



**Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission**

**Damage Prevention Committee Meeting Case List  
December 14, 2021**

**Omnibus Session**

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
110727507 50+	<b>Facility Owner:</b> PPL Electric Utilities <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Original Pole Buildings, DBA Wood Original, Inc.	<p><u>On 10/28/2019 11:30:00 AM at 85 2nd Mountain Road, Wayne Township, Schuylkill</u> At the DPC meeting held on 9/14/2021, the DPC made recommendations to add 5(17) and add monetary penalties to the violations. After speaking with supervisor, since they were 1st time offenders, penalties were reduced by 50% for 1st offense.</p> <p>On 10/28/2019 Original Pole Buildings was working at 85 2nd Mountain Road, Wayne Township, Schuylkill County when they struck and damaged an underground PPL primary cable. One customer lost power because Original Pole Buildings did not place a One Call notification prior to the start of excavation.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was sent to Original Pole Builders to submit an AVR. As of 5/14/21, no AVR has been submitted.</p> <p>Original Pole Builders is cited for failure to place a routine One Call ticket before excavation and failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is mandatory.</p>	<p><b>Original Pole Buildings, DBA Wood Original, Inc.: \$750.00</b>            Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$125.00</p>
12225	<b>Project Owner:</b> Erie Water Works <b>Designer:</b> KLH ENGINEERS <b>Other:</b> NUCA PENNSYLVANIA	<p><u>On 11/18/2019 8:00:00 AM at 5173 CAMPBELLS RUN RD, PITTSBURGH, PA 15205, ROBINSON TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Erie Water rejects their penalty saying that they are not the owner of this project. The violations and penalties have been removed for Erie.</p> <p>****No Damage</p> <p>Incident occurred on 11/8/2019 at 5173 Campbells Run Rd., Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County. NUCA has reported that KLH Engineers has been using wording in their Bid Specifications that are an attempt to waive Section 5(15). NUCA reports that they have found this language repeatedly in documents prepared by KLH, and they have made attempts to have it removed. It appears that some of KLH's branches have done so, but that others continue to use language that is in violation of 5(15).</p> <p>On February 21, 2020, DPI Andrade sent an AVR letter to KLH asking them to submit an AVR. As of April 14, 2020, no AVR has been submitted.</p> <p>KLH Engineers is cited for using language that attempts to strip the rights of excavators as guaranteed by Section 5(15), and for failure to submit an AVR within 30 days of being notified that one was required by One Call Enforcement. Recommend mandatory education.</p>	<p><b>KLH ENGINEERS: \$750.00</b>            Section 5(15) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Erie Water Works has been cited for attempting to waive the rights guaranteed to the excavator due to the language contained in this contract.</p> <p>The language found in Exhibit A (referring to Item J) specifically states: "This item shall be in lieu of the force amount payment provisions specified in the Pennsylvania One Call Act, Section 5, item 14, and shall be considered as payment in full for this item".</p> <p>Act 50, Section 5(15) specifically states that "Provisions in any contract, public or private, which attempt to limit the rights of excavators under this section shall not be valid for any reason, and any attempted waiver of this action shall be void and unenforceable as against public policy and any such attempted waiver shall be reported to the Commission."</p>	
14943	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> SITE PRO SURVEYING AND CONSTRUCTION  <b>Project Owner:</b> JENKINS TWP/  JENKINS TWP  SANITARY</p>	<p>On 5/11/2020 12:00:00 AM at 21 CAREY LN, JENKINS TWP, LUZERNE Incident occurred on 5/11/2020 at 21 Carey LN, Jenkins Township, Luzerne County. A 6” UGI HDPE gas main was hit and damaged while excavator Site Pro Surveying and Construction was repairing a sewer tank leak for the Jenkins Township Sewer Authority.</p> <p>UGI states in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that all the direct marks, offset marks, flagging, and pipeline markers were all accurately marked and were within the tolerance zone. AVR also states that according to the excavator that they spoke with, they had spotted the main, however did not uncover the entire facility throughout the dig area. The damage affected 184 customers and cost approximately \$30,000.00. Statement and calculations are provided by UGI. Pictures are provided. Pictures from UGI show the markings and damage with a hit kit, in the excavation area. Although a perpendicular marking is not seen, the hit kit shows a 6” difference from the marking and the strike. The UGI markings were within the tolerance zone. See Pic 114518.jpg.</p> <p>Site Pro Surveying and Construction states on their AVR that they were contacted by Jenkins Township Authority to repair a force main sewer on May 9, 2020. An emergency ticket was submitted for repair to a leaking sewer pipe. The gas main was located using shovels. A trench was excavated parallel and 21” from the markings. The laborers continued to use shovels and the excavator assisted by removing excess material from the trench area. The gas main was exposed. As the excavator continued to remove excess material from the trench, the gas line was ruptured. After the utility owner pinched the pipe in an alternate location to stop the leak, they were able to assess the situation. They determined that the bucket struck a retired bypass line that was 6 inches above the main and perpendicular to the gas line and this bypass line was not marked. Pics are provided.</p>	<p><b>SITE PRO SURVEYING AND CONSTRUCTION:</b>  <b>\$1,250.00</b>  Section 5(4) 1st Offense  \$1,250.00</p> <p><b>JENKINS TWP/  JENKINS TWP  SANITARY: \$0.00</b>  Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense  \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st  Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Jenkins Township Water Authority (JTWA) AVR request was emailed on 5/14/21. JTWA submitted a letter and pics through Glace Associates.</p> <p>Glace Associates Inc. submitted an AVR stating that they are representing Jenkins Township Water Authority (JTWA). They also provided new contact information for JTWA. A letter from JTWA and pics were provided. JTWA Letter states that because of a sewer leak at 21 Carey Lane in Jenkins Township, Site Pro Surveying and Construction was hired to locate and repair a leak. Site Pro submitted an emergency ticket, because sewage was coming up from the leak site, presenting a health and safety issue. They state that UGI lines were marked parallel to Carey Lane and the low-pressure sewer main. They state that Site Pro struck an abandoned UGI bypass gas main, which was perpendicular to the 6" gas main parallel to Carey Lane. They state the tee was not marked.</p> <p>JTWA also states that the actual leak was unknown prior to the initial excavation. Pro Survey Construction dug a trench several feet away and parallel to the gas markings. They state the repair was made closer to the 6" valve on the branch of the tee, where the pipe was hit. JTWA inspector notified 811 to report the incident. After the repair was made, Site Pro repaired the 2" LPSS and backfilled the excavation area.</p> <p>JTWA also states that the 6" bypass gas main was installed just above the 2" LPSS pipe. They think it is a possibility that the LPSS line was latently damaged during the installation of the original gas main.</p> <p>On 5/9/2020 an emergency ticket was placed by Site Pro Surveying and Construction to repair a sewer tank leak for Jenkins Township Sewer Authority.</p> <p>Site Pro Surveying and Construction is in violation of Section: 5(4) and penalty is applied. Fine factor damage =1, affected over 50 customers = a fine factor of .5. Site Pro Surveying and Construction did not exercise due care and employ prudent techniques in this area. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Jenkins Township Water Authority is in violation of Sections: 6.1(7) and \$250. penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory. 2(5)(v) and \$250. Penalty is reduced to a warning. New Damage Emergency Ticket #20201291690 was due on 5/08/2020. Jenkins Township responded late, on 5/11/2020. This is a first-time offender, and this was their emergency.</p>	
14839	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Infrasource <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Brian Montieth Enterprises INC (BME) <b>Project Owner:</b> Property Owner</p>	<p><u>On 5/14/2020 9:00:00 AM at 213 E HIGH ST, PHOENIXVILLE BORO, CHESTER</u> Incident occurred on 5/14/2020 at 213 E. High Street in Phoenixville Borough, Chester County. Brian Montieth Enterprises Inc (BME INC) was digging with a backhoe/track hoe installing drainpipes, when underground electric</p>	<p><b>Brian Montieth Enterprises INC (BME):</b> <b>\$500.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00  Section 5(4) 1st Offense</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p><b>Other:</b> PECO ENERGY <b>Other:</b> Verizon</p>	<p>secondary mains were struck. There was no One Call ticket submitted for this work.</p> <p>PECO stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that an electric secondary main that was feeding apartments 213-219 High Street, was hit and damaged while (BME) was installing drainpipes in the rear of the property, using a backhoe/trackhoe. No pics were provided</p> <p>BME states in their AVR that they were resurfacing the townhouse parking lot and the machine pulled an old drainage line that was previously paved over. The pipe was pulled out of the ground, pulling with it underground electric service wires. PECO was notified. No pics were provided.</p> <p>Property Owner of 213 E. Highstreet was mailed an AVR request letter on 6/2/2021. No AVR was received. No contact was made.</p> <p>Infrasource submitted tickets, but only fixed the line that was already exposed. AVR was requested but is not needed.</p> <p>One Call comments that BME Inc has placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>Brian Montieth Enterprises Inc. is in violation of Sections: 5(2.1) excavator did not request a One call ticket. This is a first-time violation and \$1000. Is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory. 5(4) excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques when he was resurfacing the parking lot.</p> <p>Project owner and Property Owner of 213 E. High Street is in violation of Section: 6.1(7) No AVR was received. \$250. This is a first-time violation and penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.</p>	<p>\$500.00</p> <p><b>Property Owner: \$0.00</b> Section 6.1(7) \$0.00</p>
14891	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PPL <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> RED HILL PLUMBING AND HEATING LLC <b>Project Owner:</b> Home Owner</p>	<p>On 5/15/2020 9:00:00 AM at 914 Reservoir Rd, <u>HONEY BROOK BORO, CHESTER</u> Incident occurred on 5/15/2020 at a private residence at 914 Reservoir Road, in Honey Brook Township, Chester County. Red Hill Plumbing and Heating LLC was repairing a water leak, using a backhoe, when a PPL electric line was struck and damaged. This affected 1 customer for 1-6 hours. There was no One Call ticket submitted.</p> <p>PPL Electric stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Red Hill Plumbing and Heating LLC was excavating to repair a water leak for the homeowner without a valid One Call ticket and damaged the underground electric Service to 914 Reservoir Rd. No Pics were submitted.</p> <p>Red Hill Plumbing and Heating LLC stated in their AVR that they failed to submit a One Call ticket in advance of</p>	<p><b>RED HILL PLUMBING AND HEATING LLC: \$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Home Owner: \$0.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>beginning excavation or demolition work. No pics were submitted</p> <p>AVR was mailed to homeowner on 5/14/2021. No AVR was received.</p> <p>One Call notes that Red Hill Plumbing and Heating has placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>Red Hill Plumbing and Heating LLC is in violation of Section: 5(2.1). Excavator failed to submit a One Call ticket prior to excavation. This is a first-time offense and \$1000. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Training is mandatory.</p> <p>Homeowner is in violation of Section: 5(16) Homeowner failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of his contractor striking a line. This is a first-time offense, which is a warning.</p>	
15067	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Comcast <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> PRECISION PIPELINE <b>Project Owner:</b> UGI UTILITIES</p>	<p>On 5/27/2020 9:34:00 AM at 535 E ROSEVILLE RD, MANHEIM TWP, LANCASTER ~Incident occurred on 5/27/2020 at 535 East Roseville Rd., near Burlington Drive and Hedgerow Lane, Manheim Township, Lancaster County.</p> <p>One Call Damage Emergency Ticket #20201481203 was placed on 5/27/2020 and states, "Homeowner states line is Comcast Xfinity line that was damaged. Line was unmarked and was damaged while using mini excavator." Comcast is not listed on the One Call Routine or Damage Ticket.</p> <p>Precision Pipeline stated in their AVR, "Excavator operator was operating mini excavator and foreman was spotting him. While digging a mole hole, an unmarked comcast communications line was damaged by the bucket. Foreman called into one call to report line hit, one call notified foreman that comcast was never notified through the one call system so they did not go out to mark lines. The closest communications lines that were marked were 14 feet away." A violation of Section 2(1)(ii)(A)- failed to provide the One Call System with the names of the counties and municipalities, down to and including wards in Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Allentown and Erie, in which its lines are located and other related information as may be required by the One Call System regarding the location of a member's facilities is recommended against Comcast for not having this line mapped within the portal.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was sent to Comcast requesting an AVR on 2/8/2021 and an AVR was not submitted. A violation of Section 2(11)- facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission relating to the commission's enforcement authority under this act within thirty days of the receipt of the request.</p> <p><b>VIOLATIONS AGAINST COMCAST:</b></p>	<p><b>Comcast: \$500.00</b> Section 2(1)(ii)(A) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(11) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>~Section 2(1)(ii)(A)- failed to provide the One Call System with the names of the counties and municipalities, down to and including wards in Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Allentown and Erie, in which its lines are located and other related information as may be required by the One Call System regarding the location of a member's facilities is recommended against Comcast for not having this line mapped within the portal.</p> <p>~Section 2(11)- facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission relating to the commission's enforcement authority under this act within thirty days of the receipt of the request.</p>	
