EXHIBIT U TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM C. PACKER

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

AQUA PENNSYLVANIA WASTEWATER, INC.

DOCKET NO. A-2019-3009052

AQUA STATEMENT NO. 1

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM C. PACKER

With Regard To
A General Overview of the Transaction
Financial and Legal Fitness of APW
Public Benefits of the Transaction
Section 1329

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	3
II.	OVERVIEW OF AQUA PA AND APW	8
III.	FITNESS	10
IV.	FUNDING	11
V.	APA RATE PROVISIONS AND RATE STABILIZATION PLAN	11
VI.	PUBLIC INTEREST	13
VII.	SECTION 1329 FAIR MARKET VALUE CONSIDERATIONS	19
VIII.	CONCLUSION	22

er Avenue,
nt – Controller
qua America")
rent company
nce.
nce. Bachelor of
Bachelor of
Bachelor of n 1998, I joined
Bachelor of n 1998, I joined 999, I joined
Bachelor of n 1998, I joined 999, I joined ccountant
Bachelor of n 1998, I joined 999, I joined ccountant ancial support
Bachelor of n 1998, I joined 1999, I joined 1990, I
Ç

At American, I had the opportunity to support the rate-making process by working

22

1		closely with operating subsidiaries in 23 states, preparing schedules and answering
2		interrogatories.
3		In March 2005, I joined Aqua New Jersey, Inc., where I served as Assistant
4		Controller until December 2006, when I transferred to Aqua America, Inc. In July 2008,
5		I was promoted to the position of Mid-Atlantic Manager of Rates. In April 2011, I
6		became the Manager of Rates and Planning - Northeast Divisions. I was promoted to
7		Regional Controller for Aqua PA and Aqua NJ subsidiaries in October 2012. In April
8		2017, I was promoted to my current position of Vice President – Controller of Aqua PA.
9		In addition to my corporate experience, I served three terms as a Councilman in
10		the Borough of Woodbury Heights, New Jersey. In that role, I served as the Chairman of
11		the Finance, Administration, and Personnel committee; in addition, I served as a member
12		of the Public Safety and Streets/Roads committees.
13		I was elected as Mayor of the Borough of Woodbury Heights in November of
14		2018 and was sworn in on January 5, 2019. The Borough of Woodbury Heights is one of
15		565 municipalities in New Jersey and has a population of approximately 3,000 residents.
16		
17	Q.	Have you testified before this Commission before?
18	A.	Yes, I have testified in several proceedings before the Pennsylvania Public Utility
19		Commission ("PUC" or the "Commission"), including Aqua PA's petition to charge a
20		7.5% Distribution System Improvement Charge ("DSIC") at Docket R-2008-2079310,
21		Aqua PA's last three rate cases at Docket Nos. R-2009-2132019, R-2011-2267958, R-
22		2018-3003558, and APW's four previous Section 1329 proceedings in Docket Nos. A-
23		2016-2580061, A-2017-2605434, A-2018-3001582, and A-2019-3008491.

1		
2	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
3	A.	The purpose of my testimony is as follows: (1) to provide a general overview of APW;
4		(2) to provide a general overview of the proposed transaction ("Proposed Transaction")
5		between APW and East Norriton Township; (3) to describe APW's technical, legal, and
6		financial fitness to own and operate the system; and (4) to explain the benefits of the
7		proposed transaction.
8		
9	Q.	Are you sponsoring any Exhibits with your testimony?
10	A.	Yes. Attached to my testimony as Appendix A is a schedule showing the revenue
11		deficiency and overall impact on customers.
12		
13	Q.	Are there any other witnesses submitting testimony with this application?
14	A.	Yes, APW is submitting the following statements in support of the Application:
15		• Aqua Statement No. 2 – Direct Testimony of Mark J. Bubel, Sr. Mr. Bubel will
16		be providing testimony regarding the specifics of the system being acquired from
17		an operations/engineering perspective.
18		• Aqua Statement No. 3 – Direct Testimony of Robert R. Hart. Mr. Hart will be
19		providing testimony regarding the background of the Proposed Transaction from
20		East Norriton Township's perspective and benefits of the Proposed Transaction.
21		• Aqua Statement No. 4 – Direct Testimony of Harold Walker III. Mr. Walker will
22		provide testimony regarding the appraisal conducted on behalf of the Company.

1		• Aqua Statement No. 5 – Direct Testimony of Jerome C. Weinert. Mr. Weinert
2		will provide testimony regarding the appraisal conducted on behalf of East
3		Norriton Township.
4		
5	Q.	Has the Company filed an application with the Pennsylvania Public Utility
6		Commission ("PUC" or the "Commission") for regulatory approval to acquire the
7		wastewater system assets of the East Norriton Township ("East Norriton" or the
8		"Township") Sanitary Wastewater Collection System ("East Norriton Wastewater"
9		or the "System")?
10	A.	Yes. APW filed its Application on July 30, 2019.
11		
12	Q.	What is APW seeking in its application?
13	A.	There are three requests. First, APW is requesting approval of the acquisition under
14		Section 1102, 66 Pa. C.S. § 1102, similar to many requests that come before the
15		Commission. Second, pursuant to Act 12 of 2016 ("Act 12" or the "Act"), 66 Pa. C.S. §
16		1329, APW is seeking to utilize fair market value for the determination of the ratemaking
17		rate base of East Norriton Wastewater. Third, APW is requesting that the Commission
18		approve, if necessary, its acquisition agreement with East Norriton Township and the
19		assignment of seven contracts with municipalities under Section 507, 66 Pa. C.S. § 507.
20		
21	Q.	What does Section 1329 require to be included in the application?
22	A.	Section 1329 requires that the application include (1) copies of the two appraisals, (2) the
23		purchase price, (3) ratemaking rate base, (4) transaction and closing costs, and (5) the

