



# Impact of Revenue Decoupling: A Changed Rate Paradigm

## **Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Workshop**

**Cynthia J. Marple**  
**Director, Rates and Regulatory Affairs**

December 8, 2006



American Gas Association

---

# Natural Gas Utility Costs

- ◆ Natural gas utilities provide a service, not a product.
- ◆ Two main costs – commodity and distribution
- ◆ Utilities do not make money on gas costs – although there is risk to the utility
- ◆ Utilities earn money on their investments in property and plant used to provide service
- ◆ Commodity costs are volatile
- ◆ Distribution costs are stable



---

# Customer Energy Efficiency

- ◆ 15 million new residential customers since 1980
- ◆ 1980 residential consumption = 4.7 Tcf
- ◆ 2005 residential consumption = 4.8 Tcf
- ◆ Decreased gas consumption per residential customer = one percent per year since 1980



---

# Traditional Rate Design

- ◆ Traditional utility rate design is 100 years old.
- ◆ Increasing sales is a major objective of traditional rate design.
- ◆ Traditional rates recover fixed costs volumetrically.
- ◆ Traditional rate design implies cost recovery only if customers consume and don't conserve.
- ◆ Traditional rate designs contain a financial disincentive for aggressively promoting energy efficiency and conservation.



---

# Why Innovative Rate Design?

- ◆ Flat demand growth and in many systems, falling demand, has led to under-recovery of approved costs.
- ◆ High and volatile natural gas prices
- ◆ Global climate change – warmer than normal weather
- ◆ Many jurisdictions, as well as federal policy makers, now discourage increased sales and encourage conservation.
- ◆ New paradigm has shifted the regulatory goal from building a system to encouraging energy efficiency.



---

# Revenue Decoupling

- ◆ Revenue decoupling is a symmetrical automatic adjustment to rates that removes the variability of fixed cost recovery caused by unpredictable energy consumption due to weather or conservation.
- ◆ Decoupling allows the utility to actively promote conservation and energy efficiency without having to sacrifice its financial stability.
- ◆ Revenue decoupling works by adjusting (truing-up) the actual sales volumes to the weather-normalized sales volumes approved in the last rate case.
- ◆ The utility's rates retain the standard bill components of a fixed monthly service charge, a volumetric distribution charge, and a volumetric commodity pass through charge.
- ◆ Decoupling adds to the tariffs a symmetrical tracking mechanism that “trues-up” the volumetric distribution charge.



---

# Revenue Decoupling – Continued

- ◆ When sales volumes decline from the level forecasted in the rate case, the true-up mechanism increases the distribution charge.
- ◆ When sales volumes increase from the level forecasted in the rate case, the true-up mechanism decreases the distribution charge.
- ◆ The decoupling true-up adjustment is a proportional mechanism. The amount of “true-up” cost assigned to each customer is proportional to the customer’s individual usage. High volume customers pay more of the true-up charge than do low-volume customers.
- ◆ Decoupling prevents the utility from increasing its earnings by increasing its sales volumes because the additional distribution charge is refunded to customers.
- ◆ Decoupling is NOT incentive regulation – there is no reward or bonus for the utility.



---

# Decoupling Calculation

## A Representative Example - Average Usage

**\$300,000,000 Distribution Service Cost**  
**1,000,000 Residential Customers**  
**100 Mcf per customer per year**

### **Per Mcf Basis (Volumetric)**

- ◆ 100,000,000 Mcf/yr -  
Total System Throughput
- ◆ \$3 Distribution  
Charge/Mcf

### **Per Cust. Basis (Non-volumetric)**

- ◆ 1,000,000 Residential  
Customers
- ◆ \$300 Distribution  
Charge/customer





---

# Decoupling Calculation – Con't.

## Average Usage

### ◆ TR\* with 5% Volume Reduction

- ✓ 95 Mcf/Cust./yr
- ✓ x\$3 Dist. Chg/Mcf
- ✓ \$285 Rev/Cust.
- ✓ \$15 Rev Shortfall
- ✓ \$15 Loss in Yr 1
  
