City of Lancaster Statement No. 2PSH-1 Docket No. R-2019-3010955 July 19, 2019

#### **CITY OF LANCASTER – SEWER FUND**

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PATRICK HOPKINS

THE CITY OF LANCASTER

### BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION RE: CITY OF LANCASTER – SEWER FUND DOCKET NO. R-2019-3010955 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PATRICK HOPKINS

1	Q. 1.	Please state your name and business address.
2 3	A. 1.	Patrick S. Hopkins and my business address is 120 North Duke Street, P.O. Box 1599 Lancaster, PA 17608-1599.
4 5	Q. 2.	Have you ever testified in a Rate Case Proceeding before a Public Utility Commission?
6	A. 2.	Yes.
7	Q. 3.	By whom are you employed?
8	A. 3.	I am employed by the City of Lancaster.
9	Q. 4.	In what capacity are you employed by the City of Lancaster?
10	A. 4.	I am the Business Administrator of the City of Lancaster.
11	Q. 5.	How long have you been employed by the City of Lancaster?
12	A. 5.	My initial appointment to the position of Business Administrator was
13		approved by the City Council on January 3, 2006. My reappointment
14		under a new City administration was approved by City Council on January
15		2, 2018.
16	Q. 6.	What other positions have you held with the City of Lancaster?
17	A. 6.	In July 1994 I was hired by the City of Lancaster as the Assistant to the
18		Mayor. I was later appointed as Acting Business Administrator and
19		remained in that position until January 1998.
20	Q. 7.	How long have you been in your current position?
21	A. 7.	I have been in my current position since January 2006.
22	Q. 8.	Please describe your duties and responsibilities.

- 1 A. 8. As Business Administrator, I am responsible for oversight and direction of 2 the Bureaus of Accounting, Human Resources, Information Technology 3 and Procurement and Collections (Treasury). As the City's Chief Financial 4 Officer, I am responsible for the preparation and administration of the 5 City's annual operating budget, which includes the budget for the Sewer 6 Fund, as well as for the overall development and administration of the 7 City's Capital Improvement Plan which includes funding for Sewer Fund capital projects. 8
- 9

#### Q. 9. What is your educational background?

10A. 9. I earned a Bachelor of Arts Degree from Franklin and Marshall College in111991, with a major in Government and minor coursework in Economics.

### 12 Q. 10. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?

A. 10. My testimony describes the City's financial organization and accounting
 systems and also explains the City's responses to several of the filing
 requirements, including its internal and independent audit processes,
 major accounting changes, revenue accounting, Government Accounting
 Standards Board Statement 45, 74 and 75, and certain elements of the
 City's Sewer Fund balance sheet.

### 19Q. 11. Can you briefly describe how the City is organized from a financial20perspective?

21 A. 11. The City's finances are segregated among fifty-four separate funds, each 22 of which is a separate fiscal entity. Of this total, forty-one are 23 miscellaneous grant and private purpose trust funds. There are thirteen 24 major operating funds including the General Fund, Stormwater 25 Management Enterprise Fund, Sewer Enterprise Fund, Water Enterprise 26 Fund, and the Solid Waste and Recycling Enterprise Fund. Additional 27 operating funds include the Capital Projects Fund, Liquid Fuels Fund, 28 Trust and Charities Fund, the Police, Fire and Non-Uniformed Employees

Pension Trust Funds, Community Development Block Grant Fund and the
 Long's Park Commission Fund.

4 All Sewer system revenues flow through the Sewer Enterprise Fund 5 ("Sewer Fund"). The Sewer Fund also pays all of the direct operating and 6 maintenance expenses of the sewer system. Direct and indirect expenses 7 for services relating to the sewer system, which are provided by other City departments, are paid for by the General Fund with reimbursement made 8 9 by the Sewer Fund to the General Fund based on an annual cost 10 allocation study performed by a firm contracted by the City of Lancaster. 11 These services include human resources, accounting, information 12 technology, billing and revenue collection and other administrative 13 services.

14

3

15 Capital improvements to the wastewater system are paid for through the 16 Sewer Fund. The Sewer Fund receives funds from the issuance of City of 17 Lancaster General Obligation Bonds (GO Bonds) and Notes guaranteed 18 by the full faith and taxing power of the City of Lancaster, loans provided 19 to the City by the Pennsylvania Infrastructure Investment Authority 20 (PennVEST), certain federal, state and local grants, and interest earned 21 on unexpended capital improvement funds. The proceeds and annual debt service of City GO Bonds, and Notes, and PennVEST loans, are 22 23 allocated to the Sewer Fund based on the sewer system capital projects 24 that are being funded. Vendors and contractors are also paid for work 25 performed on capital improvements from the Sewer Fund.

## 26Q. 12. Can you briefly describe the accounting system Lancaster currently27uses to track its revenues and expenses?

A. 12. The City of Lancaster General Fund operates on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are considered to be available when they

- 3 -

1 are collected during the current period or soon enough thereafter, 2 generally 60 days, to pay liabilities for the current period. Expenditures 3 are generally recorded when a liability is incurred, as is done under the 4 accrual accounting method. Debt service payments, expenses related to 5 compensated absences, and claims and judgments are recorded only when a payment is made. All of the City's enterprise funds (Stormwater 6 7 Management, Sewer, Water and Solid Waste and Recycling) are on a full accrual basis. 8

9 10

### Q. 13. What are the internal and independent audit processes used by the City to ensure the appropriateness of its financial accounting?

