

Matthew S. Olesh 215.665.3043 matthew.olesh@obermayer.com www.obermayer.com

Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell & Hippel LLP

Centre Square West 1500 Market Street | Suite 3400 Philadelphia, PA 19102-2101

P: 215.665.3000 F: 215.665.3165

March 10, 2021

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265 rchiavetta.pa.gov

> RE: Docket No. A-2019-3015173; Application of Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc. – Delaware County Regional Water Quality Control Authority

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

We serve as counsel to the Delaware County Regional Water Quality Control Authority ("DELCORA") in the above matter and are submitting, with this letter, the Answer of DELCORA in Opposition to Objections of the County to the Joint Stipulation among Lower Chichester Township, Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc. and DELCORA.

This document is being served via electronic mail on the Administrative Law Judges presiding over this matter and all parties of record. The document was also filed electronically with the Public Utility Commission on this date.

Very truly yours,

Matthew S. Olesh

cc: The Honorable Angela Jones, Administrative Law Judge The Honorable F. Joseph Brady, Administrative Law Judge All parties of record

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Application of Aqua Pennsylvania : Docket No. A-2019-3015173

Wastewater, Inc. pursuant to Sections 1102, : 1329 and 507 of the Public Utility Code for :

approval of the acquisition by Aqua of the wastewater system assets of the Delaware

County Regional Water Quality Control a
Authority

Authority

ANSWER OF DELAWARE COUNTY REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL AUTHORITY IN OPPOSITION TO OBJECTIONS OF THE COUNTY OF DELAWARE TO JOINT STIPULATION WITH LOWER CHICHESTER

AND NOW, the Delaware County Regional Water Quality Control Authority ("DELCORA"), pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §§ 1.2(a) and 5.61, answers the Objections of the County of Delaware (the "County") to the Joint Stipulation among Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc. ("Aqua"), Lower Chichester Township ("Lower Chichester") and DELCORA as follows:

I. INCORPORATION OF AQUA'S ANSWER

1. DELCORA joins in and incorporates by reference the Answer in Opposition to Objections of the County to the Joint Stipulation filed by Aqua.

II. <u>DELCORA'S RESPONSES TO COUNTY'S OBJECTIONS</u>

- 2. On the whole, the County sets forth a series of meritless procedural arguments in an attempt to obstruct resolution of an issue that directly relates to and will assist the Commission in resolving this proceeding.
- 3. DELCORA joins in the arguments made by Aqua that the Parties to the Stipulation (i.e., Lower Chichester, DELCORA and Aqua) have not requested or proposed that the Commission or any other Party to this proceeding approve or accept the Joint Stipulation. The Joint Stipulation does not contain any proposed factual findings the Commission is being asked to

make that are adverse to the County or any other party to this proceeding. Therefore, the County's reliance on 52 Pa. Code §5.231(d) for standing to oppose the Joint Stipulation is misplaced. *See* Objection of the County of Delaware to the Joint Stipulation, ¶4.

- 4. The Administrative Law Judges ("ALJs") recommended the denial of Aqua's Application on the basis of purportedly outstanding issues surrounding DELCORA's legal ability to transfer its wastewater assets.
- 5. The Joint Stipulation and Notice of Withdrawal of Lower Chichester at issue here resolves one of the outstanding issues that served as the basis for the ALJs' recommended denial of the Application.
- 6. As such, this is necessary information for the Commission to have before it when rendering a decision in this proceeding.
- 7. Additionally, the County's arguments directly contradict the Commission's policy to encourage settlement and the plain meaning of section 507 of the Public Utility Code.
- 8. Lastly, DELCORA has already filed joint stipulations with various other parties in this proceeding.
- 9. Nonetheless, the County continues to invoke baseless procedural arguments in an attempt to obstruct the resolution of an issue raised by the ALJs.

In addition to incorporating and supporting Aqua's Answer, DELCORA briefly responds to the County's Objections individually below.

A. RESPONSE TO OBJECTION NO. 1

10. The County's objection that the Joint Stipulation—and more specifically, the Option Agreement between Lower Chichester and Aqua—constitutes a "material change" to Aqua's Application, and thus warrants an affirmation of the Recommended Decision and outright

rejection of the Application is entirely without merit. See id., ¶¶6–17.

- 11. DELCORA joins in the arguments made by Aqua that the Joint Stipulation and Option Agreement do not change Aqua's Application.
- 12. Rather, the Joint Stipulation simply removes what the ALJs viewed as an obstacle to approval of the Application, *as submitted*, by amicable resolution reached among Aqua, DELCORA and Lower Chichester.
 - 13. As such, the County's Objection No. 1 should be rejected and denied.

