11/2021 at 14:03 PM; UGI marked "Conflict-DCTF" on 3/8/2021 at 15:36 PM and did not clear the ticket until 3/9/2021 at 9:01 AM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20210672867 was placed on 3/8/2021. Response due date was 3/9/2021 for excavation to begin on 3/10/2021 at 8:00 AM. City of Carbondale did not respond; Adams Cable TV</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>responded on 3/11/2021 at 8:12 AM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20210680506 was placed on 3/9/2021. Response due date was 3/9/2021 for excavation to begin on 3/10/2021 at 8:00 AM. Waverly Township cleared the ticket on 3/16/2021 at 14:24 PM. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20210691713 was placed on 3/10/2021. Response due date was 3/11/2021 for excavation to begin on 3/12/2021 at 8:00 AM. All facilities marked on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20210711996 was placed on 3/12/2021. Response due date was 3/14/2021 for excavation to begin on 3/15/2021 at 8:00 AM. City of Scranton did not clear the ticket until 3/15/2021 at 8:45 AM, UGI marked "Conflict- DCTF" on 3/12/2021 at 18:07 PM and did not field mark until 3/15/2021 at 7:26 AM. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20210781928 was placed on 3/19/2021. Response due date was 3/22/2021 for excavation to begin on 3/23/2021 at 8:00 AM. All facilities marked on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20211021137 was placed on 4/12/2021. Response due date was 4/13/2021 for excavation to begin on 4/14/2021 at 8:00. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20211024638 was placed on 4/12/2021. Response due date was 4/12/2021 for excavation to begin on 4/12/2021 at 18:00. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>One Call Emergency ticket #20211024638 was placed on 4/14/2021. Response due date was 4/14/2021 for excavation to begin on 4/15/2021 at 8:00. All facilities responded on time. The ticket indicated this was to fix a sewer line, and this is not considered an Emergency per the definition in Act 50. A violation of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 is recommended against Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was sent to the excavator on 4/20/2021 to submit an AVR and one was not submitted. A violation of 5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request is recommended for Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain: ~ multiple counts of 5(9)- Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of "emergency" as defined in Section 1 for One Call Ticket number(s): 20210043015, 20210051345, 20210052067, 20210052094, 20210062247, 20210072300, 20210112908, 20210130505, 20210131048, 2021010157, 20210180166, 20210181880, 20210201478, 20210210810, 20210220354, 20210250939, 20210251338, 20210252397, 20210260859, 20210272936, 20210280962, 20210300249, 20210310053, 20210310053, 20210342424, 20210352110, 20210482805, 20210490413, 202104904131, 20210490424, 20210490413, 20210490426, 20210500321, 20210540520, 20210550879, 20210550888, 202105528490, 20210572846, 20210602295, 20210602364, 20210602621, 20210621243, 20210621305, 20210623037, 20210631854, 20210632858, 20210642467, 20210672854, 20210672867, 20210680506, 20210691713, 20210711996, 20210781928, 20211021137, 20211024638, 20211040604, 20211040866</p> <p>~5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>of the request is recommended for Rossi Rooter Sewer and Drain.</p> <p>Olyphant Borough Light Corporation/ Olyphant Borough: ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210072300 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210672854</p> <p>The Borough of Jessup: ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210112908</p> <p>Dunmore Borough: ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210131048 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210180157</p> <p>Adams Cable TV: ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210250939 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210491750 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210550879 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210642467 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210672867</p> <p>Clarks Summit Borough: ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210220354 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210260859 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210490424 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210540520 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210552849</p> <p>UGI</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210220354</p> <p>~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210300249</p> <p>~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210490413</p> <p>~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210491750</p> <p>~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210642467</p> <p>~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210672854</p> <p>~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210711996</p> <p>~2(5)(v.1)- failed to communicate directly to the excavator within two hours after renotification of the information about its facility location and, if necessary and possible, go to the proposed work site to mark, stake or locate its underground lines or to verify to the excavator that the facility owner's underground lines are not within the area of the proposed work site for One Call ticket #20210490413</p> <p>Lackawanna River Basin Sewer: ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210310053</p> <p>PPL ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210491750</p> <p>Comcast ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210491750</p> <p>City of Carbondale ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210491750 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210642467 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210672867</p> <p>South Abington Township ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210550880</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>City of Scranton ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210631854 ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210711996</p> <p>Waverly Township ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for One Call ticket #20210680506</p>	