- ✓ No rate adjustment in yr 2

### ◆ RD\* with 5% Volume Reduction – UPC Basis

- ✓ 95 Mcf/Cust./yr
- ✓ x\$3 Dist. Chg/Mcf
- ✓ \$285 Rev/Cust. in Yr 1
- ✓ \$15 Rev Shortfall
  
- ✓ 100 Mcf/Cust./Yr
- ✓ x\$3.15/Dist. Chg/Mcf
- ✓ \$315 Rev/Cust. in Yr 2
- ✓ \$15 Rev Surplus in Yr 2

\*Traditional Rate Design

\* Revenue Decoupling



---

# Decoupling Calculation

## High and Low Volume Usage

- ◆ High Volume Cust.  
(133 Mcf/yr) UPC  
5% Vol. Reduction
  - ✓ \$399 Expected Rev.
  - ✓ 126 Mcf/Cust./Yr
  - ✓ x\$3 Dist. Chg/Mcf
  - ✓ \$378 Rev/Cust. in Yr 1
  - ✓ \$21 Rev Shortfall
  
  - ✓ 133 Mcf/Cust./Yr
  - ✓ x\$3.15/Dist. Chg/Mcf
  - ✓ \$420 Rev/Cust in Yr 2
  - ✓ \$21 Rev Surplus in Yr 2

- ◆ Low Volume Cust.  
(67 Mcf/yr) UPC  
5% Vol. Reduction
  - ✓ \$201 Expected Rev.
  - ✓ 64 Mcf/Cust./Yr
  - ✓ x\$3 Dist. Chg/Mcf
  - ✓ \$192 Rev/Cust. in Yr 1
  - ✓ \$9 Rev shortfall
  
  - ✓ 67 Mcf/Cust./Yr
  - ✓ x\$3.15/Dist. Chg/Mcf
  - ✓ \$210 Rev/Cust. in Yr 2
  - ✓ \$9 Rev Surplus in Yr 2



---

# Impact of Decoupling on ROE

- ◆ Rate design change decouples return recovery from commodity sales volumes.
- ◆ The symmetrical nature of decoupling decreases over-earning at the same time that it lessens under-earning.
- ◆ Company is not sheltered from impact of increased costs.
- ◆ Decoupling does not provide a guarantee that company will achieve its authorized ROE.
- ◆ Peer group for cost of capital determination may already include companies with innovative rates
- ◆ Factors determining cost of capital generally don't include rate design.
- ◆ No company accepted a decreased ROE in return for decoupling.



---

# Decoupling Tariffs

## ◆ APPROVED

1. CA – Pacific Gas and Electric
2. CA - San Diego Gas and Electric
3. CA – Southern California Gas
4. CA – Southwest Gas
5. IN – Vectren Indiana
6. MD – Baltimore Gas and Electric
7. MD – Washington Gas
8. NJ – NJ Natural Gas
9. NJ – South Jersey Gas
10. OH – Vectren Ohio
11. OR – Cascade
12. OR – NW Natural Gas
13. NC - Piedmont
14. UT – Questar

## ◆ PENDING

1. AZ – UNS Gas
2. DE - Delmarva
3. NM – Public Service Co. of NM
4. TN – Chattanooga Gas
5. VA – Washington Gas
6. WA – Avista
7. WA – Cascade
8. WA – Puget Energy



---

# Decoupling Proposals Denied or Rejected

- ◆ Rejected consideration
  - ✓ CT – For all electric and gas utilities – Commission said a conservation tracker already exists
- ◆ Denied
  - ✓ AR – CenterPoint Southern Operations – State engaging in generic energy efficiency collaborative
  - ✓ AZ – Southwest Gas
  - ✓ GA – Atmos
  - ✓ IN – Citizens Gas and Coke Utility – State engaging in a generic energy efficiency investigation
  - ✓ NV – Southwest Gas – Comm. said utility could refile



---

# What's In Decoupling for the Customer?

- ◆ Decoupling imposes no additional costs to the customer beyond those approved in the rate case.
- ◆ Decoupling leads to reduced customer bill variability from stabilized fixed cost recovery.
- ◆ Reduced natural gas consumption from conservation leads to lower total bills.
- ◆ Possible reduction in uncollectible bills, which are a system cost paid by customers.
- ◆ Reducing overall gas demand could lower gas prices. A 2003 ACEEE Study projected 20% decline in gas prices from reduction in natural gas of 1.9% and electricity consumption of 2.2%.