1		proposed tariff. A rate stabilization plan could also be required if it is applicable to the
2		transaction.
3		
4	Q.	Can you generally opine on the purpose of Section 1329 and the Commission's
5		view?
6	A.	Yes, as stated by the Commission, "Section 1329 works to: (1) provide certainty as to the
7		value of acquired utility property; (2) remove regulatory barriers to the prudent sale of
8		public water and wastewater assets; (3) protect a Seller from having to offer public assets
9		for sale at below-market rates; and (4) allow a Buyer to recover market-based investment
10		in those public assets through regulated rates." I also agree with the Commission that
11		Section 1329 "encourages a realistic approach to the sale of public assets based upon the
12		fair market value of those assets." ² Moreover, as further explained by the Commission:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Section 1329 recognizes that no reasoned argument would propose that these public assets are of marginal value simply because the book value and the Commission's traditional rate setting methodology dictate as much. Rather, the valuation methods of Section 1329 provide municipalities and authorities with a wholistic recognition of the fair market value of the public assets they seek to sell based on a balancing of accepted business valuation principles, specifically, the cost, market, and income approaches. 66 Pa. C.S. § 1329(a). Thus, for sale purposes, Section 1329 works to value the public assets as the businesses they are as opposed to what their value might be under regulatory accounting for depreciated utility assets. ³
25 26 27 28 29		Section 1329 enables a Seller to price its public assets at a market value based on reasonable business valuation principles and enables a Buyer to recover its investment in those public assets at that market-based value. ⁴

¹ *Implementation of Section 1329 of the Public Utility Code*, Docket No. M-2016-2543193 Tentative Supplemental Implementation Order at 4 (Sep. 20, 2018) (hereinafter "TSIO").

² TSIO at 7.

³ TSIO at 6.

⁴ TSIO at 7.

1	Q.	What does the Commission require for the approval of the certificate that utilizes
2		Section 1329?
3	A.	In its Final Implementation Order entered October 27, 2016, in Docket No. M-2016-
4		2543193 ("Final Implementation Order"), the Commission referenced the checklist in the
5		Tentative Implementation Order for items to include with the application for it to be
6		processed in a six-month time frame. This checklist was subsequently updated in March
7		2017. The Commission released its Final Supplemental Implementation Order on
8		February 28, 2019 in Docket No. M-2016-2543193, which included an updated checklist,
9		standard data requests ("SDR"), jurisdictional exceptions, and form testimony for Utility
10		Valuation Experts ("UVE"). APW has included references with the Checklist indicating
11		where in the Application the relevant information is located.
12		
13	II.	OVERVIEW OF AQUA PA AND APW
14	Q.	Please provide a general overview of Aqua PA and APW.
15	A.	Aqua PA is the second largest investor owned regulated water/wastewater utility
16		operating in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Aqua PA provides water and
17		wastewater utility service to approximately 464,000 customers, consisting of 436,000
18		water customers and 28,000 wastewater customers. Aqua PA employs approximately
19		600 highly trained utility professionals to achieve its mission to provide safe, adequate,
20		and reliable utility service at reasonable rates. In addition, Aqua PA is one of eight
21		regulated subsidiaries of Aqua America, which brings to bear its own financial, technical,
22		and managerial resources to assist in our mission.

1		APW is a subsidiary of Aqua PA and is engaged in the business of collecting,
2		treating, transporting, and disposing of wastewater for the public. APW provides
3		wastewater service to approximately 28,000 customers in Adams, Bucks, Carbon,
4		Chester, Clarion, Clearfield, Delaware, Lackawanna, Luzerne, Monroe, Montgomery,
5		Pike, Schuylkill, Venango, and Wyoming Counties. APW brings extensive expertise in
6		providing wastewater service to citizens of Pennsylvania, and currently operates 37
7		wastewater treatment plants.
8		
9	Q.	Please provide a description of the Township and East Norriton Wastewater.
10	A.	The Township owns the assets of the wastewater system that are being purchased by
11		APW. The Township is a Pennsylvania second-class township. Wastewater utility
12		service is provided to approximately 4,966 customers. The utility infrastructure being
13		acquired includes, but is not limited to, collection and conveyance assets, pumping
14		stations, manholes, and land and land rights. Please see Aqua Statement No. 3, Direct
15		Testimony of Robert R. Hart, for a further description of the Township.
16		
17	Q.	Please provide an overview of the proposed Transaction.
18	A.	APW responded to a request for bids by the Township and was ultimately chosen as the
19		successful bidder. After arms-length negotiations between APW and the Township, the
20		Asset Purchase Agreement ("APA") was signed and executed on October 29, 2018
21		providing for the sale of the assets, properties and rights of the wastewater system. The
22		purchase price totaled \$21 million. According to the APA, the acquired customers will

1		be charged the existing rates of the seller upon closing. APW's tariff rules and
2		regulations will apply following closing.
3		
4	III.	<u>FITNESS</u>
5	Q.	Please describe how the Company is legally fit to own and operate the system.
6	A.	APW is a public utility operating under Commission granted certificates of public
7		convenience. There are no pending legal proceedings challenging APW's ability to
8		provide safe and adequate service to customers.
9		
10	Q.	Please describe how the Company is financially fit to own and operate the system.
11	A.	As I mentioned in my overview, Aqua PA, as parent company to APW, is a Class A
12		water utility in the Commonwealth and the largest subsidiary of Aqua America, with total
13		utility plant assets of \$4.3 billion and annual revenues of \$438 million in 2018. Aqua PA
14		had operating income of approximately \$232 million and net income of \$181 million.
15		Aqua PA's cash flows from operations equaled approximately \$270 million. APW is a
16		Class A wastewater utility in the Commonwealth, with total utility plant assets of \$252
17		million and annual revenues of \$15 million. Aqua PA has an A+ rating from Standard
18		and Poor's Rating Service and has approximately \$1.486 billion in outstanding long-term
19		debt at a weighted average interest rate of approximately 4.4%. Aqua PA also utilizes
20		low-cost long-term debt financing instruments through the Pennsylvania Infrastructure
21		Investment Authority ("Pennvest"), representing about 2% of Aqua PA's total debt
22		portfolio. In addition to Aqua PA's access to long-term debt, Aqua PA has its short-term
23		credit facility of \$100 million and has access to equity capital as a subsidiary of Aqua

1		America. APW, as a subsidiary of Aqua PA, has access to all of Aqua PA's financing
2		capabilities.
3		
4	IV.	FUNDING
5	Q.	Please explain how APW plans to fund the Transaction.
6	A.	This Transaction will be funded using existing short-term credit lines. The short-term
7		credit funding will be converted to a mix of long-term debt and equity capital shortly
8		after closing.
9		
10	Q.	Do you anticipate the Transaction will affect Aqua PA's corporate credit rating?
11	A.	No. The Company does not anticipate that the Transaction will affect Aqua PA's
12		corporate credit rating.
13		
14	V.	APA RATE PROVISIONS AND RATE STABILIZATION PLAN
15	Q.	Please explain the rate provisions in paragraph 7.03 of the APA.
16	A.	Under the provisions of Paragraph 7.03 of the APA, as of the day of closing, the
17		Company will charge the same rates as those previously charged by the Township,
18		inclusive of any Commission permitted or required surcharges or pass through costs. The
19		base rates shall not be increased until after the second anniversary of the Closing Date.
20		
21	Q.	What is a rate stabilization plan?