15471	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Haverford Gardens  <b>Other:</b> Infrasource  <b>Other:</b> Radnor Township</p>	<p><u>On 5/28/2020 8:00:00 AM at 505 RAMBLEWOOD DR, RADNOR TWP, DELAWARE</u> Incident occurred on 5/28/2020 at 505 Ramblewood Drive, near Northwinds Drive, Radnor Township, Delaware County.</p> <p>*HAND TOOLS USED*</p> <p>PECO submitted an AVR stating, "Haverford Gardens *****, was hand digging with a shovel on May 28, 2020 to remove and backfill a tree root nicked one polarity of a unmarked shallow secondary which was 6 to 7 inches deep. The roots of the tree were entangled in the secondary. There was no interruption at the time of the damage. PECO responded May 29, 2020 and requested COC InfraSource to repair the polarity. The service was interrupted to complete repairs. The repair/interruption time was 2 hours. There is no record of a PA1 Call for Haverford Gardens." A One Call was not placed as handtools were used and an AVR was not requested as Act 50 was not violated.</p> <p>An Emergency One Call ticket, #20201501693, was placed by Infrasource who was repairing the damaged electric line for PECO. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended against Radnor Township as the ticket was not responded to until 4 days after it had been placed.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Radnor Township-  ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification</p>	<p><b>Radnor Township:</b>  <b>\$1,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
17037	<p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Love's Tree Service  <b>Project Owner:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 5/29/2020 1:00:00 PM at 10 South River Street, EAST DONEGAL TWP, LANCASTER</u> The incident occurred on Friday, May 29, 2020, at 10 South River Street, in East Donegal Township, Lancaster County.</p> <p>The Homeowner, Ms. McComesy hired Love's Tree Service &amp; Systems for a stump grinding job; she has used their services previously for tree work and stump removal. During the stump removal the Homeowners electric line to her garage was cut, and the Homeowner notified the Tree Service of the damaged line. Service was interrupted for about 48 hours, and the Homeowner</p>	<p><b>Love's Tree Service:</b>  <b>\$0.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>hired an electrician to make the repairs, which totaled \$581.40. Pictures of the damage were not provided.</p> <p>Love's Tree Service stated they were unaware of PA One Call Law. Also, Love's Tree Service stated they were new to the tree service business and tried incorporating stump grinding, but after the May 29th incident they discontinued the incorporation, and they began sub-contracting out the work to a company who specializes in stump grinding. Love's Tree Service informs the sub-contractor of calling 811 before stump grinding jobs.</p> <p>Love's Tree Service created a One Call account and submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) on May 19, 2021.</p> <p>*Love's Tree Service &amp; Systems is violation of Sections:  5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call.  5(16)- Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days for a line hit.  Love's Tree Service &amp; Systems has no previous violations. Recommendation: zero penalties, keeping the violations, and education for the company and the sub-contractor(s).</p>	
16441	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> West Penn Power - FirstEnergy Corp.  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 6/3/2020 5:30:00 PM at 157 Garing Rd., CHICORA BORO, BUTLER</u> ~Incident occurred on 6/3/2020 at 157 Garing Road, Chicora Borough, Butler County.</p> <p>West Penn Power submit an AVR stating, "On 6/3/20 damages occurred to West Penn Power underground facilities located at 157 Garing Road, Chicora, by Excavator/Property Owner Thomas Garing. The Excavator failed to request the location and type of facility owner through the One Call System not less than three not more than ten business days in advance of beginning excavation or demolition work."</p> <p>One Call indicated the homeowner has placed notifications in the past.</p> <p>Google indicated this is a business residence of Garing Roofing.</p> <p>A violation of Section 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended against Mr. Garing as he is in the excavation industry and has placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>Violations:  5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p>	<p><b>Homeowner: \$1,000.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense  \$1,000.00</p>
15515	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI Utilities, Inc.  <b>Other:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 6/7/2020 7:00:00 AM at 804 Wheeler Ave, SCRANTON CITY, LACKAWANNA</u> ~Incident occurred on 6/7/2021 at 804 Wheeler Ave., Scranton City, Lackawanna County.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>UGI submitted an AVR which stated, "Homeowner struck and damaged plastic service line while excavating with hand tools. There is no violation. Reporting for record" and indicated soft excavation technology was used. No pictures were provided.</p> <p>One Call indicated the homeowner did place a One Call Ticket on 6/23/2020 not related to this incident.</p> <p>***No violations given since Act 50 was not violated.</p>	
15952	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI UTILITIES INC.  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Ray Horning: Ray's Lawn Care</p>	<p><u>On 6/11/2020 8:29:00 AM at 815 Walnut Crest Drive, NORTH LEBANON TWP, LEBANON</u> Incident occurred on June 11, 2020 at 815 Walnut Crest Drive, in North Lebanon Township, Lebanon County. UGI Utilities stated that the actual date of the damage, to the gas service line is unknown.</p> <p>On June 11, 2020, gas odor was called in and UGI's investigation determined that the service line was leaking due to a damage, and that Ray Horning: Ray's Lawn Care did not call in a locate request before their job, and during the excavation the Contractor drove a rebar pin down with hand tools and damaged the gas service line, and did not call 911. UGI provide pictures and they all should be looked at, but there are no tickets.</p> <p>From the UGI's Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented that there was no record of Ray Horning: Ray's Lawn Care placing tickets in the past.</p> <p>On May 19, 2021, a letter was mailed to Ray Horning: Ray's Lawn Care requesting an AVR, they submitted their AVR on May 31st.</p> <p>Ray's Lawn Care stated he has no employees and does small scale landscaping projects. He explained being hired by the Homeowner to remove existing stone from a wall in front of the property, to replace it with new blocks on the already existing foundation, and digging did not take place, but with a piece of rebar the foundation was pierced about 10-inches in. Ray said he was unaware the gas line was hit and on June 11th he was informed that the Homeowner called UGI about gas odor. Ray was unable to go to the work site because due to Covid he was in quarantine. Ray said he notifies One Call when utilities could be affected, and he was unaware that an AVR needed to be submitted. Ray's Lawn Care provide two pictures, but they do not show any excavation work.</p> <p>*Ray Horning: Ray's Lawn Care is in violation of sections:  5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call.  5(16)- Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line.  Ray's Lawn Care has no previous violations.  Recommending: Education. For 5(2.1) reduce the</p>	<p><b>Ray Horning: Ray's Lawn Care: \$125.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
15995	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> CASPER COLOSIMO &amp; SONS INC</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Mount Lebanon Public Works Department</p>	<p>penalty from \$1,000 to \$125. For 5(16) zero penalty and keep the violation.</p> <p><u>On 6/16/2020 7:55:00 AM at PINEWOOD DR, MT LEBANON TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on Tuesday, June 16, 2020, on Pinewood Drive in Mt. Lebanon Township, Allegheny County.</p> <p>While working on installing new water lines for PA American Water Company (PAWC), Casper Colosimo &amp; Sons Inc. used a saw cutter to cut through the road and during the excavation. About 10-inches or less below grade, a 1-inch gas plastic service line was cut through. PAWC stated that the gas service line was inserted inside the old steel line. Casper and PAWC said that the locate mark was off by 3.5 feet. Casper provide pictures and attachments. Please look at Casper's damage photo's with file names ending in: IMG 1049, IMG 1050, IMG 1051, IMG 1052, IMG 1053, IMG 1054 and IMG 1055.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company stated that the locate marks showed 25-inches off and shallow. In Peoples Gas report, as Type of Alleged Violation, they stated Facility Owner issue as Section 2(5)(i) failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line.</p> <p>*Peoples Gas Company is in violation of Section 2(5)(i) failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line.</p> <p>*Mount Lebanon Public Works Dept.- For the Complex Project Meeting 20200971578, They did not respond and did not attend the meeting. On the Final Design ticket- 2020062549- 1/6/2020, they responded as Field Marked. They are in violation of Section 2(5)(viii) failed to participate in a preconstruction meeting for a complex project. Recommending Education.</p> <p>Note: On the Complex Project Meeting ticket it states under the Additional Meeting Information- All Facility Owners Are Required to Attend this Meeting Unless a Clear Response Can Be Determined From the Scope of Work.</p>	<p><b>PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC:</b> <b>\$500.00</b> Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>Mount Lebanon Public Works Department:</b> <b>\$500.00</b> Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
15946	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PEOPLES NATURAL GAS</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Toy's Landscape Company</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 6/16/2020 5:52:00 PM at 113 RIVERVIEW DR., EAST FRANKLIN TWP, ARMSTRONG</u> Incident occurred on 6/16/2020 at 113 Riverview Drive, near Tarrtown Rd. And Quigley Hill Rd., East Franklin Twp, Armstrong County.</p> <p>Peoples Gas submitted an AVR stating, "While excavating to clear brush, Toy landscaping struck a PNG meter set. Excavator did not place a one call for the scope of work being performed." Pictures were attached with the AVR.</p> <p>One Call stated, "Toys Landscape Company has placed tickets in the past." A violation of 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended against the excavator as he is familiar with the One Call System.</p>	<p><b>Toy's Landscape Company: \$2,000.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Peoples Gas' AVR also stated 911 was not called. A violation of 5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property is recommended against Toy's Landscape Company.</p> <p>Violations against Toy's Landscape Company:</p> <p>~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p> <p>~5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property.</p> <p>A recommendation of required education in addition to the penalties.</p>	
15872	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> AT&amp;T  <b>Facility Owner:</b> Verizon  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> DOLI CONSTRUCTION  <b>Project Owner:</b> Upper Macungie Township Authority</p>	<p>On 6/25/2020 7:30:00 AM at 126 Hawthorne Circle, UPPER MACUNGIE TWP, LEHIGH Incident occurred on 6/25/2020 at 126 Hawthorne Circle, Upper Macungie Twp., Lehigh County.</p> <p><b>**NO DAMAGE**</b></p> <p>Doli reported that ATT and Verizon did not attend the Complex Project Meeting. I did not send either party an AVR letter given their history of failure to comply with requests for AVRs.</p> <p>Both ATT and Verizon failed to make any response to Complex Project Ticket 20201743074 and there is no representation of either Facility Owner shown on the sign-in sheet.</p> <p>ATT and Verizon are both cited for failing to attend a complex project meeting.</p>	<p><b>AT&amp;T: \$1,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>Verizon: \$2,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>
16147	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO ENERGY  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Krisanna Construction</p>	<p>On 6/26/2020 7:00:00 AM at 109 COMMERCE DR, WEST WHITELAND TWP, CHESTER Incident occurred on Friday, June 26, 2020, on Commerce Drive in West Whiteland Township, Chester County.</p> <p>PECO reported that Krisanna Construction (KC) did not call in an excavation ticket and they were excavating right next to a multiple gas meter set riser and a visible gas valve. PECO stated that during excavation with a backhoe KC ripped a 2-inch plastic gas "T" from the top of a 6-inch plastic HP gas main. After the gas line was damaged KC went to a nearby valve and shut off the gas to the entire cul-de-sac. At the time of the damage no customers were being serviced by the gas main and there were no injuries.</p> <p>PECO did not provide pictures.</p> <p>KC has two New Excavation Routine tickets for work type of installing sewer facilities, curbs and sidewalks: 20200282001 called in on 1/28/2020 with a work duration of 90 days and 20201392438 called in on 5/18/2020 with a work duration of 30 days.</p> <p>On January 11, 2021 an email was sent to KC requesting an Alleged Violation Report; there was no response to the email and no report was submitted.</p>	<p><b>Krisanna Construction: \$2,250.00</b>  Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Krisanna Construction is in violation of Act 50, Sections:</p> <p>5(3) Failed to preserve mark-outs or request a remark.</p> <p>5(4) Failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques.</p> <p>5(16) Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line.</p> <p>5(8) Failed to call 911 after release of gas.</p>	