27093	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA - East</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: General Construction Services</p> <p>Project Owner: Grid Electric & Solar Solutions</p>	<p><u>On 10/12/2021 10:23:00 AM at 14665 MOUNT AIRY RD, SHREWSBURY BORO, YORK</u> On 11/8/2022 the Damage Prevention Committee voted to Accept the Damage Prevention Investigators report as presented. General Construction Services was a no show.</p> <p>General Construction Services disagrees with the violations stating that: Violation #1 – Failed to submit location request to One Call within correct timeframe Ticket #20212560353 – lawful start date 9/16/21 to 9/27/21. We had equipment delivered and on site on the lawful start date and were told by the PUC responder that because equipment was on site – the ticket was open. Therefore, we do not understand why we are cited for this violation.</p> <p>Violation #2 – Failed to immediately notify 911 and facility owner... Our excavator on site – Kenneth Crislip – immediately reported the hit line to the foreman on site – Mike (717-805-1595). At that point, Mike dialed 911 with Kenneth in his presence. In addition, on page three of the enclosure, where it states, “Was 911 called,” “YES” is annotated. Again, we do not understand why we are cited for this violation.</p> <p>Violation #3 – Failed to submit AVR within 10 business days... Kenneth, our excavator on site, corresponded with the job foreman (Mike), a representative from Columbia Gas, and a representative from the PUC once the incident was reported. He completed a set of paperwork and was told that anything additional would be mailed to us. Please verify to what email these correspondences were sent. Nothing was received via email or physical mail; otherwise, as we are doing now, we would have immediately responded.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 10/21/2021, at 14665 Mount Airy Road, in Shrewsbury Borough, York County, where a gas main was damaged.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated, in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR), that General Construction Services was trenching to install a transformer vault for a site developer: Grid Electric & Solar Solutions, when they hit a 6” medium pressure plastic gas main with the tooth</p>	<p>General Construction Services: \$2,250.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>of the track hoe bucket. Columbia also states that Pipeline markers and flags were used to mark their line, but the markings on the ground were faded and almost a month old. The stopped the work and called Columbia Gas. Columbia Gas called 911. There were fire personnel on site, as well as road closures. They also state that 7 people were evacuated.</p> <p>Grid Electric and Solar Solutions LLC (GCS) states in their AVR that on 10/12/2021, that they hired General Construction to dig trenches for underground electric PVC conduit to be installed for the Chick-fil-a remodeling project. Grid Electrical technician communicated with Grid Electric Project Manager that they will be digging for underground electrical wires between the Met-Ed utility pole and where the new transformer vault was to be installed. GCS hit and punctured a gas line. Jason let the GCS operator know that a gas line was hit. The Electric Grid Project Manager told the excavator to evacuate all the Grid Electric staff and everyone else from the site. Joe asked if Jason called emergency services and Jason indicated that Matt Cromer from PA Construction had notified the emergency services. He also states that Jason did not witness the event, and further states that no one from Grid Electric witnessed the event. No pictures are included. Evacuation information was not filled out on AVR.</p> <p>General Construction Services was emailed an AVR request letter on 3/2/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>General Construction Services is in violation of Sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct time frame. Penalty is applied and Education is required. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911. Penalty is applied and Education is required. 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. Penalty is applied and Education is required.</p> <p>DPI notes violations and the reasons they are violations are listed:</p> <p>5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) I have not received an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) . I have searched my system every which way. Can you provide the AVR number, check your emails for a confirmation with an AVR number or reach out to One Call to make sure that this AVR was submitted?</p> <p>5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct time frame. Ticket 20212560353 was submitted as a new excavation ticket, which was insufficient. Ticket was submitted on 9/13/2021 at 07:37 with a response due date of</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>9/15/2021. Lawful start dates are 9/16/2021 through 9/27/2021. The scheduled excavation date is Sept 14, 2021. This is the reason for the violation.</p> <p>5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911. My documents state that Columbia Gas notified 911. Columbia Gas also submitted the emergency notice. The excavator is responsible to call 911.</p>	
