Morgan Lewis

Kenneth M. Kulak

Partner +1.215.963.5384 ken.kulak@morganlewis.com

June 3, 2020

VIA eFILING

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v.

Pennsylvania-American Water Company

Docket Nos. R-2020-3019369 and R-2020-3019371

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced matter is the **Petition of Pennsylvania-American Water Company for Consolidation of Rate Proceedings**. Copies have been served on presiding Administrative Law Judge Conrad A. Johnson and all parties of record as indicated on the attached Certificate of Service.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Kenneth M. Kulak

KMK/ap Enclosures

c: Per Certificate of Service (w/encls.)

M. Lill

DB1/ 114317848.1

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY : Docket No. R-2020-3019369 COMMISSION : Docket No. R-2020-3019371

:

v.

:

PENNSYLVANIA-AMERICAN WATER

COMPANY :

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify and affirm that I have this day served a copy of the **Petition of Pennsylvania-American Water Company for Consolidation of Rate Proceedings** in the abovereferenced proceedings on the following persons, in the manner specified below, in accordance
with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54:

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Administrative Law Judge Conrad A. Johnson Piatt Place - Suite 220 301 5th Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15222 cojohnson@pa.gov

Carrie B. Wright
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120
carwright@pa.gov

Christine M. Hoover
Lauren E. Guerra
Erin L. Gannon
Harrison W. Breitman
Pennsylvania Office of Consumer
Advocate 5th Floor, Forum Place
555 Walnut Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101
choover@paoca.org
lguerra@paoca.org
lguerra@paoca.org
hbreitman@paoca.org

Erin K. Fure
Daniel G. Asmus
Small Business Advocate
Pennsylvania Office of Small Business
Advocate
1st Floor, Forum Place
555 Walnut Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101
efure@pa.gov
dasmus@pa.gov

Jessica and Jeffrey LaBarge 123 Fairmount Avenue Reading, PA 19606 jessi@russolawllc.com

Mr. and Mrs. Gerald S. Lepre, Jr., 4015 Fairfield Avenue
Munhall, PA 15120
leprejrlaw@gmail.com

Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.
Michael I. Kurtz, Esq.
Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
kboehm@bkllawfirm.com
mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com
jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com
Counsel for AK Steel Corp.

Ria M. Pereira
John W. Sweet
Elizabeth R. Marx
Pennsylvania Utility Law Project
118 Locust Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101
pulp@palegalaid.net
Counsel for CAUSE-PA

Joseph L. Vullo
Burke Vullo Reilly Roberts
1460 Wyoming Avenue
Forty Fort, PA 18704
jlvullo@bvrrlaw.com
Counsel for Commission on Economic
Opportunity

Victoria Lozinak 609 Waterfall Way Phoenixville, PA 19460 victoriaeckman@gmail.com

fearth M. Rill

Kenneth M. Kulak (PA I.D. No. 75509)
Anthony C. DeCusatis (PA I.D. No. 25700)
Brooke E. McGlinn (PA I.D. No. 204918)
Mark A. Lazaroff (PA I.D. No. 315407)
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1701 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921
215.963.5034 (bus)
215.963.5001 (fax)
ken.kulak@morganlewis.com
anthony.decusatis@morganlewis.com
brooke.mcglinn@morganlewis.com
mark.lazaroff@morganlewis.com

Susan Simms Marsh (PA I.D. No. 44689) Elizabeth Rose Triscari (PA I.D. No. 306921) Pennsylvania-American Water Company 852 Wesley Drive Mechanicsburg, PA 17055 717.550.1570 (bus) 717.550.1255 (fax) susan.marsh@amwater.com

David P. Zambito (PA I.D. No. 80017) Cozen O'Connor Suite 1410 17 North Second Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 717.703.5892 (bus) dzambito@cozen.com

Counsel for Pennsylvania-American Water Company

Dated: June 3, 2020

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY : COMMISSION :

:

v. : Docket No. R-2020-3019369

: Docket No. R-2020-3019371

PENNSYLVANIA-AMERICAN WATER

COMPANY :