17091	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> COLUMBIA GAS OF PA - EAST</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Shoffner LLC</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> HOMEOWNER</p>	<p><u>On 7/23/2020 12:24:00 PM at 3080 CAPE HORN RD, YORK TWP, YORK</u> The incident occurred on Thursday, July 23, 2020, at 3080 Cape Horn Road, in York Township, York County, where Shoffner Construction hit a Columbia Gas line.</p> <p>Shoffner was digging a trench, crossing a 1-inch plastic gas service line with hand tools at the depth of 4-inches. They then completed the excavation using a mini excavator to clean up the trench, and during the dig the 1-inch gas service line was hit. Shoffner did not call 911 because they immediately stopped the gas flow by shutting off the gas valve and Columbia Gas was called immediately. Shoffner stated the active excavation covered the locate marks and flags.</p> <p>Also, Shoffner stated that Columbia Gas was supposed to have someone at the work site because the job was within 25-feet of a high-pressure gas main. Shoffner further states that there were no Columbia Gas employee(s) supervising the excavation.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated, at the work site their Damage Prevention Specialist educate Shoffner on hand digging, calling 911 when a line is damaged- blowing gas, and call 811 to report the incident.</p> <p>Columbia Gas provide pictures; all to be looked at.</p> <p>*Shoffner Construction is in violation of sections: 5(3)- Failed to preserve mark-outs or request a remark. 5(4)- Failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. 5(8)- Failed to immediately notify 911 when the damage resulted in the escape of gas.</p> <p>There are no previous violations for Shoffner Construction. Recommending: Education for every violation. For 5(3) and 5(4) 50% reduction in the penalty. For 5(8) penalty applied.</p>	<p><b>Shoffner LLC: \$1,500.00</b> Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
17395	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO ENERGY</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> FIX A FENCE</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> Homeowner</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Comcast Cablevision</p>	<p><u>On 8/1/2020 2:00:00 PM at 2567 HARDING AVE, MARPLE TWP, DELAWARE</u> Incident occurred on 8/1/2020 at 2567 Harding Drive, Marple Twp., Delaware County.</p> <p>On August 1, 2020 Fix-A-Fence was excavating without a One Call Ticket when they struck PECO's inaccurately marked gas line. PECO states in their AVR that hand tools were being used at the time of the strike and they do state that their line was mismarked, however those marks were placed for a different company operating on a different ticket and are not valid for the work that Fix-A-Fence was doing and therefore PECO will not be cited for failure to mark. Fix-A-Fence was piggybacking on a ticket placed by the homeowner on June 22. Fix-A-Fence has been in business since 1999 according to their</p>	<p><b>FIX A FENCE: \$250.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Comcast Cablevision: \$1,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>website, and PA One Call has no record of them ever placing a ticket. Because Fix-A-Fence was using hand tools they are not in violation of Section 5(2.1).</p> <p>One November 2, 2020, DPI Locke sent AVR request emails to the project owner and Fix-A-Fence requesting AVRs. Fix-A-Fence called the same day asking about how to submit an AVR. DPI Locke explained how to do it both online or by calling 811 for help. Fix-A-Fence claimed at that time that the project owners had started the fence and had a ticket, so they believed they could just use the same marks. DPI Locke explained that they were responsible for One Call tickets as well as the AVR. As of May 24, 2021, Fix-A-Fence has not submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Fix-A-Fence is cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike. Since they knew about the AVR requirement and had promised to submit one, but failed to do so, I recommend training. Fix A Fence is not cited for digging without a One Call ticket since PECO states that the damage was done with hand tools.</p> <p>Comcast is cited for responding one day late to Ticket No. 20201742292. Response due 6/28 with a lawful start date of 6/29. Comcast did not make any response or mark their lines until 6/29.</p>	
17505	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Pennsylvania American Water Company  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Wright Township Public Works</p>	<p><u>On 8/7/2020 11:13:00 AM at 5 Red Coat Lane, WRIGHT TWP, LUZERNE</u> Incident occurred on 8/7/2020 at 5 Red Coat Lane, Wright Twp., Luzerne County.</p> <p>While excavating to replace two storm sewer pipes Wright Township struck PAWC's water line. PA American admitted in their AVR that they had mis-marked the line.</p> <p>On December 17, 2020, DPI Locke sent an email to PAWC asking them if they would like to submit an AVR. PAWC submitted their AVR on December 23, 2020.</p> <p>PA American Water is cited for failing to mark their line within 18-inches.</p>	<p><b>Pennsylvania American Water Company:</b>  <b>\$500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense  \$500.00</p>
18390	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> THOMAS PARROTTE  <b>Project Owner:</b> Homeowner  <b>Other:</b> Pencor Services/Blue Ridge Communication</p>	<p><u>On 8/28/2020 12:28:00 AM at 12849 BERWICK TPKE, RIDGEBURY TWP, BRADFORD</u> Incident occurred on 8/28/2020 at 12849 Berwick Turnpike, Ridgebury Twp., Bradford County.</p> <p>On August 28, 2020, Thomas Parrote struck a 3/4-inch gas service line with a backhoe while working within the tolerance zone. Mr. Parrote did not have a One Call Ticket, but instead was operating on a ticket placed by the homeowner. 911 was called.</p> <p>On January 25, 2020, DPI Locke sent an AVR letter via regular mail to Mr. Parrote and emailed an AVR letter to the homeowner. As of March 1, 2021 no AVRs have been received by either party.</p>	<p><b>THOMAS PARROTTE:</b>  <b>\$1,000.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense  \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p><b>Pencor Services/Blue Ridge Communication:</b>  <b>\$250.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Mr. Parrote is cited for failing to place a One Call ticket, failing to excavate prudently within the tolerance zone, and failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike. This is the first time the excavator has come before the DPC so I am recommending a 50% reduction for all penalties except for failure to submit an AVR since Mr. Parrote was notified of the need to submit an AVR. I also recommend mandatory education.</p> <p>Pencor/Blue Ridge is cited for responding 1 day late to One Call Ticket No. 20202120625. This ticket was due on 8/3/20 and Blue Ridge did not respond "Clear" until 8/4/20.</p>	
20158	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> J P C Group Inc. <b>Project Owner:</b> Philadelphia Water Department <b>Designer:</b> Hunt Engineering Company</p>	<p><u>On 9/1/2020 9:00:00 AM at S 8TH ST, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> Incident occurred on 9/1/20 on S. 8th St., Philadelphia.</p> <p>PGW has reported that on September 1, 2020, J P C Group Inc. struck their line 4 times with a pneumatic missile. It is not known at this time if 911 was called. PGW Photo 4 shows all 4 hits to the line and one of them did go through, so there would have been an escape of gas. DPI Locke also asked PGW of the 4 strikes were the result of one shot somehow striking the line 4 times in 1 shot or if this was 4 separate shots . PGW says they sent the missile 4 times at the same location. PGW states that they do not know if 911 was called. PGW also states in their follow-up email that they do not know if the excavator potholed the area but that it did not look like it. JPC states in their AVR that the line was not at the depth marked on the plans, they did not actually pothole to verify the depth of the line. Their AVR also says they did not call 911.</p> <p>On February 3, 2021, DPI Locke sent AVR emails to JPC, Phila. Water Department, and Hunt Engineering. JPC Group sent an email on 2/5 saying they had trouble submitting an AVR at the time of the strike and submitted it on Feb 9, 2021. JPC admitted that after they had trouble submitting an AVR the first time that it was missed. Because this excavator does not have a history of failing to submit AVRs in a timely manner I am not citing them. On February 22, 2021 Hunt Engineering sent a letter in lieu of an AVR stating that they were not responsible because their services were terminated in February 2020. Phila Water Department did not respond or file an AVR for this incident and they are cited accordingly.</p> <p>On February 3, 2021 DPI Locke sent an email to PGW with follow up questions (photos, was 911 called, was this 1 strike that hit 4 places or 4 strikes on the same street, did the excavator pothole the area). PGW responded with photos saying there are 4 strikes from 4 attempts to shoot the missile (please see photos).</p> <p>JPC is cited for failure to employ prudent excavation techniques, failure to plan excavation work to avoid damage, failure to call 911 after hitting a gas line, and failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days. All</p>	<p><b>PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS: \$250.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>J P C Group Inc.: \$1,250.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>Philadelphia Water Department: \$250.00</b> Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>penalties except for failure to call 911 have been reduced to warnings with mandatory education.</p> <p>PGW is cited for responding 1 day late to Ticket No. 20202171855. Response due 8/6/20. PGW did not mark their line until 8/7 (1 day late).</p> <p>Philadelphia Water Department is cited for releasing a project to design or bid without a final design ticket. This penalty has been reduced to a warning with mandatory education. PWD is also cited for failure to submit an AVR within 30 days of being notified of the incident. PWD was notified via both email and USPS mail and this is not the first time being cited for failure to submit an AVR as a project owner.</p>	
18224	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Columbia Gas</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Bella Enterprises Inc</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> GERMAN TOWNSHIP SEWER AUTHORITY</p>	<p><u>On 9/8/2020 12:00:00 PM at S AND T DR, GERMAN TWP, FAYETTE</u> German Township Sewer Authority disputed their penalty and were able to demonstrate that their office was in crisis around the time the AVR notice was sent. Penalty reduced to warning.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 9/8/2020 on S and T Drive, German Twp., Fayette County.</p> <p>***NO DAMAGE***</p> <p>Columbia Gas reported that Bella Enterprises had begun excavating and exposed their lines the day before their lawful start date. Please see photos provided. Columbia had been contacted directly by Bella on September 8 because their lines weren't marked, Columbia informed Bella that their lines weren't marked because the ticket wasn't due until the end of the day. When Columbia went out to the site they discovered their exposed lines.</p> <p>On January 7, 2021, DPI Locke sent an email to Bella Enterprises and German Township requesting AVRs. As of February 2, 2021, no AVRs or other forms of contact have been made by either party.</p> <p>Bella Enterprises is cited for excavating without a valid One Call Ticket. This is Bella's first offense, so the penalty for not having a ticket was reduced to a warning. There has been no reduction in the penalty for failing to submit an AVR is recommended because Bella was notified of the need for an AVR. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>German Township is cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of being informed that one was required. Penalty reduced to \$0, violation has been kept.</p>	<p><b>Bella Enterprises Inc:</b> <b>\$250.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>GERMAN TOWNSHIP SEWER AUTHORITY:</b> <b>\$0.00</b> Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
18238	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Harry Brown</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 9/14/2020 1:15:00 PM at 344 Rear East Main Street, ANNVILLE TWP, LEBANON</u> Incident occurred on or around 9/14/2020 at 344 Rear East Main Street, Annville Twp., Lebanon County.</p> <p>On or around September 14, 2020 UGI found damage to their line at the rear of the property. The excavator did not place a 1 Call Ticket. Excavator did not call 911 despite breaking the line, failed to notify UGI of the damage, and attempted to repair the line himself. UGI's</p>	<p><b>Harry Brown: \$2,500.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>AVR states that the excavator attempted to stop the release of gas and that his initial thought was to try to repair the service.</p> <p>On January 13, 2021 Letters were mailed to the excavator and property owners asking for AVRs. To date, neither party has responded or submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Excavator Harry Brown is cited for failing to place a One Call ticket prior to excavating, failure to dig prudently - as seen in the photos, the excavator was digging close to the meter, failure to report the line break to UGI, failure to contact 911 when gas was escaping, and failure to submit an AVR within 10 days of a line strike. Education is mandatory.</p>	<p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
18330	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Jose Amorim</p>	<p><u>On 9/18/2020 9:00:00 AM at 718 MAUCH CHUNK RD., PALMERTON BORO, MONROE</u> Incident occurred on 9/18/2020 at 718 Mauch Chunk Rd., Palmerton Borough, Monroe County.</p> <p>****Excavator was digging without a 1 Call Ticket when he struck UGI's line. Mr. Amorim did place an emergency ticket after the line was hit.****</p> <p>On January 13, 2021, DPI Andrade sent a letter to the excavator and the project owner asking for AVRs. To date, no AVRs have been received and neither party attempted to make contact with the DPI.</p> <p>Jose Amorim is cited for failing to place a One Call ticket prior to excavating and for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. Because UGI has Mr. Amorim listed as the homeowner I am reducing the penalties to warnings because he does not appear to be a professional excavator. Update: Project owner is the same entity as the home owner. The person renting the property called on 1/26/21 and confirmed that she is a renter and Mr. Amorim is the property owner who was doing his own work.</p> <p>Mr. Amorim has been cited for failing to submit an AVR and failure to place a One Call ticket. Both penalties have been reduced to warnings.</p>	<p><b>Jose Amorim: \$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