27249	<p>Facility Owner: NATIONAL FUEL GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: BISON CONTRACTING</p> <p>Project Owner: Pennsylvania American Water</p> <p>Other: Clarion Borough Dept. of Public Works</p> <p>Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 11/1/2021 2:00:00 PM at 627 Wood, CLARION BORO, CLARION</u> 11/8/22 DPC Meeting- Clarion Borough Dept. of Public Works was disputing. Vote: The DPC accepted the DPIs recommendations. *****</p> <p>Rejection- Clarion Borough Dept. of Public Works is rejecting the violation, stating they received the emergency notification after work hours of 7am-3pm and someone arrived to work the next day at 7am and cleared the ticket on the PA One Call System at 7:11am. (see the attached rejection letter and Exhibits) *****</p> <p>The incident occurred on Monday, November 1, 2021, at 627 Wood Street, in Clarion Borough, Clarion County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>Bison Contracting and Project Owner, PA American Water Company (PAWC) stated, while removing 6-inches of concrete a small hole was punctured in a plastic gas line; the gas line was very shallow. Bison said, “stopped the leak with tape and the gas company (National Fuel Gas) arrived an hour later to fix the damage.”</p> <p>Photos were not provided from Bison nor PAWC. In their Alleged Violation Reports (AVR), it is noted 911 was not called.</p> <p>National Fuel Gas (NFG) stated, Bison is in violations failing to use prudent techniques during excavation. Bison hit and damaged a correctly marked 3-inch plastic gas main line with their machine because their crew failed to expose the line. NFG provide photos and their AVR noted 911 was not called. NFG called in a New Excavation Emergency ticket (20213053476) to repair the gas leak.</p> <p>*New Excavation Emergency ticket- 20213053476 placed on 11/1/2021: ~Clarion Borough- Late Response on 11/2/2021 as Clear No Facilities</p> <p>*Final Design tickets- 20210053224, 20210053519 placed on 1/5/2021: ~Verizon- No Responses</p> <p>*Bison Contracting is in violation of sections: 5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 when a damage resulted in the escaped of gas. Recommendation: Penalties applied and Education</p>	<p>BISON CONTRACTING: \$1,500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Clarion Borough Dept. of Public Works: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,000.00 Section 2(4) 3rd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 3rd Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*Clarion Borough is in violation of section: 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following a notification Recommendation: Education Required, penalty reduced by 50% (from \$1,000 to \$500) and keep the violation.</p> <p>*Verizon is in violation of section: 2(4) Failed to respond to Designer's request for information They have previous section 2(4) violations. Recommendation: 2 Counts of section 2(4)- penalties applied.</p>	

Committee Review

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
17622	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Facility Owner: VERIZON Contractor/Excavator: OSMOSE Contractor/Excavator: TD LINE LLC Project Owner: Verizon Designer: VERIZON Other: PENNHILLS RESOURCES LLC Other: PIN OAK ENERGY PARTNERS LLC</p>	<p><u>On 9/2/2020 7:22:02 AM at 2927 BORDELL RD, KEATING TWP, MCKEAN</u> On 11/08/2022 Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) had a prediscussion of this case and requested the investigation of a proper locate ticket. DPI found that it was likely hard to locate a pole in this area. This > 1300 ft of road is wooded, and the pole might not be right off of the road. Ticket provided coordinates and does not read that area was marked in white. DPI did not make any changes to case 17622.</p> <p>Pennhills Resources, LLC agrees with the violation 2(5)(viii) and is asking for no penalty due to the extreme short staffing issues during COVID. DPI sent an email 10/17/2022 asking if they participated in the complex project meeting. They responded that they did not know. DPI sent an email to TD Line LLC asking about Pennhills participation on 10/18/2022. No information received back to date.</p> <p>Incident occurred on July 22, 2020, at 2927 Bordell Road in Keating Township, McKean County.</p> <p>UGI Utility stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that TD Line LLC was auguring using a Digger Derrek, to replace utility pole 31, for Verizon, in Keating Township, McKean Co., when a UGI gas line was hit and damaged. UGI Locator was not able to locate the utility pole and on 7/17/2020, left a message for the contact on ticket requesting contact from the excavator. There was no returned contact made. UGI also marked Routine ticket 20201971748 Conflict DCTF. UGI also states that neither 911 nor the facility owner was immediately notified when the gas line was hit. They say this was an excavator issue with multiple violations. They add that the excavation area was not marked in white, and this exact work site information was not provided. They also state that a violation of 5(11.1) should be found as the facility owner failed to assist the facility in determining involvement by disclosing additional information requested by the facility owner.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>TD Line LLC stated in their AVR that this was a One Call system issue. That the Field technician reported that the lines were not marked in the field, but a clear response ticket was sent. They also state that the lines were not shown on the plans/bid documents. The AVR does not indicate that 911 was contacted. Pictures are included. Yellow flags can be seen.</p> <p>Verizon was emailed an AVR request letter on 9/11/2020. No AVR has been received to date. Verizon is the project owner, designer and facility owner. They provided no information. There is no project cost or SUE information.