1	A.	A rate stabilization plan is defined in Section 1329(g), 66 Pa. C.S. § 1329(g), as a plan
2		that will hold rates constant or phase rates in over a period of time after the next base rate
3		case.
4		
5	Q.	Does the Application include a rate stabilization plan?
6	A.	No.
7		
8	Q.	Does the Application include a proposed tariff that includes language that would
9		constitute a rate stabilization plan?
10	A.	No. The Company is proposing the implement the System's current rates. There is no
11		language in the proposed tariff requesting that rates be held constant or phased in over a
12		period of time after the next rate case. The rate commitment in Section 7.03 of the APA
13		does not constitute a rate stabilization plan.
14		
15	Q.	Are you requesting the implementation of a DSIC for Township customers at this
16		time?
17	A.	No. Following closing, APW intends to amend its Long-Term Infrastructure
18		Improvement Plan ("LTIIP") to include East Norriton in the LTIIP and file the amended
19		LTIIP with the PUC. The Company will include a request in that filing to initiate the
20		DSIC to East Norriton customers as permitted by Section 1329.
21		
22	Q.	Please explain how the Company will bill the Township's customers after closing.

A. Currently, the Township bills their customers on a quarterly basis. The Company will
 convert to monthly billing after closing.

3

4

VI. PUBLIC INTEREST

operation.

- 5 Q. Please explain why the proposed transaction is in the public interest?
- 6 A. The PUC has a long-standing record of support for consolidation/regionalization of 7 water/wastewater systems. The PUC understands that in doing so, the utility industry 8 will have a better chance to realize the benefits of better management practices, 9 economies of scale, and the resulting greater customer/environmental/economic benefits. The Commission has previously stated that "acquisitions of smaller systems by larger 10 11 more viable systems will likely improve the overall long-term viability of the water and 12 wastewater industry. Additionally, these types of acquisitions will also enhance the 13 quality of ratepayers' daily lives, promote community economic development, and provide environmental enhancements."⁵ Ultimately, these benefits inure to customers 14 15 both existing and acquired. The proposed transaction of East Norriton Wastewater is no 16 exception to the principles noted in the aforementioned policy statement, and Aqua PA 17 has successfully acquired numerous water/wastewater utilities in its over 130 years of

19

18

Q. Please discuss the Company's track record of acquiring and improving wastewater
 systems in the Commonwealth.

⁵ Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, Final Policy Statement on Acquisitions of Water and Wastewater Systems, Docket No. M-00051926, Final Order at 18 (Aug. 17, 2006).

APW currently provides utility service to approximately 28,000 wastewater customers	in
the Commonwealth and has years of experience operating wastewater treatment and	
collection systems in a safe, reliable and efficient manner. Moreover, APW has the	
managerial, technical, and financial resources to continue to operate, maintain and	
improve the East Norriton Wastewater system.	

APW has acquired 16 wastewater systems over the past 10 years.⁶ Many of these systems required significant investment to correct service and environmental issues. Other systems did not need substantial capital investment. APW and Aqua PA are essentially the compilation of smaller systems that have been acquired over the last 130 years and make both utilities what they are today. The inherent diversification of systems and customers provides a foundation of stability in the day to day, month to month, and year to year operations of the utility, in that, they are all not requiring major capital investments at the same time and therefore spreads the financial impacts over the long term operations of the utility. I would submit that if APW were permitted to acquire only non-viable systems, rather than a mix of viable and non-viable, this would have a significant impact on its existing customers' rates and service. Both types of systems, viable and non-viable, are consistent with the Commission's policy statements regarding acquisitions. The acquisition of the East Norriton Wastewater system will continue to address the Commission's supported policy of consolidation and regionalization.

A.

⁶ East Bradford, Limerick (2018); Tobyhanna, Avon Grove (2017); Emlenton, Honeycroft (2016); Bunker Hill (2015); Penn Township (2014); Treasure Lake (2013); Sage Hill, Kidder Township, Beech Mountain, Village at Valley Forge (2012); Stony Creek (2010); and Cove Village, Washington Park (2009).

1	Q.	Did the Commonwealth Court refer to the Commission's policy of
2		consolidation/regionalization and Aqua's expertise in system operations and ability
3		to raise capital in its opinion in McCloskey v. Pa. P.U.C. addressing Aqua's
4		transaction with New Garden Township?
5	A.	Yes. Although I am not an attorney, I have read the Court's Opinion in the McCloskey
6		case. At the end of Section A of the Opinion, the Court refers to Commission findings
7		that Aqua, as owner of numerous water and wastewater systems in Southeastern
8		Pennsylvania, has sufficient expertise to operate a system and ability to raise capital to
9		support a system and that the Commission has a policy of consolidation and
10		regionalization that allows for increased maintenance, upgrade and expansion of public
11		facilities. The Court concludes that the foregoing are reasons of the type that the
12		Supreme Court in its Verizon decision held were sufficient to meet the public benefit
13		standard and substantial evidence to support the notion that there is a public benefit for
14		the transaction. This same analysis is sufficient to support the public benefit and
15		substantial evidence of public benefit in this proceeding.
16		
17	Q.	Will the Township's customers benefit from the transaction?
18	A.	Yes. The Township's customers will become part of a larger-scale, efficiently operated,
19		wastewater utility. The Company has operations in nearby service areas and will be able
20		to assume the operations of East Norriton Wastewater, fold them into a larger-scale,
21		efficiently operated wastewater utility that over time will likely yield further operating
22		efficiencies and improve long-term viability as envisioned in the PUC policy statement.
23		The acquisition will not have any immediate impact on the rates of either the Township's

customers or the existing customers of APW. As shown in Appendix A, the Company is projecting less operating and maintenance costs under the Company's ownership that will likely be realized through reductions in costs for wastewater treatment, as well as efficiencies in administrative and general costs, such as insurance, auditing, legal among others. Lastly, given the fact that there are meaningful investments needed in this system, as noted in the testimony of Mark J. Bubel, Sr., Aqua Statement No. 2, the customers of the East Norriton system will benefit greatly from the Company's technical experience and fitness in deploying resources towards capital improvements.

