

100 Pine Street • P0 Box 1166 • Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166 Tel: 717.232.8000 • Fax: 717.237.5300 Adeolu A. Bakare Direct Dial: 717.237.5290 Direct Fax: 717.260.1744 abakare@mcneeslaw.com

November 3, 2020

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street, 2nd Floor Harrisburg, PA 17120 **VIA ELECTRONIC FILING** 

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania-American Water Company; Docket Nos. R-2020-3019369 and R-2020-3019371

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Attached for filing with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission is the Statement in Support of the Pennsylvania-American Water Large Users Group ("PAWLUG"), in the above-referenced proceeding.

As shown by the attached Certificate of Service and per the Commission's March 20, 2020, Emergency Order, all parties to this proceeding are being duly served via email only due to the current COVID-19 pandemic. Upon lifting of the aforementioned Emergency Order, we can provide parties with a hard copy of this pleading upon request.

Sincerely,

McNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC

By

Adeolu A. Bakare

Counsel to the Pennsylvania-American Water Large Users Group ("PAWLUG")

c: Administrative Law Judge Conrad A. Johnson (via e-mail)

Certificate of Service

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am this day serving a true copy of the foregoing document upon the participants listed below in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code Section 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

### VIA E-MAIL

Kenneth M. Kulak, Esq.
Anthony C. DeCusatis, Esq.
Brooke E. McGlinn, Esq.
Mark A. Lazaroff, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
1701 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
kkulak@morganlewis.com
anthony.decusatis@morganlewis.com
bmcglinn@morganlewis.com
mark.lazaroff@morganlewis.com

Susan Simms Marsh, Esq.
Elizabeth Rose Triscari, Esq.
Pennsylvania American Water Company
852 Wesley Drive
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055
susan.marsh@amwater.com
Elizabeth.Triscari@amwater.com

David P. Zambito, Esq. Cozen O'Connor 17 North Second Street, Suite 1410 Harrisburg, PA 17101 dzambito@cozen.com

Richard A. Kanaskie, Esq.
Carrie B. Wright, Esq.
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor West
Harrisburg, PA 17120
rkanaskie@pa.gov
carwright@pa.gov

Christine M. Hoover, Esq. Erin L. Gannon, Esq. Lauren E. Guerra, Esq. Harrison W. Breitman, Esq. Office of Consumer Advocate 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Forum Place 555 Walnut Street Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923 OCAPAWC2020@paoca.org

Erin K. Fure, Esq.
Steven Gray, Esq.
Office of Small Business Advocate
300 North 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 1102
Harrisburg, PA 17101
efure@pa.gov
sgray@pa.gov

Michael L. Kurtz, Esq.
Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.
Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 E. Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OH 45202
mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com
kboehm@bkllawfirm.com
jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com

John Sweet, Esq.
Elizabeth R. Marx, Esq.
Ria Pereira, Esq.
PA Utility Law Project
118 Locust Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101
jsweetpulp@palegalaid.net
emarxpulp@palegalaid.net
rpereirapulp@palegalaid.net
pulp@palegalaid.net

Certificate of Service Page 2

Joseph L. Vullo, Esq. Burke Vullo Reilly Roberts 1460 Wyoming Avenue Forty Fort, PA 18704 ilvullo@aol.com

Dan Grieser, Esq. Kilkenny Law LLC 519 Swede Street Norristown, PA 19401 dan@skilkennylaw.com Jessica and Jeffrey LaBarge 123 Fairmount Avenue Reading, PA 19606 jessi@russolawllc.com

Charles and Jennifer Spryn 899 Bullcreek Road Butler, PA 16002 sprynhouse@live.com

Jan K. Vroman 623 Eastman Street West Mifflin, PA 15122 jan.vroman@yahoo.com

Adeolu A. Bakare

Counsel to the Pennsylvania-American Water Large Users Group

1 3h

Dated this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of November, 2020, at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

# BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

•

v. : R-2020-3019369 (Water)

R-2020-3019371 (Wastewater)

Pennsylvania-American Water Company

STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE PENNSYLVANIA-AMERICAN WATER LARGE USERS GROUP

The Pennsylvania-American Water Large Users Group ("PAWLUG"), by and through its counsel, submits this Statement in Support of the Joint Petition for Non-Unanimous Settlement of Rate Investigation ("Settlement"), entered into by and among Pennsylvania-American Water Company ("PAWC") the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PUC" or "Commission") Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement ("I&E"), PAWLUG, and AK Steel Corp. ("AK Steel") (the "Signatories") on October 30 and 31, 2020. PAWLUG offers this Statement in Support to further demonstrate that the Settlement is in the public interest and should be approved without modification.

