BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Petition of PECO Energy Company for Approval of its Smart Meter Universal Deployment Plan: Docket No. M-2009-2123944

PREHEARING MEMORANDUM
OF DIRECT ENERGY SERVICES, LLC


I. REPRESENTATION

Direct Energy’s attorneys in this matter are:

Daniel Clearfield, Esquire
Deanne O’Dell, Esquire
Edward G. Lanza, Esquire
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
213 Market St., 8th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
717.237.6000
Fax 717.237.6019
dclearfield@eckertseamans.com
dodell@eckertseamans.com
elanza@eckertseamans.com

Direct Energy agrees to accept electronic service to all attorneys listed above to be followed up with one hard copy of the documents served.

II. PRESENTLY IDENTIFIED ISSUES

On January 18, 2013, PECO filed its Smart Meter Petition seeking Commission approval of its Smart Meter Deployment Plan which details how PECO proposes to deploy smart meters in its service territory. PECO’s proposed smart meter deployment plan may have a material
effect on competitive development in the PECO service territory. Specifically, the implementation of smart meters will enhance the ability of PECO to provide EGSs customer information necessary for EGSs to offer a competitive generation price.

PECO’s proposed cyber security and data privacy policies should not be designed to inhibit the ability of Direct Energy to access important customer information. In fact, the Commission has specifically directed the capabilities that the smart meters are required to accommodate with regard to providing historical interval usage data via Electronic Data Interchange (“EDI”).¹ The Commission has directed PECO to incorporate meter-level interval usage data capabilities within their smart meter plans. Both of these issues address the ability of Direct Energy to have access to important customer information. Direct Energy needs timely and reliable access to the usage data of PECO’s current customers so that it can accurately price alternate supply service and make a competitive offer to the customer. Delayed access to this information or receiving information that is not accurate may discourage competitors from coming into the market and could lead to confusion for customers if the EGS is either delayed or inaccurate in the price it offers only due to issues related to the underlying data.

The Commission’s action in this proceeding will impact how Direct Energy is able to compete in this market. Importantly, the data access issues identified above will impact the ability of Direct Energy to have access to important customer information. Direct Energy needs timely and reliable access to the usage data of PECO’s current customers so that it can accurately price alternate supply service and make a competitive offer to the customer. Delayed access to this information or receiving information that is not accurate may discourage competitors from

coming into the market and could lead to confusion for customers if the EGS is either delayed or inaccurate in the price it offers only due to issues related to the underlying data.

Additionally, PECO plans to implement the necessary customer and usage data integration with Dynamic Pricing vendors to enable new Dynamic Pricing rates for customers. Such processes must be carefully implemented to ensure that all customers – whether they receive PECO’s default service or competitive supply – have equal access to the benefits of dynamic pricing programs.

Direct Energy has identified the following issues that should be examined in this proceeding:

- Ensuring that the smart meters deployed will be capable of providing timely and reliable access to the usage data of PECO’s current customers;
- Ensuring that a flexible range of billing options are available;
- Whether the Company’s overall smart meter deployment plan is consistent with the Commission’s regulations and the Public Utility Code.
- Whether the Company’s smart meter deployment plan is reasonably structured and sufficient to achieve applicable legal and regulatory goals and enhance the development of a competitive market.

Direct Energy reserves the right to address other issues identified through its continued review and analysis of the filing or raised by other parties.

III. WITNESSES

Direct Energy continues to evaluate whether to present witnesses in this proceeding and reserves the right to present a witness as may be necessary depending on the course of the proceeding. Direct Energy will provide the Presiding Officer as well as the other parties in this matter reasonable notice if necessary.
IV. LITIGATION AND DISCOVERY RULES

Direct Energy is amenable to working with the other parties to adopt a reasonable litigation schedule and/or necessary modifications to the Commission’s discovery rules.

V. SETTLEMENT

Direct Energy is willing to participate in settlement discussions with any party to narrow the issues in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel Clearfield, Esquire
Attorney I.D. 26183
Deanne M. O’Dell, Esquire
Attorney I.D. 81064
Edward G. Lanza, Esquire
Attorney I.D. 81081
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
213 Market St., 8th Floor
P.O. Box 1248
Harrisburg, PA 17101
717.237.6000
Fax 717.237.6019
dclearfield@eckertseamans.com
dodell@eckertseamans.com
elanza@eckertseamans.com

Date: March 22, 2013

Attorneys for Direct Energy Services, LLC