**BEFORE THE**

**PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, :

Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement :

 :

 v. : C-2014-2422713

 :

Lyft, Inc. :

**INTERIM ORDER**

**ON SETTLEMENT PROCEDURE**

 On June 6, 2014, the Commission’s Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement (BIE), filed a complaint against Lyft, Inc. (Lyft). The complaint alleges, among other things, that Lyft is acting as a broker of transportation without a certificate of public convenience and that its actions constitute a violation of the Public Utility Code. The complaint seeks civil penalties in the amount of $130,000 and an additional $1,000 per day for each day that Lyft continues to operate after the date of filing. Lyft filed an answer to the complaint on June 26, 2014.

 BIE filed an amended complaint on October 8, 2014, in order to update and quantify the alleged violations by including a “per ride” violation component and to recalculate civil penalty as the relief requested. On October 28, 2014, Lyft filed an answer as well as preliminary objections to the amended complaint.[[1]](#footnote-1)

 Thereafter, the parties met and engaged in settlement discussions. By email dated March 23, 2015, the parties informed us that their discussions were productive and they were able to reach a settlement in principle.

 THEREFORE,

 IT IS ORDERED:

 1. That the evidentiary hearing scheduled in the above-captioned matter for March 30, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. is cancelled.

 2. That the parties shall file a joint petition for settlement along with statements in support on or before **April 30, 2015**. The parties shall provide a copy of their filing to the presiding officers in Word version.

 3. That the settlement and/or statements in support shall include the following:

 a. Stipulation of facts;

 b. Proposed conclusions of law;

 c. Proposed ordering paragraphs;

 d. An analysis of the appropriate elements of the Commission’s policy “Factors and standards for evaluating litigated and settled proceedings involving violations of the Public Utility Code and Commission regulations for any penalty agreed upon” at 52 Pa.Code § 69.1201; and

 e. Address the issues raised by the Commissioners in the July Secretarial Letter.

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Mary D. Long Administrative Law Judge

Date: March 25, 2015 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Jeffrey A. Watson Administrative Law Judge

**C-2014-2422713 - PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION, BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT v. LYFT, INC.***Revised 1/5/2014*

STEPHANIE M WIMER ESQUIREMICHAEL L SWINDLER ESQUIRE

PA PUC BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT

PO BOX 3265HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265***Accepts eService***

RICHARD P SOBIECKI ESQUIRE

ANDREW T GEORGE ESQUIRE

BAKER BOTTS LLP

1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW

WASHINGTON DC 20004

**202-639-7700**

MICHAEL W GANG ESQUIRE

DEVIN T RYAN ESQUIRE

POST & SCHELL PC

17 NORTH SECOND STREET 12TH FLOOR

HARRISBURG PA 17101-1601

**717-731-1970**

***Accepts eService***

1. The preliminary objections were dismissed by interim order dated November 25, 2014. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)