</p> <p>Osmose was requested to submit an AVR, but with email correspondence it was determined that their roll was not a direct part of this incident Osmose roll was a separate project of checking for pole restoration and support. They did request a meeting to be held on 6/29/2020 at 12p.m. and stated that very few stakeholders ever attend. They do not have the complex project meeting list due to a computer transfer issue.</p> <p>Meeting was scheduled for 6/29/2020 at 12pm was requested due to meeting details had changed from in person to virtual. Timing is at the beginning of COVID.</p> <p>UGI is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to routine One Call ticket 20201971748 within the required amount of time. Ticket was due on 7/17/2020, but was not responded to until 7/20/2020 after the expected dig time of 7:00 a.m. At 7:11, the UGI response was Conflict. DCTF. UGI responded that this area was field marked on 7/24/2020 and the incident occurred on 9/02/2020. This is a third time offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Summerset Gas Gathering is in violation of section: 2(5)(vii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. Ticket 20201710703 was not responded to. This is a first-time violation and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250.</p> <p>Pennhills Resources is in violation of Section: 2(5)(vii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project. Ticket 20201710703 was responded to with an interim response: insufficient Info. This is a first-time violation and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250.</p> <p>TD Line is in violation of Sections: 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner. Penalty is applied. An email sent on 9/18/2020 sated that a customer called in this damage to UGI. The excavator was on site when UGI arrived. UGI states that 911 was not called. 5(11.1) Excavator failed to assist the facility owner in determining involvement of a facility owner's lines. This is a first-time offense and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Verizon is in violation of Sections: 6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied. 4(4) Failed to prepare construction drawings t avoid damage and to minimize interference. Subsequent penalty is applied. 4(2) Designer failed to request the line and facility information. Subsequent penalty is applied. 4(3) Designer’s drawing does not show the position and type of each facility. Subsequent penalty is applied. 2(11) Facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information. Subsequent penalty is applied.</p> <p>Additional Information: Ticket 20201971748 requested a mark out for an area between two roads that were over 1300 ft apart. Ticket does not state that white markings were used to help locate the excavation area.</p>	
18602	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: BARTHOLOMEW CONCRETE & EXCAVATING COMPANY INC Contractor/Excavator: Delran Builders Company Inc Project Owner: Delaware Valley University Designer: RENEW DESIGN GROUP Other: COMCAST</p>	<p>On 9/24/2020 11:00:00 AM at Delaware Valley University - Greenhouse Lane, DOYLESTOWN TWP, BUCKS 11/08/2022 prediscussion asked that the line ownership be verified.</p> <p>Case is scheduled for pre discussion. Renew submitted a disagreement, picking #3 from the notice that was sent.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 9/24/2020 at Delaware Valley University – Greenhouse Lane, Doylestown Township, Bucks County. Ronald Bartholomew Concrete & Excavating Company was digging with a backhoe/trackhoe without a PA One Call ticket, when a 1” PECO gas line was damaged. 35 people were evacuated.</p> <p>PECO Energy stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Bartholomew Concrete &Excavating was digging with a backhoe and struck a 1” Plastic service line. No One call ticket was submitted prior to excavation, but the service had been accurately marked by a private locating company. They also state that contractor failed to hand dig near the markers in the tolerance zone.</p> <p>Ronald Bartholomew Concrete & Excavating Co., Inc. stated in their AVR that they were using a backhoe/Trackhoe while working around the foundation at Delaware Valley University-Greenhouse Lane. There was no PA One Call submitted for this excavation. Additional information was submitted and attached to AVR stating that the area by the gas line was dug with hand tools. Incremental information was provided in emails with One Call. 911 was called by Delaware Valley University.</p> <p>Delran Builders stated in their AVR that Ronald Bartholomew Concrete & Excavating Co., Inc ruptured an underground 1 1/4 “plastic gas line while they were digging with an excavator for sidewalk, stair area and</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>generator footings. They also state that this was a One Call System issue [3(1.1)] for not assigning one or more serial numbers and the date that the site may legally be excavated. They state this was an >\$400,000. Project and level B SUE was used.</p> <p>Delaware Valley University stated in their AVR that Bartholomew Concrete was a subcontractor for Delran Builders Company Inc. Bartholomew Concrete hit a 1 ¼ plastic Gas line while excavating for sidewalk, stairs, and emergency generator footings. The lines were marked by a contracted utility locator named Soft Dig/Underground Services, Inc. The line was hit at 10:45 a.m. and the contractor informed the site contact immediately. Nearby buildings were evacuated. PECO and the local Fire Department were called by Delaware Valley University (DVU) personnel. Area was evacuated and closed by DVU Public Safety at the direction of the fire department. Buildings were ventilated and area was cleared. Line was repaired by 12:45. Pictures and additional documents are included.</p> <p>911 and PECO were called by Delaware Valley University.</p> <p>Note from One Call states that Bartholomew Concrete & Excavating has placed One Call notifications previously.