



**Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission**

**Damage Prevention Committee Meeting Case List  
June 12, 2022**

**Omnibus Session**

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
12469	<b>Facility Owner:</b> PA American Water Company <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Aaron Enterprises, Inc. <b>Other:</b> Falls Township Authority <b>Other:</b> PECO Energy	<p><u>On 1/7/2020 11:00:00 AM at MAKEFIELD RD, LOWER MAKEFIELD TWP, BUCKS</u> Incident occurred on January 7, 2020 on Makefield Rd., Lower Makefield Twp., Bucks County.</p> <p>Aaron Enterprises was excavating for a slide rail system when they struck PA American's water line. Aaron's photos show that the line was approximately 46-inches off where they say the marks were.</p> <p>On April 20, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent an email to PA American Water asking for an AVR as PAWC is the project owner and designer for this project as well as the facility owner. To date, no AVR has been received.</p> <p>PA American Water is cited for failing to mark their line within 18 inches. PA American Water is also cited for failing to submit an AVR within 10 days of their contractor striking a line. As PA American was also the facility owner, they would have known that their line was hit and they were notified by the DPI. PA American was not penalized for inappropriate levels of SUE because of the date of the complex project ticket.</p> <p>Falls Township Authority is cited for failing to respond to Excavation Ticket No. 20193611680 - response due 12/31. Falls Township responded "Scheduled Mark" on 12/27 but never finalized their response. Falls Township is also cited for failing to respond to New Excavation Final Design Ticket No. 20192061086 - response due 8/8. Falls Township responded "Scheduled Mark" on 7/25 but never finalized their response. Falls Township is also cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket No. 20191231219 - response due 5/17, Falls Township responded "Scheduled Mark" on 5/3 but did not finalize their response</p> <p>PECO Energy is cited for failing to respond to New Excavation Final Design Ticket No. 20192061086 - response due 8/8. PECO responded "Conflict" on 7/25 but never finalized their response. PECO is also cited for failing to respond to Final Design Ticket No. 20191231219 - response due 5/17, PECO responded "Conflict" on 5/6 but did not finalize their response.</p>	<p><b>PA American Water Company: \$750.00</b> Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Falls Township Authority: \$1,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>PECO Energy: \$0.00</b> Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
15261	<b>Facility Owner:</b> Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> homeowner <b>Project Owner:</b>	<p><u>On 6/1/2020 8:00:00 AM at 2227 FAWCETT AVE, WHITE OAK BORO, ALLEGHENY</u> *No Damage Near Miss incident occurred on 6/1/2020, at 2227 FAWCETT AVE, White Oak Borough, Allegheny County.</p>	<p><b>homeowner: \$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Peoples Gas: \$0.00</b></p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Homeowner <b>Other:</b> Peoples Gas</p>	<p>An AVR from Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County stated that excavation to install a fence, had begun before the lawful dig date. On 6/1/2020 their locator marked their facilities around the excavation. Pictures are submitted.</p> <p>An AVR received from Homeowner, Mr. Caldwell agreeing that the excavation to install a fence was started the day before the lawful start date.</p> <p>Ticket# 20201491944 has a Lawful Start date of 6/02/2020. Excavation had begun on 6/1/2020 and The Municipal Authority of Westmoreland marked their facilities around the excavation that was in progress.</p> <p>Homeowner, Mr. Caldwell is in violation of Section 5(2.1) for starting excavation before the lawful dig date. This is a first-time offense, and the penalty is a warning.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and/or 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time:</p>	
16419	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> BORE FORCE / Mastec <b>Project Owner:</b> Comcast</p>	<p><u>On 6/4/2020 10:00:00 AM at 575 RUTTER AVE, KINGSTON BORO, LUZERNE</u> ~Incident occurred on 6/4/2020 at 575 Rutter Ave., near East Dorrance Street and James Street, Kingston Borough, Luzerne County.</p> <p>UGI submitted an AVR stating, "Contractor boring cable. Spotted out line where it was marked. Still proceeded to bore through our gas service line in spot hole." No photos were submitted. A violation of 5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques is recommended against Bore Force as well as 5(11.2)- when using HDD, failed to utilize the best practices published in the HDD Consortium. Education is recommended in addition to the monetary penalties.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was sent on 6/30/2021 to the excavator and the PO to submit an AVR, but one has not been submitted by either party to date.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Bore Force-</p> <p>~5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques. Penalty is applied. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>~5(11.2)- when using HDD, failed to utilize the best practices published in the HDD Consortium. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>~5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>~5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request. Penalty is applied.</p>	<p><b>BORE FORCE / Mastec: \$1,500.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(11.2) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Comcast: \$750.00</b> Section 6.1(7) 3rd Offense \$750.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		Comcast- ~6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike. This is a third time offense and the penalty is applied.	
16197	<b>Facility Owner:</b> Comcast <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Heartland Homes	<u>On 6/18/2020 3:30:00 PM at 4008 Overview Dr, CANONSBURG BORO, WASHINGTON</u> Incident occurred at 4008 Overview Drive, Canonsburg Borough, Washington County, PA. AVR from Comcast states that Heartland Homes was excavating without a One Call ticket, when they damaged a Comcast Communication Cable. AVR request was mailed to Heartland Homes on 8/4/2021. No AVR has been received, nor any contact made.. Heartland Homes is in Violation of Section: 5(16) Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Education is mandatory.	<b>Heartland Homes:</b> <b>\$1,250.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00
15751	<b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO Energy <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> CARR & DUFF <b>Project Owner:</b> PECO Energy <b>Other:</b> Comcast <b>Other:</b> Nether Providence Township	<u>On 6/22/2020 2:58:00 PM at MOORE RD, NETHER PROVIDENCE TWP, DELAWARE</u> ~incident occurred on 6/22/2020 on Moore Road, near Wiltshire Drive and Brookhaven Road, Nether Providence Township, Delaware County.  Emergency One Call ticket #20201672432 was placed on 6/15/2020. Nether Providence Township did not respond to the notification until 6/17/2021. A violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.  Routine One Call ticket #20201712514 was placed on 6/19/2020. Response due date was 6/23/2020 for excavation to begin on 6/24/2020 at 7:00 AM. Comcast did not respond to the notification until 6/24/2020 at 5:20 AM. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended.  Carr & Duff submitted an AVR stating, "On June 22nd a Carr & Duff directional drill crew stuck a marked gas line at the intersection of Moore Road and Wildshire Drive. The directional drill crew pot holed the One Call Markings and located a cast iron pipe directly under mark at approximately 39 inches from grade. Identifying this cast iron pipe as the gas line identified on the C&C's, they adjusted for depth and continued the directional drilling activities. At approximately 1458 the directional drill contacted a gas line at the adjusted depth of 50 inches. It was later identified that the C&C's noted the gas line was a 6" plastic pipe, and that the directional drill crew miss-identified the pipe that was exposed during their pot-hole activities." A violation of 5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques and 5(11.2)- when using HDD, failed to utilize the best practices published in the HDD Consortium are recommended. Carr and Duff is also	<b>CARR &amp; DUFF:</b> <b>\$1,500.00</b> Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$250.00  Section 5(11.2) 1st Offense \$250.00  Section 5(9) 1st Offense \$1,000.00  <b>Comcast: \$1,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00  <b>Nether Providence Township: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>cited for placing an emergency ticket for a non-emergency event. They placed an update excavation ticket for this job back in 2019 for the same area. Ticket 20201672432 is an emergency ticket to "install cable and conduit" and the duration of the work is 2 weeks which indicates that this is a standard installation, not a 2-week long emergency. The emergency ticket was also placed on June 15 but the excavation and damage did not occur until 1 week later on June 20.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Nether Providence Township- ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification. Nether Providence took 2 days to make an initial response to an emergency ticket.</p> <p>Comcast- ~(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time</p> <p>Carr &amp; Duff- ~5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques ~5(11.2)- when using HDD, failed to utilize the best practices published in the HDD Consortium ~5(9) Excavator placed an emergency ticket for a non-emergency event. First two penalties reduced by 50% for first-time offender.</p>	
16534	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> WK FRAME &amp; SONS <b>Other:</b> Williams Emergency</p>	<p><u>On 7/6/2020 10:00:00 AM at 311 BLUE WELSH ST, EAST WHITELAND TWP, CHESTER</u> ~Incident occurred on 7/6/2020 at 311 Blue Welsh Street, near Quarry Point Rd., and Holywell Dr., in East Whiteland Twp., Chester County.</p> <p>PECO submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stating, "W.K. Frame and Sons (1205 Pottstown Pike, Glenmoore PA 19343) digging with a backhoe to possibility install a new sanitary sewer line and water service at a new residential construction site hit a unmarked one (1) inch gas service stub to the under construction house. The contractor could not provide a PA1 Call Serial Number and a search of PA1 Call records found no PA1 Call Serial Number for this contractor at this location." A violation of 5(2.1)-excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>PECO indicated 911 was not called for this incident. A violation of 5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property is recommended.</p> <p>An email was sent to the excavator on 7/23/2021 requesting an AVR be submitted and one was not provided to the Commission. A violation of 5(16)-</p>	<p><b>WK FRAME &amp; SONS:</b> <b>\$2,250.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition is recommended with education, penalty against WK Frame and Sons.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Williams Emergency- ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for ticket #20201881661. Recommending education and zero penalty.</p> <p>WK Frame and Sons ~5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition ~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe ~5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property. Education is mandatory. No reductions recommended. Stakeholder failed to demonstrate a good-faith effort to follow Act 50.</p>	
16453	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Peoples</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> SHADCO</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> PEOPLES GAS</p> <p><b>Designer:</b> Gateway Engineers</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Vandergrift Borough</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Verizon</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Westmoreland County Municipal Authority</p>	<p><u>On 7/8/2020 9:56:00 AM at HANCOCK AVE AND 31ST ST, VANDERGRIFT BORO, WESTMORELAND MAWC</u> disputes both penalties for failing to respond to final design tickets (final response was Conflict DCTF) saying that they sent maps and marked.</p> <p>~Incident occurred on 7/8/2020 at 31st Street, near Hancock Ave. and 33rd Street, Vandergrift Borough, Westmoreland County.</p> <p>An AVR was submitted from Peoples Gas stating, "While pulling back from boring to install new gas line for Peoples Gas, Shadco struck a 6" plastic mainline. The line was exposed at the time of the damage. The bit caused a 9" opening in the pipeline." Shadco stated, "Shadco was drilling parallel to existing gas main. While pulling pipe and reaming, the reamer caught the existing gas main." A violation of 5(11.2)- when using HDD, failed to utilize the best practices published in the HDD Consortium is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>Peoples' AVR indicated 911 was not called and Shadco did not answer the question on their submitted AVR. A violation of 5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property is recommended against Shadco.</p>	<p><b>Peoples: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>SHADCO: \$1,000.00</b> Section 5(3.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(11.2) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Gateway Engineers: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>Vandergrift Borough: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>Verizon: \$3,000.00</b> Section 2(4) Subsequent \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) Subsequent \$1,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Design One Call ticket #20200763298 was placed on 3/16/2020 by Peoples. Response due date was 3/30/2020. Verizon did not respond to the notification and Westmoreland County Municipal Authority responded with "Conflict DCTF" which is an interim response under Act 50. A violation of 2(4)- failed to respond to a Designer's request for information within 10 business days is recommended against both stakeholders.</p> <p>Design One Call ticket #20200763299 was placed on 3/16/2020 by Peoples. Response due date was 3/30/2020. Verizon did not respond to the notification and Westmoreland County Municipal Authority responded with "Conflict DCTF" which is an interim response under Act 50. A violation of 2(4)- failed to respond to a Designer's request for information within 10 business days is recommended against both stakeholders.</p> <p>Routine One Call ticket #20201630286 was placed by Shadco on 6/11/2020. Response due date was 6/15/2020 for excavation to begin on 6/16/2020 at 7:00 AM for an extent of excavation of 2700 feet. no notes were provided in the CP portal for any type of locating agreement so we are to assume the tickets are standard 1000 feet locating tickets. A violation of 5(3.1)- scope of project exceeds maximum area of a routine ticket for ticket #20201630286 is recommended against Shadco. Peoples responded on 6/16/2020 at 13:20 PM to the One Call notification. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended against Peoples Gas.</p> <p>Routine One Call ticket #20201630287 was placed by Shadco on 6/11/2020. Response due date was 6/15/2020 for excavation to begin on 6/16/2020 at 7:00 AM. Peoples responded on 6/16/2020 at 13:32 PM. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended.</p> <p>Routine One Call ticket #20201630288 was placed by Shadco on 6/11/2020. Response due date was 6/15/2020 for excavation to begin on 6/16/2020 at 7:00 AM. Peoples responded on 6/16/2020 at 13:50 PM. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended.</p> <p>Routine One Call ticket #20201630289 was placed by Shadco on 6/11/2020. Response due date was 6/15/2020 for excavation to begin on 6/16/2020 at 7:00 AM. Peoples responded on 6/16/2020 at 14:02 PM. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended.</p> <p>Routine One Call ticket #20201753616 was placed by Shadco on 6/23/2020. Response due date was 6/25/2020</p>	<p><b>Westmoreland County Municipal Authority:</b> <b>\$0.00</b></p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>for excavation to begin on 6/26/2020 at 7:00 AM. Vandergrift Borough did not respond to the notification until 6/30/2020. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>Routine One Call ticket #20201753617 was placed by Shadco on 6/23/2020. Response due date was 6/25/2020 for excavation to begin on 6/26/2020 at 7:00 AM. Vandergrift Borough did not respond to the notification until 6/30/2020. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Vandergrift Borough-  ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for ticket #20201753616  ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for ticket #20201753617</p> <p>Shadco-  ~5(3.1)- scope of project exceeds maximum area of a routine ticket for ticket #20201630286  ~5(11.2)- when using HDD, failed to utilize the best practices published in the HDD Consortium  ~5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property.</p> <p>Verizon-  ~2(4)- failed to respond to a Designer's request for information within 10 business days for ticket #20200763298  ~2(4)- failed to respond to a Designer's request for information within 10 business days for ticket #20200763299</p> <p>Peoples-  ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for ticket #20201630286  ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for ticket #20201630287  ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for ticket #20201630288  ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for ticket #20201630289</p> <p>Gateway Engineers Notes:</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Gateway Engineers were requested an AVR on 7/30/2021. The contact who received the email had left the company about 20 months prior to the time that the AVR request letter was submitted.</p> <p>Violation of Section 4(8)- failed to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than thirty business days of being made aware of the violation was cited with a penalty. This violation and penalty are withdrawn, due to the circumstances stated by Gateway Engineers. Contact information has been updated. In a telephone call on 3/31/2022, Gateway Engineers stated that they will cooperate with our requests for information.</p>	
