



17 North Second Street
12th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601
717-731-1970 Main
717-731-1985 Main Fax
www.postschell.com

Jessica R. Rogers

jrogers@postschell.com
202-661-6964 Direct
717-731-1985 Direct Fax
File #: 171080

March 30, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor North
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Re: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. UGI Utilities, Inc. - Electric Division
Docket No. R-2017-2640058

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed for filing, please find the Motion for Protective Order of UGI Utilities, Inc. – Electric Division in the above-referenced proceeding. Copies will be provided as indicated on the Certificate of Service.

Respectfully submitted,



Jessica R. Rogers

JRR/sr

Enclosures

cc: Honorable Steven K. Haas
Honorable Andrew M. Calvelli
Certificate of Service

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

R-2017-2640058

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following persons, in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

VIA E-MAIL & FIRST CLASS MAIL

Scott B. Granger, Esquire
Allison Kaster, Esquire
Bureau of Investigation & Enforcement
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor West
PO Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Aron Beatty, Esquire
Hayley Dunn, Esquire
Office of Consumer Advocate
555 Walnut Street
Forum Place, 5th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923

Steven C. Gray, Esquire
Office of Small Business Advocate
300 North Second Street, Suite 202
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Jerome D. Mierzwa
Exeter Associates, Inc.
10480 Little Patuxent Parkway
Suite 300
Columbia, MD 21044
OCA Consultant

Lafayette Morgan
Exeter Associates, Inc.
10480 Little Patuxent Parkway
Suite 300
Columbia, MD 21044
OCA Consultant


Roger D. Colton
Fisher, Sheehan & Colton
34 Warwick Road
Belmont, MA 02478
OCA Consultant

Robert D. Knecht
Industrial Economics Incorporated
2067 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02140
OSBA Consultant

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

Barbara McDade
863 North Street
Luzerne, PA 18709

Date: March 30, 2018


Jessica R. Rogers

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket Nos. R-2017-2640058
	:	
UGI Utilities, Inc. – Electric Division	:	

MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

TO THE HONORABLE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES STEVEN K. HAAS AND ANDREW M. CALVELLI:

UGI Utilities, Inc. – Electric Division (“UGI Electric”) hereby requests that the Honorable Administrative Law Judges Steven K. Haas and Andrew M. Calvelli (the “ALJ”) enter a Protective Order in this proceeding pursuant to the provisions of 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.362(a)(7) and 5.365(a), and in support thereof represents as follows:

1. On January 26, 2018, UGI Electric filed Tariff Electric PA. P.U.C. Nos. 6 and 2-S with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”). Tariff Electric – PA. P.U.C. Nos. 6 and 2-S, issued to be effective for service rendered on or after March 27, 2018, proposed changes to UGI Electric’s base retail distribution rates designed to produce an increase in revenues of approximately \$9.254 million, based upon data for a fully projected future test year ending September 30, 2019 (“2018 Base Rate Case”). The filing was made in compliance with the Commission’s regulations and contains all supporting data and testimony required to be submitted in conjunction with a tariff change seeking a general rate increase.

2. Proprietary Information within the definition of 52 Pa. Code § 5.365 has been requested during the course of this proceeding, which justifies the issuance of a Protective Order. For example, parties have sought information that is customarily treated as sensitive, proprietary,

or highly confidential. Treatment of such information as set forth in the attached proposed Protective Order is justified because unrestricted disclosure of such information would not be in the public interest. These considerations constitute cause for the restrictions specified in 52 Pa. Code § 5.365 and in Administrative Law Judge or Commission Orders granting relief pursuant to said regulation.

3. Under 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.362(a)(7) and 5.365, the Office of Administrative Law Judge or the Commission may issue a Protective Order to limit or prohibit disclosure of confidential commercial information where the potential harm to a participant would be substantial and outweighs the public's interest in having access to the confidential information. In applying this standard, relevant factors to be considered include: the extent to which disclosure would cause unfair economic or competitive damage; the extent to which the information is known by others and used in similar activities; and the worth or value of the information to the party and to the party's competitors. 52 Pa. Code § 5.365(a)(1)-(3).