A.

Q. Will APW's existing customers benefit from the acquisition?

Yes. The acquisition of the East Norriton Wastewater system will be an approximate 20% increase in APW's customer base. By virtue of the Company's larger customer base, future infrastructure investments across the state will be shared at a lower incremental cost per customer for all of APW's customers.

Notably, the East Norriton Wastewater system is being acquired at a lower rate base per customer at \$4,229, than compared to the Company's existing systems most recently included in its 2018 Rate Case (Docket No. R-2018-3003561) at approximately \$7,650. In addition, even after applying the entire revenue deficiency to the current average monthly bill of a residential customer in East Norriton of approximately \$39 per month using four thousand gallons the adjusted average bill would increase to approximately \$52 per month or a 35% increase. This is less than the Company's existing average wastewater rates included in its most recent rate case at approximately \$68.27.

1		The fact that both the rate base and rates are less than the Company's current rates
2		demonstrate that there are economies of scale immediately as a result of this acquisition.
3		I note again that both APW and Aqua PA have developed into the utility they are
4		today by acquiring and operating smaller and mid-sized systems. This progression has
5		successfully happened over the last several decades and took the form of both viable and
6		non-viable systems. Over the long term, this process has benefitted both current
7		customers, future customers that were acquired, and the Commonwealth.
8		
9	Q.	Will acquired customers benefit from enhanced customer service?
10	A.	Yes. Aqua America provides customer service through a toll-free number that customers
11		can call from 8:00AM-5:00PM EST for regular business. The same customer service
12		number houses Aqua America's 24/7/365 emergency response. East Norriton customers
13		can go to Aqua America's website and establish an account to pay their bills online. East
14		Norriton customers can also sign up for notifications and alerts to be sent to their email
15		address or phone concerning their service. This service allows customers to stay
16		informed of events impacting their service.
17		
18	Q.	Will the acquired customers benefit from customer billing and payment
19		protections?
20	A.	Yes. APW has procedures in place under Chapter 14, 66 Pa. C.S. 1401 et seq., that
21		provide for billing, payment, collection, termination and reconnection of service,
22		payment arrangements, medical certifications, and formal and informal complaint
23		procedures. Aqua Services employs customer care teams to help resolve service and

billing issues, and has an established process and procedure for addressing formal and
 informal complaints.

3

4

5

6

Q.

In *McCloskey*, the Commonwealth Court concluded that the Commission must address rate impact "in a general fashion" when deciding whether there is substantial public benefit. Please address the rate impact of the transaction.

As I previously mentioned, the current average monthly bill of a residential customer in

calculations in Appendix A demonstrate, by applying 100% of the revenue deficiency to

the existing rates average bill would increase to approximately \$52 per month or a 35%

increase, which is less than the Company's existing systems included in its most recent

base per customer that is less than the Company's existing systems, which demonstrates

that there are immediate economies of scale brought by this system. Given this fact and

the fact that there are again meaningful future investments needed to address deficiencies

in the system, those future rate impacts could be spread across a broader customer base

thus moderating those future rate increases over time. Yet, while the rates of the East

Norriton system are reasonably expected to increase, either on their own, or whether

acquired by the Company, the fact is that there is more flexibility and opportunity to deal

with those impacts over a much larger customer base. This benefits both existing and

rate case at approximately \$68.27. Again, this system is also being acquired at a rate

East Norriton, is approximately \$39 per month using four thousand gallons. As my

22

23

20

21

acquired customers alike.

Q. Is the impact on rates outweighed by other positive factors from the transaction?

1	A.	Yes. While there is an expectation of increased rates as a result of the transaction, this is
2		not unexpected. The possibility of increased rates is noted by the Commonwealth Court
3		in McCloskey. The positive factors from the transaction outweigh the possibility of
4		increased rates. These types of transactions further a recognized legislative objective and
5		are consistent with the Commission's consolidation/regionalization policy. The
6		Company's application demonstrates that there will be both tangible and intangible
7		positive factors that are likely realized as a result of this transaction, including capital
8		improvements, expense efficiencies, and economies of scale.
9		
10	VII.	SECTION 1329 FAIR MARKET VALUE CONSIDERATIONS
11	Q.	Is APW proposing that its application be evaluated utilizing the Fair Market Value
12		provisions of Section 1329 of the Public Utility Code?
13	A.	Yes. The Company's Application has been prepared with this in mind. Specifically, the
14		Company is requesting that the ratemaking rate base of East Norriton Wastewater be
15		based on the lesser of the average of the Fair Market Value Appraisals included in the
16		Application or the purchase price pursuant to Section 1329 of the Public Utility Code.
17		
18	Q.	Has APW prepared its application in compliance with the Commission's Final
19		Implementation Order and Final Supplemental Implementation Order?
20	A.	Yes. APW has complied with all required documentation according to the PUC's
21		application checklist including, but not limited to, the Engineering Assessment of Assets,
22		responses to SDRs, testimony supporting the Application, and two independent Fair
23		Market Value appraisals.

1		
2	Q.	Please provide an overview of the valuation process.
3	A.	Following the execution of the APA, the Company and the Township agreed to engage
4		Carroll Engineering Corporation to complete the Engineer's Assessment (Exhibit D).
5		Both parties engaged their respective UVEs to perform a Fair Market Value analysis of
6		the system in accordance with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice
7		("USPAP"), utilizing the cost, market, and income approaches. APW engaged the
8		services of Gannett Fleming Valuation and Rate Consultants, LLC ("Gannett") and has
9		attached to its Application their Fair Market Value Appraisal as Exhibit Q. The
10		Township engaged the services of AUS Consultants, Inc. ("AUS") and their Fair Market
11		Value Appraisal is attached to the Application as Exhibit R . Both firms have been pre-
12		certified as authorized UVEs by the PUC and are on the list of qualified appraisers
13		maintained by the PUC.
14		
15	Q.	Please describe the conclusions of the two appraisals used to determine the fair
16		market value of the Township's assets.
17	A.	The two appraisals used the USPAP employing the cost, market, and income approaches
18		to arrive at the fair market value of the system. The Township sponsored appraisal
19		performed by AUS arrived at a system value of \$25,064,594. The Company sponsored
20		appraisal performed by Gannett arrived at a system value of \$24,284,000. Therefore, the
21		fair market value as defined in Act 12 is the average of these two appraisals which is
22.		\$24,674,297