- 11 A. 13. Internal controls include a defined separation of accounting duties within 12 the Bureau of Accounting to ensure no individual has the ability to record 13 inappropriate transactions, and to provide a check against the recording of 14 erroneous transactions. The accounting system used by the City has its 15 own controls which prevent any accounts payable from being processed 16 for payment unless funds are available in the line item or items being 17 charged. If a line item has been depleted and additional charges need to 18 be made against that line item, the department director, Business 19 Administrator and Chief Accountant must provide approval for a budget 20 transfer from another line item account in that department's budget in the 21 same fund.
- The City's elected Controller also reviews and must approve all Purchase Orders for purchases of \$3,000 or more. In accordance with Act 90 of 2011, all purchases or contracts for \$20,600 or more are made following a public bidding process, with exceptions for purchases made through the Commonwealth's COSTARS or other cooperative purchasing program that includes a competitive bidding process.
- 29

22

1 The Bureau of Accounting performs bank reconciliations on a monthly 2 basis and reviews cash balances in the City's various accounts daily. At 3 the end of each fiscal year, Bureau of Accounting personnel prepare audit 4 work papers to be given to the City's independent auditor for review.

- 6 The City's current independent auditor, Maher Duessel, performs the 7 annual audit with field work typically in April and a final audit and financial 8 statement completion typically by July 31.
- 9

5

### OPEB – GASB Statements 45, 74 & 75

# 10Q. 14. Have there been any major changes in accounting procedures since11the conclusion of the last rate filing, and are any such changes12anticipated?

13 A. 14. Yes. Beginning with the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008, the City of 14 Lancaster implemented Government Accounting Standards Board 15 Statement 45 requirements relating to accounting for the City's liabilities 16 for other than pension post-employment benefits (OPEB). Pursuant to the 17 Commission's Final Order in the City's most recent Sewer rate case (Docket No. R-2012-2310366), the City created an OPEB Trust Fund for 18 the City of Lancaster - Sewer Fund in April 2013. The City has been 19 20 funding this OPEB Trust Fund on a monthly basis with the funding amount 21 based on annual actuarial valuations prepared by the City's consulting 22 actuary (Conrad Siegel) in accordance with GASB 45. These annual 23 GASB 45 reports included a calculated Annual Required Contribution (ARC) and the City has funded the jurisdictional portion of this annual ARC 24 25 as determined by the Cost of Service Study in the Sewer rate case cited 26 above. The GASB 45 actuarial valuation determined ARC figures were 27 used to calculate the Sewer OPEB Trust Fund contributions through 28 December 31, 2018.

GASB has more recently issued Statements 74 and 75 which have further changed the accounting requirements for OPEB. Statements 74 and 75 were approved in June 2015 and replace Statement 45. Statement 74 was effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2016 (2017 for the City of Lancaster). Statement 75 was effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017 (2018 for the City of Lancaster).

With GASB 74 and 75 now effective as stated above, the actuarial 7 8 valuation reports created in compliance with these new standards no 9 longer contain a calculated Annual Required Contribution for use in 10 calculating the OPEB Trust Fund contributions. For the 2018 budget year, the City used the "OPEB Expense" figure in the actuarial valuation report 11 12 prepared in accordance with GASB 74 to determine the monthly Sewer 13 OPEB Trust Fund contribution. For the 2019 budget year, the City used 14 the "OPEB Expense" figure in the actuarial valuation report prepared in 15 accordance with GASB 75 to determine the monthly Sewer OPEB Trust 16 Fund contribution.

For the 2020 and subsequent years' budgets, the City will receive a calculation from its consulting actuary for the amount of the annual Sewer OPEB Trust Fund contribution based on each years' GASB 75 actuarial calculation. A copy of the letter from Conrad Siegel (City consulting actuary) based on the GASB 75 actuarial valuation report as of 1/1/18 is attached as Exhibit PSH-1.

Q. 15. Are revenue accruing entries made on the books at the end of each
 fiscal period? If so, were there entries for the future test year, and
 were they reversed for ratemaking purposes?

A. 15. All revenue accruing entries are made at the end of each fiscal year. No
 revenue accruing entries were made for the future test year or the fully
 projected future test year.

- 6 -

- 1Q. 16. The Commission's rate increase filing requirements include several2requests for information on special balance sheet items such as3deferred asset accounts, other deferred credits, and extraordinary4property losses. Has the City provided information on these special5accounts and where can such information be found?
- A. 16. There are no such balance sheet items included in the Sewer Fund
   financial statements. All items are clearly described on the Balance Sheet
   included in the Sewer Fund financial statements as of December 31, 2017
   and December 31, 2018 which will be submitted when it is completed in
   late July or early August 2019.

## 11Q. 17. Does the unique sharing relationship with the outside municipalities12for facility upgrades play a role in determining how costs and13expenses are allocated?

- A. 17. Yes. The City's Sewer Fund customer base is made up of three distinct
   groups of customers: 1.) inside City (non-jurisdictional) direct-billed
   customers; 2.) outside City (jurisdictional) direct-billed customers; and 3.)
   outside City bulk customer municipalities/municipal authorities. The
   Commission's jurisdiction applies only to the second group of customers,
   i.e. outside City direct-billed customers. The third group is typically
   referred to by the City as its Sewer system "Municipal Partners".
- These Municipal Partners pay the City directly for bulk sewage treatment services provided by the City and these partners in turn charge their individual sewer customers based on rates approved by each municipality/authority.
- The City has agreements in place with the municipal partners that describe, among other things, how capital investments in the system are made and how the bulk rates for each municipal partner are determined. There has been an ongoing disagreement between the City and two of its

bulk sewer customers about how the bulk sewer rate should be calculated.
These disagreements are in the process of being resolved through
amendments to the agreements, but it is important to note that any
funding shortfall resulting from these disagreements is borne solely by
non-jurisdictional inside City customers. Only costs associated with
providing sewer services to outside City jurisdictional customers are
included in the City's sewer rate filing with the Commission.

### 8 Q. 18. Does this complete your direct testimony?

9 A. 18. Yes, it does.