4. The attached proposed Protective Order defines two categories of protected information. The first is "CONFIDENTIAL," which is defined in Paragraph 3 of the attached proposed Protective Order as "those materials which customarily are treated by that party as sensitive or proprietary, which are not available to the public, and which, if disclosed freely, would subject that party or its clients to risk of competitive disadvantage or other business injury." The second is "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL," which is also defined in Paragraph 3 of the attached proposed Protective Order as "those materials that are of such a commercially sensitive nature among the parties or of such a private, personal nature that the producing party is able to justify a heightened level of confidential protection with respect to those materials."

5. Paragraph 17 of the attached proposed Protective Order protects against overly broad designations of protected information by giving all parties the right to question or challenge the confidential or proprietary nature of the information deemed “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.”

6. Limitation on the disclosure of information deemed “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL” will not prejudice the rights of the participants, nor will such limitation frustrate the prompt and fair resolution of this proceeding. The proposed Protective Order balances the interests of the parties, the public, and the Commission.


7. The attached Protective Order sought by UGI Electric will protect the proprietary nature of competitively valuable information while allowing the parties to use such information for purposes of the instant litigation. The proposed Protective Order applies the least restrictive means of limitation that will provide the necessary protections from disclosure.

8. UGI Electric has consulted with all other parties to this proceeding including the Commission’s Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement, the Office of Consumer Advocate, and the Office of Small Business Advocate. No parties have objected to the proposed Protective Order.

WHEREFORE, for all the reasons set forth above, UGI Utilities, Inc. – Electric Division respectfully requests that Your Honors issue the attached Protective Order.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark C. Morrow (ID # 33590)
Danielle Jouenne (ID #306839)
UGI Utilities, Inc.
460 North Gulph Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406
Phone: 610-768-3628
Phone: 610-992-3203
E-mail:morrowm@ugicorp.com
E-mail: jouenned@ugicorp.com


David B. MacGregor (ID # 28804)
Post & Schell, P.C.
Four Penn Center
1600 John F. Kennedy Boulevard
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2808
Phone: 215-587-1197
Fax: 215-320-4879
E-mail:dmacgregor@postschell.com

Date: March 30, 2018

Jessica R. Rogers (ID # 309842)
Garrett P. Lent (ID # 321566)
Post & Schell, P.C.
17 North Second Street, 12th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601
Phone: 717-731-1970
Fax: 717-731-1985
E-mail:jrogers@postschell.com
E-mail:glent@postschell.com

Counsel for UGI Utilities, Inc. – Electric Division

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket Nos. R-2017-2640058
	:	
UGI Utilities, Inc. – Electric Division	:	

PROTECTIVE ORDER

Upon consideration of the Motion for a Protective Order that was filed by UGI Utilities, Inc. – Electric Division on April __, 2018:

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is hereby granted with respect to all materials and information identified in Paragraphs 2 – 3 below.

2. The information subject to this Protective Order is all correspondence, documents, data, information, studies, methodologies and other materials, furnished in this proceeding, which are believed by the producing party to be of a proprietary or confidential nature and which are so designated by being marked “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.” Such materials will be referred to below as “Proprietary Information.” When a statement or exhibit is identified for the record, the portions thereof that constitute Proprietary Information shall be designated as such for the record.

3. This Protective Order applies to the following categories of materials: (a) the Parties may designate as “CONFIDENTIAL” those materials which customarily are treated by that party as sensitive or proprietary, which are not available to the public, and which, if disclosed freely, would subject that party or its clients to risk of competitive disadvantage or other business injury; (b) the Parties may designate as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

PROTECTED MATERIAL” those materials that are of such a commercially sensitive nature among the parties or of such a private, personal nature that the producing party is able to justify a heightened level of confidential protection with respect to those materials. The Parties shall endeavor to limit their designation of information as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.