16239	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PPL Electric Utilities  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Martin Enterprises LLC</p>	<p><u>On 7/8/2020 4:45:00 PM at 355 Briner Road, SPRING TWP, PERRY</u> ~Incident occurred on 7/8/2020 at 355 Briner Road, near Dum Road and Limestone Ridge Road, Spring Township Perry County.</p> <p>An AVR was submitted by PPL which stated, "On Wednesday, 7/8/2020 a non-PPL excavator for Martin Enterprises contacted an underground primary cable while digging with a mini-excavator for installation of an electrical service conduit at 355 Briner Road, Elliottsburg, Spring Township, Perry County. There were no reported injuries. One customer lost power. The excavator did not make a PA One Call. PPL Public Safety investigation determined Martin Enterprises did not place a PA One Call to locate the position of PPL facilities prior to digging." Photos were submitted. A violation of 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe, penalty reduced by 50% for first-time offender is recommended with education.</p> <p>A letter was physically mailed to the excavator on 9/13/2021 for an AVR to be submitted and one was not received. A violation of 5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Martin Enterprises LLC  ~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe  ~5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition</p>	<p><b>Martin Enterprises LLC:</b>  <b>\$750.00</b>  Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
16313	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PEOPLES GAS  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> GREENAWALT EXCAVATING INC  <b>Project Owner:</b> BRONDER TECHNICAL SERVICES</p>	<p><u>On 7/10/2020 7:00:00 AM at 6455 LINCOLN HWY, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> ~Incident occurred on 7/10/2020 at 6455 Lincoln Highway, near Bakery Square Blvd. and Reizenstein Way, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Peoples Gas submitted an AVR stating, "Greenawalt Excavating Inc. was installing electrical conduit on</p>	<p><b>GREENAWALT EXCAVATING INC:</b>  <b>\$750.00</b>  Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(11.2) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>7/10/20 for Bronder Technical Services on Penn Ave in Pittsburgh when they struck and damaged Peoples Gas 10" plastic medium pressure gas main line. The damage did not cause a hazardous release; however, Greenawalt Excavating did not inform Peoples Gas of the damage, notify 811 or 911, and dig prudently within the tolerance zone markings of the 10" plastic medium pressure gas main line. Bronder Technical called in the damage to 811 (7/11/20) the following day to alert Peoples Gas of the damaged gas main line." A violation of 5(7)- failed to report immediately to the facility owner any break or leak on its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove or other damage to such lines or to their coating or cathodic protection, made or discovered in the course of the excavation or demolition work is recommended. Penalties reduced by 50% for first time offender, education is mandatory.</p> <p>Greenawalt submitted an AVR and stated, "Our company drilled under the lines and when the pipe was attached to the reamer and was being pulled back through, the gas line was knicked by one of the teeth on the reamer. The line was not cut or destroyed but it did pull a piece of the protective coating off of the line and Peoples Gas wanted the line repaired. They had their crew do the job and we were billed accordingly. My crew leader was very upset that they got through the utility mess, the line was knicked when they were coming back with the reamer." A violation of 5(11.2)- when using HDD, failed to utilize the best practices published in the HDD Consortium is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>Peoples Gas admitted in their AVR gas was not released when the cathodic protection was damaged. No violations recommended.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was sent to the excavator and Project Owner on 9/13/2021 and both submitted reports to the Commission.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Greenawalt Excavating  ~5(7)- failed to report immediately to the facility owner any break or leak on its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove or other damage to such lines or to their coating or cathodic protection, made or discovered in the course of the excavation or demolition work.  ~5(11.2)- when using HDD, failed to utilize the best practices published in the HDD Consortium</p>	
16662	<b>Facility Owner:</b> PA American Water <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> IZ Excavating LLC <b>Project Owner:</b> Comcast <b>Other:</b> Caddick Utilities <b>Other:</b> Sunoco	<u>On 7/11/2020 1:00:00 PM at 460 MILLER RD, SPRING TWP, BERKS</u> Email received 3/27/2022 Sunoco withdrew their disagreement statin they understand the violation and will submit payment. Sunoco/Energy Transfer disputes their penalty for responding 6 days late to ticket 20201884426 because it wasn't their line that was hit.	<b>IZ Excavating LLC:</b> <b>\$1,250.00</b> Section 5(11.2) 1st Offense \$500.00  Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00  Section 5(16) 1st Offense

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>~Incident occurred on 7/11/2020 at 460 Miller Road, near Grings Hill Road and Geisinger Road, Spring Township, Berks County.</p> <p>*Caddick Utilities was the excavator used by PA American to fix the damaged line.</p> <p>PA American submitted an AVR stating, "PAWC was called out for a wet spot in a yard. We called our excavator to dig and find the location as the leak was getting worse. After several test holes to locate the leak was located and we found the conduit to be directly on top of our water main which had a gouge mark in it from the boring done to install the conduit. A section of pipe was cut out and replaced to repair the damages." A violation of 5(11.2)- when using HDD, failed to utilize the best practices published in the HDD Consortium with education in lieu of the monetary penalty is recommended due to the lack of daylighting that occurred when HDD was occurring as well as 5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques because PA American's lines were marked on time.</p> <p>One Call ticket #20201884426 was placed on 7/6/2020. Response due date was 7/8/2020 for excavation to begin on 7/9/2020 at 7:30 AM. Sunoco did not respond to the notification until 7/14/2020. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was sent to the excavator and PO on 7/26/2021. Neither stakeholder submitted an AVR. A violation of 5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition is recommended against IZ Excavating as well as a recommendation of 6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike is recommended against Comcast.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Sunoco- ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for ticket #20201884426</p> <p>Comcast: ~6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike</p> <p>IZ Excavating: ~5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition</p>	<p>\$250.00</p> <p><b>Comcast: \$250.00</b> Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Sunoco: \$500.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>~5(11.2)- when using HDD, failed to utilize the best practices published in the HDD Consortium</p> <p>~5(4)- failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques.</p> <p>No fine reductions, excavator did not demonstrate good faith effort to comply with the Act by failing to submit an AVR after being notified.</p> <p>Training is mandatory.</p>	
16377	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Penelec / FirstEnergy Corp</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> E&amp;S Construction</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Frontier Communications Solutions</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Homeowner</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Pencore/Blue Ridge Communications</p> <p><b>Other:</b> PUTNAM TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY</p>	<p><u>On 7/13/2020 2:00:00 PM at 86 CROSS LN, PUTNAM TWP, TIOGA</u> ~Incident occurred on 7/13/2020, at 86 Cross Lane, in Putnam Township, Tioga County.</p> <p>An AVR received from Homeowner stated that on 7/13/2020 E&amp;S Construction was auguring for a pole barn, when an incorrectly marked Penelec - FirstEnergy Corp. (Penelec) line and an incorrectly marked Blue Ridge Communications line were severed. The Homeowner stated that excavation was done at a private residence and that the markings were 28” to 34” away from where the Blue Ridge and Penelec lines were severed. He states that Blue Ridge agreed that the markings were not within the tolerance zone. The Homeowner stated that Penelec accused the homeowner of moving the markings. Photo submitted shows severed lines after the Penelec crew was on site. The Homeowner used a tape measure to show the markings distance from the augured hole.</p> <p>An AVR received from Penelec stated, the damage was under \$2500. They also added that the excavator failed to preserve the markings that were there. Pictures that show that lines freshly painted are submitted.</p> <p>An AVR request to E&amp;S Construction was mailed on 8/10/2021 and we spoke on the telephone about how to submit an AVR. No AVR was received as of 9/21/2021. This is a first-time violation of Section 5(16) penalty is applied and Education is mandatory. Email on 8/24/2021 One Call has no record for E&amp; S Construction.</p> <p>The Contractor, E&amp;S Construction did not submit any One Call tickets. The Homeowner submitted the tickets for E&amp;S Construction. This is a violation of Section 5(2.1) for each ticket submitted – 4 total. The penalty is applied once for this violation.</p> <p>In the picture provided by the Homeowner, Penelec looks to have located their lines out of the tolerance zone in This is a violation of Section 2(5)(i) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket, 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time, and/or 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable:</p> <p>*Penelec had an interim response to Emergency ticket # 20201954242 and Emergency ticket # 20201954241.</p>	<p><b>Penelec / FirstEnergy Corp: \$500.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>E&amp;S Construction: \$1,500.00</b></p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(21) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Frontier Communications Solutions: \$3,000.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p><b>PUTNAM TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY: \$0.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>This was never updated. This is a first-time violation and two \$1000. Penalties are reduced to a warning.</p> <p>*Frontier Communications had an interim response to Emergency ticket # 20201954242 and Emergency ticket # 20201954241. This was never updated. This is a second-time violation. Penalties are applied.</p> <p>*Putnam Township Municipal Authority had an interim response to Emergency ticket # 20201954242. This was never updated. This is a first-time violation and \$1000. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Education is mandatory.</p>	
16583	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b>            GREAT VALLEY FENCE</p>	<p><u>On 7/14/2020 9:00:00 AM at 1256 FITZWATERTOWN RD, UPPER DUBLIN TWP, MONTGOMERY</u>            ~Incident occurred on 7/14/2020 at 1256 Fitzwatertown Rd., near Burn Brae Dr, Upper Dublin township, Montgomery County.</p> <p>PECO submitted an AVR stating, "On 07/14/2020 at approximately 0932 hrs, Great Valley Fence Company, was using an auger to install a fence and struck a 1" plastic gas service. This contractor had made a pa-one call # 20201333810 back in May 2020 and area had been marked with paint and flags correctly back in May. Since that time homeowner had run over paint and flags with mower numerous times and all were destroyed, and contractor had not performed work at property. Today when he arrived there were no marks for any utilities still present at site and despite the fact that contractor had remembered that there had been previous marks for gas service present back in May and did not see them upon arrival today, instead of calling back in to have area remarked, he proceeded to dig with no marks hitting gas s service. One customer was affected and there were no injuries." A violation of 5(3)- excavator failed to preserve markouts or request a remark is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>PECO indicated 911 was not called on their AVR submission. A violation of 5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property is recommended.</p> <p>An email was sent to the excavator on 9/13/2020 to submit an AVR and one was not submitted. A violation of 5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition and a violation of 5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request is recommended. No penalties have been reduced and education is mandatory.</p> <p>Violations:  Great Valley Fence</p>	<p><b>GREAT VALLEY FENCE: \$2,000.00</b>            Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>~5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition</p> <p>~5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request</p> <p>~5(3)- excavator failed to preserve markouts or request a remark</p> <p>~5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property.</p>	
17716	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI Utilities, Inc.  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Jesse Frederick  <b>Project Owner:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 7/14/2020 9:00:00 AM at 700 W Grove St, CLARKS SUMMIT BORO, LACKAWANNA</u> ~Incident occurred on 7/14/2020, at 700 West Grove Street, in Clarks Summit Borough, Lackawanna County.</p> <p>UGI submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stating, "Excavator struck and damaged a gas service line. The excavator had the homeowner place a one call ticket and put white stakes in the yard to indicate the area. The one call ticket, 20201850611, clearly states where they told our locator on site they were going to be replacing the fencing. The excavator then proceeded to dig outside his proposed work area, directly in front of our clearly visible meter. According to the excavator he was using hand tools when the damage occurred but this cannot be confirmed and the damage was not indicative of a shovel."</p> <p>One Call ticket, 20201850611, was placed by the Homeowner, calling for the excavator. A violation of 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended with education and penalty.</p> <p>A letter was physically mailed to the excavator on 9/17/2021 requesting an AVR be sent and one has yet to be received.</p> <p>Jesse Frederick is in violation of sections:</p> <p>~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p> <p>~5(2.2)- failed to provide the One Call System with exact information to identify the worksite so that facility owners might provide indications of their lines.</p> <p>~5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition</p> <p>Recommendation: For 5(2.1) and 5(16) Education penalties and violations applied. For 5(2.2) Education, zero penalty and keep violation.</p>	<p><b>Jesse Frederick:</b>  <b>\$1,250.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense  \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense  \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.2) 1st Offense  \$0.00</p>