---

# Independent 3<sup>rd</sup> Party\* Evaluation of NW Natural Conservation Tariff PUC Required Study Found:

- ◆ Decoupling tariff was an effective means of reducing NW Natural's disincentive to promote energy efficiency.
- ◆ Decoupling changed company focus from marketing to promoting energy efficiency.
- ◆ Oregon now has the highest share of high-efficiency furnaces in the nation (as a percentage of new furnace sales).
- ◆ No customer complaints received regarding decoupling tariff and only 26 complaints regarding public purpose funding.
- ◆ Tariff improved NW Natural's ability to recover fixed costs.
- ◆ Decoupling did not shift risk to customers.
- ◆ No negative effects on customer service

\*Christensen Associates



---

# Flat Delivery Services Charge

## Same Outcomes as Decoupling

### ◆ Approved

- ✓ ND – Xcel – All fixed costs are recovered in the fixed monthly service charge - \$15.69
- ✓ OK - ONEOK - Customers choose plan with high monthly service charge and low distribution charges, or a plan with low monthly service charge and high distribution charges.
- ✓ GA – Atlanta Gas Light – individually determined monthly service charge

### ◆ Pending

- ✓ MI – Semco Pending - \$24.09 or \$25.18
- ✓ MO – MGE Pending - \$27.50





---

# Flat Delivery Service Charge (FDS\*) Calculation

## Average Usage

### ◆ FDS Rate Design

- ✓ 100 Mcf/year
- ✓ \$300 Distribution Charge/year
- ✓  $\$300/12 \text{ months} =$
- ✓ \$25 Distribution Charge/month

\*Flat Delivery Service Charge

### ◆ FDS with 5% Volume Reduction

- ✓ 95 Mcf/year
- ✓ \$25 Distribution Charge/month
- ✓  $\$25 * 12 \text{ months} =$
- ✓ \$300 Distribution Charge no matter how many Mcf consumed



---

# Flat Delivery Service Charge Calculation

## High and Low Usage

### ◆ High Volume Cust. (133 Mcf/yr) with 5% Volume Reduction

- ✓ 126 Mcf/customer/yr
- ✓ \$25 margin/month
- ✓ \$300 marginal revenue  
no matter how many  
Mcf consumed

### ◆ Low Volume Cust. (67 Mcf/yr) with 5% Volume Reduction

- ✓ 64 Mcf/customer/yr
- ✓ \$25 margin/month
- ✓ \$300 Distribution  
Charge no matter how  
many Mcf consumed



---

# What's In Flat Delivery Charge for the Customer?

- ◆ Customers do not overpay or underpay the distribution charge each month.
- ◆ Improved bill stability over both traditional rate design and decoupling.
- ◆ Pricing is similar to other consumer services, i.e., telephone, cable, and internet.
- ◆ Bills are simpler and easier to understand.
- ◆ The amount of bill variability due to commodity prices is transparent to the customer.



---

# Summary

- ◆ Gas utilities don't make money on the cost of the gas – they lose money when the price is high.
- ◆ Gas utility service is a fixed cost business.
- ◆ Traditional rate design is more than 100 years old and discourages energy conservation.
- ◆ Revenue decoupling and other forms of innovative rate design break the link between a utility's earnings and the energy consumption of its customers.
- ◆ Decoupling works by adjusting rates up or down in response to changes in customer usage.
- ◆ Flat delivery service charges work by charging a flat rate regardless of usage.



---

# THANK YOU