1	Q.	Pursuant to Section 1329 of the Public Utility Code, what is the resulting rate base
2		determination for East Norriton Wastewater?
3	A.	According to Section 1329, the ratemaking rate base is the lesser of either the purchase
4		price in the APA, which is \$21,000,000, or the fair market value which is \$24,674,297.
5		In this instance, since the purchase price is lower than the fair market value, the
6		ratemaking rate base for East Norriton Wastewater is \$21,000,000.
7		
8	Q.	Please state the total of the UVEs' fees incurred by the Company.
9	A.	The UVEs' fees for the Company's appraisal received as of the date of the Application
10		totaled \$42,143. The Company's UVE fees will be included in the transaction and
11		closing costs of this Proposed Transaction as stated below.
12		
13	Q.	Please explain whether you believe the UVEs' fees are reasonable?
14	A.	Based on the scope of work, the methods used as accepted industry practice, and that the
15		UVEs' fees were less than 5% of the fair market value benchmark noted in the Final
16		Implementation Order and Final Supplemental Implementation Order, I believe the fees
17		are reasonable. Per the Final Implementation Order, Final Supplemental Implementation
18		Order and Checklist, the contract engaging the Company's UVE, Gannett, along with
19		Gannett's invoices are included as Exhibit S1 . The contract engaging the Township's
20		UVE, AUS, along with AUS's invoices are included as Exhibit S2.
21		
22	0.	Please comment on the transaction and closing costs?

1	A.	The Company anticipates that the transaction and closing costs will be approximately
2		\$220,000 including the Company's UVE fees. The exact closing costs will be
3		determined at closing.
4		
5	VIII.	CONCLUSION
6	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
7		Yes it does, however I reserve the right to supplement my testimony as additional issues
8		and facts arise during the course of this proceeding.

1.) <u>East Norriton Wastewater System</u>

Revenue O&M Depreciation Taxes Other Income Taxes Operating Income	\$ \$ \$	Year 1 3,315,000 1,800,000 581,022 89,332 118,664 725,982	·
Rate Base at Fair Market Value	3 S	21,000,000	
Capital Investments (Year 1)	\$	361,099	
Rate Base (Including Capital Investments less depreciation year 1)	\$	20,780,077	
Interest Expense ^ ^ Includes Interest Expense syncronized with rate base		434,043	
Required Operating Income (Rate Base x Rate of Return)	\$	1,532,270	
Operating Income Deficiency	\$	806,288	
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.432171	
Revenue Deficiency (Excess) \$ Revenue Deficiency (Excess) %	\$	1,155,000 34.84%	
Increase applied to Acquired (TWP) customers 100% Increase %	\$	1,155,000 34.84%	
Increase applied to Acquired (TWP) customers 50% Increase %	\$	577,500 17.42%	
Increase applied to Existing (Company Wastewater) customers 50% Increase $\%$	\$	577,500 2.43%	
Increase applied to Existing (Company Water) customers (Act 11) Increase %	\$	1,117,500 0.26%	

	Avg. Usage / Kgal	 stimated nly Increase	Estimated Percentage Increase
Impact Existing Customers (TWP) - Residential 100%	4.00	\$ 13.42	34.84%
Impact Existing Customers (TWP) - Commercial 100%	6.75	\$ 19.68	34.84%
Impact Existing Customers (TWP) - Industrial 100%	6.75	\$ 19.68	34.84%
Impact Existing Customers (TWP) - Other 100%	6.67	\$ 11.03	34.84%
Impact Existing Customers (TWP) - Residential 50%	4.00	\$ 6.71	17.42%
Impact Existing Customers (TWP) - Commercial 50%	6.75	\$ 9.84	17.42%
Impact Existing Customers (TWP) - Industrial 50%	6.75	\$ 9.84	17.42%
Impact Existing Customers (TWP) - Other 50%	6.67	\$ 5.52	17.42%
Impact Existing Customers (Company Wastewater) - Residential - 50%	3.02	\$ 1.66	2.43%
Impact Existing Customers (Company Wastewater) - Commercial - 50%	21.94	\$ 5.22	2.43%
Impact Existing Customers (Company Wastewater) - Industrial - 50%	3.20	\$ 1.34	2.43%
Impact Existing Customers (Company Water) - Residential	4.08	\$ 0.17	0.26%
Impact Existing Customers (Company Water) - Commercial	37.05	\$ 1.05	0.26%
Impact Existing Customers (Company Water) - Industrial	211.51	\$ 4.95	0.26%

2.) Rate of Return

	Ratio	Cost Rate	WACC
Debt	47.15%	4.43%	2.09%
Equity	52.85%	10.00%	5.29%
	100.00%		7.37%

3.) Gross Revenue Conversion Factor

Dollar of Revenue		1.0000
Less: Gross Receipts (Revenue) Tax	0.00%	0.0000
Less: Reg Assesments	0.62%	0.0062
Less: Bad Debts	1.17%	0.0117
State Taxable Income		0.982053
State Income Tax	9.9999%	0.0982
Federal Taxable Icome		0.883848772
Federal Tax Rate	21.00%	
Federal Income Tax		0.185608
Net Revenue Dollar		0.69824052992
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.43217123
EFT	28.8999%	

Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc. Calculation of East Norriton Wastewater - Present Revenues

Metered Customer Flat Rate Customer Metered Well Customer Flat Rate Customer (Whitpain)	Customers 4,719 228 10 9 4,966	Minimum Quarterly Bill \$63.25 \$115.60 \$63.25 \$95.00	Minimum Annual Charges \$1,193,907 \$105,427 \$2,530 \$3,420 \$1,305,284				
2018 Gallons Billed	<u>Total Gallons</u> 382,959,999	Allowance per Quarter per Customer (gallons) 4,000	Total Gallons included in Minimum Charge 75,664,000	<u>Billable Gallons</u> 307,295,999	Rate per 1,000 gallons over Allowance \$6.54	Consumption Annual Charges \$2,009,716	
	Total Annual Consumption (All Classes)) in Gallons ======>	6,748	Total Annual Reve	nue =====>	\$3,315,000	* Est Based on 2018 Budget