16426	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI Utilities Inc.  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Olvera Masonry &amp; Hardscaping</p>	<p><u>On 7/14/2020 9:15:00 AM at 39 S. Fifth Street, OXFORD BORO, CHESTER</u> *Near miss incident</p> <p>~Incident occurred on 7/14/2020 at 39 S. Fifth Street, near Market Street and Broad Street, Oxford Borough, Chester County.</p>	<p><b>Olvera Masonry &amp; Hardscaping: \$0.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense  \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>UGI submitted an AVR stating, "Contractor was excavating for new curbing installation. The contractor did not notify Pa One Call in advance of excavation." A violation of 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Olvera Masonry &amp; Hardscaping ~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p>	
17828	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> West Penn Power / First Energy <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 7/24/2020 10:30:00 AM at 2550 Finn Rd., NEW HANOVER TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> ~Incident occurred on 7/24/2020 at 2550 Finn Rd., New Hanover Township, Montgomery County.</p> <p>Met Ed submitted an AVR stating, "On 07/24/20, homeowner Eric Berg damaged Met-Ed's underground secondary facility while excavating/landscaping at 2550 Finn Rd., New Hanover Twp. and submitted Damage ticket 20202061625. USIC, Met-Ed's locate contractor, performed an investigation and determined that the homeowner/excavator had not submitted a One Call ticket for the excavation. Therefore, the cause is identified as the excavator failing to request the location and type of facility owner through the One Call System not less than three not more than ten business days in advance of beginning excavation work. A letter was sent to the homeowner advising that Pennsylvania law, PA Act 287, requires persons or companies to contact 811, the Pennsylvania One Call System, before commencing excavation work." A violation of 5(2.1)- homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Homeowner: ~5(2.1)- homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p>	<p><b>Homeowner: \$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
16964	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Comcast <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> NORTH WALES WATER AUTHORITY <b>Other:</b> Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 8/3/2020 11:00:00 AM at 237 Inverness cir, NEW BRITAIN TWP, BUCKS</u> ~Incident occurred on 8/3/2020 at 237 Inverness Circle, near Highland Drive, in New Britain Township, Bucks County. *Hand tools and Vac truck used in excavation. North Wales Water Authority submitted an AVR stating, "used shovels to repair curb box and operate.", noted Excavator issue as Failed to use prudent techniques in the tolerance zone §5(4), and noted Yes to the excavation exempt from One Call notification because using Soft Excavation Technology. No violations of Act 50.</p> <p>Emergency One Call ticket, 20202162075, was submitted on 8/3/2020. Verizon did not respond to the notification, in violation of 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification is recommended.</p>	<p><b>Verizon: \$2,500.00</b> Section 2(5)(vii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Comcast responded as Clear No Facilities.</p> <p>Violation:</p> <p>Verizon: ~2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for ticket, 20202162075</p>	
17447	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> PJ Reilly Contracting <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> PJ Reilly Contracting</p>	<p><u>On 8/17/2020 8:00:00 AM at 144 MAGGIE LN, UPPER UWCHLAN TWP, CHESTER</u> ~Incident occurred on 8/17/2020 at 144 Maggie Lane, near Milford Road and Pottstown Pike, in Upper Uwchlan Township, Chester County.</p> <p>PECO submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that stated, "On 08/17/2020 at approximately 0800 hrs, P. J. Reilly contracting was using a backhoe to excavate new trench for builder, Toll Brothers, for installation of utilities in new development when operator struck existing 1” plastic gas stub service underground at stub marker with machine. No PA-One Call was made by contractor prior to digging and he intended to perform this type of work at 7 lots today. No customers were interrupted as this was a stub and there were no injuries. Contractor was told to make PA-One Call prior to performing any more digging". A violation of 5(2.1)-excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended.</p> <p>PECO's AVR indicated 911 was not called. A violation of 5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property is recommended.</p> <p>PA One Call Compliance commented, No additional tickets found and PJ Reilly Contracting has placed one calls in the past.</p> <p>A letter was physically mailed to the excavator on 9/10/2021 to submit an AVR and as of 9/29/2021 one was not submitted. A violation of 5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition is recommended.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>PJ Reilly Contracting ~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe ~5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property. ~5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition</p>	<p><b>PJ Reilly Contracting:</b> <b>\$2,250.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
17467	<b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> HOMEOWNER	<p><u>On 8/17/2020 12:00:00 PM at 113 MORLYN AVE, HAVERFORD TWP, DELAWARE</u> ~Incident occurred on 8/17/2020 at 113 Morlyn Ave., near Deer Rd and Doe, Haverford Township, Delaware County.</p> <p>*Hand digging per PECO.</p> <p>PECO submitted an AVR stating, "Homeowner Eric Carlson (XXXX) hand digging with a shovel damaged a unmarked 1 inch plastic gas service. No PA1 Call." No violations given.</p>	
17950	<b>Facility Owner:</b> Columbia Gas of PA <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Rills Construction Services <b>Project Owner:</b> LUMEN <b>Other:</b> Guilford Water Authority	<p><u>On 8/18/2020 10:30:00 AM at 6827 SAINT ANNES DR, GUILFORD TWP, FRANKLIN</u> ~The incident occurred on 8/18/2020, at 6827 Saint Annes Drive, near Augusta National, in Guilford Township, Franklin County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stating, "Rills Construction Service was trenching in new fiber when they hit an unmarked 1" plastic gas service line. The plastic gas service line did have an excess flow valve, which was tripped to stop the flow of gas. Rills called Columbia Gas immediately when gas line was hit. They did not call 911 because gas was not blowing. Additional education was completed by damage prevention to call 911 anytime gas is hit. Columbia Gas also completed root cause with employee locator. Following the root cause meeting, Columbia Gas brought all area employees to damage site to complete lessons learned meeting. Columbia Gas personnel reviewed the damage to prevent others from making the same mistake." A violation of 2(5)(i)- failed to mark, stake, locate or otherwise provide the position of the facility owner's underground lines at the work site within eighteen inches horizontally from the outside wall of such line in a manner so as to enable the excavator, where appropriate, to employ prudent techniques is recommended against Columbia Gas and a violation of 5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property is recommended against Rills Construction. Education was provided by Columbia Gas.</p> <p>Rills Construction submitted an AVR stating, "The locator for Columbia Gas did not know the line was there. He missed it. Supervisor Bill Shupe, for Columbia Gas says it was locator error and there would be no charges to Rills Construction."</p> <p>One Call ticket #20202183132 was submitted on 8/5/2020. Response due date was 8/7/2020 for excavation to begin on 8/10/2020 at 8:00 AM. Guilford Water Authority did not respond to the notification until 8/10/2020 at 15:33 PM. A violation of 2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time is recommended with education and zero penalty.</p>	<p><b>Columbia Gas of PA: \$1,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>Rills Construction Services: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>LUMEN: \$250.00</b>  Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Guilford Water Authority: \$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>An email was sent to the Excavator and the Project Owner on 9/10/2021 to submit an AVR regarding the incident. As of 9/29/2021, Centurylink has not provided an AVR to the PUC. A violation of 6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike is recommended.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Centurylnk ~6.1(7)- Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike</p> <p>Columbia Gas ~2(5)(i)- failed to mark, stake, locate or otherwise provide the position of the facility owner's underground lines at the work site within eighteen inches horizontally from the outside wall of such line in a manner so as to enable the excavator, where appropriate, to employ prudent techniques</p> <p>Rills Construction ~5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property.</p> <p>Guilford Water Authority ~2(5)(v)late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time for One Call ticket 20202183132</p>	
17517	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> LANCASTER AREA SEWER AUTHORITY</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> GRANDVIEW LANDSCAPE INC</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Landscapes Design</p>	<p><u>On 8/20/2020 12:00:00 PM at 175 BLOSSOM HILL DR, MANHEIM TWP, LANCASTER</u> ~Incident occurred on 8/20/2020 at 175 Blossom Hill Drive near Woodside Road and orchard Road, Manheim Township, Lancaster County.</p> <p>LASA submitted an AVR stating, "8/20/2020- 12pm LASA received call from property owner at 175 Blossom Hill Dr, Lancaster County, Manheim Twp, stating that landscaper hired by them, struck a sewer line in rear of property. LASA rep responded and confirmed that an 8" clay sewer main was struck, two times, in different locations while augering for posts. Contractor on site confirmed that they did not place a PA1 call and assumed there weren't any utilities there." Photos were submitted.</p> <p>A courtesy letter was sent to Grandview and a report was received indicating they subcontracted the work to Landscapes Design. A report was also submitted from the subcontractor.</p> <p>Landscapes Design stated, "The clients at above property asked Grandview Landscapes to design and install a display garden for bonsai plants. Landscapes Design was asked to drill over 20 post holes at 24-30" deep in the rear yard 40 foot way from house in open yard (far from property lines). At initial meeting, a few weeks before excavation, with clients and project owner,</p>	<p><b>Landscapes Design:</b> <b>\$500.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>we saw a vent pipe heading away from construction area. We did not call PA one as we thought utility lines were further down to rear of property, out of excavation site. Holes were auger drilled and while cleaning out with hand tools we discovered we broke the top of clay sewer pipe in two holes. We informed home owner, they called township authority and pipe was repaired with collars immediately within two days of incident." A violation of 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Penalty reduced by 50% for first-time offender who submitted an AVR. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>*One Call has indicated this excavator has placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Landscapes Design ~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p>	
17806	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> LAMBS CONCRETE <b>Other:</b> Infrasource</p>	<p><u>On 8/26/2020 11:00:00 AM at 133 MOYER DR, DUBLIN BORO, BUCKS</u> ~Incident occurred on 8/26/2020 at 133 Moyer Drive, near Rickerts Road, Dublin Borough, Bucks County.</p> <p>PECO submitted an AVR stating, "On 08/27/2020 at approximately 1130 hrs, Lambs Concrete was using a backhoe to remove existing driveway to replace it and struck underground secondary wires. No pa-one call prior to digging but secondary wires were found to be only 2-4" deep directly under existing driveway macadam and did have to be lowered to correct depth and then repaired by Infrasource prior to new driveway being installed. Writer believes damage would have occurred even if contractor had made pa-one call. One customer was affected and there were no injuries." A violation of 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended. Penalty is applied. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>A letter was physical mailed to the excavator on 9/13/2021 because an email address was not on file and an AVR was not submitted. A violation of 5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition and 5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request.</p> <p>****Infrasource made the repair for PECO.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Lambs Concrete: ~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Penalty is applied. Education is mandatory.</p>	<p><b>LAMBS CONCRETE:</b> <b>\$1,250.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>~5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition. \$250 penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>~5(17)- failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC within 30 days of the receipt of the request. Penalty is applied.</p>	
18014	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO ENERGY  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> HOMEOWNER</p>	<p><u>On 8/31/2020 1:00:00 PM at 620 SANDY ST, NORRISTOWN BORO, MONTGOMERY</u> The incident occurred on Monday, August 31, 2020, at 620 Sandy Street, Norristown Borough, Montgomery County, where gas service line was damaged.</p> <p>PECO Energy stated, Homeowner, Daniel and his father used a shovel to dig for a downspout drainage that is directly in front of the gas meter and during the dig a 1-inch plastic gas service line was hit causing a leak. The Homeowner did not know to call One Call before the job. PECO called in the New Excavation Emergency ticket, 20202442522, to repair the line. PECO provided no pictures.</p> <p>On Wednesday, June 30, 2021, a letter was mailed to the Homeowner, Daniel requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). There was no response to the letter and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*The Homeowner is in violation of sections:  5(16)- Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line.  5(8)- Failed to immediately notify 911 resulting in the escape of gas.  They have no previous violations. Recommendation: No penalties and No violations.</p>	<p><b>HOMEOWNER: \$0.00</b>  Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
18761	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI UTILITIES INC.  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 9/23/2020 9:50:00 AM at 305 RR S. White Oak Street, ANNVILLE TWP, LEBANON</u> The incident occurred on Wednesday, September 23, 2020, at 305-Rear S. White Oak Street, in Annville Township, Lebanon County, where a gas service line was damaged. UGI Utilities stated, an excavator, Justin Long was working without an excavation ticket and during the excavation a gas service line was hit and damaged, and there was no release of natural gas resulting from the damage. UGI provided 4 pictures; see damage picture 1, damage picture 2 and picture 3.</p> <p>On Friday, August 20, 2021, a letter was mailed to the Homeowner, Justin Long requesting an AVR. The Homeowner's AVR was submitted on September 1, 2021, with 1 picture.</p> <p>The Homeowner, Mr. Long stated, "My father had Pennsylvania One Call System mark the entire property. One evening my father helped me with a small project at my house costing roughly \$100. At the entrance of my summer house basement door was a muddy area that I wanted to fix by laying some stone down. Since we knew there were not utility lines around that area I rented a skid loader and had my father, who is</p>	<p><b>Homeowner: \$0.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>experienced on a skid loader, operate it. We were simply scraping 8-10 inches of topsoil off of the area to lay down a little bit of stone to make a level well drained area when we damaged an unmarked and shallow gas service line that went to my neighbors at 305 S. White Oak Street. At first we were confused why there was a line there and we were not exactly sure what kind of line it was since it was unmarked. My father thought that it could be an old water line but we played it safe and stopped what we were doing. The metal pipe was broken but there was a plastic tube inside. Nothing was leaking which was a good sign. We were still confused and concerned. We talked it over and decided to call PA One System first thing the next day. They wanted us to check with UGI next and so we did. UGI confirmed it was a gas service line, realized their line was too shallow, and replaced the line this time by placing it 20-inches underground. Thankfully no one was hurt and everything is fixed. I found out the shallow line was installed in 2000 so the 2019 locate request should have provided us with accurate markings for this line. Had we had that information we would have avoided that area.</p> <p>Regardless, a gas line should not be damaged at a depth of 10-inches. It was very confusing. I did not know about an AVR at the time which is why I am filling this out now. I have attached a picture of the gas line right after it was damaged as proof of how shallow it was and that the plastic casing transporting the gas was not severed. Please understand the difficult position I was in, that I took action to correct the problem safely, and that I have not had past offenses. No one was injured, no one died, traffic was not stopped, and there was not property damaged from this incident."</p> <p>There were no tickets associated with this incident. On UGI's Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented, there were no records of Mr. Long placing One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>*The Homeowner is in violation of sections:  5(2.1) Homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call  5(16) Homeowner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line  There are no prior violations. Recommending:  Education, zero penalties and keep the violations.</p>	