PETITION OF PENNSYLVANIA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY FOR CONSOLIDATION OF RATE PROCEEDINGS

Pennsylvania-American Water Company ("PAWC" or the "Company") files this Petition pursuant to Sections 1311(c) and 3202(b) of the Public Utility Code ("Code") and 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.41 and 5.81 and respectfully requests that the above-referenced dockets be formally consolidated into a single proceeding. In support of this Petition, PAWC avers as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. PAWC is a public utility that provides water and wastewater services to approximately 740,000 residential, commercial, industrial and governmental communities located in 36 of the 67 counties in Pennsylvania. The Company was formed, with Commission approval, by the merger of the former Pennsylvania-American Water Company into Western Pennsylvania Water Company on February 1, 1989. The Company is a subsidiary of American Water Works Company, Inc., which is headquartered in Camden, New Jersey.
- 2. Act 11 of 2012 ("Act 11") amended Chapter 13 of the Code by permitting utilities with water and wastewater operations to mitigate the impact of revenue increases on wastewater

customers by recovering a portion of the utility's wastewater revenue requirement from its total water and wastewater customer base.¹

- 3. On April 29, 2020, the Company submitted to the Commission its base rate case filing, to which the Secretary's Bureau assigned Docket Nos. R-2020-3019369 (water) and R-2020-3019371 (wastewater) ("Base Rate Filing"). As set forth therein, the Company is requesting an increase in its total (water and wastewater) annual operating revenues to become effective June 28, 2020. The Company is seeking an increase in water and wastewater rates based on a multi-year plan ending December 31, 2022. The requested increase equals \$138.6 million over two years: \$92.4 million, annualized over the entire year 2021, and \$46.2 million in 2022. This equates to an annualized 12.9% revenue increase in 2021 and a 5.8% revenue increase in 2022.
- 4. The Base Rate Filing does not combine PAWC's water and wastewater revenue requirements into a single Company-wide revenue requirement, as Section 1311(c) permits upon petition to the Commission. Rather, the Company has prepared and presented separate revenue requirement studies for its water and wastewater operations that "segregate the property used and useful in furnishing each type of such service." The Company has relied, however, upon the portion of Section 1311(c) that permits a utility "to allocate a portion of [its] wastewater revenue requirement to the combined water and wastewater customer base." As explained in PAWC Statement No. 1 (pp. 30-35), which is included in the Base Rate Filing, the Company's proposal will mitigate the impact of the proposed increase on wastewater customers while adding only a

¹ The Commission's Final Implementation Order, issued August 2, 2012, also clarified that Section 1311(c) of the code applies to both a utility company that provides water and wastewater service, as well as to two utilities, owned by a single parent company, that provide water and wastewater service separately. *See Implementation of Act 11 of 2012*, Docket No. M-2012-2293611, Final Implementation Order entered August 2, 2012, at p. 9.

² This is the same approach the Company employed in its 2013 and 2017 water/wastewater base rate filings.

modest increase to the monthly bills of its water customers. As a result of the Company's reliance upon Section 1311(c), as explained above, there is a substantive and integral relationship between the proposed increases in its water and wastewater rates.

II. REQUEST FOR CONSOLIDATION

5. 52 Pa. Code § 5.81(a) provides:

The Commission or presiding officer, with or without motion, may order proceedings involving a common question of law or fact to be consolidated. The Commission or presiding officer may make orders concerning the conduct of the proceedings as may avoid unnecessary costs or delay.

6. In considering whether consolidation is appropriate, the Commission or presiding officer must consider whether: (a) additional issues exist that could cloud the determination of common issues; (b) consolidation will reduce litigation costs and expedite decision-making for the parties and the Commission; (c) the issues in one proceeding go to the heart of an issue in the other proceeding; (d) consolidation will unduly protect a hearing or produce a disorderly or unwieldy record; (e) different statutory and legal issues are involved; (f) the party with the burden of proof differs in the proceedings; (g) consolidation will unduly delay the resolution of one of the proceedings; and (h) supporting data in both proceedings will be repetitive.³ No single consideration or subset of considerations is dispositive of the consolidation issue. Rather, all of the aforementioned factors must be evaluated collectively, and the decision-maker must balance the factors favoring and disfavoring consolidation.⁴

3

³ See Pa. Pub. Util. Comm'n v. City of Lancaster Sewer Fund, Docket No. R-2012-2310366, at p. 3-4 (Second Prehearing Order Nov. 26, 2012).

⁴ *Id*. at 3.