B. RESPONSE TO OBJECTION NO. 2

- 14. Similarly, the County's argument that Aqua must submit the Joint Stipulation as an amendment to Aqua's Application or Petition for a reopening the record to admit the Joint Stipulation as "new evidence" is without merit. *See id.* ¶¶18–20.
- 15. DELCORA joins in the arguments made by Aqua that the Option Agreement is not relevant to this proceeding at this time.
 - 16. Therefore, the County's Objection No. 2 should be rejected and denied.

C. RESPONSE TO OBJECTION NO. 3

- 17. Lastly, the County's argument that the Joint Stipulation violates the due process rights of the other parties to this proceeding because they will not have a chance to review and comment on what the County believes is a "material amendment" to Aqua's Application is entirely baseless. *See id.* ¶21.
- 18. DELCORA joins in the arguments submitted by Aqua that the County does not have any standing to challenge the resolution and withdrawal of Lower Chichester's Protest to the Application.
 - 19. Additionally, as Aqua argues, the Joint Stipulation does not implicate any issue

raised and litigated by the County—therefore, the County lacks standing to object to Lower

Chichester's withdrawal.

20. Thus, the County's Objection No. 3 should be rejected and denied.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

WHEREFORE, the Delaware County Regional Water Quality Control Authority requests

that the Commission disregard or deny the County of Delaware's Objections to the Joint

Stipulation and grant such other relief as is just and reasonable under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Thomas Wyatt

Thomas Wyatt, Esquire (PA I.D. 89342)

Matthew S. Olesh, Esquire (PA I.D. 206553)

OBERMAYER REBMANN MAXWELL & HIPPEL LLP

Centre Square West

1500 Market Street, Suite 3400

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Tel.: (215) 665-3000

Fax: (215) 665-3165 Thomas.Wyatt@obermayer.com

Matthew.Olesh@obermayer.com

Counsel for the Delaware County Regional

Water Quality Control Authority

Date: March 10, 2021

4

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Application of Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc. Pursuant to Sections 1102, 1329 and 507 of the Public Utility Code for Approval of its Acquisition of the Wastewater System Assets of the Delaware County Regional Water Ouality Control Authority

A-2019-3015173

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Matthew Olesh, Esq., hereby certify that I have served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Answer of the Delaware County Regional Water Quality Control Authority in Opposition to Objections of the County Of Delaware to Joint Stipulation upon the following parties by electronic mail.

Gina L. Miller, Prosecutor Erika L. McLain, Prosecutor Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ginmiller@pa.gov ermclain@pa.gov

Steven C. Gray Senior Supervising Assistant Small Business Advocates Office of Small Business Advocate sgray@pa.gov

Kenneth D. Kynett, Esq. Charles G. Miller, Esq. Petrikin, Wellman, Damico, Brown & Petrosa kdk@petrikin.com cgm@petrikin.com Christine Maloni Hoover
Erin L. Gannon
Senior Assistant Consumer
Advocates
Harrison W. Breitman
Santo G. Spataro
Assistant Consumer Advocates
Office of Consumer Advocate
OCADELCORA@paoca.org

Adeolu A. Bakare, Esq.
Robert F. Young, Esq.
Kenneth R. Stark, Esq.
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
abakare@mcneeslaw.com
ryoung@mcneeslaw.com
kstark@mcneeslaw.com

Thomas T. Niesen, Esquire Thomas, Niesen & Thomas, LLC 212 Locust Street, Suite 302 Harrisburg, PA 17101 tniesen@tntlawfirm.com Scott J. Rubin, Esq. scott.j.rubin@gmail.com

Justin Weber, Esq.
Michelle M. Skjoldal, Esq.
Jason T. Ketelson, Esq.
Marc Machlin, Esq.
Troutman Pepper Hamilton Saunders
LLP
michelle.skjoldal@troutman.com
jason.ketelson@troutman.com
justin.weber@troutman.com
marc.machlin@troutman.com

John F. Povilaitis, Esquire Alan M. Seltzer, Esquire Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney, PC john.povilaitis@bipc.com alan.seltzer@bipc.com

Ross Schmucki rschmucki@gmail.com

Patricia Kozel Pattyk6@iclooud.com Robert W. Scott, Esq. rscott@robertwscottpc.com

Thomas J. Sniscak, Esq.
Kevin J. McKeon, Esq.
Whitney E. Snyder, Esq.
Melissa A. Chapaska, Esq.
Hawke McKeon & Sniscak LLP
tjsniscak@hmslegal.com
kjmckeon@hmslegal.com
wesnyder@hmslegal.com
machapaska@hmslegal.com

Cynthia Pantages C&L Rental Properties, LLC cyndipantages@gmail.com

Edward Clark, Jr.
Treasure Lake Property Owners
Association
gm@treasurelake.us

Lawrence and Susan Potts Susie01213@aol.com

/s/ Matthew Olesh Matthew S. Olesh, Esq.

Dated: March 10, 2021