18447	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Velocity.Net Communications, Inc. <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> James &amp; Sons Excavating - Septic Systems &amp; Pumping <b>Other:</b> Neighbor</p>	<p><u>On 9/20/2020 12:00:00 PM at 2420 NEW RD, SUMMIT TWP, ERIE</u> Incident occurred on 9/20/2020 at 2420 New Rd., Summit Twp., Erie County.</p> <p>**No Damage, Facility Owner reports that 911 was called with police response**</p> <p>On September 20, 2020, James &amp; Sons Septic Systems &amp; Plumbing was excavating without a One Call Ticket. A neighbor placed an emergency ticket and called 911. Velocity Communications states in their AVR that they discovered the excavator digging when the emergency ticket was placed and the police were on site when they arrived. James &amp; Sons states that they were not excavating but instead were removing gravel for the homeowner. Velocity's photographs show that the excavator did move earth with a backhoe and that there is a visible trench far deeper than 18 inches (see photo 3</p>	<p><b>James &amp; Sons Excavating - Septic Systems &amp; Pumping: \$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>of the trench with a man standing at its edge) so this excavation would not qualify as minor road maintenance.</p> <p>On January 11, 2021, DPI Locke sent an AVR email request to the project owner and on January 13, 2021 a letter was mailed to the excavator for an AVR. The person initially believed to be the project owner is actually the neighbor by her own admission. James and Sons contacted DPI Locke on 1/20/21 asking how to submit an AVR and said they would submit one ASAP. They did submit their AVR on January 27, 2021.</p> <p>James &amp; Sons Septic Systems &amp; Plumbing is cited for excavating without a One Call Ticket. The penalty for failing to place a One Call ticket has been reduced to a warning. Because the excavator made contact and submitted an AVR when he was informed one was necessary, the AVR citation has been reduced to a warning. Excavator training is mandatory.</p>	
18594	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO ENERGY  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> A &amp; H PAVING  <b>Other:</b> Infrasource</p>	<p><u>On 9/22/2020 2:00:00 PM at 2890 PINECROST PL, UPPER SALFORD TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> Incident occurred on 9/22/2020 at 2890 Pinecrosst Place., Upper Salford Twp., Montgomery County.</p> <p>Excavator A&amp;H Paving LLC was using a backhoe at the job site when they struck PECO's underground electric line. No 1 Call ticket was placed for the excavation. The attached ticket is for PECO's contractor to repair the damage after it was done.</p> <p>On January 25, 2021 DPI Locke sent an AVR email request to A&amp;H also requesting contact information for the project owner. To date, no AVR has been submitted and A&amp;H has not made contact with the investigator to provide contact information about the project owner.</p> <p>A&amp;H Paving LLC is cited for excavating without a One Call Ticket and failing to file an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike, and failure to respond to PUC requests for information. This excavator does not have previous violations in our system. All penalties have been reduced by 50% except the penalties for failure to submit an AVR since the excavator was contacted and failure to respond to PUC requests for information. I also recommend mandatory education through PA 1 Call.</p>	<p><b>A &amp; H PAVING:</b>  <b>\$1,000.00</b>  Section 5(17) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense  \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p>
18469	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Erie Water Works  <b>Facility Owner:</b> Penelec/First Energy  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Charter Communications  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Jim Brozell Construction LLC</p>	<p><u>On 9/25/2020 10:00:00 AM at 528 DONNA DR, MILLCREEK TWP, ERIE ***NO DAMAGE**</u>Brozell Construction has reported that Erie Water Works, Penelec, and Charter Communications did not mark their lines on time. The due date for Ticket No. 20202622129 was 9/22/2020. All 3 entities responded on 9/22 that they had scheduled their marks and the AVR states that they were to have marked by September 24, 2020. On September 25, 2020, Brozell went to the job site and found that none of the entities had marked their lines. Brozell did a renotification ticket and Penelec took more than 2 hours (4 hours, 25 minutes) to respond to that. Brozell also reports Verizon, but they did respond on time and I have no evidence that they had any unmarked</p>	<p><b>Erie Water Works:</b>  <b>\$500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense  \$500.00</p> <p><b>Penelec/First Energy:</b>  <b>\$250.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p><b>Charter Communications:</b>  <b>\$500.00</b></p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>lines. Penelec states in their AVR that on September 22 (due date of ticket) USIC left a voicemail for the excavator suggesting the 24th for markout. There is no notation of whether or not the excavator mutually agreed to the new date.</p> <p>On January 1, 2021, DPI Andrade sent an email requesting an AVR to Charter, Penelec, and Erie. As of February 2, 2021, only Penelec has submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Penelec is cited for responding late to original One Call Ticket No. 20202622129 because they did not mark either on the due date of 9/22 or on the date dictated by USIC of 9/24. Penelec did respond to the renotification within 2 hours on September 25, as shown in USIC's photos, although the official response in KARL is several hours later.</p> <p>Charter Communications is cited for failing to respond to the original One Call Ticket No. 20202622129 because they did not mark on their agreed-upon date.</p> <p>Erie Water Works is cited for failing to respond to the original One Call Ticket No. 20202622129 because they did not mark on their agreed-upon date.</p> <p>No fine reductions have been recommended because there are no first-offenders on the list.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
18850	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI Utilities, Inc.  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Home Owner</p>	<p><u>On 9/26/2020 10:00:00 AM at 207 George St, MOOSIC BORO, LUZERNE</u> ~Incident occurred on 9/26/2020 at 207 George Street, Moosic Borough, Luzerne County.</p> <p>UGI submitted an AVR stating, "No one call made. Hand damage by homeowner. Dug between visible meter and curb valve." Photos were submitted with the AVR showing the homeowner was trying to remove a tree stump. A violation of 5(2.1)- homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended against the homeowner.</p> <p>Violations-  ~5(2.1)- homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p>	<p><b>Home Owner: \$0.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
18852	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Columbia Gas  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> GINGERICH LANDSCAPING AND EXCAVATING  <b>Project Owner:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 9/30/2020 11:25:00 AM at 1568 CLOVER LN, SPRING GARDEN TWP, YORK</u> Gingerich Landscaping was using a sawzall to cut out a downspout when they cut a service line belonging to Columbia Gas. Columbia states that there was a mark close to where the excavator was working, however the excavator did not expose Columbia's line. Gingerich did submit an emergency One Call Ticket, and they did remain at the site, however they did not call 911 despite having cut the line. Columbia Gas states that the excess flow valve slowed the flow of gas, however there would have been an odor of gas which necessitates the calling of 911.</p> <p>Gingerich Landscaping is cited for failing to use prudent techniques in the tolerance zone and failure to call 911 when there has been any escape of flammable gas. No reduction may be made to this penalty.</p>	<p><b>GINGERICH LANDSCAPING AND EXCAVATING: \$1,000.00</b>  Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$0.00  Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
18716	<b>Facility Owner:</b> PPL <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> City Of Hazelton	<p>First-time offender penalty is reduced to a warning with mandatory education.</p> <p><u>On 10/5/2020 11:00:00 AM at 124 HILLTOP RD, HAZLETON CITY, LUZERNE</u> Incident occurred on October 5, 2020 at 124 Hilltop Rd., Hazleton City, Luzerne County.</p> <p>On October 5, 2020, the City of Hazleton struck an underground electric line belonging to PPL. PPL alleges that Hazleton City did not have a valid One Call Ticket at the time of the strike. Ticket No. 20202311424 was placed in this area on August 18, 2020 and was lawful to start between August 24 and September 1 with an expected duration of 1 week for the project, so that ticket does not appear to have still been valid at the time of the hit.</p> <p>On January 25, 2021 DPI Locke sent an AVR letter via email to the City of Hazleton. The City responded the same day that "this was handled with PPL at the time it occurred" DPI Locke sent a follow-up email explaining that the Act states that an AVR must be submitted. The City has a dual role as the excavator and the project owner. Hazleton responded via email on 1/27 that they mailed a letter with photos for review. DPI Locke responded on the same day, cautioning the City that the information needs to be submitted through the AVR report, not by mail. This email included instructions for finding the AVR form on the PAOC website. Mr. Vito from Hazleton said he didn't know what I was talking about and referred me to Mr. Wufus who had originally directed the DPI to Mr. Vito. The DPI sent an email to both Mr. Wufus and Mr. Vito explaining where they needed to go to file an AVR. As of July 2, 2021 no AVR has been received.</p> <p>The City of Hazleton is cited for excavating without a valid One Call Ticket and for failure to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike both as the excavator and as the project owner as the DPI made numerous attempts to convince the City to comply with the Act. The penalty for failure to place a One Call ticket was reduced by 50% to \$500.00 but also includes a fine factor of .2 due to the dollar amount of damage done by the City to PPL's lines.</p>	<p><b>City Of Hazelton:</b>  <b>\$1,100.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense  \$600.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p>
18931	<b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> BATTAGLIA EXCAVATING LLC <b>Project Owner:</b> PECO Energy <b>Designer:</b> HBK Engineering <b>Other:</b> Pottstown Borough <b>Other:</b> Semper utilities	<p><u>On 10/5/2020 11:00:00 AM at 548 E. HIGH ST, POTTSTOWN BORO, MONTGOMERY</u> Incident occurred on October 5, 2020 at 548 E. High Street, Pottstown Borough, Montgomey County.</p> <p>On October 5, 2020, Battaglia (also referred to as BAI in some reports) struck PECO's underground gas line while excavating to install conduit for PECO (Battaglia was subcontracted by Semper). Two lines were struck. PECO admits in their AVR that the first line was not marked. PECO, Battaglia, and Semper all agree that the line was correctly marked for the 2nd strike and state that BAI was not spotting correctly. All parties agree that 911 was called.</p>	<p><b>PECO: \$1,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense  \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense  \$500.00</p> <p><b>BATTAGLIA EXCAVATING LLC:</b>  <b>\$0.00</b>  Section 5(4) 1st Offense  \$0.00</p> <p><b>HBK Engineering:</b>  <b>\$750.00</b></p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On January 27, 2021, DPI Locke sent an AVR email request to Designer HBK Engineering. As of March 4, 2021 no AVR has been received and HBK Engineering has not made contact with the DPI.</p> <p>Battaglia is cited for failing to use prudent techniques within the tolerance zone for the second strike. 1st time offender - penalty has been reduced to a warning and education is mandatory.</p> <p>PECO is cited for failing to mark their line for the first strike. PECO is also cited for releasing a project to bid without a valid Design Ticket. The Design Tickets for this job were from March 14, 2018 (over 2 years old) and were both preliminary designs only.</p> <p>HBK Engineering is cited for failing to request the line and facility information not less than 10, nor more than 90 business days before the design is to be completed. The design tickets were both over 2 years old when the project began, and all tickets were preliminary, no final design was submitted. HBK is also cited for failing to submit an AVR within 30 days of notification of a strike.</p> <p>Pottstown Borough is cited for failing to respond to One Call Ticket No. 20202094202. Response was due on 7/31/20 . Pottstown made no response. Pottstown is also cited for failing to respond to Ticket No. 20202791814. Response due 10/7, Pottstown responded 8 days late on 10/15 (lines marked). Pottstown is a first-time violator and I have reduced the penalties to a warning. Education is mandatory</p>	<p>Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Pottstown Borough:</b> <b>\$0.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
19987	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PPL <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Ryan Homes</p>	<p><u>On 10/6/2020 11:15:00 AM at 1313 WOODMONT LN, NORTH CATASAUQUA BORO, NORTHAMPTON</u> On October 6, 2020, Ryan Homes struck an unmarked PPL line during excavation. PPL's AVR states that the locator did not mark the line because it had not been updated on the maps. The locator did note a splice box in the area and the photos from the locator show a meter box attached to the house but did not ask any further questions. The locator's photos also show that there are no white marks on this job site although both One Call tickets submitted by Ryan Homes state that they have marked the site in white.</p> <p>On January 27, 2021, DPI Locke sent an AVR email request to Ryan Homes. Ryan Homes responded with a request for a phone call. When the DPI attempted to make the call the phone number was not working. The DPI sent a follow-up email to Ryan Homes stating that the phone number was not working. This email included a copy of Act 50 and a link to PA 1 Call. As of March 4, 2021 Ryan Homes has not made further attempts to contact the DPI and has not submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Ryan Homes is cited for failing to mark the area in white since they stated on their ticket that the site was marked (fine factor 0.4 due to the dollar amount of damage), and for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days. Ryan Homes has been cited in the past for failing to</p>	<p><b>Ryan Homes: \$1,100.00</b></p> <p>Section 5(11) 1st Offense \$350.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		submit an AVR after a strike and they were notified and given instructions by the DPI, so I do not recommend any reduction in penalty for that citation. Education has been made mandatory.	