</p> <p>Renew Design Group was emailed an AVR request on 1/05/2022 through their information site online. No AVR has been received to date. Designs are dated 2020.</p> <p>Bartholomew Concrete & Excavating Co Inc is in violation of Sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Penalty applied and Education required. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911. Penalty applied and Education required.</p> <p>Renew Design Group is in violation of Sections: 4(8) Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. Penalty is applied and Education required. 4(2) Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by Section 2(4) from the One Call System. Penalty is applied. 4(5) Designer's drawing does not include One Calls' toll-free number and the serial number of the ticket. 4(4) Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference wit facilities.</p> <p>Delaware Valley University is in violation of Section: 6.1(3) Released a project to bid or construction before the final design was complete. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250 and Education required.</p> <p>*****</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Comcast is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to One Call tickets 20202683387 and 20202733472 within the required amount of time. This is a subsequent violation. The penalty is applied for each ticket.</p> <p>Additional Notes: Private Locator Company is Soft Dig. Project cost over \$400,000. Level "B" Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used.</p> <p>Additional Information: Renew Design Group stated that they had worked on other projects for Delaware Valley University and were asked to submit a conceptual design for the greenhouse as part of this work. Consider that no design tickets were placed for any of the projects. Delaware Valley University hired Renew Design Group to draw up projects for different levels of excavation. Whether the conceptual design for the green house was used or not, the entire project had a design that was excavated and not published in the One Call System.</p> <p>Delaware Valley University is not a member of One Call.</p>	
22173	<p>Facility Owner: PENELEC / FIRST ENERGY</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Parkside Utility Construction</p> <p>Project Owner: VERIZON</p> <p>Other: Osмосе</p>	<p><u>On 11/11/2020 12:20:00 PM at E MECHANIC ST, TITUSVILLE CITY, CRAWFORD</u> Incident occurred on 11/11/2020 at E Mechanic Street, Titusville City Crawford Co, where an electric line was hit and a fire was reported.</p> <p>*Fire started at base of guy wire.</p> <p>Penelec / First Energy Corp (PN) states in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that on 10/28/2020 Excavator Parkside Utility Construction submitted excavation ticket 20203022091 requesting facilities to be marked on E. Mechanic St and Martin St, Titusville City to place poles and underground cable for Project owner Verizon. Locator for Penelec responded, "Clear No Facilities". On 11/11/2020 The excavator damaged PN's underground secondary streetlight service line with a pole anchor. This caused a fire at the base of the guy wire. USIC's investigation determined that the underground facilities within the scope of the ticket, had not been marked. Therefore, the cause is identified as the facility owner incorrectly marking the ticket. As a corrective action, USIC took disciplinary action with the locate technician and reinforced the requirement to mark all facilities. Note: The AVR is submitted beyond the 30-day window due to damage repair costs recently meeting the \$2500. Threshold. 911 was marked as being called.</p> <p>Verizon stated in their AVR that Parkside Utility Construction LLC was contracted to place a pole for Verizon and requested a mark-out for the location in</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>question. Verizon also lists that a power auger was used to install a pole in an area that was responded to “clear – no facilities” when they struck an unmarked Penelec line. There was a secondary line which fed directly into a streetlight. They added since this incident was over 15 months ago, they were not able to find out any more information related to this incident.</p> <p>Parkside Utility Construction LLC stated in their AVR that they were requested an AVR for damage that occurred on 11/11/2020. Parkside in house set poles and anchors, but there are no reports stating there were any issues. They state that the work was done by Parkside on 10/28/2020. They also state that they had no crews on site on 11/11/2020. Crews are instructed to contact management and safety anytime there is an incident, especially something like a fire. 911 is not marked as being called.</p> <p>New Excavation Ticket 20203022091 was submitted on 10/28/2020 by Parkside Utility Construction with a scheduled excavation date of 10/28/2020. This is an insufficient time before excavation is to begin. Responses show that Pennsylvania Electric Company responded “Clear No Facilities” on 10/30/2020.</p> <p>Penelec / First Energy is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18” horizontally of the outside wall of line. This is a subsequent offense in 2022. Penalty is applied</p> <p>Parkside Utility Notes: Parkside Utility Construction LLC explained that additional excavation and conduit placement occurred on 12/10 and 12/14 following receipt of materials. This job was placed on hold and never finished, due to Verizon Engineering following our restoration of the roadway on 12/14/2020. Documents are attached. Parkside Utility Construction LLC emailed that they were not working at that site on that day. The work document that was emailed had an address of E. Main St. Google Maps did not locate an E. Main St in Titusville. 