4. Proprietary Information shall be made available to counsel for a party, subject to the terms of this Protective Order. Such counsel shall use or disclose the Proprietary Information only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, cross examination, argument, or settlement in this proceeding. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, counsel for a party may afford access to Proprietary Information subject to the conditions set forth in this Protective Order.

5. Information deemed as “CONFIDENTIAL”, shall be made available to a “Reviewing Representative” who is a person that has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate attached as Appendix A, and who is:

- (a) An attorney who has entered an appearance in this proceeding for a party;
- (b) Attorneys, paralegals, and other employees associated for purposes of this case with an attorney described in Paragraph 5(a);
- (c) An expert or an employee of an expert retained by a party for the purpose of advising, preparing for or testifying in this proceeding; or
- (d) Employees or other representatives of a party appearing in this proceeding with significant responsibility for this docket.

With regard to Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement (“I&E”), information deemed as “CONFIDENTIAL” shall be made available to I&E Prosecutors subject to the terms of this Protective Order. The I&E Prosecutors shall use or disclose the CONFIDENTIAL information only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, cross examination, argument, or

settlement in this proceeding. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, the I&E Prosecutors may afford access to CONFIDENTIAL information only to I&E's experts, without the need for the execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate, who are full-time employees of the Commission and are bound by all the provisions of this Protective Order by virtue of the I&E Prosecutors' execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate.

With regard to the Office of Consumer Advocate ("OCA") and Office of Small Business Advocate ("OSBA"), counsel for the OCA and OSBA may afford access to CONFIDENTIAL information to the Consumer Advocate and Small Business Advocate, respectively, without the need for execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate. The Consumer Advocate and Small Business Advocate are bound by all of the provisions of the Protective Agreement by virtue of the OCA counsel's and OSBA counsel's execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate.

6. Information deemed as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL", may be provided to a "Reviewing Representative" who has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate attached as Appendix A and who is:

- (a) An attorney for a statutory advocate pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §1.8 or a counsel who has entered an appearance in this proceeding for a party;
- (b) An attorney, paralegal, or other employee associated for purposes of this case with an attorney described in Paragraph 6(a);
- (c) An outside expert or an employee of an outside expert retained by a party for the purposes of advising, preparing for or testifying in this proceeding;
or
- (d) A person designated as a Reviewing Representative for purposes of HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.

With regard to I&E, information deemed as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL" shall be made available to the I&E Prosecutors subject to the terms of this Protective Order. The I&E Prosecutors shall use or disclose the HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

PROTECTED MATERIAL only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, cross examination, argument, or settlement in this proceeding. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, the I&E Prosecutors may afford access to HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL only to I&E's experts, without the need for the execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate, who are full-time employees of the Commission and are bound by all the provisions of this Protective Order by virtue of the I&E Prosecutors' execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate.

With regard to the OCA and OSBA, counsel for the OCA and OSBA may afford access to HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL to the Consumer Advocate and Small Business Advocate, respectively, without the need for the execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate. The Consumer Advocate and Small Business Advocate are bound by all of the provisions of the Protective Agreement by virtue of the OCA counsel's and OSBA counsel's execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate.

Provided, further, that in accordance with the provisions of Sections 5.362 and 5.365(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.362, 5.365(e), any party may, by subsequent objection or motion, seek further protection with respect to HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL, including, but not limited to, total prohibition of disclosure or limitation of disclosure only to particular parties.