18611	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> NATIONAL FUEL GAS DIST</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Scott Wiest</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> George Dobson</p>	<p>On 9/26/2020 8:00:00 AM at 923 S Michael, ST <u>MARYS CITY, ELK</u> The incident occurred on Saturday, September 26, 2020, at 923 S. Michael, in St. Mary's City, Elk County, where a gas line was damaged. National Fuel Gas (NFG) stated the excavator hit and damaged a gas service line and did not call in an excavation ticket before the job. NFG provided 5 pictures, please look at pictures labeled damage pic 1, damage pic 2, damage pic 3.</p> <p>NFG's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) noted, Scott Wiest as the excavator and no Project Owner mentioned, but there is a New Damage Emergency ticket,</p>	<p><b>Scott Wiest: \$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>George Dobson: \$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>20202700127, that was called in by George Dobson. Mr. Dobson called in as the excavator working for Scott Wiest. On NFG's AVR the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented that several individuals named Scott Wiest have called the one call system in the past but none of them match the information that was provided.</p> <p>On Friday, August 13, 2021, a letter was mailed to George Dobson, and on Friday, September 10, 2021, a letter was mailed to Scott Wiest requesting an AVR's. There were no responses to the letters and no AVR's were submitted.</p> <p>With the lack of information provided, listed below are the recommendations:</p> <p>*Scott Wiest is in violation of sections:  5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call  5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line  There are no previous violations. Recommending: Education, zero penalty, keep the violation.</p> <p>*George Dobson is in violation of section:  5(2.1) Homeowner failed to submit a location request to One Call  5(16) Homeowner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line  There are no previous violations. Recommending: Education, zero penalty, keep the violation.</p>	
18851	<b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Homeowner <b>Project Owner:</b> Homeowner	<p><u>On 10/3/2020 10:00:00 AM at 42 W. Germania St, ASHLEY BORO, LUZERNE</u> The incident occurred on Saturday, October 3, 2020, at 42 W. Germania Street, in Ashley Borough, Luzerne County where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI Utilities stated the Homeowner did not call One Call before excavating and during the project a gas line was damaged with hand tools. UGI provided no pictures and there are no tickets associated with this incident.</p> <p>On Friday, August 27, 2021, a letter was mailed to the Homeowner requesting an Alleged Violation Report. There was no response to the letter and no Alleged Violation Report (AVR) was submitted.</p> <p>*Homeowner is not in violation</p>	<b>Homeowner: \$0.00</b>
20194	<b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO ENERGY <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> SWAN PAVING & CONCRETE	<p><u>On 10/6/2020 12:00:00 PM at 120 WYNMERE DR, HORSHAM TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> The incident occurred Tuesday, October 6, 2020, at 120 Wynmere Drive, Horsham Township, Montgomery County, where an electric line was damaged.</p> <p>PECO Energy stated, Swan Paving &amp; Concrete was working without an excavation ticket. A backhoe was used for the excavation of a new driveway and during the job, at 12-inches deep, an underground secondary wire was damaged affecting one customer. PECO did not provide pictures.</p> <p>There are no tickets associated with this excavation.</p>	<b>SWAN PAVING &amp; CONCRETE: \$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00  Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On PECO's Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented that Swan Paving &amp; Concrete has placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>On Friday, August 20, 2021, a letter was mailed to Swan Paving &amp; Concrete, requesting an AVR. Bob Swan responded to the letter by email on August 25th stating, Electric issue was solved and paid. DPI replied stating that an AVR is required when an underground utility is hit and damaged. An AVR was not submitted.</p> <p>*Swan Paving &amp; Concrete is in violation of sections: 5(2.1)- Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. 5(16)- Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. They have no previous violations. Education is mandatory. Penalties not reduced because Swan did not comply with requests for an AVR.</p>	
20118	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> NIGRELLI PLUMBING AND EXCAVATION</p>	<p><u>On 10/13/2020 1:00:00 PM at 5406 NATRONA WAY, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> The incident occurred on Tuesday, October 13, 2020, at 5406 Natrona Way, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County, where a gas line was damaged. Peoples Natural Gas (PNG) stated, Nigrelli Plumbing and Excavation was doing sewage work without an excavation ticket, and during the job a 4-inch plastic gas main line was hit and damaged. PNG provided four pictures.</p> <p>There are no tickets associated with this incident. On PNG's Alleged Violation Report (AVR), The PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented, there were no records of Nigrelli Plumbing and Excavation ever placing a One Call notification.</p> <p>On Friday, August 20, 2021, a letter was mailed to Nigrelli Plumbing and Excavation requesting an AVR. There was no response to the letter and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>* Nigrelli Plumbing and Excavation is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call 5(16) Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line There are no previous violations. Education is mandatory.</p>	<p><b>NIGRELLI PLUMBING AND EXCAVATION:</b> <b>\$1,250.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
20226	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO ENERGY <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> EJ MONTGOMERY CONTRACTORS</p>	<p><u>On 10/21/2020 11:00:00 AM at 762 LANCASTER AVE, LOWER MERION TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> The incident occurred on Wednesday, October 21, 2020 at 762 Lancaster Avenue, in Lower Merion Township, Montgomery County, where a gas line was damaged. PECO Energy stated, EJ Montgomery was working without an excavation ticket. They were removing large sections of concrete with a track hoe and during the excavation a 2-inch plastic gas service line, that was in a duct, ripped apart. Also, for the past several months there were other excavation tickets from other</p>	<p><b>EJ MONTGOMERY CONTRACTORS:</b> <b>\$1,250.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Contractors on the same construction site, and the gas service was marked for those jobs but most of the marks were destroyed because of all the construction work. PECO had to dead end the gas service outside of the worksite until construction was completed and a new gas service line would be ran.</p> <p>PECO called in a New Excavation Emergency ticket, 20202951823, to repair the gas line. PECO provided no pictures.</p> <p>On PECO's Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented that EJ Montgomery Contractors has placed One Call notifications with PA One Call in the past.</p> <p>On Friday, August 20, 2021, an email was sent to EJ Montgomery Contractors requesting an AVR. There was no response to the email and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*EJ Montgomery Contractors is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. 5(16) Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. Recommendation: Education, penalties and violations applied.</p>	
21242	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PEOPLES GAS</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works</p>	<p><u>On 11/25/2020 12:00:00 AM at 525 HAZELWOOD AVE, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> The Incident occurred on 11/25/2020, at 525 Hazelwood Ave, in Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>An AVR received from Peoples Gas states that on 11/25/2020, Pittsburgh Public Works was completing a landscaping project, when they hit and damaged a People's Gas unmarked, plastic gas service line. There are no one call tickets associated with is incident.</p> <p>An AVR received from Pittsburgh Public Works on 7/28/2021 stated that their logs do not show them working in this area on the date of 11/25/2020.</p> <p>In an email, on 8/27/2021 Pittsburgh Public works investigation found that they were doing a cleanup project in that area. DPI responded that AVR needs to be submitted and they were given instructions for where and how to submit an AVR. As of 9/21/2021 no AVR was found submitted. First AVR request was sent on 7/26/2021, to the contact that was provided by the Department of Mobility and Infrastructure. Pittsburgh Dept. of Public works was aware and asked again on 8/27/2021 if we still needed an AVR. To which I emailed yes, with instructions.</p> <p>Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works is in violation of Sections: 5(16) for failing to submit an AVR, which should have been submitted at the time of the strike. Penalty is applied. Education, penalty and violation applied. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request within the correct time period. No request was</p>	<p><b>Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works: \$2,250.00</b></p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>submitted. Education, penalty and violation applied. This Facility owner has submitted One Call requests in the past per One Call notes on Peoples AVR.</p> <p>5(8) Peoples Gas was notified. Peoples AVR did not verify that 911 was called. Since no AVR was received from Pittsburgh City Department of Public Works, and this department did not know at first that anyone was working in this area. Education, penalty and violation applied.</p>	
21587	<p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Centerline Boring, Inc.</p>	<p><u>On 1/20/2021 8:00:00 AM at I-79, SOUTH FAYETTE TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> ~Incident occurred on 1/20/2021 on I-79, near County Line Rd and Alpine Rd., South Fayette Township, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Centerline Boring submitted a report stating, "Crew was on site for prep work by others but was not expected to begin boring prior to today. With accelerated prep time and the Holiday, the bore crew got started prior to the official dig date of 1/21/21. When Centerline was notified we were working prior to the legal date, work was halted immediately. With that said, there was no damage done to any facilities." A violation of 5(2.1)-excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended with education in lieu of the monetary penalty.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Centerline Boring ~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p>	<p><b>Centerline Boring, Inc.:</b> <b>\$0.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
22678	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI Utilities <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Swift Fence</p>	<p><u>On 3/4/2021 12:09:00 PM at 1542 Main St, BLAKELY BORO, LACKAWANNA</u> ~Incident occurred on 3/4/2021 at 1542 Main Street, Blakely Borough, Lackawanna County.</p> <p>UGI submitted an AVR stating, "Swift Fence struck a gas service while excavating without a PA One Call Request." A violation of 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended with education in lieu of the administrative penalty. UGI indicated 911 was called by the excavator.</p>	<p><b>Swift Fence: \$1,000.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
22911	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> MONROE ENERGY LLC <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Philadelphia City Water Depart. <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> QCI Excavating Inc. <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Trouble Shooters Resources LLC <b>Project Owner:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 3/15/2021 7:00:00 AM at 1722 W ONTARIO ST, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> The incident occurred on Monday, March 15, 2021, at 1722 W. Ontario, in Philadelphia City.</p> <p>Facility Owner, Monroe Energy, MIPC, LLC (MIPC) received a call from the contractor, Trouble Shooters Resources, LLC (TSR) stating, it is believed that Philadelphia Water Department (PWD) installed a tap into what was assumed to be PWD water main line. A MIPC Pipeliner arrived at the worksite and determined third-party damage resulting from a tapped connection installed in MIPC's 8-inch petroleum pipeline that runs from Philadelphia Junction to G Street Terminal.</p> <p>TSR called in a New Excavation Emergency ticket (20210631611), on March 4, 2021, with a scheduled</p>	<p><b>Philadelphia City Water Depart.: \$2,500.00</b> Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Trouble Shooters Resources LLC: \$250.00</b> Section 5(17) 1st Offense</p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>excavation date of March 5th at 7:00 a.m.. The work type was installing water service from the street in, and working for the Homeowner. TSR stated that work was rescheduled for March 15th due to PWD concerns with their records.</p> <p>TSR explained, the drill team arrived at the worksite and TSR started their excavation to locate the water line. The first (1st) line exposed was a dry water main, and during continued excavation a second (2nd) line was exposed, which was believed to be a water main. A TSR excavator asked the lead mechanic, a PWD Employee, if they were confident that the second (2nd) line was the water main, and the PWD Employee assured TSR that the second (2nd) line was PWD's water main. The Diller had completed tapping what was believed to be the water main, but it is a gasoline main line; the smell was strong. MIPC was called and the work crew was advised to "shut the job down, do not touch anything and leave everything the way it is". The work crew vacated the worksite about 4:00 p.m. (TSR was at the worksite from 7:30 a.m. – 11:30 p.m.) TSR provided two pictures; please look at both.</p> <p>TSR indicated on their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that 911 was not called. MIPC indicated on their AVR that they did call 911. On Monday, May 24, 2021, an email was sent to Philadelphia Water Department requesting an AVR. To date, there was no response to the email and no AVR was submitted. On Wednesday, May 26, 2021, a letter was mailed to the Homeowner requesting an AVR, but no AVR was submitted. On Tuesday, June 22, 2021, an email was sent to TSR requesting additional information. To date, there was no response to the email.</p> <p>*Trouble Shooters Resources, LLC is in violation of Sections: 5(16)- Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line. 5(17) Excavator failed to comply with requests for information from the PUC staff within thirty days of the request. Recommendation: For 5(16) Education, zero penalty and keep violation because an AVR was submitted on May 25, 2021. For 5(17) Education, penalty and violation applied because an email was sent to TSR requesting additional information and there was no response to the email.</p> <p>*Philadelphia Water Department is in violation of Sections: 5(16)- Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line striking a line 5(8)- Excavator vacated worksite after causing damage that resulted in the escape of gas or liquid 5(8)- Failed to immediately notify 911</p>	<p>\$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		5(6)(i)- Excavator failed to plan the excavation to avoid damage to a facility Recommendation: Education, penalties and violations applied.	