2/15/2021 DPI sent email to verify work site address. The corresponding tickets list E Mechanic Street in Titusville. Crew that was on this job does not work for Parkside Utility Construction any longer. On 2/16/2022 DPI sent an email asking why the addresses in the documents do not match and if the poles identifiable by numbers? An email was returned stating “Not sure why the bill to Verizon says S Main St. The crew's time sheet said S Martin St and the foreman's log said East Mechanic and N Franklin Streets. I'm assuming it was a typo since our internal job codes match on both sheets.”</p> <p>Penelec Notes: Email received on 3/29/2022 stated that there were no people impacted and the cost of the damage was \$4217.61. No fine factor was added in to Penelec.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Parkside Utility Construction has no documentation of the fire.</p> <p>Osmose Utility Services Inc. was sent an AVR request letter as the designer on 3/29/2022. See notes for more information.</p> <p>Osmose Notes: Two tickets that were submitted by Osmose were received for Titusville City, Crawford Co. It has been determined that these tickets were not a part of the project that was involved in the Penelec / First Energy line strike.</p> <p>First AVR request emailed to Osmose as an excavator on 2/15/2022. Osmose returned an email that they do not set poles. DPI asked Osmose for Complex project meeting participants. Osmose returned an email that they do not set poles. AVR request letter sent to Osmose 2/15/2022. Looking for Complex project meeting participants.</p> <p>DPI Maki returned a call to Osmose on 2/17/2022. Jalisa stated she will fill out an AVR. She said that Verizon may have had a third-party participant, but Penelec was not in attendance, she stated that this is a common issue. When a complex project is created, the gas companies, water and city will usually attend, but the communications and electric do not. She does not have a sign in sheet, because when she got a new computer, the information did not transfer over.</p> <p>Multiple emails were sent in attempt to get more detailed information. Osmose questioned multiple times why they had to fill out an AVR and that they need more information if they were to do so. DPI responded clearly emails and snips of reasons. This communication is attached to case.</p> <p>On 4/01/2022 Osmose Solicitor emailed a document stating that “After careful review of your incident and based upon our investigation, it is our opinion that we are not responsible for this incident. Based upon the facts provided, Osmose was not performing any services at the date and location the loss was reported. Therefore, we have no further information to produce regarding the alleged damage.”</p> <p>Osmose maintains that the complex project tickets that were submitted by them, did not include any poles on E Mechanic St or South Martin St. Osmose was sent Alleged Violation Report on 2/15/2022 as the excavator. Osmose submitted ticket 20202173184 and 20202054043 for a Complex project new excavation and requested a meeting. They submitted this ticket as the excavator and stated that a jack hammer will be used to drive in steel about 5ft deep.</p>	
21332	Facility Owner: PECO Facility Owner: PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS	<u>On 12/8/2020 9:00:00 AM at 1244 FRANKFORD AVE, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> On 11/08/2022 case 21332 was prediscussed at the Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) meeting. DPC wants to	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Contractor/Excavator: JPC GROUP INC</p> <p>Project Owner: PHILADELPHIA CITY WATER DEPARTMENT</p> <p>Designer: PHILADELPHIA CITY WATER DEPARTMENT - Design</p> <p>Other: Philadelphia City Department of Streets</p> <p>Other: Philadelphia city Water Department</p> <p>Other: VERIZON</p>	<p>look at the picture of the folded over gas line. Note that this is a second offense violation for JPC Group for violation Section 5(8) (voted on in 2021)</p> <p>Incident occurred on 12/08/2020 at 1244 Frankford Ave Philadelphia City, Philadelphia County.</p> <p>Philadelphia Gas Works (PGW) stated in their Alleged Violation Report that this incident was due to the failure of requesting a PA One Call ticket by the excavator. Emails with PGW stated that a person passing by notified them of the damage. They also included pictures. One of these pictures show a line that is folded over and taped. In an email from 2/01/2022 PGW stated that this line had an unauthorized fix and unfortunately, they see this type of thing all too often. They stated that they do not know if 911 was called.</p> <p>J P C Group Inc. stated in their AVR that They were working on water main construction for The Philadelphia Water Department (PWD). While they were excavating sidewalk, an unmarked PGW dripline was discovered embedded in the sidewalk. PGW was contacted to repair. No gas was released. Pictures were included showing the unmarked sidewalk. Dripline area is circled in yellow. Two One Call tickets were included. AVR was checked that 911 was not called and they stated no gas was released.</p> <p>Philadelphia City Water Department was emailed an AVR request letter on 5/13/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Property Owner was mailed an AVR request letter. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Philadelphia City Water Department Designer stated in thier AVR that this was a facility owner issue because there were missing or incorrect facility line maps. They also state that they have no knowledge of this event and that at the time of this incident, the work was with the Construction and Project Control units. They also state that are reaching out to the Construction and Project Control units for more information. No Subsurface Utility information was provided. Cost of the project was \$4,204,399.19.