21021	<b>Facility Owner:</b> Aqua PA <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> CJ Drilling <b>Project Owner:</b> PPL <b>Designer:</b> Westwood Professional Services <b>Other:</b> ARCOS INDUSTRIES LLC <b>Other:</b> Mainline Energy Consultants	<p><u>On 12/4/2020 8:00:00 AM at ARCOS DR, KULPMONT BORO, NORTHUMBERLAND</u> On December 4, 2020, CJ Drilling struck Aqua's unmarked water line. Aqua has stated in their AVR that they marked the old inactive line and did not mark the active line.</p> <p>On December 30, 2020, DPI Andrade sent AVR email requests to PPL, CJ Drilling and Westwood. As of February 2, 2021 all entities have submitted their AVRs.</p> <p>CJ Drilling is cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. Penalty has been reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory</p> <p>Aqua is cited for failing mark and maintain existing records of abandoned lines. Had these records been up to date, both lines would have been marked. Aqua is also cited for failing to respond to a renotification ticket within 2 hours. Ticket No. 2020350482 was placed on 11/30, Aqua responded "Field marked", the renotification was placed on 12/3 at 14:31 calling Aqua back to the site. Aqua responded field marked on the following day at 06:58 (16 hours, 27 minutes). This is not Aqua's first offense, so I do not recommend a reduction in penalty.</p> <p>PPL is cited for releasing a project to bid or construction without a final design ticket. All design tickets are preliminary only. This is not PPL's first-ever offense so I recommend no reduction in penalty. PPL is further cited for using insufficient levels of SUE (designer's AVR states Level D SUE was used for a project that cost more than \$400,000).</p> <p>Westwood Professional Services is claiming to be the designer for this project, however I see no design tickets from them. I see only preliminary tickets from Mainline Energy Consultants who I have been informed is not the designer on this project. Therefore Westwood Professional Services is being cited for failing to submit a design ticket no less than 10 days and no more than 90 days before the project began. This is Westwood's first ever offense. The penalty has been reduced to a warning with mandatory education.</p>	<p><b>Aqua PA: \$750.00</b> Section 2(5)(i)(B) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>CJ Drilling: \$0.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>PPL: \$750.00</b> Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>Westwood Professional Services: \$0.00</b> Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
24124	<b>Facility Owner:</b> VERIZON NORTH <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> W CRAIG ADAMS INC	<p><u>On 6/10/2021 7:00:00 AM at 10 GRUMBACHER RD, MANCHESTER TWP, YORK</u> PREDISCUSSION CASE- At the DPC meeting held on 10/5/2021, the suggestion was made to maximize Verizon's fines due to blatantly disregarding the Law throughout PA.</p> <p>~Near Miss incident occurring on 6/10/2021 at 10 Grumbacher Rd., near Mat Rd. and Board Rd., Manchester Township, York County.</p>	<p><b>VERIZON NORTH: \$4,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>W Craig Adams Inc. submitted an AVR stating, "STAKE CENTER HAS DONE THIS TO NUMEROUS LOCATE REQUESTS FOR DIFFERENT YORK COUNTY LOCATIONS FOR OUR COMPANY WHERE THEY DO NOT HAVE THEM MARKED WITHIN THE REQUIRED 3 BUSINESS DAY LAW!!! HOLDING UP OUR WORK." A violation of 2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification for ticket #20211581988 is recommended against Verizon.</p> <p>A renotify Ticket was placed, ticket #202115819881, with the comments, "ATTENTION VERIZON NORTH YOU HAVE RESPONDED TO THIS CALLEER ON JUNE 9TH AT 3:17 PM AND SAID YOU WERE UNABLE TO COMPLETE THE MARKINGS WITHIN THE REQUIRED 3 DAY LAW. PLEASE MARK YOUR LINES ASAP. PLEASE CALL KIM AT ***** WITH ANY PROBOLEMS OR QUESTIONS." A response to the renotify ticket was still not obtained. A violation of 2(5)(v.1)- failed to communicate directly to the excavator within two hours after renotification of the information about its facility location and, if necessary and possible, go to the proposed work site to mark, stake or locate its underground lines or to verify to the excavator that the facility owner's underground lines are not within the area of the proposed work site is recommended against Verizon.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Verizon-  ~2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification  ~2(5)(v.1)- failed to communicate directly to the excavator within two hours after renotification of the information about its facility location and, if necessary and possible, go to the proposed work site to mark, stake or locate its underground lines or to verify to the excavator that the facility owner's underground lines are not within the area of the proposed work site.</p>	

**Full Session**

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
7829	<b>Facility Owner:</b> Columbia Gas <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> CARMEL CONSTRUCTION <b>Other:</b> Ben Avon Borough	<p><u>On 6/19/2019 10:00:00 AM at 406 RIDGE AVE, BEN AVON BORO, ALLEGHENY</u> 12/14/2021 DPC decision was to keep all violations and and penalties given to .Ben Avon.</p> <p>***Ben Avon wants to dispute their penalties.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 6/1/9219 at 406 Ridge Ave, Ben Avon Borough, Allegheny County. Carmel Construction damaged a mismarked Columbia Gas service line while installing a new sewer line.</p> <p>Carmel Construction reported, on 6/19/2019 they damaged a mismarked Columbia Gas service line while</p>	<b>Ben Avon Borough:</b> <b>\$2,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00  Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>installing a new sewer at 406 Ridge Avenue, Ben Avon Borough, Allegheny County. Carmel Construction provided a photo but could not explain it, since the operator has since left . Columbia Gas provided documentation indicating the service line was customer owned. Columbia Gas provided post locate photos of the dig site. Carmel Construction did not provide compelling evidence that Columbia Gas did not accurately mark at the point of connection. According to Columbia Gas' Tariff 7.1 Point of Delivery" of the Gas to Customer shall be at the outlet side of the curb valve, or the property or lot line if there is no curb valve, at which point the gas shall pass to the customer". Columbia Gas provided post locate photos showing they marked past the property lot line / curb valve up to meter and are covered under the Good Samaritan ACT for private lines. Columbia Gas marked on time. There is no recommendation of an administrative penalty against Columbia Gas.</p> <p>Ben Avon Borough is cited for failure to respond to New Excavation Emergency Ticket No. 20191491468 placed on 5/29/2019 and New Excavation Emergency Ticket No. 20191611157 placed on 6/10/2019</p> <p>NOTE: Ben Avon did not respond to any of the Emergency tickets in this case. Only two violations are listed.</p>	
10111	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Ridgway Borough  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> ALLEGHENY CONTRACTING  <b>Project Owner:</b> National Fuel Gas</p>	<p><u>On 9/16/2019 10:00:00 AM at BETWEEN ROCK STREET AND FLORENCE STREET, RIDGWAY BORO, ELK</u> 12/14/2021 DPC decision for Ridgway Borough was to Reduce the violation for Section 2(5)(viii) Failure to respond to emergency notification as soon as practicable – Ticket No. 20192591979– 1st offense - \$1000.00 by 50% and to add education. Remove penalty and violation for Section 2(5)(i.1) Failure to locate an actually known facility’s point of connection to lines. – 1st offense - \$250.00 Section 2(5)(i.1) Failure to locate a known facility’s point of connection to lines – 1st offense - \$0.00</p> <p>Disagree: Ridgway Borough to Violation Section 2(5)(vii) because they state Ridgway Borough was on site and repaired their facility, but did not respond in the KARL system for four days. They wrote they have since reached out to 811 Liaison and had training. Ridgway Borough for two Violations of Section 2(5)(i.1) for failing to locate a actually known facilities point of connection to it's facilities. Ridgway Borough states that the tickets were not clear. The first ticket did not specify a direction, the second ticket, within four minutes of the first, specified North. It was understood that the second ticket was more specific to the first ticket submitted. They are asking to have violations and penalties reassessed.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on September 16, 2019 between Rock Street and Florence Street, Ridgway Borough, Elk County.</p>	<p><b>Ridgway Borough:</b>  <b>\$500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>National Fuel Gas:</b>  <b>\$250.00</b>  Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On September 16, 2019 excavator, Allegheny Contracting hit and damaged a sewer line owned by Ridgway Borough, at a work site between Rock St. and Florence St. in Ridgway Borough, while installing a gas main for National Fuel Gas. Two more unmarked water lines were located by the excavator, while digging. AVR had a discrepancy on the date of hit, but date is confirmed on a telephone call with Ridgway Borough locator, to be September 16, 2019. I spoke with excavator on June 12, 2020. He said there were markings at the site, but the service lines were not marked. This has been an issue in this area with the water distribution lines that run into private residences. Historically the water distributor does not take responsibility for the ownership of the lines that connect to the residence or business. I asked what the excavator did to ensure no hits on 6/12/19. They were not expecting any lines in the area because they saw other markings nearby. Ridgway Borough is in violation of Section 2(5)(vii) for failing to respond to emergency ticket# 20192591979 for 4 days.</p> <p>Ridgway Borough is in violation of two counts of section 2(5)(i.1), for failure to identify the location of an actually known facility's point of connection to its facilities, where the point of connection is not owned or operated by the facility owner. I recommend that this facility owner have mandatory training, to help with future locates and KARL responses. Only one fine applied for violation of section 2(5)(i.1)</p> <p>AVR was requested from National Fuel Gas. No AVR received. This is in violation of section 6.1 (7) for failing to submit an AVR.</p>	
14990	<p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Kriger Pipeline  <b>Project Owner:</b> AQUA  <b>Other:</b> Frontier Communications Solutions  <b>Other:</b> PPL Electric Utilities  <b>Other:</b> Service Electric</p>	<p><u>On 2/19/2020 8:00:00 AM at HAYSTACK DR, NORTH UNION TWP, SCHUYLKILL</u> ***PPL Electric disputed their penalty and violation for Section 2(5)(vii) only. PPL agreed with the other citations. On December 14, 2021 the DPC voted to remove the violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(vii)</p> <p>On February 19, 2020, Kriger Pipeline struck water and sewer lines belonging to Aqua. Aqua and Kriger maintain that the lines were not marked correctly.</p> <p>Aqua is cited for failing to mark their lines within 18 inches. Aqua is also cited for submitting the project to bid without a Design Ticket. All of the attached Design Tickets were placed months after the line strike.</p> <p>PPL Electric is cited for failing to respond to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20200352967. PPL responded "Clear" to the original ticket when they had lines in the area, and then marked their line 3 hours and 38 minutes after the renotification ticket was placed. PPL is also cited for responding more than 2 hours after the renotification ticket. PPL is cited for failing to respond to Emergency Ticket No. 20200501260 for responding "Insufficient Information" and then neither contacting the excavator, nor finalizing the response.</p>	<p><b>AQUA: \$1,000.00</b>  Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>Frontier Communications Solutions: \$2,500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>PPL Electric Utilities: \$2,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Frontier Communications is cited for failing to respond to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20200352967. Frontier responded "Clear" to the original ticket when they had lines in the area, and then marked their line 3 hours and 38 minutes after the renotification ticket was placed. Frontier is also cited for responding more than 2 hours after the renotification ticket. Frontier is cited for failing to respond to Emergency Ticket No. 20200501260 for responding "Insufficient Information" and then neither contacting the excavator, nor finalizing the response.</p> <p>Service Electric is cited for failing to attend the Complex Project Meeting 20200292811, responding "Clear" to the ticket when they had lines in the area that they marked late. Service Electric is cited for failing to respond to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20200352967. Service Electric responded "Clear" to the original ticket when they had lines in the area, and then marked their line 6 hours and 23 minutes after the renotification ticket was placed. Service Electric is also cited for responding more than 2 hours after the renotification ticket. Service Electric is cited for failing to respond to Emergency Ticket No. 20200501260 for responding "Insufficient Information" and then neither contacting the excavator, nor finalizing the response.</p>	<p>Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p><b>Service Electric: \$2,000.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
14457	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> FirstEnergy/WEST PENN POWER</p> <p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Port Authority of Allegheny County</p> <p><b>Facility Owner:</b> The Ashby at South Hills Village Station</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> West Penn Utilities</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> COMCAST</p> <p><b>Other:</b> DQE Communications</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 4/20/2020 2:30:00 PM at VILLAGE DR, BETHEL PARK BORO, ALLEGHENY</u> On December 14, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold all penalties for the Ashby.</p> <p>Follow up: The Port Authority has submitted an AVR showing that the line is owned by the Ashby. As stated in the Ashby's lease: ["during the Primary Term and any Renewal Option periods, title to any and all Improvements, including, without limitation...utilities...which are constructed, installed or erected on the Leased Property by Tenant during the Lease Term shall vest in Tenant..." The Port Authority stated in a phone conversation that the Ashby's representative told them that they had become a member of PA 1 Call since the October DPC meeting. PA 1 Call stated on 10/25/2021 that the Ashby has not become a member.</p> <p>On April 20, West Penn Utilities reports that they struck a mismarked power line with their HDD rig. West Penn Utilities repeatedly called West Penn Power to come and mark the lines, however the lines do not belong to WPP, but they belong to The Ashby at South Hills Village Station who is not a member of One Call.</p> <p>On May 15, 2020, DPI Locke send an AVR message through the Ashby's online communication system. On August 17, 2020, DPI Locke sent an AVR letter to The Ashby. As of September 2, 2020, The Ashby has neither made contact, nor filed an AVR.</p> <p>WPU is cited for failing to place a complex project ticket for this excavation, which is over 3100 feet, or 1477 feet by Comcast's estimates. WPU abused the renotification</p>	<p><b>The Ashby at South Hills Village Station: \$2,725.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(ix) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(12) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$1,150.00</p> <p>Section 2(1) 1st Offense \$575.00</p> <p><b>West Penn Utilities: \$250.00</b></p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>COMCAST: \$1,000.00</b></p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>DQE Communications: \$500.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>ticket on the original update tickets by placing updates before the tickets were even due. While some companies do check the job site the day before and issue renotification tickets if facility owners have not responded, renotifications are generally issued after normal working hours. And while I understand and appreciate that WPU was very concerned about the line strike, they were told that the apartment complex owned those lines and they cannot force WPP to locate or fix lines that they don't own because the actual owners are out of town and it does not seem that the staff at the Ashby were trained about who to call to repair damages. It does appear that WPU was aware that WPP did not own the lines as their project owner states in their AVR that the owners of the complex were in Colorado and weren't there to take care of this. While WPU can't be cited for making too many One Calls, Education regarding complex project tickets and renotification tickets is mandatory.