- The Company's water and wastewater rates. PAWC is an integrated company that provides water and wastewater services (on a combined basis in several portions of its service territory), and many functions needed to provide such services are performed on a consolidated basis by PAWC employees. While the Company has submitted separate revenue requirement studies for water and wastewater service, those studies contain many components that are common across both forms of service. Consequently, although the Commission initially assigned separate docket numbers to the water and wastewater components of the Company's Base Rate Filing, that filing reflects the reality that PAWC's proposed revenue requirements, rate design and tariff changes raise common issues of law and fact. The manner in which the Company provides water and wastewater services, the commonality of issues across PAWC's water and wastewater revenue requirement studies, and its proposed rate treatment (i.e., allocating a portion of wastewater revenue requirement to the total-Company customer base), when considered in light of the factors summarized in Paragraph No. 6, above, fully support consolidation.
- 8. Formal consolidation is also in the interest of all parties and the Commission and in the public interest. Consolidation will promote efficiency and conserve administrative resources without delaying the resolution of proceedings at either docket.⁵ Consolidation avoids the need for parties to submit overlapping and redundant testimony and briefs in two proceedings and avoids the need for the Commission to issue separate decisions to resolve common issues of fact and laws in interrelated cases for the same Company. For that reason, failure to grant

_

⁵ Both dockets share the same suspension period. *Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania-American Water Company*, Docket No. R-2020-3019369 (Order May 21, 2020); *Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania-American Water Company*, Docket No. R-2020-3019371 (Order May 21, 2020) (collectively, the "Suspension Orders").

consolidation would impose unnecessary costs on all parties and the Commission, which is clearly not in the public interest.

9. The Commission has recognized that the public interest is served by consolidating water and wastewater rate increase requests for investigation and decision. The Company contemporaneously filed water and wastewater base rate increases requests in 2013 and 2017. In each of those cases, the Commission *sua sponte* assigned PAWC's water and wastewater base rate requests to a *single* docket number.⁶ In 2018, Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. ("Aqua Pa.") filed contemporaneous water and wastewater rate increase requests. Although the Commission assigned separate docket numbers to each request, those dockets were consolidated by the presiding Administrative Law Judges pursuant to Aqua Pa.'s unopposed Motion for consolidation.⁷ Moreover, the Commission, as a practical matter, has already recognized the value of consolidating the separate dockets assigned to the Company's Base Rate Filing by referring both proceedings to a single Administrative Law Judge.

⁻

⁶ Pa. P.U.C. v. Pennsylvania-American Water Co., Docket No. R-2013-2355276 (Jun. 13, 2013) (Order initiating investigation of PAWC's water and wastewater base rate filing); Pa. P.U.C. v. Pennsylvania-American Water Co., Docket No. R-20172595853 (May 18, 2017) (Order initiating investigation of PAWC's water and wastewater base rate filing).

⁷ *Pa. P.U.C. v. Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.*, R-2018-3004108 and R-2018-3004109, Prehearing Order #3 Granting Motion to Consolidate (Oct. 12, 2018). Prehearing Order #3 also consolidated Aqua Pa.'s pending Application to merge Superior Water Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary, with Aqua Pa.'s base rate filings.

V. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for all of the foregoing reasons, this Petition should be granted and the Commission should consolidate the separately-docketed rate filings for the Company's water and wastewater operations, together with all complaints and petitions to intervene filed at each docket, into a single proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth M. Kulak (PA I.D. No. 75509)

Anthony C. DeCusatis (PA I.D. No. 25700)

th M. Rill

Brooke E. McGlinn (PA I.D. No. 204918)

Mark A. Lazaroff (PA I.D. No. 315407)

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

1701 Market Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921

215.963.5384 (bus)

215.963.5001 (fax)

ken.kulak@morganlewis.com

anthony.decusatis@morganlewis.com

brooke.mcglinn@morganlewis.com

mark.lazaroff@morganlewis.com

Susan Simms Marsh (PA I.D. No. 44689) Elizabeth Rose Triscari (Pa. I.D. No. 306921)

Pennsylvania-American Water Company

852 Wesley Drive

Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

717.550.1570 (bus)

susan.marsh@amwater.com

elizabeth.triscari@amwater.com

David P. Zambito (PA I.D. No. 80017)

Cozen O'Connor

Suite 1410

17 North Second Street

Harrisburg, PA 17101

717.703.5892 (bus)

dzambito@cozen.com

Counsel for Pennsylvania-American Water

Company

DB1/ 114284775.4

Dated: June 3, 2020