Average Bill Calc				
Fi - 15 - 0 - 11 -	 sidential	 rcial/Industrial	<u>Other</u>	605.00
Fixed Per Quarter	\$ 63.25	\$ 63.25		\$95.00
Fixed Per month	\$ 21.08	\$ 21.08	\$	31.67
Avg Consumption Per month	4.00	6.75		-
Min. Per month	(1.33)	(1.33)		(6.67)
Over min. cons	2.67	5.42		-
Rate per 1,000 gallons	\$6.54	\$6.54		\$6.54
Volumetric Charges	\$ 17.44	\$ 35.41	\$	-
Average Bill	\$ 38.52	\$ 56.50	\$	31.67

Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc. Calculation of East Norriton Wastewater - O&M Expenses

OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES:	<u>Year 1</u>
O&M Labor Exp	\$ 246,460
O&M Employee Benefits	106,450
O&M Purchased Power	122,170
O&M Contract Services/Purchased WW Treatment	1,262,110
O&M OS Maintenance	54,760
O&M Supplies	8,050
Total O&M Expenses =>	\$ 1,800,000

Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc. Calculation of East Norriton Wastewater - Other Taxes

TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME (PRE-RATE CASE)	<u>Rate</u>	Year 1
Payroll Taxes (FICA, FUTA, SUTA)	8.000%	\$ 19,717
Property Taxes / (Purta)		\$ 46,410
Regulatory Assessments	0.624%	\$ 23,205
Total		\$ 89,332

Schedule A-2 Witness: William C. Packer

APPENDIX A 5 of 11

AQUA PENNSYLVANIA, INC.

NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS SERVED

AND WHOSE BILLS WILL BE CHANGED

LINE NO.	CUSTOMER CLASS	SERVED AT 3/31/2017	SERVED AT 3/31/2018	TO BE SERVED AT 3/31/2019	TO BE SERVED AT 3/31/2020	INCREASED BILLS	DECREASED BILLS	UNCHANGED BILLS
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Metered:							
2	Residential	391,514	394,192	395,423	396,654	396,654	-	-
3	Commercial	22,264	22,460	22,598	22,737	22,733	4	-
4	Industrial	781	779	776	773	773	-	-
5	Public	1,254	1,256	1,256	1,256	1,256	-	-
6	Bulk Water		-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Private Fire Protection	5,197	5,301	5,403	5,504	5,504	-	-
8	Sales to Water Utilities	17	16	16	16	16	-	-
9	Total Metered Customers	421,027	424,004	425,472	426,940	426,936	4	-
10	Other:							
11	Unmetered	903	943	943	943	943		-
12	Availability Charge	2,801	2,769				2,769	
13	Public Fire Protection	263	263	263	263	263		
14	Private Fire Protection	766	779	779	779	779	_	
15	Total Customers	425,760	428,758	427,457	428,925	428,921	2,773	

Docket No. R-2018-3003561 Schedule A-2 Witness: William C. Packer

AQUA PENNSYLVANIA WASTEWATER, INC.

NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS SERVED

AND WHOSE BILLS WILL BE CHANGED

LINE NO.	 CUSTOMER CLA	SS	SERVED AT 3/31/2017 (2)	SERVED AT 3/31/2018 (3)	TO BE SERVED AT 3/31/2019 (4)	TO BE SERVED AT 3/31/2020 (5)	INCREASED BILLS (6)	DECREASED BILLS (7)	UNCHANGED BILLS (8)	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Residential Commercial Industrial Public Miscellaneous Availability Charg Total Custo		16,062 1,324 1 38 - 469 17,894	17,051 1,469 1 40 - 446 19,007	17,051 1,469 1 40 - 18,561	17,051 1,469 1 40 - 18,561	16,981 1,427 1 40 - 18,449	- 42 - - - 446 488	70 - - - - - 70	
AI	Limerick East Bradford	Res Com Ind Res Com Ind				4,882 315 - 1,213 33 2				

Wastewater Settlement Revenues => Exhibit 1(b) from 2018/2019 APWW Rate Case => Add East Bradford VW Add Limerick WW Total Existing Revenues	\$ \$ \$	17,920,976 1,082,402 4,771,000 23,774,378
Docket No. R-2018-3003558		
Water Settlement Revenues => Exhibit 1(b) from 2018/2019 APWW Rate Case =>	\$	473,763,919
Residential Commercial Industrial Revenues	\$ \$ \$	307,623,471 111,880,600 16,482,317
Total Existing Revenues (Res, Com, & Ind classes)	\$	435,986,388

1-A(a) WATER AND 1-B(b) WASTEWATER REVENUE REQUIREMENT - SUMMARY

	Total Company	Water Operations	Wastewater Operations
Present Rate Revenue	\$ 444,858,981	\$ 431,415,676	\$ 13,443,305
Additional Revenue Requirement	47,002,319	35,263,332	11,738,987
Act 11 Allocation (1)	•	7,261,316	(7,261,316)
Proposed Revenues	\$ 491,861,300	\$ 473,940,324	\$ 17,920,976
Rate Increase/(Decrease) - \$	\$ 47,002,319	\$ 42,524,648	\$ 4,477,671
Rate Increase/(Decrease) - %	10.57%	9.86%	33.31%

Notes:
The allocation between westewater operations and water operations is achieved by the proposed consolidation of water and wastewater revenue requirements to derive the water and wastewater rates proposed by the Company in this case.

Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc Residential Average Monthly Bill Comparison - Wastewater Docket Nos. A-2018-3004108, A-2018-3004109, R-2018-3003558 and R-2018-3003561