</p> <p>Comcast is cited for releasing this project to bid or construction without a design ticket, and failing to use sufficient levels of SUE for an HDD excavation in a rather crowded area. Had a design ticket been done, the fact that the Ashby had failed to become a member of One Call may have been identified sooner when they did not show up on the design maps.</p> <p>The Ashby is cited for failing to become a member of One Call and for failing to mark their lines when they were aware that they had been damaged and that the lines were on their property because the owners were "out of town" and did not hire a locate company to mark their lines. They are also cited for failing to participate in Member Mapping, and for failing to respond to an emergency. While they may not have been members of One Call, they were informed that it was their line that was struck and asked for concessions to keep their elevators running, but they did not send someone to the site to fix the problem or mark the lines. The owners are responsible to have a contingency plan for when they are out of town, so their location is not an excuse for failing to respond to a line strike. When contacted by DPI Andrade-Locke both by their online system and via letter, the Ashby has not made any response to our requests for information. I recommend mandatory training and zero reduction in fines until they become members of PA One Call,. If the Ashby becomes a member of One Call AND completes the training within 90 days, I recommend a reduction of their penalties by 50% after proof of completion of training and membership in PA One Call.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for responding late to ticket 20200693204. Response due 3/11. Verizon made no response until marking their line on 3/13 (2 days late). Verizon is also cited for responding late to ticket 20200693203. Response due 3/11. Verizon made no response until marking their line on 3/13 (2 days late). Verizon was also late responding to tickets 20200831458 and 20200831459 Responses for both tickets was due on</p>	<p><b>Verizon: \$4,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>3/25. Verizon made no response until they marked on 3/26</p> <p>DQE Communications is cited for responding late to Ticket 20200693204. Response due 3/11. DQE did not mark their lines until 3/12, although they did respond "conflict" on 3/10. they are also cited for responding late to 20200831459. Response due 3/25. DQE responded "Conflict" on 3/24 and then marked on 3/25. As they were renotified several times, there is no evidence that they made contact with the excavator or that the excavator agreed to DQE marking their line at a later date.</p>	
15046	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Reading City</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> GREAT WESTERN SERVICES</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> UGI UTL MIDDLETOWN</p> <p><b>Other:</b> CenturyLink</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Comcast Cablevision</p> <p><b>Other:</b> FirstEnergy / Met Ed</p> <p><b>Other:</b> MAW Communications</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Verizon Pennsylvania LLC</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Windstream</p>	<p><u>On 5/21/2020 7:00:00 AM at S 5TH ST, READING CITY, BERKS</u> *** Windstream disputes their penalty saying they marked and responded to other tickets between the time of the incident and the ticket they are cited for. On December 14, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold the violation but lowered the penalty to \$0</p> <p>**No Damage**</p> <p>Great Western Services uncovered an unmarked conduit. Reading City employees came out and identified the conduit as part of a traffic loop they did not know they had.</p> <p>On July 29, 2020, DPI Andrade- Locke sent an AVR email to Reading City. This AVR is not mandatory. Reading City responded on 7/30 that they do not wish to submit an AVR.</p> <p>Reading City is cited for failing to mark their line. CenturyLink is cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket No. 20200580303.</p> <p>MAW Communications is cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket No. 20200580303. Response for this ticket was due on 3/12/20. MAW did not respond until 4/9/20 (22 days late). MAW is also cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Ticket No. 20201040586. Response due 4/15, Meeting held on 4/15. MAW did not respond clear until 4/28 (15 days late).</p> <p>Verizon is cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket No. 20200580303. Verizon responded "Conflict" on 3/2 but did not finalize their response. Verizon is cited for failing to respond to Complex Project Meeting 20201040586. Verizon's penalties are all subsequent offenses due to their history of non-compliance.</p> <p>Met Ed Is cited for failing to attend the Complex Project Meeting 20200580303. Response for this ticket was due 4/15, with the meeting held on 4/16. Met Ed did not respond until 4/16 and responded with "Insufficient Information" Other facility owners managed to attend the meeting and this is not an acceptable response. Met Ed is cited for responding 5 days late to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20201263929. Response due 5/7/20. Windstream did not respond until 5/12/20 when they marked their lines.</p> <p>Windstream is cited for responding 5 days late to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20201263929. Response</p>	<p><b>Reading City: \$500.00</b> Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>CenturyLink: \$250.00</b> Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Comcast Cablevision: \$250.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>FirstEnergy / Met Ed: \$750.00</b> Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>MAW Communications: \$750.00</b> Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>Verizon Pennsylvania LLC: \$3,500.00</b> Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) Subsequent \$1,500.00</p> <p><b>Windstream: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>due 5/7/20. Windstream did not respond until 5/12/20 when they marked their lines. Comcast is cited for responding 5 days late to New Excavation Routine Ticket No. 20201263929. Response due 5/7/20. Windstream did not respond until 5/12/20 when they marked their lines.</p>	
17362	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> West Penn Utilities <b>Project Owner:</b> Verizon <b>Other:</b> Comcast Cablevision</p>	<p><u>On 5/22/2020 8:00:00 AM at SQUIRE RIDGE DR, FRANKLIN PARK BORO, ALLEGHENY 12/14/2021</u> DPC decision for Duquesne Light Company was to keep the violations: Section 2(5)(v) Late response to Routine One Call Ticket No. 20201744079 – 1st offense - \$250.00 and drop the penalty. Section 2(5)(v.1) Failed to communicate directly with excavator within 2 hours of renotification to One Call Ticket No. 20201744079-001 – 1st offense - \$500.00 and drop the penalty. Section 2(5)(v) No response to Routine One Call Ticket No. 202001404031 – 1st offense - \$500.00 and drop the penalty.</p> <p>DLC Rejects the DPC Determination and requests that cases 17362, 16134, 16135, 16142 and 17362 be heard together.</p> <p>Incidents occurred over multiple days beginning in the summer months of 2020, in Square Ridge Drive, Franklin Park Borough, Allegheny Co. On or about June 29, 2020, multiple AVR's were submitted, by West Penn Utilities, stating that Duquesne Light Company response in KARL was either "scheduled mark", or" insufficient info" in the KARL system, but they were not marking nor contacting the excavator on site, as requested on the tickets. AVR from Duquesne Light Company (DLC) responded that they would call this a complex project. DLC could have at any time, requested a meeting. Case 15832 shows all the investigations related by ticket number. Cases 16608, 16444, 16412, 16443, 16135, 16134,16132, 17361, and 17362 refer to more One Call tickets, requested by West Penn Utilities with a late or incomplete response from Duquesne Light Company (DLC). Some tickets have one or two renotification requests. Verizon, the project owner did not submit an AVR. This is a Second offense violation of Section 6.1(7) and penalty is applied. Ticket #20201744079 Violation Section 2(5)(v) applied to DLC for an insufficient response in KARL. Violation Section 2(5)(vi) Renotify Ticket # 20201744079-001 for responding over two hours later than the request. Citation 6.1(7) second offence to Verizon, for not submitting an AVR Ticket# 20201404031 Violation Section 2(5) (v) applied to DLC for responding with an interim response. They never responded with a final response.</p>	<p><b>DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Verizon: \$1,000.00</b> Section 6.1(7) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>Comcast Cablevision: \$1,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>This is a second violation of Section 2(5)(v) and penalty applied to Comcast. First response on 5/19/20 was clear no utilities, second response on 5/20/20 was scheduled mark.</p>	
15430	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Columbia Gas of PA <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Williamson Concrete Solutions LLC</p>	<p><u>On 5/23/2020 12:00:00 PM at 507 HALFMOON ST, BELLEFONTE BORO, CENTRE</u> Incident occurred on 5/23/2020 at 507 Halfmoon Street, Bellefonte, Centre County.</p> <p>Excavator had no One Call Ticket and drove a pin into Columbia Gas's line on 5/23. When the pin was removed on 5/25 there was a smell of gas and instead of calling 911 and evacuating the homeowner, the excavator had the homeowner contact Columbia Gas. Williamson admits in their AVR that they did not place a One Call Ticket, saying they weren't digging, they drove a stake into the ground and that cracked the gas line.</p> <p>On August 11, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an AVR email to Williamson Concrete along with a copy of Act 50. Williamson sent replies stating that they already "paid their fine", but they did eventually submit an AVR as a "facility owner" after several discussions. This AVR should be amended to read "Excavator".</p> <p>Williamson Concrete is cited for failing to call 911 when they smelled gas. Williamson is also cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 business days of the line hit and for not being a member of One Call as there is no history of them ever having placed a One Call Ticket in the past. Mandatory Training is recommended. Williamson was not cited for failure to place a One Call ticket since no mechanized equipment was used.</p>	<p><b>Williamson Concrete Solutions LLC: \$1,000.00</b> Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
15185	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> COLUMBIA GAS OF PA - North <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Graziani Construction <b>Project Owner:</b> Pennsylvania American Water <b>Other:</b> Franklin Township Beaver County <b>Other:</b> Penn Power / FirstEnergy <b>Other:</b> Perry Municipal Authority Lawrence Couny <b>Other:</b> Verizon Pennsylvania</p>	<p><u>On 5/26/2020 1:07:00 PM at 404 MORRISON AVE, FRANKLIN TWP, BEAVER</u> ***Columbia Gas disputed both penalties for Section 2(5)(v). On December 14, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold the violation but reduce the penalty amount to \$0.</p> <p>Incident occurred on May 26, 2020 at 404 Morrison Ave., Franklin Twp., Beaver County.</p> <p>On May 26, 2020, Graziani struck Columbia's unmarked gas line. Columbia says that they were going to get a vac truck to locate that line along with a few other service lines they weren't able to locate, but Graziani excavated anyway. Please keep in mind that Columbia was already 4 days late marking their lines by this point and Graziani was not obligated to wait for them. It is not clear if Graziani was even aware that Columbia was sending a vac truck. Their last response in Karl had been "Conflict" on 5/21.</p> <p>On 7/31/20 DPC Andrade-Locke sent an AVR letter to PAWC who responded the same day saying they would have the AVR done ASAP as they were unaware of the line strike by their excavator. PAWC submitted their AVR on August 6, and they are not cited for failing to submit an AVR.</p>	<p><b>COLUMBIA GAS OF PA - North: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Penn Power / FirstEnergy: \$500.00</b> Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Perry Municipal Authority Lawrence Couny: \$1,500.00</b> Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>Verizon Pennsylvania: \$2,000.00</b></p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Columbia Gas is cited for failing to mark their lines. Their lines were not marked until 6/1, this is 10 days after the due date of 5/22 for both tickets. 20201393633 and 20201393647. Graziani held a preconstruction meeting and had a complex project, so these lines should have been marked on time, not more than a week late.. Graziani did their due diligence by having the preconstruction meetings, and it doesn't encourage excavators to take the additional time when the facility owners still won't mark their lines in a timely manner even after being given the extra notice provided by a complex ticket.</p> <p>Penn Power is cited for failing to attend the Complex Project Meetings 20201330907 and 20201330906. These tickets had a response due date of 5/14, meeting held 5/15. Penn Power responded "scheduled mark" to both tickets on 5/14 and then "Insufficient info" on 5/15. These are not proper or final responses to a request for a meeting. ***Please note that because the tickets were for the same meeting (2 tickets generated because the area covered 2 townships), I have reduced one ticket to a warning.***</p> <p>Perry Municipal Authority is cited for failing to attend the Complex Project Meetings 20201330907 This ticket had a response due date of 5/14 with meetings on 5/15. Perry Municipal responded "field marked" on 5/22, 8 days late and "Field marked" is not attendance at a meeting as specified in the complex project ticket. Perry Municipal is also cited for responding 3 days late to emergency ticket 20201473322. This ticket was placed by Columbia Gas so they could excavate to repair their lines. Perry Municipal Authority made no response to this ticket.</p> <p>Franklin Township Municipal is cited for failing to attend the Complex Project Meeting 20201330906. Attendance was mandatory unless a "clear" response could be made to the ticket. Franklin Twp., responded by "Field Mark" on 5/15, the day of the meeting and one day late for a response, but there is no evidence that they actually attended the meeting.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for failing to attend Complex Project Meeting 20201330906. Verizon made no response to this ticket.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>
15431	<p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Northern Pipeline Construction <b>Project Owner:</b> Columbia Gas of PA</p>	<p><u>On 5/28/2020 3:50:00 PM at 1696 E MAIDEN ST, SOUTH STRABANE TWP, WASHINGTON</u> ***Columbia Gas disputed the penalty/violation for Section 6.1.1. On December 14, 2021 the DPC voted to uphold the violation and penalty.</p> <p>**Please note that the 2019 design tickets/responses/non-responses parties were penalized in case 007642 and the parties have not been penalized again in this investigation**</p>	<p><b>Columbia Gas of PA:</b> <b>\$1,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>NPL struck Columbia's Gas main with a gopher shot. This main was not marked both according to Columbia Gas and NPL.</p> <p>Reviewing Columbia's AVR, Columbia has admitted to using Level D SUE for a project over \$400,000 in value and 19315 feet long. Columbia has been cited for failing to use sufficient levels of SUE and for failing to mark their line.</p>	
