

Karen O. Moury
717 237 4820
karen.moury@bipc.com

409 North Second Street
Suite 500
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1357
T 717 237 4800
F 717 233 0852
www.buchananingersoll.com

February 4, 2015

VIA E-FILING

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement v. Uber Technologies, Inc.
Docket No. C-2014-2422723

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

On behalf of Uber Technologies, Inc., I have enclosed for electronic filing the Motion Of Uber Technologies, Inc. For A Protective Order, in the above-captioned matter.

Copies have been served on all parties as indicated in the attached certificate of service.

Sincerely,



Karen O. Moury

KOM/bb
Enclosure
cc: Certificate of Service

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY	:	
COMMISSION, BUREAU OF	:	
INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT	:	
	:	Docket No. C-2014-2422723
v.	:	
	:	
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	:	

MOTION OF UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER

TO ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES LONG AND WATSON:

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.365, by and through its counsel, Karen O. Moury and Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC, Uber Technologies, Inc. (“UTI”) hereby files this Motion for a Protective Order, and in support hereof avers as follows:

1. On June 5, 2014, the Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement (“I&E”) filed a Complaint against UTI. On January 9, 2015, I&E filed an Amended Complaint.

2. On January 14, 2015, UTI filed a Motion for Settlement Conference and Assignment of Settlement Judge (“Settlement Motion”) requesting the scheduling of a settlement conference on a mutually convenient date before February 6, 2015 and the assignment of an administrative law judge (“ALJ”) to participate in the settlement conference.

3. By Interim Order dated January 23, 2015, the Administrative Law Judges (“ALJs”) denied the Settlement Motion. In large part, the Interim Order was based on the unwillingness of the Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement (“I&E”) to engage in settlement discussions with UTI because of its failure to provide responses to discovery, including trip data. In I&E’s response opposing the Settlement Motion, it indicated that it would agree to a settlement conference only if UTI provided the trip data three days in advance thereof.

4. By Interim Order dated January 29, 2015, the ALJs held I&E's Second Motion for Sanctions in abeyance, cancelled the evidentiary hearing scheduled for February 18, 2015 and directed counsel to appear on February 18, 2015 to provide oral argument on the relief requested by the Second Motion for Sanctions and to discuss the procedural schedule and stipulations.

5. On February 4, 2015, UTI filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Interim Order dated January 23, 2015 and proposed to address the Court's holdings by providing trip data in advance of a settlement conference to facilitate productive settlement discussions. Specifically, UTI proposed that a structured settlement conference be scheduled on February 18, 2015 prior to or after the oral arguments and other discussion directed by the ALJs. UTI further proposed that the settlement conference be facilitated by an ALJ, which could be one of the presiding ALJs or a different ALJ.

6. In connection with such a settlement conference, UTI committed to providing trip data, and other information requested about the entities involved in Pennsylvania operations prior to August 21, 2014, to I&E by February 13, 2015, on a confidential basis,¹ with the express understanding and agreement that the information is to be used only to aid in settlement discussions.

7. By this Motion for Protective Order, UTI requests that the ALJs issue a Protective Order to limit the use of the confidential information which UTI proposes to provide by February 13, 2015 so that it may not be disclosed to any other entity or otherwise used by I&E except for settlement discussions.

¹ Rasier-PA LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of UTI, has provided the confidential trip data required by the Secretarial Letter dated July 28, 2014, under seal, to the Commission for review by Commissioners and advisory staff at the Commission who have duties to monitor compliance with the Commission's Orders entered on December 5, 2014 at Applications of Rasier-PA LLC, Docket Nos. A-2014-2416127 and A-2014-2424608.

8. While UTI recognizes I&E's desire to receive the trip data without limitations on its use, UTI suggests that there is no downside to I&E accepting the information on the basis set forth in this Motion. If a settlement is not achieved and UTI continues to not provide the information for litigation or other purposes, I&E still has its procedural rights to pursue sanctions. However, if a settlement is achieved, this matter can be concluded without the need for further motions, pleadings, hearings, rulings and decisions, saving the parties and the Commission valuable resources.

9. From UTI's perspective, providing the data in the context of settlement discussions and arriving at a settlement that is not based on the number of trips is highly preferable to furnishing this information through discovery and having it utilized as a basis for an amended complaint and a Commission decision, which would expose it to public disclosure. *See* 66 Pa.C.S. § 335(d); *Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Seder*, No. 2254 C.D. 2013 (Opinion filed December 3, 2014) (documents relied upon by the Commission in reaching a determination should be made part of the public record).

10. A draft Protective Order is attached to this Motion as Attachment "A".

WHEREFORE, Uber Technologies, Inc. respectfully requests that the Commission grant this Motion for Protective Order.

Respectfully submitted,

February 4, 2015



Karen O. Moury
Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
409 North Second Street, Suite 500
Harrisburg, PA 17101
Telephone: (717) 237-4820
Facsimile: (717) 233-0852

Attorneys for Uber Technologies, Inc.

ATTACHMENT A

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY	:	
COMMISSION, BUREAU OF	:	
INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT	:	
	:	Docket No. C-2014-2422723
v.	:	
	:	
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	:	

PROTECTIVE ORDER

Upon consideration of the Motion for Protective Order filed by Uber Technologies, Inc. (“UTI”);

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is hereby granted with respect to the materials and information that UTI has committed to provide through discovery to the Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement (“I&E”) by February 13, 2015.
2. The information subject to this Protective Order includes trip data and responses concerning other information requested about the entities involved in Pennsylvania operations prior to August 21, 2014.
3. UTI shall mark this information as confidential.
4. This information may not be used by I&E for any purpose other than to aid in settlement discussions.
5. I&E will consider and treat this proprietary information within the definition of “confidential information” in Section 102 of the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Law of 2008, 65 P.S. § 67.102, and subject to exemptions from disclosure as provided for in Section 708 of the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Law of 2008, 65 P.S. § 67.708.

6. That within 30 days after a Commission final order is entered in the above-captioned proceeding, or in the event of appeals, within thirty days after appeals are finally decided, I&E shall destroy or return to UTI all copies of all documents containing proprietary information. In the event that I&E elects to destroy all copies of such documents, it shall certify in writing to UTI that the proprietary information has been destroyed.

Dated: _____

Mary D. Long, Administrative Law Judge
Jeffrey A. Watson, Administrative Law Judge

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY	:	
COMMISSION, BUREAU OF	:	
INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT	:	
	:	Docket No. C-2014-2422723
v.	:	
	:	
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	:	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of the foregoing document upon the parties, listed below, in accordance with the requirements of § 1.54 (relating to service by a party).

Via Email and First Class Mail

Michael L. Swindler, Esquire
Stephanie M. Wimer, Esquire
Wayne T. Scott, Esquire
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
PO Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265
mwindler@pa.gov
stwimer@pa.gov
wascott@pa.gov

Mary D. Long
Jeffrey A. Watson
Administrative Law Judges
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
301 5th Avenue, Suite 220
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222
malong@pa.gov
jeffwatson@pa.gov

Dated this 4th day of February, 2015.



Karen O. Moury, Esq.