## I. BACKGROUND

1. On April 29, 2020, the Company filed Supplement No. 19 to Tariff Water-Pa. P.U.C. No. 5 ("Water Tariff") and Supplement No. 19 Tariff Wastewater-Pa. P.U.C. No. 16 ("Wastewater Tariff"), which contained changes in rates, rules and regulations calculated to produce approximately \$138.6 million over the two years of the Company's proposed multi-year rate plan ("MYRP") consisting of calendar years 2021 ("Rate Year 1") and 2022 ("Rate Year 2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PAWC, I&E, and PAWLUG entered into the Settlement on October 30, 2020. AK Steel joined the Settlement on November 2, 2020.

Specifically, the Company requested a revenue increase of \$92.4 million in 2021 or 12.9%, and approximately \$46.2 million or 5.8% in 2022.

- 2. On June 4, 2020, PAWLUG filed a Complaint in the above-captioned proceeding, which set forth a list of issues to be investigated, including:
  - a. the size of the requested rate increase, especially in light of the relief provided by the PUC in PAWC's 2017, 2013, and 2011 base rate proceedings;
  - b. the accuracy and legitimacy of the Cost of Service Study allocation methodology;
  - c. the proposed distribution of the increase among customer classes;
  - d. rate structure and rate design;
  - e. the reasonableness of PAWC's proposed Regionalization and Consolidation Surcharge ("RCS");
  - f. The reasonableness of PAWC's proposed pension tracker;
  - g. issues relating to ongoing recovery from ratepayers for infrastructure improvements under the Distribution System Improvement Charge ("DSIC"); and
  - h. the nexus between PAWC's revenue claims in this proceeding and the benefits that the Company has received, and will continue to receive, through the DSIC.
- 3. A telephonic Prehearing Conference was held on June 4, 2020, before Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Conrad A. Johnson, and a procedural schedule was established for discovery, written testimony, settlement discussions, and hearings.
- 4. Consistent with the procedural schedule approved by the ALJ, PAWLUG submitted the Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Billie S. LaConte ("PAWLUG Statement No. 1") on September 8, 2020; the Rebuttal Testimony of Billie S. LaConte ("PAWLUG Statement No. 1-R") on September 29, 2020; and the Surrebuttal Testimony of Billie S. LaConte ("PAWLUG Statement No. 1-S") on October 20, 2020.

5. On or about October 23, 2020, the parties informed the ALJ that a settlement in principle had been reached.

#### II. STATEMENT IN SUPPORT

- 6. The Commission has a strong policy favoring settlements. As set forth in the PUC's regulations, "[t]he Commission encourages parties to seek negotiated settlements of contested proceedings in lieu of incurring the time, expense and uncertainty of litigation." 52 Pa. Code § 69.391; *see also* 52 Pa. Code § 5.231. Consistent with the Commission's policy, the Joint Petitioners engaged in several negotiations to resolve the issues raised by various Parties. These ongoing discussions produced the foregoing Settlement.
- 6. The Joint Petitioners agree that approval of the proposed Settlement is in the best interest of the Parties involved.
  - 7. The Joint Petition is in the public interest for the following reasons:
    - a. As a result of the Joint Petition, expenses incurred by the Joint Petitioners and the Commission for completing this proceeding will be less than they would have been if the proceeding had been fully litigated.
    - b. Uncertainties regarding further expenses associated with possible appeals from the Final Order of the Commission are avoided as a result of the Joint Petition.
    - c. The Joint Petition results in an increase in PAWC's rates of \$70.5 million, which is approximately 51% of the Company's original request of \$138.6 million. Joint Petition at 5.
    - d. The Joint Petition reflects compromises on all sides presented without prejudice to any position any Joint Petitioner may have advanced so far in this proceeding. Similarly, the Joint Petition is presented without prejudice to any position any party may advance in future proceedings involving the Company. Joint Petition at 22.
    - e. The Joint Petition provides guidance as to PAWC's collection of unbilled revenue in the event that the Settlement is not approved by the January 28, 2020 expiration of the Commission's statutory review period by confirming that "The revenue increase not billed from the effective date

through the date of PUC approval of new rates will be recovered over a six-month period that shall be applied proportionately to all customer classes." Joint Petition at 21.