	Current Division	New Rate Zone	Avg Consumption	Present Rates	Settlement Rates	\$ Change	% Change	Metered Number of Bills	Metered & Unmetered Number of Bills
1	Bridlewood Division	1	4,100	43.04	67.48	24.44	56.79%	3,908	3,908
2	CS Sewer Division (Masthope)	6	1,300	28.99	40.94	11.95	41.22%	15,517	15,578
3	Deerfield Knoll Division	3	3,000	57.87	71.50	13.63	23.55%	1,426	1,426
4	Eagle Rock Division	1	1,900	39.67	45.44	5.77	14.54%	11,704	11,716
5	East Bradford Division	5	5,400	106.43	125.84	19.41	18.24%	972	972
6	Laurel Lakes Division	3	4,200	51.49	81.70	30.21	58.67%	2,348	2,372
7	Links at Gettysburg Division	3	2,700	63.58	68.95	5.37	8.45%	2,046	2,046
8	Little Washington Division	5	3,400	98.05	106.64	8.59	8.76%	4,152	4,164
9	Media Division	1	4,200	42.19	62.92	20.73	49.13%	20,733	20,773
10	Newlin Green Division	5*	6,500	158.75	158.75	0.00	0.00%	588	588
11	Peddlers View Division	4	4,100	78.44	100.95	22.51	28.70%	2,564	2,564
12	Penn Township Division	1B	3,400	40.70	55.00	14.30	35.14%	3,148	3,148
13	Plumsock Division	5	5,300	107.12	124.88	17.76	16.58%	456	456
14	Rivercrest Division	2	3,200	45.22	61.92	16.70	36.93%	5,785	5,869
15	Stony Creek Division	3	2,500	54.86	67.25	12.39	22.58%	2,558	2,558
16	The Greens at Penn Oaks Division	5	3,500	106.38	107.60	1.22	1.15%	838	850
17	Thornhurst Division	3	2,600	52.36	68.10	15.74	30.06%	3,538	3,538
18	Treasure Lake Division	1A	3,000	40.52	49.90	9.38	23.15%	25,259	25,590
19	Twin Hills Division	4	4,200	70.15	101.90	31.75	45.26%	3,947	3,947
20	White Haven Division	2	2,900	47.07	59.49	12.42	26.39%	5,568	5,736
21	Willistown Woods Division	3	3,100	54.92	72.35	17.43	31.74%	8,888	8,888
22	Woodloch Springs Division	3	2,000	51.87	63.00	11.13	21.46%	4,907	4,987
23	Beech Mountain Lakes Division	3	Unmetered	56.04	80.00	23.96	42.76%		11,234
24	Bunker Hill Subdivision	1A	Unmetered	31.92	56.20	24.28	76.07%		790
25	Emlenton Borough Division	2	Unmetered	45.00	68.40	23.40	52.00%		4,416
26	Honeycroft Village Division	4	Unmetered	66.67	100.00	33.33	49.99%		1,250
27	Lake Harmony Division	4	Unmetered	65.63	100.00	34.37	52.37%		12,033
28	New Daleville Division	4	Unmetered	74.17	100.00	25.83	34.83%		1,272
29	Pinecrest Division	2	Unmetered	\$44.28	\$68.40	\$24.12	54.48%		4,002
30	Tobyhanna Township Division	4	Unmetered	66.67	100.00	33.33	49.99%		6,453
31	Sage Hill	5*	Unmetered	180.00	180.00	0.00	0.00%		251
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE Metered WEIGHTED AVERAGE ALL		3,018		62.09 68.27				

5* - Special Charges have been assigned to these divisions within Rate Zone 5.

All Residential Customers are charged a customer charge on an EDU basis with the exception of the Media and Treasure Lake Divisions, which are charged a customer charge based on the appropriate meter size of the applicable Rate Zone. Media and Treasure Lake rates above represent a 5/8" meter.

Pinecrest Division's Present Rate is based on the weighted average of the present rates of all customers both inside Pinecrest and outside Pinecrest.

Bridlewood Division's Average Consumption and Present Rate are based on the weighted average of both townhome and single family home customers.

As per the Asset Purchase Agreement, the Tobyhanna Division rate increase will not become effective until January 1, 2020.

Aqua PennsylRania, Inc Commercial ARerage Monthly Bill Comparison - Wastewater Docket Nos. A-2018-3004108, A-2018-3004109, R-2018-3003558 and R-2018-3003561

	Current Division	New Rate Zone	Avg Consumption	Present Rates	Settlement Rates	\$ Change	% Change	Number of Bills
1	CS Sewer Division (Masthope)	6	24,800	41.33	64.44	23.11	56%	96
2	Eagle Rock Division	1	11,700	52.02	124.92	72.90	140%	323
3	Links at Gettysburg Division	3	20,000	216.93	216.00	-0.93	0%	24
4	Media Division	1	15,700	111.87	150.32	38.45	34%	3,388
5	Penn Township Division	1B	88,800	873.10	955.50	82.40	9%	507
6	Rivercrest Division	2	12,200	92.66	134.82	42.16	45%	108
7	The Greens at Penn Oaks Division	5	78,400	798.26	1,122.64	324.38	41%	12
8	Tobyhanna Township Division	4	9,600	86.00	153.20	67.20	78%	1449
9	Treasure Lake Division	1A	31,700	153.53	230.71	77.18	50%	382
10	Village at Valley Forge Division	1A	144,500	1,054.42	1,220.35	165.93	16%	243
11	White Haven Division	2	5,700	50.60	82.17	31.57	62%	463
12	Willistown Woods Division	3	3,200	55.23	73.20	17.97	33%	1,428
13	Woodloch Springs Division	3	117,000	62.62	71.50	8.88	14%	98
14	Avon Grove School District	5*	Unmetered	6,625.00	8,281.25	1656.25	25%	
15	Beech Mountain Lakes Division	3	Unmetered	69.74	80.00	10.26	15%	
16	Bridlewood Division	1	Unmetered	38.42	61.40	22.98	60%	
17	Emlenton Borough Division	2	Unmetered	45.00	68.40	23.40	52%	
18	Honeycroft Rillage Division	4	Unmetered	466.69	700.00	233.31	50%	
19	Lake Harmony Division	4	Unmetered	65.63	100.00	34.37	52%	
20	Pinecrest Division	2	Unmetered	\$52.32	\$68.40	16.08	31%	
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE - METERED ONL	Y	21,936		214.73			

^{5* -} Special Charges have been assigned to these Divisions within Rate Zone 5.

All Residential Customers are charged a customer charge on an EDU basis with the exception of the Media and Treasure Lake Divisions, which are charged a customer charge based on the appropriate meter size of the applicable Rate Zone. Media and Treasure Lake rates above represent a 5/8" meter.

Bridlewood Division's Present Rate and Settlement Rate are based on the weighted average of the EDU rate charged to the 2 commercial customers.

Woodloch Springs Division's Present Rate and Settlement Rate are based on the customer charge per EDU.

As per the Asset Purchase Agreement, the Tobyhanna Division rate increase will not become effective until January 1, 2020.

Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc Industrial Average Monthly Bill Comparison - Wastewater Docket Nos. A-2018-3004108, A-2018-3004109, R-2018-3003558 and R-2018-3003561

	<u>Current Division</u>	New Rate Zone	Meter Size	Avg Consumption	Present Rates	Settlement Rates	\$ Change	% Change
7	Media Division	1	5/8"	3,200	36.13	55.32	19.19	53%

Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc Residential Average Monthly Bill Comparison - Water Docket Nos. A-2018-3004108, A-2018-3004109, R-2018-3003558 and R-2018-3003561

	Current Division	New Rate Zone	Present Rates*	Settlement Rates*	\$ Change	% Change	Number of Bills	Consumption	Average Gallons
1	Main Division Eagle Rock, Fawn Lake, Tanglewood,	1	\$59.85	\$65.52	\$5.67	9.47%	4,173,338	172,154,838	4,125
	Thornhurst, Woodledge Village, Western								
2	& Pinecrest Divisions	3	59.85	65.52	5.67	9.47%	36,516	737,618	2,020
3	Bensalem Division	1	55.80	65.52	9.72	17.42%	179,147	7,534,487	4,206
4	Chalfont Division	2	47.27	65.52	18.25	38.61%	25,821	1,021,170	3,955
5	Oakland Beach/Lakeside Acres Division	3	57.04	65.52	8.48	14.87%	11,344	261,978	2,309
6	CS Water Division (Masthope)	3	49.36	65.52	16.16	32.74%	15,615	204,095	1,307
7	Country Club Gardens Division	1	40.29	52.98	12.69	31.50%	4,979	223,135	4,482
8	Clarendon Water Division	1	51.05	65.52	14.47	28.34%	3,407	109,345	3,210
9	Kratzerville Division	1	52.29	65.52	13.23	25.30%	1,727	58,671	3,398
10	Honesdale Division	1	52.20	65.52	13.32	25.52%	17,272	632,741	3,663
11	Sand Springs Division	1	40.73	52.98	12.25	30.08%	5,023	168,199	3,349
12	Mifflin Township Division	1	37.11	50.64	13.53	36.46%	5,606	176,827	3,154
13	Beech Mountain Lakes Division	1	21.45	31.73	10.28	47.93%	11,187	369,388	3,302
14	Treasure Lake Division	2	27.20	40.15	12.95	47.61%	25,311	765,777	3,026
15	Concord Park Division	2	30.88	43.91	13.03	42.20%	1,980	77,030	3,890
16	Bristol Township Water System Division	1	24.24	38.40	14.16	58.42%	5,089	226,795	4,457
17	Mt Jewett Borough Division	1	45.95	65.52	19.57	42.59%	4,832	155,007	3,208
18	Bunker Hill Subdivision	Bunker Hill	12.88	26.36	13.48	104.66%	779	31,897	4,097
19	Robin Hood Lakes Division	1	40.16	53.09	12.93	32.20%	2,397	67,756	2,826
20	East Cameron Division	1	57.02	65.52	8.50	14.91%	609	12,076	1,982
21	Sun Valley Division**	Sun Valley	15.00	19.50	4.50	30.00%			
22	Superior Water Company	2	57.02	65.52	8.50	14.91%	47,018	2,045,060	4,350
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE			65.20					4,080

^{*}Residential water rates are based on 5/8" meter and an average consumption of 4,080 gallons per month for all divisions.

^{**}Sun Valley customers are flat rate, unmetered customers.

Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc Commercial Average Monthly Bill Comparison - Water Docket Nos. A-2018-3004108, A-2018-3004109, R-2018-3003558 and R-2018-3003561

	<u>Current Division</u>	New Rate Zone	Meter Size	Avg Consumption	Present Rates	Settlement Rates	\$ Change	% Change	Number of Bills
1	Main Division Eagle Kock, Fawn Lake, Tanglewood, Thornhurst, Woodledge Village, Western	1	5/8"	37,800	\$380.00	\$419.63	\$39.63	10.43%	236,233
2	& Pinecrest Divisions	3	5/8"	13,800	171.34	186.73	15.39	8.98%	665
3	Bensalem Division	1	5/8"	50,700	468.54	531.51	62.97	13.44%	15,289
4	Chalfont Division	2	5/8"	7,100	70.32	102.49	32.17	45.75%	1,678
5	Oakland Beach/Lakeside Acres Division	3	5/8"	5,500	84.39	93.45	9.06	10.74%	381
6	CS Water Division (Masthope)	3	5/8"	42,300	426.00	468.66	42.66	10.01%	57
7	Country Club Gardens Division	1	5/8"	33,900	271.22	333.27	62.05	22.88%	11
8	Clarendon Water Division	1	5/8"	9,900	93.91	135.81	41.90	44.62%	252
9	Kratzerville Division	1	5/8"	7,600	82.56	108.44	25.88	31.35%	23
10	Honesdale Division	1	5/8"	12,600	133.84	164.18	30.34	22.67%	3,789
11	Sand Springs Division	1	2"	40,800	309.80	523.34	213.54	68.93%	12
12	Mifflin Township Division	1	5/8"	19,600	112.70	174.80	62.10	55.10%	369
13	Beech Mountain Lakes Division	1	5/8"	23,000	51.34	95.40	44.06	85.82%	60
14	Treasure Lake Division	2	5/8"	30,800	121.26	185.24	63.98	52.76%	393
15	Concord Park Division	2	4"	487,300	2,226.92	3,381.86	1,154.94	51.86%	12
16	Bristol Township Water System Division	1	5/8"	14,800	116.15	146.76	30.61	26.35%	1,785
17	Mt Jewett Borough Division	1	5/8"	2,200	33.07	44.18	11.11	33.60%	444
20	East Cameron Division	1	3/4"	300	50.00	34.04	(15.96)	-31.92%	28
22	Superior Water Company	2	5/8"	8,400	99.18	117.96	18.78	18.94%	1,077
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE			37,047		409.72			

Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc Industrial Average Monthly Bill Comparison - Water Docket Nos. A-2018-3004108, A-2018-3004109, R-2018-3003558 and R-2018-3003561

	Current Division	New Rate Zone	Meter Size	Avg Consumption	Present Rates	Settlement Rates	\$ Change	% Change	Number of Bills
1	Main Division	1	5/8"	231,500	\$1,897.56	\$2,099.59	\$202.03	10.65%	8,254
3	Bensalem Division	1	1"	53,500	523.48	590.30	66.82	12.76%	853
4	Chalfont Division	2	2"	37,000	358.73	484.19	125.46	34.97%	57
5	Oakland Beach/Lakeside Acres Division	3	5/8"	76,500	691.26	765.28	74.02	10.71%	12
10	Honesdale Division	1	5/8"	23,200	222.27	275.01	52.74	23.73%	72
17	Mt Jewett Borough Division	1	1"	600	22.11	59.64	37.53	169.74%	24
	WEIGHTED AVERAGE			211,513		1,930			