7. For purposes of this Protective Order, a Reviewing Representative may not be a "Restricted Person."

- (a) A "Restricted Person" shall mean: (i) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any competitor of the parties or an employee of such an entity if the employee's duties involve marketing or pricing of the

competitor's products or services; (ii) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any affiliate of a competitor of the parties (including any association of competitors of the parties) or an employee of such an entity if the employee's duties involve marketing or pricing of the competitor's products or services; (iii) an officer, director, stockholder, owner or employee of a competitor of a customer of the parties if the Proprietary Information concerns a specific, identifiable customer of the parties; and (iv) an officer, director, stockholder, owner or employee of an affiliate of a competitor of a customer of the parties if the Proprietary Information concerns a specific, identifiable customer of the parties; provided, however, that no expert shall be disqualified on account of being a stockholder, partner, or owner unless that expert's interest in the business would provide a significant motive for violation of the limitations of permissible use of the Proprietary Information. For purposes of this Protective Order, stocks, partnership or other ownership interests valued at more than \$10,000 or constituting more than a 1% interest in a business establishes a significant motive for violation.

- (b) If an expert for a party, another member of the expert's firm or the expert's firm generally also serves as an expert for, or as a consultant or advisor to, a Restricted Person, said expert must: (i) identify for the parties each Restricted Person and each expert or consultant; (ii) make reasonable attempts to segregate those personnel assisting in the expert's participation in this proceeding from those personnel working on behalf of

a Restricted Person; and (iii) if segregation of such personnel is impractical the expert shall give to the producing party written assurances that the lack of segregation will in no way jeopardize the interests of the parties or their customers. The Parties retain the right to challenge the adequacy of the written assurances that the Parties' or their customers' interests will not be jeopardized. No other persons may have access to the Proprietary Information except as authorized by order of the Commission.

- (c) The Office of Small Business Advocate's ("OSBA") consultant, Mr. Robert D. Knecht, will not be considered to be a Restricted Person, and Paragraphs 7(a) and 7(b) will not apply to Mr. Knecht, provided that Mr. Knecht does not share or discuss the Proprietary Information with any person except authorized OSBA representatives.

8. In the event that a party wishes to designate as a Reviewing Representative a person not described in Paragraphs 5(a) through 5(d) or 6(a) through 6(c) above, or a person that is Restricted Person under Paragraph 7, the party shall seek agreement from the party providing the Proprietary Information. If an agreement is reached, that person shall be a Reviewing Representative with respect to those materials. If no agreement is reached, the party shall submit the disputed designation to the presiding Administrative Law Judges for resolution.

9. A qualified "Reviewing Representative" for "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL" may review and discuss "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL" with their client or with the entity with which they are employed or associated, to the extent that the client or entity is not a "Restricted Person", but may not share with or permit the client or entity to review the "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED

MATERIAL.” Such discussions must be general in nature and not disclose specific “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.” However, Counsel for I&E, the Office of Consume Advocate, and Office of Small Business Advocate may share proprietary information with the I&E Director, Consumer Advocate, and Small Business Advocate, respectively, without obtaining a Non-Disclosure Certificate from these individuals, provided that these individuals otherwise abide by the terms of the Protective Order.

10. Information deemed Proprietary Information shall not be used except as necessary for the conduct of this proceeding, nor shall it be disclosed in any manner to any person except a Reviewing Representative who is engaged in the conduct of this proceeding and who needs to know the information in order to carry out that person’s responsibilities in this proceeding. Reviewing Representatives may not use information contained in any Proprietary Information obtained through this proceeding to give any party or any competitor of any party a commercial advantage.

11. Reviewing Representatives shall execute a Non-Disclosure Certificate.

- (a) A Reviewing Representative shall not be permitted to inspect, participate in discussions regarding, or otherwise be permitted access to Proprietary Information pursuant to this Protective Order unless that Reviewing Representative has first executed a Non-Disclosure Certificate, provided that if an attorney qualified as a Reviewing Representative has executed such a certificate, the paralegals, secretarial and clerical personnel under the attorney's instruction, supervision or control need not do so, nor do Commission employees assisting I&E, as noted above in Paragraphs 5 and 6. A copy of each Non-Disclosure Certificate shall be provided to counsel

for the Parties asserting confidentiality prior to disclosure of any Proprietary Information to that Reviewing Representative.