- 8. In addition, the Joint Petition specifically satisfies the concerns of PAWLUG in this proceeding. PAWLUG's testimony opposed the Company's proposed RCS on grounds that the proposal would allow PAWC to recover costs of acquisition through single issue ratemaking despite a lack of policy or public interest basis supporting recovery of such costs through an automatically adjusted surcharge. *See* PAWLUG Statement No. 1 at 2-8; PAWLUG Statement No. 1-S at 3-8. Although PAWLUG primarily recommended that the Commission deny the RCS, PAWLUG also proposed minimum conditions that could mitigate some of the adverse customer impacts and potential interclass subsidization resulting from the proposed surcharge. *See id.* PAWLUG also proposed that PAWC adopt a third rate block for the Commercial rate class in order to ensure large users within the Commercial rate class pay rates reflective of cost of service. *See* PAWLUG Statement No. 1 at 8-12; PAWLUG Statement No. 1-S at 2-3. Finally, PAWLUG opposed a proposal from AK Steel to shift costs from the 4<sup>th</sup> Industrial rate block to other Industrial customers by reducing the percentage increase to the 4<sup>th</sup> rate block in contravention with PAWC's Cost of Service Study. *See* PAWLUG Statement No. 1-R at 2.
- 9. The Joint Petition provides a reasonable resolution to the issues raised by PAWLUG by: (1) providing for a reasonable compromise among the Parties concerning the water system rate increase, distribution of such increase among customer classes, rate design, and reduction to the wastewater revenue requirement allocated to water customers. <sup>2</sup> *See* Joint Petition at 8-10, 17-19; and (2) rejecting the proposed RCS and other alternative ratemaking

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> PAWLUG understands that several supporting exhibits will be filed on November 6, 2020 and reserves all rights to address any inconsistencies between the Joint Petition and the supporting exhibits, as well as any information in the supporting exhibits that materially differs from the informal exhibits exchanged during settlement discussions.

mechanisms that would have unreasonably increased costs for PAWLUG members. *See id.* at 11.

- 10. PAWLUG supports the Joint Petition because it is in the public interest; however, in the event that the Joint Petition is rejected by the ALJ or the Commission, PAWLUG will reserve all rights to resume its litigation positions.
- 11. As set forth above, PAWLUG submits that the Settlement is in the public interest and adheres to the Commission policies promoting negotiated settlements. The Settlement was achieved after numerous settlement discussions. Although the Joint Petitioners have invested time and resources in the negotiation of the Joint Petition, this process has allowed the Parties, and the Commission, to avoid expending the substantial resources that would have been required to fully litigate this proceeding while still reaching a just, reasonable, and non-discriminatory result. Joint Petitioners have thus reached an amicable resolution to this dispute as embodied in the Settlement. Approval of the Settlement will permit the Commission and Joint Petitioners to avoid incurring the additional time, expense, and uncertainty of further litigation of a number of major issues in this proceeding. See 52 Pa. Code § 69.391.

## III. CONCLUSION

**WHEREFORE**, the Pennsylvania-American Water Large Users Group respectfully requests that the Administrative Law Judge and the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission approve the Joint Petition for Settlement of Rate Investigation without modification.

Respectfully submitted,

McNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC

1de 73/m

By

Adeolu A. Bakare (Pa. I.D. No. 208541 Jo-Anne S. Thompson (Pa. I.D. No. 325956)

100 Pine Street P. O. Box 1166

Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

Phone: (717) 232-8000 Fax: (717) 237-5300 abakare@mcneeslaw.com jthompson@mcneeslaw.com

Counsel to the Pennsylvania-American Water Large Users Group

Dated: November 3, 2020