December 12, 2011

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120


Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

I have enclosed for filing the original and nine (9) copies of an Exception Seeking Clarification on Behalf of Metropolitan Edison Company, Pennsylvania Electric Company, and Pennsylvania Power Company in the above-captioned matter. Copies have been served on all parties as indicated in the attached certificate of service.

Very truly yours,

John F. Povilaitis

JFP/kra
Enclosure

cc: The Honorable Dennis J. Buckley (via Hand Delivery)
    Cheryl Walker Davis, Director, Office of Special Assistants (via Hand Delivery)
    Certificate of Service
BEFORE THE
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Proceedings and Approval of Energy
Efficiency and Conservation Plans
of Metropolitan Edison Company,
Pennsylvania Electric Company, and
Pennsylvania Power Company

EXCEPTION SEEKING CLARIFICATION
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METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY,
Pennsylvania Electric Company,
and
Pennsylvania Power Company

Kathy J. Kolich, PA ID No. 92203
Carrie M. Dunn, (admitted pro hac vice)
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308

John F. Povilaitis, PA ID No. 28944
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BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY,
P.C.
17 North Second Street, 15th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1503

Attorneys for Metropolitan Edison
Company, Pennsylvania Electric
Company, and
Pennsylvania Power Company

Dated: December 12, 2011
I. INTRODUCTION

Metropolitan Edison, Pennsylvania Electric Company and Pennsylvania Power Company (collectively, "Companies") file the following single Exception, seeking clarification of the Recommended Decision ("RD") of Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Dennis J. Buckley issued December 5, 2011, pursuant to Section 5.533 of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission's ("Commission") Regulations, 52 Pa. Code § 5.533. For purposes of this filing, the Companies adopt the procedural history and findings of fact set forth in the RD.

II. EXCEPTION

In the Initial Decision, the ALJ recommends that the Companies "continue to use the Large [Commercial and Industrial] C&I stakeholder process to ensure that future changes [to incentive ranges] are applied prospectively and in an even-handed manner." (RD at 25.) The Companies do not oppose the above concept, but note for the Commission that the stakeholder group includes additional parties beyond the Large C&I class and request that the Commission clarify in its final order that the above directive should be implemented in the context of the Companies' Energy Efficiency and Conservation stakeholder process, and not a new and separate stakeholder process.

1 It is unclear as to whether the ALJ intended that all proposed changes to the Companies' Energy Efficiency and Conservation ("EE&C") Plans be vetted through the stakeholder process, or simply those proposing changes to incentives within the approved ranges. Given the context in which the directive appears, the Companies assume the latter was intended. However, regardless, the Companies fully intend to utilize the stakeholder process to keep interested parties apprised of any potential modifications to the plans and will follow the process outlined by the Commission in its June 10, 2011 Order regarding energy efficiency and conservation program plan changes. Energy Efficiency and Conservation Program, Docket No. M-2008-2069887 (June 10, 2011) at 19-20("Minor EE&C Plan changes to be reviewed under this expedited review process shall be defined as follows:...[a]dding a measure or changing the conditions of a measure, such as its eligibility requirements, technical description, rebate structure or amount...so long as the change does not increase the overall costs to that customer class."(emphasis added)."
III. CONCLUSION AND RELIEF REQUESTED

Through this Exception, the Companies respectfully request that the Commission adopt the above-described clarification in its final order at this docket.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathy Kolich, Esquire
Carrie M. Dunn, Esquire
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308
(T) 330-384-4580
(F) 330-384-3875
Email: kjkolich@firstenergycorp.com

John F. Povilaitis, Esquire
Lauren M. Lepkoski, Esquire
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney, PC
17 North Second Street, 15th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Attorneys for Metropolitan Edison Company, Pennsylvania Electric Company, and Pennsylvania Power Company
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CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have on this 12th day of December, 2011, served a true copy
of the Exception Seeking Clarification of Metropolitan Edison Company, Pennsylvania
Electric Company and Pennsylvania Power Company upon the parties set forth below in
accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code Section 1.54 (relating to service by a
participant), both by electronic mail and U. S. First Class Mail, postage prepaid.

Daniel G. Asmus
Assistant Small Business Advocate
Office of Small Business Advocate
Commerce Building, Suite 1102
300 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Charis Mincavage, Esquire
Vasiliki Karandrikas, Esquire
Carl J. Zwick, Esquire
McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

Aron J. Beatty, Esquire
Candis A. Tunilos, Esquire
Tanya J. McCloskey, Esquire
Office of Consumer Advocate
555 Walnut Street
5th Floor, Forum Place
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923

John F. Povilaitis, Esquire
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