February 23, 2010

RECEIVED

FEB 23 2010

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Filed by Federal Express

James J. McNulty, Secretary Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Commonwealth Keystone Building 2nd Floor, 7 North 400 North Street Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Philadelphia Gas Works

Docket No. R-2009-2139884; P-2009-2097639

Dear Secretary McNulty:

On behalf of Tenant Union Representative Network (TURN) and Action Alliance of Senior Citizens of Greater Philadelphia (collectively "TURN et al."), enclosed please find an original and three (3) copies of a Pre-Hearing Conference Memorandum in the above-captioned matter.

Copies of this filing have been sent this date to the parties listed on the Certificate of Service by First Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid and by e-mail..

Very truly yours,

Philip A. Bertocci, Esquire

Thu B. Tran, Esquire

Attorneys for TURN et al.

cc: Honorable Charles E. Rainey, Jr. Certificate of Service

Enclosures

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

RECEIVED

FEB 23 2010

Pennsylvania Public Utility

Commission :

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

SECRETARY'S BUREAU

v. : R-2009-2139884

:

Philadelphia Gas Works

Philadelphia Gas Works' Revised Petition

for Approval of Energy Conservation and

P-2009-2097639

Demand Side Management Plan

Pre-Hearing Memorandum of Tenant Union Representative Network and Action and Alliance of Senior Citizens of Greater Philadelphia

The Tenant Union Representative Network (TURN) and Action Alliance of Senior Citizens of Greater Philadelphia (hereinafter "TURN et al."), through counsel, hereby submit this Pre-Hearing Memorandum in the above-captioned matter.

I. Procedural History.

This is a Base Rate Increase Case which has been consolidated by Commission

Order with review of the Philadelphia Gas Works (PGW) Revised Petition for Approval

of Energy Conservation and Demand-Side Management ("DSM") Plan.

On April 20, 2009, PGW submitted its Revised Petition for Approval of Energy Conservation and Demand-Side Management ("DSM") Plan.

On December 19, 2009, PGW filed Supplement No. 36 to Tariff Gas – Pa. P.U.C. No. 2 to become effective February 6, 2010, containing proposed changes in rates, rules and regulations calculated to produce \$102.5 million in additional revenues above what had been previously authorized by the Commission. This Tariff filing asked that the Commission allow PGW to make permanent the temporary emergency \$60 million rate increase granted on December 19, 2008 in response to PGW's credit-related short term liquidity challenges associated with the nationwide financial crisis. In addition, this Tariff filing sought changes in rates, rules and regulations calculated to produce \$42.5 million in additional annual revenues.

On January 7, 2010, the Office of Small Business Advocate (OSBA) filed a Formal Complaint in opposition to PGW's Base Rate Increase.

On January 15, 2010, TURN et al. filed a Formal Complaint in opposition to PGW's Base Rate Increase.

On January 19, 2010, the Office of Consumer Advocate (OCA) filed a Formal Complaint in opposition to PGW's Base Rate Increase.

On February 2, 2010, the Philadelphia Housing Authority filed a Formal Complaint in opposition to PGW's Base Rate Increase.

On February 12, 2010, the Philadelphia Industrial and Commercial Gas Users

Group (PICGUG) filed a Formal Complaint in opposition to PGW's Base Rate Increase.

On February 12, 2010, the Honorable Charles E. Rainey, Jr., Administrative Law

Judge, issued a Prehearing Conference Order which set forth an agenda for a Prehearing Conference to be held on March 2, 2010.

Between January 28, 2010 and February 19, 2010, according to the dockets in this case, various individuals filed Protests concerning the proposed Base Rate Increase.

II. ANTICIPATED ISSUES.

As set forth in TURN et al.'s Formal Complaint, a preliminary review of PGW's filing indicates the need for Commission investigation into many issues, including but not limited to:

- 1. The reasonableness of PGW's customer service, including but not limited to:
 - (a) whether PGW's customer service, including but not limited to the low level of performance of the call center, requires rejection of the requested increase in rates;
 - (b) whether PGW's excessive concentration on termination of service as a collection method coupled with its harsh payment agreement requirements are reasonable, given that they have resulted in the deprivation of winter heating service to approximately 8,400 customers in 2009-2010, including at least 3,600 low income customers with household income below 150%FPL;
 - (c) whether PGW's universal service programs are under enrolled in light of the number of PGW's low income customers;
 - (d) whether PGW's proposed Tariff contains amendments to its customer service regulations which eliminate existing customer protections and/or are not consistent with the Public Utility Code and Chapter 56.
- 2. The justness and reasonableness of the rates requested in light of the

constitutionally based statutory requirement that rates be just and reasonable, including but not limited to:

