PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

V.

PEOPLES NATURAL GAS COMPANY LLC

Docket No. R-2018-3006818

Rebuttal Testimony

of

Christopher Keller

Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement

Concerning:

PENSION EXPENSE PAYMENT PROCESSING

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PENSION EXPENSE	. 2
PAYMENT PROCESSING	. 3

1	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{r}}$	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is Christopher Keller. My business address is Pennsylvania Public
3		Utility Commission, Commonwealth Keystone Building, 400 North Street,
4		Harrisburg, PA 17120.
5		
6	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
7	A.	I am employed by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (Commission) in
8		the Bureau of Investigation & Enforcement (I&E) as a Fixed Utility Financial
9		Analyst.
10		
11	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME CHRISTOPHER KELLER WHO SUBMITTED
12		THE DIRECT TESTIMONY CONTAINED IN I&E STATEMENT NO. 1
13		AND I&E EXHIBIT NO. 1?
14	A.	Yes.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
17	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to the direct testimony of the
18		Office of Consumer Advocate witness (OCA) Dante Mugrace where he accepts
19		the Peoples Natural Gas Company's (Peoples or Company's) claims for pension
20		expense and payment processing (OCA Statement No. 1).

1	Q.	DOES YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY INCLUDE AN EXHIBIT?
2	A.	No. However, I will make references to my direct testimony (I&E Statement
3		No. 1).
4		
5		PENSION EXPENSE
6	Q.	SUMMARIZE OCA WITNESS MUGRACE'S RECOMMENDATION IN
7		DIRECT TESTIMONY FOR PENSION EXPENSE?
8	A.	In direct testimony, OCA witness Dante Mugrace accepted the Company's
9		pension expense claim of \$2,363,000 based on a schedule received in an
10		interrogatory of pension contributions for Peoples and Equitable Gas Company
11		(Equitable) (OCA Statement No. 1, pp. 21-22).
12		
13	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. MUGRACE'S ACCEPTANCE OF THE
14		COMPANY'S PENSION EXPENSE CLAIM?
15	A.	No. As I stated in my direct testimony, the Company's pension expense
16		allowance should be based on an accrual method rather than on a cash basis since
17		the Company based its pension expense claim in its prior base rate case on an
18		accrual basis. My recommendation maintains consistency in Peoples' pension
19		allowance between cases for ratemaking purposes. As stated in my direct
20		testimony, the Company should not be allowed to switch methods in order to
21		maximize its revenue requirement (I&E Statement No. 1, pp. 12-15).

1	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES TO YOUR RECOMMENDATION?
2	A.	No. I continue to recommend an allowance of \$553,000 for pension expense, or a
3		reduction of \$1,810,000 (\$2,363,000 - \$553,000) to the Company's claim (I&E
4		Statement No. 1, p. 13).
5		
6		PAYMENT PROCESSING
7	Q.	SUMMARIZE OCA WITNESS MUGRACE'S RECOMMENDATION IN
8		DIRECT TESTIMONY FOR PAYMENT PROCESSING?
9	A.	In direct testimony, OCA witness Dante Mugrace accepted the Company's
10		payment processing claim of \$2,308,208 but does not provide a basis for accepting
11		the Company's claim (OCA Statement No. 1, pp. 48-49).
12		
13	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. MUGRACE'S ACCEPTANCE OF THE
14		COMPANY'S PAYMENT PROCESSING CLAIM?
15	A.	No. As I stated in my direct testimony, the Company's allowance should be based
16		on its current quantity of payments by type of payment. The Company did not
17		provide supporting documentation that 31% of ratepayers will begin using debit or
18		credit card payment methods upon the effective date of new rates. Currently only
19		11% of customers make payments via debit and credit cards. The Company made
20		its determination solely based upon an AITE Group paper that gas customers make
21		31% of payments by debit and credit cards. While there may eventually be
22		increased usage of debit and credit cards due to the elimination of the transaction

1		fee, the Company did not provide supporting documentation that usage will jump
2		to 31% upon the effective date of rates or at any time during the FPFTY (I&E
3		Statement No. 1, pp. 24-27).
4		
5	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY CHANGES TO YOUR RECOMMENDATION?
6	A.	No. I continue to recommend that the Company's allowance for payment
7		processing be based on its current quantity of payments by type of payment which
8		results in an allowance of \$1,358,459 for the fee-free bank card acceptance
9		proposal, or a reduction of \$944,749 (\$2,303,208 - \$1,358,459) to the Company's
10		claim (I&E Statement No. 1, pp. 26-27).
11		
12	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
13	A.	Yes.