

DB

RECEIVED

2017 OCT 30 AM 8:21

OBJECTIONS PAGE

Please sign this sheet if you would like to oppose the Joint PUC for Settlement signed by Pennsylvania American Water Co., the PUC's Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement, the Office of Small Business Advocate, the Office of Consumer Advocate, and other active parties in the case of Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania American Water Co., Docket No. R-2017-2595853. **You are encouraged to provide written comments below and/or attach additional pages**, setting forth any facts and explanation for your objections.

SECRETARY'S BUREAU
REG. DESK

By adding my signature below, I am indicating that I have read the terms of the Settlement Agreement and wish to OPPOSE the Settlement. I understand that I may file objections (below and/or by attachment to this Objections Page) to the Settlement and exceptions to a Recommended Decision and that my complaint will be resolved as part of the PUC order resolving this case.

Stephen R. Schwarz
Please Print Your Full Name

Stephen R. Schwarz
Please Sign Your Full Name

Date: 10/21/17

Please Write Your Address Here:

9756 Leland Terrace
Tobyhanna, PA
18466

Docket Number of Your Complaint

C-2017-2607408

Objections: I've seen this scenario too many times before. A utility proposes an initial completely outrageous rate increase that cannot even remotely be justified, and then months later proposes a "settlement" that slightly reduces the unconscionable rate increase. I am sick of this garbage! Has the PUC even tried to establish whether or not an increase is justified? I doubt it. The increase is still unconscionable and discriminatory - how is it that a utility with a monopoly can determine what 90 rate increase each area pays? That's not democracy.