- (a) whether the requested rate relief unfairly requires customers to pay through rates to reduce a level of long term debt which was incurred through mismanagement by PGW's owner, the City of Philadelphia;
- (b) whether PGW's plan would place an undue burden of debt reduction on customers, while failing to include a commitment by PGW's owner, the City of Philadelphia, to renounce its right to receive an \$18 million annual payment authorized by City ordinance;
- (c) whether the increase in rates that is requested is in excess of what is necessary in order for PGW to meet its bond covenants;
- (d) whether PGW's proposed Other Post-Employment Benefits proposal would inequitably require current customers to fund post-employment costs that are speculative in amount and will be only experienced in the future;
- (e) whether PGW inappropriately proposes to require customers to fund its short term borrowing needs, rather than utilize a substantial Tax Exempt Commercial Paper program authorized under state and local law, contrary to sound and customary business practices;
- (f) whether PGW's DSM Program would inequitably require PGW customers to refund to PGW via a surcharge the benefits that might result from the conservation measures that they have introduced in their residences.
- 3. The lack of evidentiary support for PGW's alleged revenue requirement, including but not limited to:
 - (a) whether PGW's operating costs are excessive, and whether the increase appropriately accounts for cost savings arising from ratepayer funded cost reduction programs;
 - (b) whether PGW's test year data is based on the FY2010 compliance operating budget approved by the Philadelphia Gas Commission and the

Philadelphia Facilities Management Corporation.

TURN <u>et al</u>. reserve the right to address additional issues, as well as respond to issues raised by other parties in this proceeding.

III. Witnesses.

TURN <u>et al.</u> will sponsor the testimony of Harry S. Geller, Esquire, Executive Director of the Pennsylvania Utility Law Project (PULP) in this proceeding. Mr. Geller's information is as follows:

Harry S. Geller, Esquire Pennsylvania Utility Law Project 118 Locust Street Harrisburg, PA 17101-1414 Telephone: 717-232-2719

Fax: 711-233-4088

hgellerpulp@palegalaid.net

Mr. Geller will provide testimony on customer service issues, including the issues set forth in Section II.1 above. TURN <u>et al</u>. request that Mr. Geller be added to the service list in this proceeding.

TURN <u>et al</u>. intend to participate in this proceeding through the propounding of discovery, submission of testimony, cross-examination of other parties' witnesses, and the submission of briefs, exceptions and reply exceptions, if necessary.

5

IV. Discovery Rules and Procedural Schedule.

TURN et al. will cooperate with other parties to establish appropriate discovery

rules and an appropriate procedural schedule.

V. Public Input Hearings.

TURN et al. recommend that at least three public input hearings be scheduled. It

is critical that notice of the time and place of such hearings be widely publicized at least

two weeks in advance of the meetings to enable interested members of the public to learn

of the input hearings and arrange to attend.

VI. Settlement.

TURN et al. anticipate participation in settlement discussions concerning this

matter.

RECEIVED

FEB 2 3 2010

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Respectfully submitted,

PHILIP A. BERTOCCI, ESQUIRE

THU B. TRAN, ESQUIRE

Attorneys for TURN et al.

COMMUNITY LEGAL SERVICES, INC.

1424 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 981-3702

February 23, 2010

6

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. PGW, Docket No. R-2009-2139884

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of the foregoing Prehearing Conference Memorandum of TURN et al. upon the participants listed below in accordance with the requirements of § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

Daniel Clearfield, Esquire
Kevin J. Moody, Esquire
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
213 Market Street, 8th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
dclearfield@eckertseamans.com
kmoody@eckertseamans.com

Todd Stewart, Esquire
Hawke McKeon Sniscak & Kennard,
P.O. Box 1778
Harrisburg, PA 17105
TSStewart@hmslegal.com

Johnnie Simms, Esquire Richard A. Kanaskie, Esquire Office of Trial Staff PA Public Utility Commission P.O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265 josimms@state.pa.us rkanaskie@state.pa.us

William R. Lloyd, Jr., Esquire Sharon Webb, Esquire Lauren Lepkowski, Esquire Office of Small Business Advocate Commerce Building, Suite 1102 300 North 2nd Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 willloyd@state.pa.us swebb@state.pa.us llepkoski@state.pa.us Darryl Lawrence, Esquire
Jennedy S. Johnson, Esquire
Office of Consumer Advocate
5th Floor, Forum Place Bldg.
555 Walnut Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1921
dlawrence@paoca.org
jjohnson@paoca.org

Charis Mincavage, Esquire
Barry Naum, Esquire
McNees Wallace Nurick
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166
cmincavage@mwn.com
bnaum@mwn.com

RECEIVED

FEB **23** 2010

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Philip L. Hinerman, Esquire Jill Guldin, Esquire Robert Clothier, Esquire Fox Rothschild LP 2000 Market Street, 10th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103-3291 phinerman@foxrothschild.com iguldin@foxrothschild.com rclothier@foxrothschild.com

Adam H. Cutler, Esquire Public Interest Law Center of Philadelphia 125 S. 9th Street, Suite 700 Philadelphia, PA 19107 acutler@pilcop.org

Dated: February 23, 2010

Ly, C Bertocu. Philip A. Bertocci, Esquire

RECEIVED

FEB 23 2010

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION SECRETARY'S BUREAU