16334	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Penelec / FirstEnergy  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> J. Thomas Tree Service  <b>Project Owner:</b> Homeowner  <b>Other:</b> Charter Communications  <b>Other:</b> Erie Water Works  <b>Other:</b> Millfair Heights Subdivision Association  <b>Other:</b> National Fuel Gas</p>	<p>On 6/1/2020 10:00:00 AM at 5120 FERNDAL PL, MILLCREEK TWP, ERIE Tuesday, December 14, 2021, DPC Meeting- Disputing parties: J. Thomas Tree Service, NFG and Millfair Heights Subdivision Association.  DPC Voted:  *J. Thomas Tree Service- Accept all DPI recommendations.  *NFG- Waive all violations and penalties.  *Millfair Heights- Waive all violations and penalties.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>On 6/1/2020 J. Thomas Tree Service was removing stumps from a homeowner's yard located at 5120 Ferndale Place, Millcreek Township, Erie County when they struck and damaged an underground Penelec service line. The pictures provided by Penelec show the USIC locator marked out an electrical box between the two stumps in front of the homeowner's yard. Penelec said in their AVR that the excavator failed to daylight their facilities and struck and damaged their facilities costing approximately \$9300.00 worth of damage. A violation of 5(4) is recommended against J. Thomas Tree Service for not digging prudently within the tolerance zone.</p> <p>Penelec also stated J. Thomas tree Service was working under the Homeowner's One Call Ticket #20201390080 placed on 5/18/2020, but J. Thomas had placed their own One Call Ticket #20201470107 on 5/26/2020 with a response due date of 5/28/2020. The scheduled excavation date was 5/29/2020 at 8:00 AM but Penelec did not respond in KARL as "Field Marked" until 5/29/2020 until 4:16 PM.</p> <p>A violation of 2(5)(v) is recommended against NFG for failing to finalize a response to One Call Ticket #20201390080, and One Call Ticket #20201470107.</p> <p>A violation of 2(5)(v)late is recommended against Charter Communications, Penelec, Millfair Heights Subdivision and Erie Water Works for failing to respond to One Call Ticket #20201470107. Response due 5/20/20. Millfair made no response until 5/22/20 when they responded "clear".</p> <p>A violation of 2(5)(v)late is recommended against Charter Communications, Penelec, Millfair Heights Subdivision and Erie Water Works for failing to respond to One Call Ticket #20201390080. Response due</p>	<p><b>Penelec / FirstEnergy:</b>  <b>\$500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p><b>J. Thomas Tree Service:</b>  <b>\$850.00</b>  Section 5(4) 1st Offense  \$600.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p><b>Charter Communications:</b>  <b>\$250.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p><b>Erie Water Works:</b>  <b>\$500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>5/28/20. Millfair made no response until 5/31/20 when they responded "clear".</p> <p>A courtesy AVR Request letter was sent to J. Thomas Tree Service on 10/15/2020 with no response. Another letter was sent to the email address listed on the One Call ticket on 1/7/2021; no response. To date J. Thomas has not submitted an AVR.</p>	
15263	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Verizon  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Brubacher Excavating  <b>Project Owner:</b> Aqua Pennsylvania  <b>Other:</b> PECO Energy  <b>Other:</b> West Whiteland Township</p>	<p><u>On 6/3/2020 9:20:00 AM at HUNTERS LANE, WEST WHITELAND TWP, CHESTER ***PECO</u> disputed penalties for section 2(4) and 2(5)(vii). On December 14, 2021 the DPC voted to waive all violations and penalties for PECO</p> <p>On June 3, 2020 Brubacher struck Verizon's unmarked conduit.</p> <p>On August 10, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent a courtesy AVR letter to Verizon. An AVR is not mandatory for Verizon so it is not expected they will respond.</p> <p>Verizon is cited for failing to mark their line within 18 inches. Verizon is also cited for failing to respond to Design Ticket No. 20200732785. Response due 3/27, Verizon responded "Conflict" on 3/23 and did not finalize their response. Verizon is also cited for failing to respond to or attend Complex Project 20201070666. Ticket response due 4/21, meeting 4/22. Verizon made no response, although we know from their response of "Field marked" to other tickets on this project (20201140163 and 20201430559) that they did have lines in the area. Verizon is cited for failing to respond to Emergency Ticket 20201551137. Ticket placed 6/3 at 9:29. Verizon responded "Conflict" at 11:48, did not contact anyone and did not finalize their response. All offenses are subsequent offenses given Verizon's long and illustrious history of failing to attend meetings, failing to mark lines, and ignoring Act 50.</p> <p>West Whiteland is cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket 20200732785. West Whiteland responded "Conflict DCTF", but did not finalize their response. PECO Energy is cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket 20200732785. PECO responded "Conflict" on 3/18, but did not finalize their response. PECO also failed to attend Complex Project Meeting 20201070666. The meeting was held on 4/22. PECO responded "Clear" on 4/16, then changed their response to "Scheduled Mark" on 4/21 and "Conflict" on 4/22. none of those responses indicates attendance at the meeting which was mandatory.</p>	<p><b>Verizon: \$8,000.00</b>  Section 2(4) Subsequent \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.0</p> <p><b>West Whiteland Township: \$250.00</b>  Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
15669	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> City of Carbondale  <b>Facility Owner:</b> PA AMERICAN WATER COMPANY  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> KRIGER PIPELINE  <b>Project Owner:</b> UGI Utilities, Inc</p>	<p><u>On 6/19/2020 7:00:00 AM at Park Street and Washington Street, CARBONDALE CITY, LACKAWANNA</u> Tuesday, December 14, 2021, DPC Meeting- R K and K Engineers was disputing. DPC Voted to keep the DPI's recommendations.  *****  Incident occurred on 6/18/2020 at Washington Street, Carbondale City, Lackawanna County.</p>	<p><b>City of Carbondale: \$250.00</b>  Section 2(1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>PA AMERICAN</b></p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p><b>Designer:</b> R K and K Engineers</p>	<p>Kruger Pipeline was installing of new 2-inch HPDE gas main line for UGI and during the excavation they hit and damage a miss-marked water service line; at a depth of 40-inches, owned by PA American Water. The locate mark indicated the service line came off the main at a 45 degree angle; the locate mark was off by 8-feet. PAWC were dispatched and repaired the service line. Kruger provided pictures.</p> <p>On 6/26/2020, Kruger Pipeline reported hitting multiple unmarked sewer laterals owned by the City of Carbondale, during the job of replacing a gas main and services lines for UGI. Kruger reported damages to: 147 Park Street, 193 Washington St., the empty lot across from 192 Washington St. and 189 Washington St., 179 Park St., 147 Park St., the 2 laterals on an empty lot between 185 and 179 Park St., and 200 Park St.</p> <p>The City of Carbondale are not members of One Call. However, they did submit an AVR stating, "The City of Carbondale is not in possession of Sewer Line Maps. Subsequently we do not know where the lines are located other than having staff point out their location to contractors". On 9/9/2020, I did speak with Ms. Bannon and she followed-up with an emailing stating, when Carbondale is able to secure membership with One Call the information will be forward to me.</p> <p>*I am recommending the City of Carbondale:          -Section 2(1) violation removed and penalty reduce to zero when they provide a document showing their membership with One Call.          -Section 2(5)(i.1) violation remains but the penalty reduce to zero.          *Designer- R K and K Engineers did not submit an AVR; Recommending Section 4(8) violation remains but the penalty reduce to zero with education.          *PA American Water          -Section 2(5)(i) 1st offense violation with penalty for failure to locate line within 18 inches.</p>	<p><b>WATER COMPANY:</b>  <b>\$500.00</b>          Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense          \$500.00</p> <p><b>R K and K Engineers:</b>  <b>\$0.00</b>          Section 4(8) 1st Offense          \$0.00</p>
16383	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b>          PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b>          TERRA WORKS INC.  <b>Project Owner:</b>          Johnstown Redevelopment Authority/ Johnstown City  <b>Designer:</b> EADS Group</p>	<p><u>On 7/6/2020 11:56:00 AM at D STREET, LOWER YODER TWP, CAMBRIA</u> Tuesday, December 14, 2021, DPC Meeting. Terra Works Inc. was disputing.          DPC Voted:          *5(3.1)- Keep DPI recommendation.          *5(3)- Waive penalty and keep violation.          *5(4)- Waive penalty and remove violation.          *5(6)(i)-Waive penalty and keep the violation.          *5(6)(ii)- Waive penalty and keep violation.          *5(7)- Waive penalty and keep violation.          *5(8)- Keep DPI Recommendation and Education.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>On 5/27/2020, Terra Works was working for the City of Johnstown to install new sanitary and storm lines on D Street, Johnstown City, Cambria County. Terra Works submitted a One Call notification, which turned into two One Call Tickets, asking for a mark out from Fairfield Ave. to Birch Ave. on both sides of the street, 50 feet in</p>	<p><b>PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC:</b>  <b>\$500.00</b>          Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense          \$500.00</p> <p><b>TERRA WORKS INC.:</b>  <b>\$1,250.00</b>          Section 5(4) 1st Offense          \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense          \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(6)(ii) 1st Offense          \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense          \$0.00</p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>all directions at intersections, and all underground utilities within the traffic loop and all electric utilities to the traffic light at the intersection of D Street and Fairfield Ave. From Fairfield Ave. to Birch Ave. is approximately 1000 feet alone. A violation of 5(3.1) is recommended against Terra Works for submitting a One Call Ticket which exceeds the maximum area of a routine ticket.</p> <p>In their AVR, Peoples stated Terra Works exposed one of their 1" plastic gas services while working. A violation of 5(3) is recommended against Terra Works for failing to request a remark when an underground line, which wasn't marked out, was found. Not only did the excavator expose the facility, Peoples said they "drilled the facility to see if it was an active service; which it was". The violation of 5(4) is recommended against Terra Works because they were not using prudent measures drilling directly into the underground gas line, a violation of 5(6)(i) for failing to plan the work to avoid interruption because they did not know whether the line they were drilling into was an active line and a violation of 5(6)(ii) for failing to contact Peoples to inquire how to provide the correct support and mechanical protection of the gas line. Peoples added they were never notified of the exposed facility, or provided the opportunity to verify if the line was active for the excavating crew. A violation of 5(7) is recommended against Penn Terra for failing to contact the facility owner when their line was damaged and a violation of 5(8) for failing to contact 911 when there was a release of gas is also recommended.</p> <p>No penalties will be associated with Design Ticket #20181203498 or Ticket #20181203499 as the design process was not finalized at this point.</p> <p>A violation of 6.1(3) is recommended against Johnstown Redevelopment Authority/ Johnstown City because the actual work for this project started in 2020. The Design process has been in place and finalized. A violation will not be recommended against EADS Group as they have not been a part of this project for 2 years, and one would be under the assumption they were not asked to submit final design plans.</p> <p>A violation of 2(5)(i) is recommended against Peoples for failing to mark their facilities within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line.</p>	<p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(3.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Johnstown Redevelopment Authority/ Johnstown City: \$500.00</b></p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
16135	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Duquesne Light Company  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> West Penn Utilities  <b>Project Owner:</b> COMCAST  <b>Other:</b> ALIQUIPPA MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY  <b>Other:</b> AMBRIDGE WATER AUTHORITY</p>	<p><u>On 7/7/2020 6:00:00 AM at 3253 BRADBURY DR, HOPEWELL TWP, BEAVER</u> 1214/2021 DPC decision for Duquesne Light was to Keep all violations Section 2(5)(v) Late response to routine One Call Ticket No. 20201814334 – 1st offense - \$250.00, but waive all penalties.</p> <p>Hopewell Township Beaver County - \$250.00 Keep all violations Section 2(5)(v) Late response to routine One Call Ticket No. 20201814334 – 1st offense - \$250.00, but waive all penalties.</p>	<p><b>Duquesne Light Company: \$0.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>COMCAST: \$500.00</b></p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>ALIQUIPPA MUNICIPAL</b></p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p><b>Other:</b> CRESWELL HEIGHTS JOINT AUTHORITY</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Hopewell Township Beaver County</p>	<p>Aliquippa Municipal Authority - Keep violations and penalties for Section 2(5)(v) Failure to respond to routine One Call Ticket No. 20201814334 – 1st offense - \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) Failure to communicate directly with the excavator within 2 hours of renotification – Ticket No. 20201814334-001 – 1st offense - \$500.00 \$1000.00. because they did not show up to the DPC meeting. Add Education.</p> <p>Creswell Heights Joint Authority - \$250.00 Keep all violations Section 2(5)(v) Late response to routine One Call Ticket No. 20201814334 – 1st offense - \$250.00, but waive all penalties.</p> <p>Disagrees: Cresswell Heights Joint Authority states that the area was property marked on time, but the response in the KARL system was not. They state that they have reached out to their 811 liaison and were educated to the uses and constraints of the KARL system. They have since brought in new procedures to closely monitor and respond in KARL</p> <p>. Disagrees: Hopewell Township states that limited manpower to respond to a broken sewer force main and 2(sewer) backups. sent in a copy of ticket 20201814334. Response was due on 7/1/2020, with a dig date and time of 7/2/2020 at 8 a.m. Hopewell township responded on 7/2/2020@10:32 a.m. They state that the actual excavation did not begin until July 7, 2020.</p> <p>Disagrees: Aliquippa Municipal Authority state that they have no service area at or near 3253 Bradbury Drive in Hopewell Township, Beaver County.</p> <p>Incident occurred in Hopewell Township, Beaver County. On or about June 29, 2020, multiple AVR’s were submitted, because Duquesne Light Company response in KARL was either “scheduled mark”, or ” not enough info” in the KARL system, but they were not marking or contacting the excavator on site as requested on the tickets.</p> <p>West Penn Utilities stated in their AVR that Duquesne Light Company (DLC) refuses to locate their facilities. They mark the ticket with insufficient information without making any attempt to contact DLC. The locators and manager have DLC’s office phone, and the contractors direct cell phone. DLC states “they just refuse to locate” and this is putting they crews in danger and wasting money, while the contractors wait for DLC to mark their facilities. Pictures are submitted.</p> <p>Duquesne Light Company (DLC) stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that they responded the best they could. DLC states they do not have sufficient information to mark all the areas because the areas have no pattern that they could follow. They also state that they would call this a complex project. Photos were submitted, by Duquesne Light Company, after a request</p>	<p><b>AUTHORITY: \$1,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>AMBRIDGE WATER AUTHORITY: \$250.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>CRESWELL HEIGHTS JOINT AUTHORITY: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Hopewell Township Beaver County: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>for an AVR was sent. They sated they had to request more information to be safe.  Ticket # 20201814334:  Citation 2(5)(v) applied to Duquesne Light Company (DLC) for late response.  Citation 2(5)(v) applied to Ambridge Water Authority, who responded five days late.  Citation 2(5)(v) applied to Cresswell Heights Authority, who responded six days late.  Citation 2(5)(v) applied to Hopewell Township Beaver, who responded late, but before the renotification ticket. Since the excavation was not able to start yet, I would recommend training, so there are no issues in the future. No fine assessed.  Citation 2(5)(v) applied to Aliquippa Municipal Water Authority, who did not respond at all.  Citation 6.1(7) applied to Comcast for not responding to an emailed letter request for an AVR. This is the second offence this year.  Renotify ticket 20201814334-001</p> <p>Notes:  Case 15832 shows all the investigations related by ticket number. Cases 16608, 16444, 16412, 16443, 16135, 16134, 16132, 17361, and 17362 refer to more One Call tickets, requested by West Penn Utilities with a late or incomplete response from Duquesne Light Company (DLC).  There have not been issues with other underground companies, but Duquesne Light Company has consistently not responded to requests to mark or contact West Penn Utilities. Multiple renotify tickets have been requested, specifically asking Duquesne Light Company to mark their lines. This looks to be a repetitive and costly issue for all involved, including Duquesne Light Company and West Penn Utilities.</p>	