</p> <p>JPC Group Inc is in violation of Sections: 5(7) Excavator failed to immediately report to the facility owner any break or leak in its lines. This is a first offense and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911. Penalty is applied. 5(3) Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. This is a first-time offense and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125. Education. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. This is a first-time offense and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Philadelphia City Water Department is in violation of Sections:</p> <p>6.1(7) Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. This is a second time offense, and the penalty is applied. Education.</p> <p>6.1(1) Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of Subsurface Utility Engineering. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>6.1(3) Released a project to bid or construction before the final design was complete. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket 20202691960 within the required amount of time. This is a second time offense. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>Philadelphia City Water Department - Design is in violation of Sections:</p> <p>4(5) Designer's drawing does not include the One Calls toll free number and serial number of the ticket. Penalty is applied. Education.</p> <p>4(2) Designer failed to request the line and facility information as prescribed by Section 2(4) from the One Call System.</p> <p>4(3) Designer's drawing does not show the position and type of each facility owners' line and the name of the facility.</p> <p>4(4) Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time and 2(5)(vii) failed to respond to an emergency ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Philadelphia Department of Streets is in violation of Section:</p> <p>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to ticket 20202893266 within the required amount of time. Penalty is applied. Education.</p> <p>PECO is in violation of Section:</p> <p>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to routine One call ticket 20202691960 within the requested amount of time. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied. Ticket was due on 9/29/2020 and PECO responded on filed marked on 9/30/2020. PECO sent in pictures that show the PECO markings. These pictures are dated 9/30/2020.</p> <p>Philadelphia Gas Works in in violation of Section:</p> <p>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to routine ticket 20202691960 They wrote in a scheduled date and time that they would mark. Email from J P C Group stated this was not a mutual agreement. * See notes</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Section:</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to One Call ticket 20202893266. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Notes. AVR from PGW only had the letters JPC for the excavator on it. One Call noted that there is not enough information to determine if JPC has ever placed any One Call tickets. This makes it very difficult to investigate and DPI would like those who submit an AVR be aware of how important the information submitted on the AVR is.</p> <p>Case 28532 was a gas hit in this same time frame. Excavator did not respond to AVR request, so there is no information about the project owner. A citizen requested that an investigation be conducted. Case 28532 involved gas damage that was traced by PUC Gas Safety Inspector to have moved down the block and exploded. 50 people were evacuated. DPI thinks that it is possible that this is part of the same project for PWD.</p> <p>Notes taken from an email from J P G Group sent on 5/19/2022 answering some DPI questions: *They have no idea why PGW responded late, but I can say for sure that it was not by mutual agreement. They state that the line was unmarked, as the previously submitted pre-job pictures show. They state that there was no complex project meeting. Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) was the designer for the project. The design would have been submitted by PWD. The cost of the project was \$4,030,690. The Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was done by PWD. They do not know the SUE level.</p>	
21505	<p>Facility Owner: TC ENERGY / COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION Contractor/Excavator: Zokaites Contracting INC Project Owner: Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 1/13/2021 2:00:00 PM at 765 Donaldson Road, NORTH FAYETTE TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Case 21505 was in pre discussion on 11/08/2022. DPI requested more pictures from Columbia Gas Transmission. With the current information, there are no photos to substantiate what was alleged. The pictures that were provided do not have any pictures showing Zokaites Contracting Inc or any excavation occurring.</p> <p>Damage Prevention Committee member (Eric) made a point that someone put in a One Call and that should have some validity. Tickets are submitted by someone and are not facts.</p> <p>Zokaites Contracting Inc. stated that "There was no violation, no unlawful activity, no excavation work, no soil disturbance, no line strike, and obviously no damage. I request you pursue the utility (or person that made the subject report) for false reporting to a governmental agency."</p> <p>Incident occurred on 1/13/2021 at 765 Donaldson Road, North Fayette Township, Allegheny County.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>* No damage</p> <p>Columbia Gas Transmission (locator) states in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Zokaites Contracting Inc., was using a backhoe/tracker, encroaching on the pipeline area without a One Call ticket. Emergency ticket 20210142034 was submitted stating that other excavators did call in One Call tickets, but this excavator did not. Email from 02/14/2022 Columbia Gas states that Zokaites Contracting Inc is also the Developer of this area. 2 pictures are included. Emergency ticket 20210142034 reads that there is active digging and equipment is present with the name Zokaites Contracting Inc. on the equipment. Other tickets are submitted for this area.