22809	<b>Facility Owner:</b> Borough of Freeland Municipal Authority <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> All About Asphalt	<u>On 4/7/2021 7:00:00 PM at 1011 BIRKBECK ST, FREELAND BORO, LUZERNE</u> ~Incident occurred on 4/7/2021 at 1011 Birkbeck St., near Johnson St. and Graham St., Freeland Borough, Luzerne County. *No Damage Freeland Municipal Authority submitted an AVR stating, "Work was started before One Call was placed. They were stopped by Borough Codes pending permitting and One Call submission." A cease and assist order took effect on the evening of 4/7/2021 and Emergency One Call Ticket #20210974945 was placed. Response due date was 4/9/2021 for excavation to begin on 4/8/2021 at 8:00 AM. All facilities responded within the required timeframe. Work was completed and backfilled on 4/8/2021. A violation of 5(2.1)- Began excavation work before the lawful start day.  A courtesy letter was sent to the excavator on 4/8/2021 and a report was not received. A violation of 5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition.  Violations:  All About Asphalt ~5(16)- failing to submit a report of an alleged violation report ~5(2.1)- Began excavation work before the lawful start day For first-time offender. Zero penalties, keep the violations and education	<b>All About Asphalt: \$0.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00

### Full Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
11616	<b>Facility Owner:</b> Peoples Gas <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Gorsic Plumbing <b>Project Owner:</b> Bruin Construction	<u>On 10/25/2019 2:43:00 PM at 24 Franklin Village Mall, Kittanning, Armstrong</u> ***Gorsic Plumbing rejects saying that Bruin Construction did a One Call Ticket so the line was marked. The damage took less than 2 hours to repair. ***On June 14, 2022 the DPC voted to reduce the penalties for 5(2.1) and 5(16) by 50% and to uphold the penalty for Section 5(8)  Incident occurred on 10/25/2019 at 24 Franklin Village Mall, Kittanning, Armstrong County.  Peoples Gas Company reports that Gorsic Plumbing struck their accurately marked distribution line during excavation for Bruin Construction. The line was marked because Gorsic was illegally operating on a ticket that was placed by Jon Klapac and Son on September 12, 2019.	<b>Gorsic Plumbing: \$1,625.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00  Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$125.00  Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>On February 13, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent AVR request letters to Gorsic Plumbing and Bruin Construction. As of March 13, 2020, neither entity has responded or submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Gorsic Plumbing is cited for:            Failure to call 911            Failure to place a One Call Ticket            Failure to file an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike</p>	
14880	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Mount Joy Authority  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Precision Pipeline Solutions  <b>Project Owner:</b> UGI UTILITIES</p>	<p><u>On 5/11/2020 9:26:00 AM at 28 N BARBARA ST, MOUNT JOY BORO, LANCASTER</u> ***Mt. Joy disputes the penalty for section 2(5)(i.1) because they say they don't know where the laterals are since they are customer-owned.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/11/2020 at 28 N. Barbara Street, Mt. Joy Borough, Lancaster County.</p> <p>Precision Pipeline Solutions struck a storm sewer at 28 N. Barbara St., Mount Joy on May 11, 2020. It's believed that the storm sewer belongs to the township authority. UGI states that the line was not marked. Precision sent several photos showing the strike and state that the facility owner claims the line is customer owned.</p> <p>On July 14, 2020, DPI Andrade-Locke sent AVR emails to Precision and Mount Joy Borough. Precision did submit their AVR on July 14.</p> <p>Precision Pipeline is cited for failing to file an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike. This is a frequent issue with this company. UGI files the AVRs, but Precision does not. The law states that the excavator must file an AVR for every line strike and not simply wait until we track them down. I recommend education along with the fine.</p> <p>Mount Joy Authority is cited for failing to mark the point of connection to their facility. This case was slated for the 12/15/20 DPC as an omnibus but was pulled for clarification regarding marking laterals. On December 10, 2020, Mount Joy sent an email disputing the current penalty for failing to mark the point of connection because they claim that since they don't own the laterals, they don't know where they connect to the line.</p>	<p><b>Mount Joy Authority: \$250.00</b>            Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>Precision Pipeline Solutions: \$250.00</b>            Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
16010	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PEOPLES GAS  <b>Other:</b> PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY</p>	<p><u>On 6/3/2020 9:00:00 AM at 2351 wylie ave, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> 6/14/2022 DPC voted to keep the DPI recommendations as presented and to add education to Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA).</p> <p>Disagrees: PWSA wishes to contest these Violation charges:</p> <p>Violation 5(7), Although PWSA did not notify the Gas company directly, we did put in a renotification ticket notifying them that we had hit an unmarked service line which was leaking gas. Since this has occurred, we have</p>	<p><b>PEOPLES GAS: \$0.00</b>            Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p><b>PITTSBURGH WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY: \$2,000.00</b>            Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>trained our employees that if we hit and damage a line, we are to call PA one Call and enter a Damage ticket not a renotification ticket.</p> <p>Violation 5(8), All PWSA employees have since been trained to notify 911 any time we encounter an incident involving a leaking gas line. Please see notes ***** *****</p> <p>Incident occurred at 2351 Wylie Ave, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>AVR from Pittsburgh Water and Sewer states that on 6/03/2020, they hit and damaged a Peoples Gas line while using a backhoe/track hoe to repair a water main break. Photos are submitted.</p> <p>AVR from Peoples Gas states that PWSA was repairing a water main break, when they struck and damaged an unmarked 1” steel low pressure service line, which served no customers. The service line was not properly retired. It was still connected to the main. Peoples Gas stated there were missing or incorrect maps for this area. This is a first-time violation of Section 2(5)(i) and \$250 penalty is reduced to a warning.</p> <p>Emergency ticket #20201552969 was placed by Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA), to repair a water main break. Peoples Gas KARL response was “Field Marked” but the Peoples Gas field crew told the excavator (PWSA) that the lines were incorrectly marked. Peoples Gas is in violation of Section 2(5)(vii) the response that was marked in KARL was incorrect. This is a second offense violation. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>PWSA submitted renotify ticket #20201552969 specifying that Peoples Gas needs to come out to mark their lines. When Peoples gas crew arrived at the site to mark the lines, they found that their gas line has been hit, damaged and plugged. Excavator (PSWA) did not notify Peoples Gas of this damage but plugged the line and they continued to work using power tools, while gas was still leaking. This is a violation of Section 5(7) and penalty is applied. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>There was a hazardous release of gas. 911 was not called. This is a violation of Section 5(8) and penalty is applied.</p> <p>Notes: Renotify Emergency ticket 20201552969 states that the type of work being done is for repairing a water main break. The RNO Remarks state: ATTN Peoples Gas Company. Caller states the field crew told him that the Gas Lines were incorrectly marked. Please return to your site ASAP to correctly mark your gas lines. Thank You.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
15796	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> COMCAST</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> ALL PHASE CONTRACTING SOLUTIONS</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> MARONDA HOMES</p> <p><b>Other:</b> ALIQUIPPA MUNICIPAL WATER AUTHORITY</p> <p><b>Other:</b> CRESWELL HEIGHTS JOINT AUTHORITY</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Homeowner</p>	<p><u>On 6/23/2020 11:00:00 AM at 1037 Tee Line Dr, HOPEWELL TWP, BEAVER</u> 6/14/2022 DPC voted to waive all violations and penalties to Comcast.</p> <p>Disagree: Comcast states that this was a no One Call Emergency ticket and they responded to the site. Emergency One Call ticket 20201752149 was never updated with a final response.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 6/23/2020 at 1037 Tee Line Dr, Hopewell Township, Beaver County. All Phase Contracting Solutions hit and damaged a Comcast Communications line 6 times in 6 months. No One ticket was requested for the area of the strike.</p> <p>An AVR from Comcast stated that they received a call from a customer about loss of service. When they arrived, they saw that their Coaxial Feeder line was hit and damaged in multiple locations and saw no markings for any other facilities. More than 10 subscribers were affected. Resident and Comcast Safety Director spoke with Maronda Homes and was told they have a blanket locate for the entire plan. Contractor had left the site before Comcast arrived. Cases 17081 and 17827 are also situations in this subdivision where Comcast has had damage, and no One Call ticket was found for location. Comcast mentioned that some excavators working here think they have a "Blanket Ticket"</p> <p>Maronda Homes AVR request letter was emailed on 8/4/2021. No AVR or response received to date. All Phase Contracting Solutions AVR request letter was emailed on 8/2/2021. No AVR or response received to date.</p> <p>Maronda Homes is in violation of Section: 6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an AVR.</p> <p>All Phase Contracting Solutions is in violation of Sections: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days. Education is mandatory. 5(2.1) No One Call ticket found for 1037 Tee Line Drive, which is where the strike occurred. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket, 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time, and Section 2(5)(vii) failed to respond to an Emergency Ticket:</p> <p>Aliquippa Municipal Water Authority is in violation of Sections: 2(5)(vii) Aliquippa Municipal Water failed to respond to this emergency ticket20201752149. Education is mandatory. 2(5)(v) Aliquippa Municipal Water did not respond to Routine Ticket 20201752685 in KARL. This is a second-time offense and penalty is applied.</p>	<p><b>COMCAST: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>ALL PHASE CONTRACTING SOLUTIONS: \$1,250.00</b> Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>MARONDA HOMES: \$250.00</b> Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>ALIQUIPPA MUNICIPAL WATER AUTHORITY: \$2,000.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>CRESWELL HEIGHTS JOINT AUTHORITY: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Creswell Heights Joint Authority (CJHA) is in violation of Sections:  2(5)(vii) Creswell Heights Joint Authority responded “scheduled mark” in KARL for Emergency Ticket 20201752149, but never finalized the response. The \$1000 penalty is reduced to a warning.  2(5)(v) Creswell Heights Joint Authority responded with scheduled mark to Routine Ticket 20201752685 but did not update with a final response in KARL. The \$500. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Please see notes.</p> <p>Comcast Communications is in violation of Section:  2(5)(vii) responded with insufficient Info DCTF to Emergency Ticket 20201752149 and never updated the response. This is a second-time violation and penalty is applied.</p> <p>Notes:  Creswell Heights Joint Authority (CJHA) is actively taking education. These tickets are older than Previous tickets with same violations.</p> <p>Additional Notes:  Ticket 20201780525 incident occurring also on Tee Line Drive, submitted by All Phase Contracting Solutions (Excavator) is listed in case 17081. It is noted here to connect these cases. Case 17827 is also for this same subdivision construction area.</p>	
16533	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PECO  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b>  LOWER SOUTHAMPTON TOWNSHIP  <b>Project Owner:</b> LOWER SOUTHAMPTON TOWNSHIP  <b>Other:</b> Philadelphia Gas Works</p>	<p><u>On 7/6/2020 10:00:00 AM at 102 BRIDLE PATH LN., LOWER SOUTHAMPTON TWP, BUCKS</u> Tuesday, June 14, 2022, DPC Meeting. PGW disputed and DPC removed the penalty and keeping the violation.  *****  PGW disputes their penalty for failure to respond to a routine One Call ticket saying they don't have lines in that area and they have recently updated their mapping with PA 1 Call.  *****  ~Incident occurred on 7/6/2020 102 Bridle Path Ln., near Woodland Rd. and Does Ln., Lower Southampton Township, Bucks County.</p> <p>PECO submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stating, "CONTRACTOR DID NOT WAIT FOR FACILITY LOCATE; DUG EARLY TICKET DUE 7/7/2020." Lower Southampton Township submitted an AVR in response to the submission from PECO and admitted to digging a day early. A violation of 5(2.1)-excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Recommending education, zero penalty and keep the violation.</p> <p>Both PECO and Lower Southampton Township indicated 911 was not called when the line was struck on the AVR. A violation of 5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property is recommended for Lower Southampton Township.</p>	<p><b>LOWER SOUTHAMPTON TOWNSHIP: \$2,000.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>Philadelphia Gas Works: \$0.00</b>  Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>One call ticket, #20201832938, was placed on 7/1/2020. Response due date was 7/6/2020 for excavation to begin on 7/7/2020 at 7:30 AM. PGW did not respond to the notification. A violation of 2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification is recommended against PGW.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>PGW: ~2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification for ticket #20201832938</p> <p>Lower Southampton Township ~5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. ~5(8)- failing to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property.</p>	