- (b) Attorneys and outside experts qualified as Reviewing Representatives are responsible for ensuring that persons under their supervision or control comply with the Protective Order.
- (c) The OSBA consultant, Mr. Robert D. Knecht, will not be considered to be a Restricted Person, and Paragraphs 7(a) and 7(b) will not apply to Mr. Knecht, provided that Mr. Knecht does not share or discuss the Proprietary Information with any person except authorized OSBA representatives.

12. None of the Parties waive their right to pursue any other legal or equitable remedies that may be available in the event of actual or anticipated disclosure of Proprietary Information.

13. The Parties shall designate data or documents as constituting or containing Proprietary Information by marking the documents “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.” Where only part of data compilations or multi-page documents constitutes or contains Proprietary Information, the Parties, insofar as reasonably practicable within discovery and other time constraints imposed in this proceeding, shall designate only the specific data or pages of documents that constitute or contain Proprietary Information. The Proprietary Information shall be served upon the Parties hereto only in an envelope separate from the nonproprietary materials, and the envelope shall be conspicuously marked “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTED MATERIAL.”

14. The Parties will consider and treat the Proprietary Information as within the exemptions from disclosure provided in Section 335(d) of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa.C.S. §

335(d), and the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Act, 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 *et seq.*, until such time as the information is found to be non-proprietary. In the event that any person or entity seeks to compel the disclosure of Proprietary Information, the non-producing party shall promptly notify the producing party in order to provide the producing party an opportunity to oppose or limit such disclosure.

15. Any public reference to Proprietary Information by a party or its Reviewing Representatives shall be to the title or exhibit reference in sufficient detail to permit persons with access to the Proprietary Information to understand fully the reference and not more. The Proprietary Information shall remain a part of the record, to the extent admitted, for all purposes of administrative or judicial review.

16. Part of any record of this proceeding containing Proprietary Information, including but not limited to all exhibits, writings, testimony, cross examination, argument, and responses to discovery, and including reference thereto as mentioned in Paragraph 15 above, shall be sealed for all purposes, including administrative and judicial review, unless such Proprietary Information is released from the restrictions of this Protective Order, either through the agreement of the parties to this proceeding or pursuant to an order of the Commission.

17. The Parties shall retain the right to question or challenge the confidential or proprietary nature of Proprietary Information and to question or challenge the admissibility of Proprietary Information. If a party challenges the designation of a document or information as proprietary, the party providing the information retains the burden of demonstrating that the designation is appropriate.

18. The Parties shall retain the right to question or challenge the admissibility of Proprietary Information; to object to the production of Proprietary Information on any proper

ground; and to refuse to produce Proprietary Information pending the adjudication of the objection.

19. Within 30 days after a Commission final order is entered in the above-captioned proceeding, or in the event of appeals, within thirty days after appeals are finally decided, the Parties, upon request, shall either destroy or return to the parties all copies of all documents and other materials not entered into the record, including notes, which contain any Proprietary Information. In the event that a party elects to destroy all copies of documents and other materials containing Proprietary Information instead of returning the copies of documents and other materials containing Proprietary Information to the parties, the party shall certify in writing to the other producing party that the Proprietary Information has been destroyed.

Dated: _____

The Hon. Administrative Law Judge Steven K. Haas

The Hon. Administrative Law Judge Andrew M. Calvelli

**APPENDIX A
BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket Nos. R-2017-2640058
	:	
UGI Utilities, Inc. – Electric Division	:	

NON-DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

The undersigned is the _____ of
_____ (the retaining party). The undersigned has
read and understands the Protective Order and the required treatment of Proprietary Information.
The undersigned agrees to be bound by and comply with the terms and conditions of said
Protective Order.

SIGNATURE

NAME (Printed)

ADDRESS

EMPLOYER