</p> <p>Zokaites Contracting Inc. was sent an AVR request letter on 12/20/2021. No AVR has been received to date. An email was received from Frank Zokaites stating that there was no violation, no unlawful activity, no excavation work, no soil disturbance, no like strike and obviously no damage. He also states that there should be a pursuit of the person or utility for reporting falsely to a government agency.</p> <p>Notes from One Call state that Zokaites Contracting has placed One Call Notifications in the past.</p> <p>Zokaites Contracting Inc is in violation of Sections: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. Penalty is applied. Training is required. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One call. Penalty is applied. 5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff. Penalty is applied.</p>	
26233	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES Contractor/Excavator: CABLE SERVICES COMPANY INC Project Owner: Centurylink</p>	<p><u>On 9/15/2021 12:23:00 PM at COMMERCE BLVD, DICKSON CITY BORO, LACKAWANNA</u> The incident occurred on Wednesday, September 15, 2021, on Commerce Blvd, in Dickson City Borough, Lackawanna County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>Cable Services Company, working for Centurylink, drilled through a mislabeled 4-inch gas line; was 9-feet off from the locate mark. Cable Services called 911, the road was closed and a New Damage Emergency ticket, 20212582303, was placed.</p> <p>UGI Utilities explained, Cable Services was using a horizontal directional drill (HDD) when a gas distribution main line was drilled through. The gas main was damaged while Cable Services was drilling through the tolerance zone of a marked water main line. UGI stated, Cable Services incorrectly potholed for the watermain because they would have seen the gas main inside the same trench line as the water main and they did not call in a renotification when the gas main was not found after they attempted to locate the line. Photos were provided by UGI and Cable Services Company and a hit-kit was not shown in the photos: -Cable Services Pic 1 shows the damage gas line.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>-Cable Services Pic 2 shows a measuring tape 9-feet from the street to the grass. But does not show the whole street</p> <p>-UGI Pic 3 showing where the gas main line was actually found, and a mark by driller indicating the approximate location of the drill head. To the right of the photo there is a test-hole and yellow locate marks</p> <p>On 3/4/2022, an email was sent, and on 11/10/2022, a letter was mailed to the Project Owner, Centurylink requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). On 11/10/2022, a letter was mailed requesting additional information from Cable Services. The questions: Was potholing to locate the lines completed before the drilling? Was there a Complex Project meeting, and if so what is the Complex Project ticket number?</p> <p>*UGI Utilities is in violation of section: 2(5)(i) Marked incorrectly Recommendation: Penalty applied</p> <p>*Centurylink is in violation of section: 6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike Recommendation: education required and penalty applied</p>	
27835	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES INC Contractor/Excavator: KRIGER CONSTRUCTION INC Project Owner: L.R. Costanzo Co., Inc.</p>	<p><u>On 12/6/2021 11:00:00 AM at 1315 MEYLERT AVE, SCRANTON CITY, LACKAWANNA</u> The incident occurred on Monday, December 6, 2021, at 1315 Meylert Avenue, in Scranton City, Lackawanna County. UGI Utilities gas main line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI stated, Kriger Construction is in violation of not preserving the locate marks, failing to renotify One Call for remarks and failed to provide the exact location of the work site. UGI explained that Kriger’s excavation ticket (20212150683) was over four months old (no other excavation tickets) and there were some old locate marks outside of the initial excavation area. With an excavator, Kriger hit and damaged a gas distribution main causing a large outage in the area- 140 customers were affected with interrupted service for 24 - 48 hours and 10 people were evacuated, and cost of the damaged line repair was \$25,000-\$50,000. UGI provided 9 pictures with 2 showing unclear line damage</p> <p>UGI stated, Kriger failed to call 911 but Kriger’s Alleged Violation Report (AVR) indicates that 911 was called, by their foreman, the Fire and Police department responded, and traffic was stopped.</p> <p>Kriger Construction stated that the 3-inch gas main was unmarked when the damaged occurred; “it did show on the Borton Lawson Plan”. Kriger explained that the crew was excavating for proposed pipe run P54 along Meylert Avenue and during the excavation an unmarked steel encasement pipe was hit and damaged causing the inner 3-inch gas main to leak.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Kruger provided one picture that was taken after the original legal dig date, it shows no locate marks.</p> <p>On Friday, March 4, 2022, an email was sent to the project owner, L. R. Costanza requesting an AVR. There was no response to the email and no report was submitted.</p> <p>Kruger Construction is in violation of sections: 5(3) Excavator failed to preserve mark-outs or request a remark 5(20) Excavator failed to renotify One Call of an unmarked or incorrectly marked facility upon arrival at a work site Recommendation: Education required and penalties applied</p> <p>L. R. Costanza, project owner is in violation of section: 6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike Recommendation: Education required and penalty applied</p>	