16575	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> COUDERSPORT BOROUGH AUTHORITY <b>Project Owner:</b> COUDERSPORT BOROUGH AUTHORITY</p>	<p><u>On 7/14/2020 7:44:00 AM at 105 RT 6 WEST, COUDERSPORT BORO, POTTER</u> On 6/14/2022 the Damage Prevention Committee voted to reduce the fine from \$1000. to \$500 to Coudersport Borough Authority for Section 5(2.1) failure to submit a location request and to keep the violation and penalty as presented for Section 5(16) Failure to submit an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) and to add education.</p> <p>Disagrees: Borough of Coudersport manager sent a letter stating that they have been a One Call member for many years and that the employees meet quarterly with the One Call representative. that the employee who dug a day early is no longer an employee of Coudersport Borough. They explain that all the utility lines were marked, including the UGI lines that were mismarked. They state that even if they would have waited for the authorized dig date, the UGI line would have been hit. While waiting one more day, would have been the correct thing to, they feel the outcome would have been the same. They also state that an AVR was not filled out because they say they were not aware of the potential violation of rules and regulations. * AVR request letter was mailed on 8/26/2021.</p> <p>They are asking for any monetary leniency on the fine and if further education is required, they will certainly comply.</p> <p>Incident occurred at 105 RT 6 WEST Street, Coudersport Borough, Potter County.</p> <p>AVR from UGI states that on 7/14/2020, the Coudersport Borough Authority was digging a curb/sidewalk to replace a curb box, with a backhoe/trackhoe when a mismarked UGI line was hit and damaged.</p> <p>AVR request letter was emailed on 8/26/2021. No AVR was received from Coudersport Borough to date.</p>	<p><b>COUDERSPORT BOROUGH</b> <b>AUTHORITY: \$750.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>The One Call ticket submitted for this area had a legal start date of 7/15/2020. Damage was called in on 7/14/2020.</p> <p>Coudersport Borough Authority is in violation of Sections:            5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Penalty is applied.            5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. Penalty is applied.            Education is mandatory</p>	
18218	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI            Utilites  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b>            CUZ EXCAVATING</p>	<p><u>On 8/25/2020 7:29:00 AM at 304 MINNEQUA MAIN RD, CANTON TWP, BRADFORD</u> Tuesday, June 14, 2022, DPC Meeting. CUZ Excavating disputed and DPC accepted the DPI's recommendations.            *****            The attorney for Cuz Excavating sent a dispute email on 3/23/2022. DPS Locke responded that the case was marked as disputed, informed him that the DPC does not accept new evidence during the DPC meeting and asked for documents/photos/etc and asked if he would like to supply any reasons for the disputes. The current notice only says that they wish to dispute all penalites. On 3/24/2022 Cuz sent a copy of the AVR the filed on March 21, 2022.            *****            The incident occurred on Tuesday, August 25, 2020, at 304 Minnequa Main Road, in Canton Township, Bradford County, where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI Utilities stated, Cuz Excavating was working on a drainage project out of the scope of the New Excavation Routine ticket (20202033666); which UGI responded as "Clear No Facilities", and there were no white marks at the work site. Cuz Excavating placed the ticket on 7/21/2020, and the duration was 3 days to replace sluice pipe at the bottom of a driveway. During the project a 2-inch PE gas main line was damaged with a backhoe and 911 was not called.            UGI provided pictures of the damage; look at picture labeled 1, 2 and 4.</p> <p>Cuz Excavating was contacted by email on Thursday, July 29, 2021, and a letter was mailed on Wednesday, August 4th, requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). There were no responses to the email or letter, and an AVR was not submitted. UPDATE: Cuz Excavating filed an AVR on March 21, 2022 after receiving the Stakeholder Review and fine notice from Damage Prevention. Their AVR does not dispute the allegation that they did not call 911.</p> <p>*Cuz Excavating is in violation of sections:            5(8) Failed to immediately notify 911            5(16) Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line            5(19) Failed to provide accurate information to the One Call System</p>	<p><b>CUZ EXCAVATING:</b>  <b>\$1,500.00</b>            Section 5(8) 1st Offense            \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense            \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(19) 1st Offense            \$250.00</p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Penalties have not been reduced as Cuz Excavating failed to demonstrate a good faith effort to comply with the law after being notified that an AVR was mandatory. Education is also mandatory.</p>	
18647	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI UTILITIES INC.  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Massey Landscape</p>	<p><u>On 9/24/2020 10:37:00 AM at 6946 Brei Circle, WEST HANOVER TWP, DAUPHIN</u> Tuesday, June 14, 2022, DPC Meeting. Massey Landscaping disputed and DPC accepted the DPI's recommendations.  *****  Massey Landscaping wishes to dispute the penalty for failure to submit an AVR and said that they would submit one on March 25, 2022.  *****  The incident occurred on Thursday, September 24, 2020, at 6946 Brei Circle, in West Hanover Township, Dauphin County where a gas line was damaged. UGI Utilities stated, Massey Landscape was working without an excavation ticket, and during the excavation a gas line was hit and damaged, and outside gas meter was visible near the excavation area; see damage picture 1. Also, 911 was called and the Fire Department responded. UGI provided 10 pictures, see pictures labeled damage picture 1 and damage picture 2. There are no tickets associated with this incident. On UGI's Alleged Violation Report (AVR), the PA One Call Compliance Coordinator commented that Massey Landscape has placed one call notifications in the past. On Tuesday, August 17, 2021, an email was sent to Massey Landscape requesting an AVR. There was no response to the email and no AVR was submitted.</p> <p>*Massey Landscape is in violation of sections:  5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call  5(16) Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line  They have no prior violations. Recommending:  Education and penalties applied</p>	<p><b>Massey Landscape:</b>  <b>\$1,250.00</b>  Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
22060	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PPL  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> DOLI Construction Corporation  <b>Project Owner:</b> Lower Swatara Township  <b>Designer:</b> HERBERT ROWLAND AND GRUBIC INC (HRG INC)  <b>Other:</b> Frontier Communications  <b>Other:</b> LOWER SWATARA MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY  <b>Other:</b> LOWER SWATARA TOWNSHIP  <b>Other:</b> VERIZON</p>	<p><u>On 2/10/2021 7:30:00 AM at 2031 MOUNTAIN VIEW RD, LOWER SWATARA TWP, DAUPHIN</u> 6/14/2022 Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) voted to keep all violations and penalties as presented.</p> <p>Doli Construction Disagrees. No reason was given.</p> <p>On 2/12/2021 Doli Construction was working for Lower Swatara Township Public Works at 2031 Mountain View Rd., Lower Swatara Township, Dauphin County.</p> <p>Doli Construction stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR ) that the electric lines were not marked correctly. They hit the 2 1/4" inch unmarked electrical lines for light poles 50 feet out from I-3". These were believed to be the townships, but later it was found that these were PPL lines. No photos were attached to the submitted AVR's.</p> <p>* In a 2/11/2022 email from Doli construction, they state that they were not notified that this was not the townships lines until the following week.</p>	<p><b>PPL: \$1,000.00</b>  Section 2(5)(i) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>DOLI Construction Corporation: \$500.00</b>  Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>Lower Swatara Township: \$250.00</b>  Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>HERBERT ROWLAND AND GRUBIC INC (HRG INC): \$500.00</b>  Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 9 1st Offense \$125.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Herbert Rowland and Grubic indicated in their AVR that the electric lines were not marked correctly. Doli Construction hit the 2 1/4" inch unmarked electrical lines for light poles 50 feet out from I-3". These were believed to be the townships, but later it was found that these were PPL lines. No photos were attached to the submitted AVR's.</p> <p>PPL submitted an AVR stating that on 2/17/2021 a PPL Customer at 203 Mountain View Rd, Middletown Swatara Township, Dauphin County called PPL and reported finding an energized underground streetlight wire coiled up and hanging from his mailbox. PPL Crews dispatched to the site, confirmed the exposed line was still energized upon arrival and proceeded to disconnect the damaged wire from the transformer. PPL Public safety determined that Doli Construction had recently installed stormwater facilities in the area. The customer recalled seeing workers from Doli Construction on Friday 2/12/2021 and noticed the wire after Doli had left for the weekend. On Tuesday 2/16/2021, when the Doli crews did not return, the customer used a high voltage detector to determine the line was still energized. He then notified PPL to report the issue. Neither Doli Construction nor One Call had notified PPL about this damage. Doli had placed a One Call ticket on 12/29/2020 and USIC remarked the PPL lines on 12/30/2020, due to snow No further updates were made to refresh the marks, making it more than 6 weeks since USIC remarked this site. Additionally, USIC spoke with DOLI workers who stated they would be working within the street. The streetlight wire was in the grass strip between the curb and the sidewalk. They also state that the homeowner corroborated that Doli had told him that they would only be working in the street but worked up to the apron of his driveway. They continue to say that evidence of the damaged wire remained visible, protruding from the ground behind a recently installed catch basin, between the transformer and affected Streetlight.</p> <p>PPL Public Safety questioned Matt Epler from Doli as to why the damaged wire was not reported to PA One Call or PPL on the day of the damage. He stated that they believed the line to belong to Lower Swatara Township and that their assigned inspector from H.R.G. Inc. said he "would take care of it". A call to Lower Swatara Public Works Director, Lester Lanman confirmed they were contacted by the H.R.G. inspector and told the inspector that the line belonged to PPL.</p> <p>Due to the location of the damage and the statements of the USIC locator and the homeowner, PPL Public Safety believes that DOLI had exceeded the verbally agreed upon scope of the excavation area. PPL also requested that Doli notify PA One Call and or PPL in the future when facilities are exposed and or damaged. Many pictures are included.</p> <p>Lower Swatara Township submitted an AVR stating, that the PPL lines were marked incorrectly. They state</p>	<p>Section 4(3) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p><b>Frontier Communications: \$500.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p><b>LOWER SWATARA TOWNSHIP: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>VERIZON: \$5,000.00</b></p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>that the Excavator struck 2 small ~1/4" power lines that fed power to streetlights in the area. This occurred while installing a storm water inlet and line labeled as I-3 on plans. Initially the excavator believed the line belonged to the township, upon contact with the engineering firm representing the township, the excavator was notified that this belongs to PPL. The line strike resulted in 1 streetlight being out of commission for over a week at this point. The light is still not repaired as of 2/19/2021.</p> <p>According to the Complex Meeting sign-in sheet, Verizon PA was not in attendance at the meeting and failed to respond to the One Call meeting request. A violation of 2(5)(viii)- failing to participate in a preconstruction meeting is recommended against Verizon PA. Minutes taken at the meeting on 10/15/2020 stated the One Call Tickets will be standard tickets which will be limited to necessity during each call and Update Tickets will be called in when the marks become lost.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Verizon:  ~2(5)(viii)-failed to participate in a preconstruction meeting for a complex project. Ticket 20202831574 requested a Complex Project meeting to be held on 10/15/2020 at 8a.m. Verizon lists 9-No Response. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.  ~2(5)(v) late- failed to respond to a One Call notification within the required amount of time- Updated Ticket #20203642224 was due 12/31/2020 and was field marked on 1/05/2021. This is a subsequent offense and penalty is applied.  ~2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification - Routine Ticket #20203433145 was not responded to with a final response.