16443	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Duquesne Light Co  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> West Penn Utilities  <b>Project Owner:</b> Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 7/13/2020 6:11:00 PM at 4318 GLADES DR, HAMPTON TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> 12/14/2021 DPC decision is to keep violations Section 2(5)(v) Late response to Routine One Call Ticket No. 20201954049 – 1st offense - \$250.00, Section 2(5)(v.1) Failed to communicate directly with excavator within 2 hours of renotification to One Call Ticket No. 20201954049-001 – 1st offense - \$500.00, Section 2(5)(v.1) Failed to communicate directly with excavator within 2 hours of renotification to One Call Ticket No. 20201954049-002 – 1st offense - \$1000.00, but waive the penalties.</p> <p>Duquesne Light Company is formally rejecting the DPC’s determination on the attached 6 DPC violations. Duquesne is requesting that the 6 cases in relation to West Penn Utilities be added to a single meeting for discussion.</p> <p>Incidents occurred in multiple locations in Hampton Township, Allegheny County. On or about June 29, 2020, multiple AVR’s were submitted, because Duquesne Light Company response in KARL was either “scheduled mark”, or ”not enough info” in the KARL</p>	<p><b>Duquesne Light Co:</b>  <b>\$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00    Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$0.00    Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$0.00    <b>Verizon: \$1,500.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v)  Subsequent \$1,000.00    Section 6.1(7) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>system, but they were not marking or contacting the excavator on site as requested on the tickets.  West Penn Utilities stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that the work areas were marked in white, and that Duquesne Light Locators refused to locate their facilities. The locator and manager have the office contact number and the contractors personal cell number. Neither have made any contact with any questions, refusing to mark their lines until the ticket is remodified, sometimes multiple times.  Duquesne Light Company (DLC) stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that DLC was unable to mark due to the pattern of the excavator requesting mark outs without completing construction. They would call this a complex project. Pictures and responses to tickets are included.</p> <p>Ticket 20201954049  Citation 2(5)(v) once and 2(5)(v.1) applied twice for renotification tickets -001 and -002 to DLC. Response for second notification was over two hours late. A \$500 fine added for second renotification ticket, which also was a late response.  Citation Section 2(5)(v) applied to Verizon for a late response, Verizon did mark prior to the renotification. There are multiple documentations of late markings by Verizon in our system. Subsequent fine applied.  Citation Section 6.1(7) applied to Verizon for not submitting and AVR. AVR request letter was emailed on August 24, 2020.</p> <p>Notes:</p> <p>Case 15832 shows all the investigations related by ticket number. Cases 16608, 16444, 16412, 16443, 16135, 16134,16132, 17361, and 17362 refer to more One Call tickets, requested by West Penn Utilities with a late or incomplete response from Duquesne Light Company (DLC). Some tickets have one or two renotification requests.</p>	
16444	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> DUQUESNE LIGHT  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> WEST PENN UTILITIES  <b>Project Owner:</b> VERIZON  <b>Other:</b> Indiana Township  <b>Other:</b> Peoples Gas</p>	<p><u>On 7/13/2020 6:15:00 PM at 620 MANOR LN, INDIANA TWP, ALLEGHENY 12/14/2021 DPC</u> decision for Duquesne Light was to keep the violations for Section 2(5)(v) Late response to Routine One Call Ticket No. 20201954058 – 1st offense - \$250.00, but drop the penalty  Section 2(5)(v.1) Failed to communicate directly with excavator within 2 hours of renotification to One Call Ticket No. 20201954058-001 – 1st offense - \$500.00. Keep violation drop the penalty  Section 2(5)(v.1) Failed to communicate directly with excavator within 2 hours of renotification to One Call Ticket No. 20201954058-002 – 1st offense - \$500.00. Keep violation drop the penalty</p> <p>Disagrees: DLC with the DPC decision.</p>	<p><b>DUQUESNE LIGHT:</b>  <b>\$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00  Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$0.00  Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$0.00  <b>VERIZON: \$500.00</b>  Section 6.1(7) 2nd Offense \$500.00  <b>Indiana Township:</b>  <b>\$1,250.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Incident is reoccurring in multiple locations. Duquesne Light Company (DLC) is not marking their facilities and not contacting the excavator.</p> <p>West Penn Utilities states in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that they are submitting another AVR because the issue with Duquesne Light Company (DLC) has not improved. The locators still refuse to locate DLC facilities until at least one or two renotify tickets are submitted. They have the contact information for West Penn Utilities and nobody from DLC has contacted West Penn Utilities.</p> <p>Duquesne Light Company stated in their AVR that West Penn Utilities should have created a complex project ticket when working over multiple sites over a large area. They state that they have marked their facilities as best as they could keeping safety a priority.</p> <p>Ticket #20201954058: had two renotifications placed, before the lines were marked. Duquesne Light Company (DLC) did not mark their lines until the second renotification prepared at 10:50 a.m. DLC responded at 13:07. Citation 2(5)(v) applied for no response to routine ticket, Citation 2(5)(v.1) applied twice for ticket #20201954058-001 and #20201954058.002.</p> <p>Citation 2(5)(v.1) applied twice to Indiana Township for not responding to renotification tickets #20201954058.001 and #20201954058.002 for five days. citation 2(5)(v) applied to Indiana Township for a five day late response to a routine ticket #20201954058.</p> <p>Citation2(5)(v) subsequent violations fine applied to Verizon, for marking the day of excavation, but not until 13:55. Work was to begin by 8:00 a.m. on July 16, 2020.</p> <p>Citation 2(5)(vii) twice for late responses to two renotification tickets: #20201954058-001, and #20201954058-002.</p> <p>Ticket #20201954058: citation 2(5)(i.1) is applied to Peoples Gas for not marking their service line.</p> <p>Citation 6.1(7) second offence, applied to Verizon for not submitting an AVR. There were over 10 AVR's put in for this issue. An AVR request letter was emailed Aug 24, 2020.</p> <p>NOTES: Case 15832 shows all the investigations related by ticket number. Cases 16608, 16444, 16412, 16443, 16135, 16134,16132, 17361, and 17362 refer to more One Call tickets, requested by West Penn Utilities with a late or incomplete response from Duquesne Light Company (DLC). Some tickets have one or two renotification requests.</p> <p>There have not been issues with other underground companies, but Duquesne Light Company has consistently not responded to requests to mark or contact West Penn Utilities. Multiple renotify tickets have been requested, specifically asking Duquesne Light Company to mark their lines. This looks to be a repetitive and costly issue for all involved, including Duquesne Light Company and West Penn Utilities.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>Peoples Gas: \$250.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
16412	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Duquesne Light Company</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> West Penn Utilities</p>	<p><u>On 7/16/2020 6:00:00 AM at 117 CARTERS GROVE DR, RICHLAND TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> 12/14/2021 DPC decision was to remove the violation Section 2(5)(v) Failure to respond to routine One Call Ticket No.</p>	<p><b>Duquesne Light Company: \$0.00</b></p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p><b>Project Owner:</b> Consolidated Communications</p>	<p>20201850671 – 1st offense - \$500.00. this also removes the penalty for Duquesne Light.</p> <p>Duquesne Light has rejected their penalty for Section 2(5)(v) for ticket 20201850671.</p> <p>Incidents occurred in multiple locations at multiple times on or about June 29, 2020. Multiple AVR’s were submitted, because Duquesne Light Company response in KARL was either “scheduled mark”, or ” insufficient info” in the KARL system, but they were not marking or contacting the excavator on site as requested on the tickets. Duquesne Light Company (DLC) responded that they would call this a complex project. DLC could have at any time, asked for a meeting.</p> <p>West Penn Utilities states in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Duquesne Light Company is refusing to locate their facilities. The work area was marked in white, contact information is provided, including contractors personal cell phone and DLC refuses to mark. He further states that DLC is blatantly breaking the law. West Penn Utilities has filed AVR’s in the double digits in the month of July. DLC supervisor has been contacted and still no better results have occurred. DLC refuses to mark or make contact. Estimated cost of down time is \$1,001 - \$5,000. With more than three hours of downtime. Project is less than \$400,000. And level “C” Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used.</p> <p>Duquesne Light Company states in their AVR that DLC has been marking the facilities once they get more information on the renotify tickets. On 7/16/2020, DLC reported to the construction site and found that the excavation work had already been completed. Pictures are provided.</p> <p>Consolidated Communications states in their AVR that they understand that DLC has been responding to tickets with an interim response: insufficient information.</p> <p>. Ticket# 20201850671 Citation 2(5)(v) applied to DLC for not responding until a renotification ticket 20201850671-001 was placed, eight days after the ticket was due. In the past the DPC has considered anything over 7 days a non-response.</p> <p>Notes: Case 15832 shows all the investigations related by ticket number. Cases 16608, 16444, 16412, 16443, 16135, 16134,16132, 17361, and 17362 refer to more One Call tickets, requested by West Penn Utilities with a late or incomplete response from Duquesne Light Company (DLC). Some tickets have one or two renotification requests.</p>	
16608	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Duquesne Light <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b></p>	<p><u>On 7/16/2020 3:59:00 PM at ALDERGROVE DR, MCCANDLESS TOWN, ALLEGHENY 12/14/2021</u> DPC decision for Duquesne Light was to keep violations Section 2(5)(v) No response to Routine One Call Ticket</p>	<p><b>Duquesne Light: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>West Penn Utilities <b>Project Owner:</b> Verizon</p>	<p>No. 20201983616 – 1st offense - \$500.00 and drop the penalty. Section 2(5)(v.1) Failed to communicate directly with excavator within 2 hours of renotification to One Call Ticket No. 20201983616-001 – 1st offense - \$500.00. Keep violation and drop the penalty.</p> <p>Disagreeing: DLC is disagreeing with DPC decision 2(5)(5) for ticket # 20201983616 and 2(5)(v.1) failed to communicate directly with excavator within 2 hours of renotification for ticket# 20201983616.</p> <p>On or about June 29, 2020, multiple AVR’s were submitted, because Duquesne Light Company response in KARL was either “scheduled mark”, or ” not enough info” in the KARL system, but they were not marking or contacting the excavator on site as requested on the tickets. West Penn Utilities stated in their Alleged Violation Report that Duquesne Light Company was marking their facilities late multiple times. They also state that they refuse to mark their facilities until multiple renotify tickets are submitted. This is wasting much time and money. The lack of markings puts the excavation crews in danger. Duquesne Light Company (DLC) states in their AVR that they are marking out the tickets as they can, due to the pattern of the requested mark outs. Verizon did not submit and AVR. A request letter was e-mailed to Verizon. This is a second offence for Verizon.</p> <p>Ticket 20201983616 Citation 2(5)(v) and 2(5)(v.1) applied to DLC for never giving a final response to either the routine ticket nor renotify ticket #20201983616-001.</p> <p>Notes: Case 15832 shows all the investigations related by ticket number. Cases 16608, 16444, 16412, 16443, 16135, 16134,16132, 17361, and 17362 refer to more One Call tickets, requested by West Penn Utilities with a late or incomplete response from Duquesne Light Company (DLC). Some tickets have one or two renotification requests.</p> <p>There have not been issues with other underground companies, but Duquesne Light Company has consistently not responded to requests to mark or contact West Penn Utilities. Multiple renotify tickets have been requested, specifically asking Duquesne Light Company to mark their lines. This looks to be a repetitive and costly issue for all involved, including Duquesne Light Company and West Penn Utilities. Information submitted by DLC verifies that there were markings at the work locations, after a renotify ticket or in some cases two, were placed.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Verizon: \$500.00</b> Section 6.1(7) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p>
16134	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Duquesne Light <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b></p>	<p><u>On 8/3/2020 7:50:58 AM at 107 WILLIAM PENN CIR, LEET TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> 12/14/2021 DPC decision for Duquesne Light is to Accept the violations Section</p>	<p><b>Duquesne Light: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>West Penn Utilities  <b>Project Owner:</b> Comcast Cablevision  <b>Other:</b> HARMONY TOWNSHIP BEAVER COUNTY  <b>Other:</b> LEET TOWNSHIP  <b>Other:</b> LEET TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY  <b>Other:</b> VERIZON PA LLC</p>	<p>2(5)(v) Late response to Routine One Call Ticket No. 20201814317 – 1st offense - \$250.00, Section 2(5)(v.1) Failure to communicate directly with excavator within 2 hours of renotification Ticket No. 20201814317-001 – 1st offense - \$500.00, Section 2(5)(i) Failure to locate underground lines within 18 inches – 1st offense - \$1000.00, but to remove the penalties.  Harmony Township Beaver County and Leet Township Municipal Authority to accept the DPI's recommendations.</p> <p>Duquesne Light, Leet Township and Harmony Twp have all disputed their penalties.</p> <p>Case 15832 shows all the investigations related by ticket number. Cases 16608, 16444, 16412, 16443, 16135, 16134, 16132, 17361, and 17362 refer to more One Call tickets, requested by West Penn Utilities with a late or incomplete response from Duquesne Light Company (DLC).</p> <p>On or about June 29, 2020, multiple AVR's were submitted, because Duquesne Light Company response in KARL was either "scheduled mark", or "not enough info" in the KARL system, but they were not marking or contacting the excavator on site as requested on the tickets. Duquesne Light Company (DLC) responded that they would call this a complex project. DLC could have at any time, asked for a meeting.  Information submitted by DLC verifies that there were markings at the work locations, after a renotify ticket or in some cases two, were placed.  Ticket #20201814317  Citation 2(5)(v) subsequent offence applied to Verizon, they again marked a day after due date.  Citation 2(5)(i) second offence, was applied to Duquesne Light Company (DLC) for responding "clear no facilities" in KARL to ticket # 20201814317, which was marked out later, at the same location, after the renotify ticket request.  Citation 2(5)(v) and citation 2(5)(v.1) applied to DLC for not marking on routine ticket and marking late on renotify ticket 20201814317-001.  Citation 2(5)(v) and 2(5)(v.1) applied to Harmony Township for not responding to either ticket for over a week.  Citation 2(5)(v) and 2(5)(v.1) applied to Leet Township Municipal Authority, who did not respond for over 6.5 hours after the renotification ticket was requested.  Citation 2(5)(v) and 2(5)(v.1) applied to 2 Leet Township never responded to any tickets.  Citation 6.1(7) second offence applied to project owner Comcast Cablevision for not submitting an AVR.  Request email was sent August 25, 2020.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Comcast Cablevision: \$500.00</b>  Section 6.1(7) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>HARMONY TOWNSHIP BEAVER COUNTY: \$750.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>LEET TOWNSHIP: \$750.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>LEET TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY: \$750.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>VERIZON PA LLC: \$1,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

**Committee Review**

*No cases scheduled.*