</p> <p>PPL:  ~2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18" horizontally of the outside wall of line. This is a second offense in 2022 and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Doli Construction-  ~5(7)- failed to report immediately to the facility owner any break or leak on its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove, or other damage to such lines or to their coating or cathodic protection, made or discovered during the excavation or demolition work. This is a first-time offense and \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500. *See special notes.</p> <p>Lower Swatara Township Municipal Authority:  6.1(3) Released a project to bid or construction before the final design was complete. This is a first-time violation and penalty of \$500. Is reduced to \$250. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Lower Swatara Township:</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250.</p> <p>Herbert Rowland and Grubic Inc (HRG INC):  4(2) Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by Section 2(4) from the One Call system. This is a first-time offence and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250. Education is mandatory.  9 – Failed to make best efforts to comply with the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices are noted for HRG Inc. as they represented Lower Swatara Township Municipal Authority and were the responsible entity with this information. This is a first-time offense and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125.  4(3) Designers drawing does not show the position and type of each facility owner’s lines and the name of the facility. This is a first-time offense and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125.</p> <p>Frontier Communications:  2(5)(v) Failed to respond to Routine ticket 20203433145. This is a second offense, and the penalty is applied.  Lower Swatara Township states that Level A Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used for this over \$400,000. Project.</p> <p>Notes:  No plans were available to view from the One Call Tickets and Project information received from One Call. Doli Construction submitted a page of the plans where the damage occurred (Designer Plans).</p> <p>*Special Notes:  This is a first-time offense and further investigation showed that Doli Construction contacted the facility owner, as they believed this to be Lower Swatara Municipal Authority. The Lower Swatara Municipal Authority designer and Inspector was on site at the time when the damage occurred. Unfortunately, this turned out to be an unmarked electric line owned by PPL and the break in the system occurred when assumptions were made and 811 was not notified. It would have been prudent for the HRG inspector to notify PPL upon finding out that this was not Lower Swatara Municipal Authority’s line. Doli construction has updated their procedures to always notify 811 in line damage situations, since this incident.</p> <p>Information found after the DPC Prediscussion:  02/16/2022 Upon further investigation DPI Maki found that the PPL lines were only marked in the street. The electric line that went to the streetlight was buried in the beauty strip located between the sidewalk and the street. See USIC email A violation of Section 2(5)(vi) first offense (\$250.) has been deleted and the violation of Section 2(5)(v) for PPL has been added for failure to locate underground lines within 18” horizontally of the outside wall of line. Ticket 20203642224 states to mark</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>lines in the street, sidewalk, public and private property. This is a second offense violation, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Violation of Section 2(5)(v) second offense (\$500.) has been deleted, as all parties agree that there was continued communication on this project and a final response was posted in KARL.</p> <p>Violation Section 2(5)(v) deleted for Comcast. They scheduled mark then Field marked. Communication between the facility owner and excavator is verified 02/09/2022 DPI Maki inherited this case. DPI sent an email to PPL asking about design and to USIC asking about the Complex project meeting USIC attendance and who they were representing. USIC stated that they represented PPL Electric and Comcast Cable at the Complex Project meeting.</p> <p>02/08/2022 The Damage Prevention Committee discussion notes: Get to the root cause. How did the communication occur? Point out the severity of not being contacted about the damage. They were not notified until the 17th by the homeowner. Streetlight wire was wrapped around the mailbox. This was live wire. Anyone could have been electrocuted. Doli said they have updated their standards. This is a communication issue. They have taken measures that this kind of thing does not happen again. Could have this been figured out in the design phase? We must look at the Design.</p> <p>More information:  PPL's AVR stated, "USIC's locator reported that he had spoken to Doli workers who had told him they would be working within the street. The streetlight wire was located within the grass strip between the curb and sidewalk." Doli's One Call Ticket #20203642224 indicated they would be working in the street, sidewalk, Public Property, Private Property (DPI One Call Routine Ticket information for location of work). Looking at the picture (DPI-photo 1), snow is on the ground between the light pole and the street.</p> <p>*****  *****</p> <p>Ticket violation details:  Routine Ticket #20203433145 had a response due date of 12/13/2020 for excavation to begin on 12/14/2020 at 7:00 AM. Comcast responded to the ticket on 12/21/2020 at 12:19 PM, PPL responded to the ticket on 12/15/2020 at 7:27 AM and Frontier Communications responded to the ticket on 12/21/2020 at 10:54 AM. Verizon PA failed to respond and then responded with "Insufficient Info" on 12/23/2020 at 13:33 PM and did not finalize a response in the KARL system. A violation of Section 2(5)(v)- failing to respond to a routine One Call notification is recommended against Verizon PA and a violation of Section 2(5)(v) late- failing to respond to a Routine One Call Ticket within the required amount of time is recommended against Frontier Communications. Comcast and PPL verified that they were in communication and tickets had a final response.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>PPL Public Safety questioned Matt Epler from Doli as to why the damaged wire was not reported to PA One Call or PPL on the day of the damage. He stated that they believed the line to belong to Lower Swatara Township and that their assigned inspector from H.R.G. Inc. said he "would take care of it". A call to Lower Swatara Public Works Director, Lester Lanman confirmed they were contacted by the H.R.G. inspector and told the inspector that the line belonged to PPL." A violation of 5(7)- excavator failed to report immediately to the facility owner any break or leak on its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove or other damage to such lines or to their coating or cathodic protection, made or discovered in the course of the excavation or demolition work is recommended against Doli Construction. PPL submitted many photos showing the mark outs in the area for the project.</p>	
22371	<p><b>Project Owner:</b> Cresswell Heights Joint Authority  <b>Designer:</b> KLH Engineers, Inc.  <b>Other:</b> NUCA PENNSYLVANIA</p>	<p><u>On 3/12/2021 10:00:00 AM at SR 151/ Gringo Rd Water Line Replacement, SOUTH HEIGHTS BORO, BEAVER</u> Tuesday, June 14, 2022, DPC Meeting. KLH Engineers disputed and they were NO SHOW and the DPC accepted the DPI's recommendations.  *****  KLH Engineers disputes all penalties. No reasons provided.  *****  ~AVR was filed regarding contracts on 3/12/2021 by NUCA. NUCA indicated they have had issues with this contract language since 2019.</p> <p>NUCA submitted an AVR indicating, "The KLH Engineers' Bid Specifications on numerous occasions attempts to waive Section 5(15) where it is reasonably necessary for the excavator to ascertain the precise location of any line using prudent techniques. Under Section 5(15), the excavator then is to be compensated for such work as provided by the latest PennDOT Form 408 Force Account specifications." KLH has been submitting the contracts for the Project Owner.</p> <p>Per the CGA best practices, Section 2 Subsection 10 (Planning &amp; Design) it states, "The designer continues to interface with the selected contractor throughout the construction phase" this "allows the designer to be available for preconstruction conferences, unforeseen conditions, and design changes" which ultimately minimizes "subsequent modifications to the project design, costs, and completion."</p> <p>KLH provided an AVR stating, "On March 19, 2021 an Addendum was issued removing Item "K"- "Exploratory Excavation" and Item "L"- "Mis-marked or Unmarked Utility Resolution" from the Bid Form and Section H, Measurement and Payment in the specifications." A violation of Section 9- failing to use their best efforts to comply with the Common Ground Alliance best practices for the Designer is recommend with education in lieu of the monetary penalty as they did submit an addendum to the contract for "exploratory excavation", as well as Section 5(15)- prepared contract documents</p>	<p><b>Cresswell Heights Joint Authority: \$250.00</b>  Section 5(15) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 9 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(11) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p><b>KLH Engineers, Inc.: \$500.00</b>  Section 9 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(15) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>which attempt to waive an excavator's rights under Section 5(15) of Act 50. The Project Owner, Cresswell Heights Joint Authority, is subject to the same violations since they are ultimately the owner of the project with education in lieu of the monetary penalties.</p> <p>Also under Act 50, it is the Designer's responsibility to "make a reasonable effort to prepare the construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with a facility owner's facilities in the construction area." In this case, with the contact language, the Designer is not allowing the excavator to go above and beyond when needed to avoid damage when necessary when SUE Level A has not been utilized or there is an unforeseeable change order or problem in the field. A violation of Act 50 cannot be determined without evidence that the Designer was negligent in preparing the contract drawings and an addendum was provided in the contract.</p> <p>The contract states, "This item shall apply to all unmarked and marked facilities beyond the tolerance zone as defined by the Pennsylvania One Call Act. Duct banks and clusters of similar facilities shall be considered one payment unit. This item shall be in lieu of the force amount payment provisions specified in the Pennsylvania One Call Act, Section 5, Item 14, and shall be considered as payment in Full for this item."</p> <p>NUCA indicated they have spoken to the Designer previously regarding this language, it is taken out of the contract when NUCA intervenes, and placed back into another contract document for the next project. (Case #12225)</p> <p>A courtesy letter was sent to Cresswell Heights Joint Authority to submit an AVR on 7/1/2021 and one has not been submitted. A violation of 2(11)- failed to comply with all requests for information by the commission relating to the commission's enforcement authority under this act within 30 days of the receipt of the request.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>KLH engineers:  ~5(15)- prepared contract documents which attempt to waive an excavator's rights under Section 5(15) of Act 50  ~9- failing to use their best efforts to comply with the Common Ground Alliance best practices  Cresswell Heights Joint Authority:  ~5(15)- prepared contract documents which attempt to waive an excavator's rights under Section 5(15) of Act 50  ~9- failing to use their best efforts to comply with the Common Ground Alliance best practices</p>	
23365	<b>Facility Owner:</b> Columbia Gas	On 4/24/2021 10:00:00 AM at HAINES SCHOOL RD, CRANBERRY TWP, BUTLER Tuesday, June 14, 2022,	<b>Columbia Gas: \$0.00</b>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Penn Power-FirstEnergy</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> Penn Power, FirstEnergy Corp.</p> <p><b>Other:</b> Adams Township Municipal Water Authority</p>	<p>DPC Meeting. Penn Power disputed and the DPC accepted the DPI's recommendations. *****</p> <p>Columbia Gas- penalty and violation removed. *****</p> <p>*Rejection- Penn Power disputes the penalty for \$1000 for section 5(8) Penn Power does not argue the validity of the penalty but they want the dollar amount reduced.</p> <p>*Rejection- Columbia Gas stated, Columbia respectfully wishes to appeal this violation. As mentioned during the pre-discussion for this case on Tuesday, March 8, 2022, Columbia did locate the company-owned side of the gas service as well as the main, thus identifying the known point of connection. Columbia always attempts to locate the customer-owned side as well, and in this case, was unsuccessful and notified the excavator of such. Columbia also has a card designed specifically for this purpose that is left with the locate flags. An example of this card is attached. There is also a picture of what it looks like in the yard. This is not the property on Haine School Rd; I just asked for an example of it in use.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>The incident occurred on Saturday, April 24, 2021, on Haine School Road, in Cranberry Township, Butler County, where a gas service line was damaged. Pictures were not provided.</p> <p>Excavator and Project Owner, Penn Power-FirstEnergy Corp. (Penn Power) submitted their Alleged Violation Report stating the reason for the incident was due to Facility Owner issue, Columbia Gas marking incorrectly.</p> <p>Also, Penn Power explained, they were excavating to replace two poles and anchors, and they were confident in starting the job because Columbia Gas responded to New Excavation Routine ticket, 20211093228, as "Field Marked". At approximately at 10:00 a.m. Penn Power hit and damaged an unmarked gas service line. The crew smelled a "minimal amount" of natural gas, they immediately contacted Columbia Gas at their emergency phone number to report the damage and called One Call placing a New Damage Emergency ticket, 20211140220. Columbia Gas arrived at the work site shortly after the phone call.</p> <p>Penn Power stated, "note that due to the minor nature of the damage, Penn Power did not contact 911. Although the damage was considered minor and Columbia Gas was contacted immediately, the Penn Power crew should have also called 911. It was reinforced with the Penn Power line supervisor to contact 911 when damage results in the escape of flammable gas or liquid if the damage results in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health or property."</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated that Penn Power called in the damage at 10:17 a.m., and the hit and damage that occurred was on the customer-owned side of the gas</p>	<p><b>Penn Power-FirstEnergy: \$1,000.00</b> Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p><b>Adams Township Municipal Water Authority: \$0.00</b> Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>



Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>service. Also, Columbia Gas explained that they did not install the service line, and there are no records to locate the customer-owned facility.</p> <p>Per Act 50, Section 2(5)(i.1) To identify the location of an actually known facility's point of connections to its facility, where the point of connection is not owned or operated by the facility owner. Therefore, no violation against Columbia Gas. They have no record of the facility because they did not install the service line for the customer.</p> <p>*Penn Power-FirstEnergy Corp. is in violation of section: 5(8) Failed to immediately notify 911 when damage resulted in the escape of gas. Recommendation: Education. Penalty and violation applied.</p> <p>*Adams Township Municipal Water Authority- Late Response to New Excavation Routine ticket- 20211093228, due date was 4/22/2021, but Adams Twp responded on 4/23/2021 as "Clear No Facilities". They are in violation of section: 2.5(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. Recommendation: Education, zero penalty and keep the violation.</p>	
23284	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Montco Fence Superior Structures</p>	<p><u>On 4/30/2021 9:57:00 PM at 206 BAYBERRY DR, LIMERICK TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> On 6/14/2022 Montco Fence Superior Structures was a no show. Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) voted to accept the investigators recommendations as presented.</p> <p>On 4/12/2022 Montco Fence representative was at the DPC meeting, when they were called out unexpectedly. They sent an email asking to reschedule this discussion. Case 23284 has been tabled until it can be rescheduled.</p> <p>***Montco Fence disputes their penalty saying that this employee no longer works for them and they'd like a fine reduction if possible.</p> <p>*Near miss event on 4/30/2021 at 206 Bayberry Drive, Limerick Township, Montgomery County.</p> <p>PA American submitted an AVR stating, "PAWC received a text message 10:10pm for an Emergency One Call from Montco Fence. We were sure to have our employee respond to the one call in the time frame allowed but it should not have been called in as Emergency Ticket. This was the second call of the night." The One Call ticket listed on the AVR, #20211204131, was not an Emergency ticket; however, it was an Insufficient Ticket as Montco Fence Superior Structures did not submit the One Call ticket within the proper timeframe. A violation of 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe is recommended.</p> <p>Violations:</p>	<p><b>PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER: \$0.00</b></p> <p><b>Montco Fence Superior Structures: \$1,000.00</b> Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>PA American- One Call Ticket #20211204131 had a response due date of 5/4/2021 to begin excavation on the same day at 9:00 AM. PA American Water responded as "Conflict-DCTF" and never finalized a response in the KARL system. A violation of 2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification is recommended.2(5)(v)- failed to respond to a One Call notification for ticket #20211204131 (NOTE: Violation and Penalty withdrawn because PAWC was able to demonstrate that they did respond to this ticket on 4/30 at 10:15PM via their regular CDC, it was their after-hours CDC that made an incorrect response)</p> <p>Montco Fence Superior Structures- 5(2.1)- excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe.</p>	

### Committee Review

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
17086	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Penn Power / First Energy <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> DAN COOPER LANDSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS <b>Project Owner:</b> DAN COOPER LANDSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS <b>Other:</b> WILLIAMS EMERGENCY</p>	<p><u>On 6/24/2020 7:00:00 PM at 48 D and D Lane, WILMINGTON TWP, MERCER</u> Williams Energy is disagreeing and states that the company who they hire (KoTerra) to take care of the ticket management system that they use did send the responses. They state that It is unclear why the One Call System did not receive this responses. They will research and provide that information.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 6/24/2020, at 48 D and D LN, in Wilmington Township, Mercer County.</p> <p>Pennsylvania Power Co/ First Energy (PP) stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that M. Daniel Cooper, an excavator/homeowner damaged PP's underground primary facility while using an excavator. There was no One Call ticket placed. PP placed an emergency ticket 20201764593 after the excavation. No pictures were submitted. DPI sent an email requesting additional information from First Energy who confirmed that the excavator was using powered equipment.</p> <p>*One call noted that M. Daniel Cooper has not placed One Call tickets in the past.</p> <p>M. Daniel Cooper was mailed an AVR request letter on 9/13/2021. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>M. Daniel Cooper is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to the One Call system. Penalty is applied. Education is mandatory. 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. Penalty is applied. Education is mandatory. 5(21) Excavator failed to pay the annual fee for services provided by the One Call System. The penalty is applied.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>No reductions were applied because no good faith effort was shown.</p> <p>Listed below is a facility owner in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(vii) failing to respond to an Emergency ticket.</p> <p>Williams Emergency is in violation of section: 2(5)(vii) and penalty is applied. There was no response to Emergency Ticket 20201764593.</p> <p>Notes: After the notice went it, it was found that Mr. Cooper owns a landscaping business. See M Cooper Landscape Transportation Company online attachment.</p>	
16966	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Lower Allen Township/Lower Allen Twp Authority</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> PRONTO PLUMBING / SECCO</p> <p><b>Project Owner:</b> PROPERTY OWNER</p>	<p><u>On 7/31/2020 10:00:00 AM at 1912 Chatham Drive, LOWER ALLEN TWP, CUMBERLAND</u> No Damage</p> <p>Incident occurred on 7/31/2020, at 1912 Chatham Drive, in Lower Allen Township, Cumberland County. An Emergency ticket was called in. This was not an emergency situation.</p> <p>Lower Allen Township/Lower Allen Twp Authority states in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that an emergency ticket was called in for work to start in three days.</p> <p>Pronto Plumbing stated in their AVR that they called in an emergency notification on a Friday, 7/31/2020 to mark out an area they planned on working that coming Tuesday, 8/4/2020 to get the homeowner back in sewer service. They also stated that this was done because Saturday and Sunday counts as a holiday.</p> <p>Homeowner AVR request letter was mailed on 8/31/2021. Homeowner called on 9/8/2021 and spoke with DPI Maki. Homeowner said preferred not to submit an AVR. He said he did not think there was an emergency. They got some sewer water in their washing machine, so called Pronto Plumbing to fix the sewer issue.</p> <p>Emergency Ticket 20202131061 was prepared on 7/31/2020 at 10:39. Response is due on 8/03/2020 with an excavation of 8/4/2020.</p> <p>Pronto Plumbing is in violation of Section: 5(9) and \$1000 penalty is reduced to \$500. Emergency notification does not meet the requirements for an emergency as defined in Section 1. This is a first-time offense. Education is mandatory.</p> <p>Homeowner is in violation of Section: 6.1(7) for failing to submit an Alleged Violation Report. The \$250 penalty is reduced to a warning.</p>	
18926	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> Columbia Gas of PA - South</p> <p><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Tri-County Joint</p>	<p><u>On 9/22/2020 2:02:00 PM at 18 PROSPECT AVE, PORTER ALY, EAST BETHLEHEM TWP, WASHINGTON</u> The incident occurred on Tuesday, September 22, 2020, on 18 Prospect Avenue and Porter</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Municipal Authority  <b>Project Owner:</b> Tri-County Joint Municipal Authority</p>	<p>Alley, in East Bethlehem Twp, Washington County where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated, in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR), that Tri County Joint Municipal Authority was excavating without a One Call ticket to repair a water line break and during the excavation a 1-inch gas service was hit. Columbia Gas provided pictures but not showing the damaged line and locate marks.</p> <p>Tri County stated, a New Excavation Emergency ticket, 20202250200, was called in on August 12, 2020, to repair the water main break. The day of the repair it was determined more of the water line needed replacing and the job continued longer than expected. The day of the incident, 9/22/2020, there were no gas locate marks and Columbia Gas informed Tri County the line was not recorded on a map and was advise not to worry about it because it was not Tri County's fault.</p> <p>On Tuesday, August 17, 2021, an email was sent to Tri County requesting an AVR and a report was submitted on the Monday, August 23, 2021. Pictures were not provided.</p> <p>After requesting additional information, from Columbia Gas by email, on Wednesday, October 6, 2021, Columbia Gas responded the same day stating that the root cause was the failure of Tri County not calling in a locate request after placing the 8/12/2020, Emergency ticket, 20202250200. Also, Columbia Gas internal damage report noted the damage line was unmapped, an unknown abandoned line, excavator struck unknown service.</p> <p>On the same day, October 6th, additional information was requested from Tri County by email, requesting pictures and if there were other excavation tickets. Tri County did not respond to the email.</p> <p>*Tri County Joint Municipal Authority is in violation of sections:  5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request  5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line.  5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within Thirty Days  There are no additional Excavation ticket(s) for the extend work after the Emergency ticket. An AVR was provided but it was submitted late. Additional information was requested but no response.  They have prior violations.  Recommendation: Education. Zero penalty but keep the violation for section 5(16). Penalty reduced by 50% for section 5(2.1) from \$1,000 to \$500. Penalty applied for section 5(17).</p> <p>*Columbia Gas is in violation of section:  2(5)(13) Failed to maintain exiting records of main lines abandoned on or after the date and mark  Columbia Gas noted a service line was damaged  Recommendation: Zero penalty and no violation.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>**Act 50, Section 2(5)(13) To maintain existing records of main lines abandoned on or after the effective date of this paragraph and to mark, locate or identify the main lines if possible, based upon the existing records.</p>	
18930	<p><b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI UTILITIES  <b>Contractor/Excavator:</b> Malco Landscaping, Inc.  <b>Project Owner:</b> Traditions of America</p>	<p><u>On 10/3/2020 1:29:00 PM at REPUBLIC WAY, SILVER SPRING TWP, CUMBERLAND</u> The incident occurred on Saturday, October 3, 2020, at (lot 371) 626 Republic Way, Silver Spring Township, Cumberland County, where a gas line was damaged  UGI Utilities stated they were called to the work site because there was gas odor in the area. UGI's investigation determined that a correctly marked stub gas service to lot 371- #626, was severed by a recently excavated utility ditch. There were no excavators from Malco Landscaping, Inc. on site.  UGI provided 13 pictures, see damage pictures 1 – 11.</p> <p>On Thursday, August 19, 2021, an email was sent to the Malco Landscaping, Inc. requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). There was no response to the email and no AVR was submitted.  On Thursday, September 16, 2021, an email was sent to the project owner, Traditions of America and a letter was mailed on Friday, September 17, 2021, requesting an AVR.</p> <p>Traditions of America responded to the email on September 21, 2021. They explained trying to submit an AVR but failed to do so because the report was asking for the time when the incident occurred, and the report could not be submitted without providing a time.  Traditions of America was made aware of the incident from the September 16, 2021, email requesting an AVR, and there are no current employees with knowledge of the incident. Therefore, information about the incident cannot be provided.</p> <p>*Malco Landscaping is in violation of sections:  5(4) Failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques.  5(7) Failed to immediately report to the facility owner any break or leak in its lines.  5(8) Excavator vacated the worksite after causing damage that resulted in the escape of gas.  5(16) Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line.  Recommending: Education and All penalties and violations applied.</p> <p>*Traditions of America is in violation of section:  6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike.  They have no previous violations. Recommending:  Education, zero penalty and keep the violation.</p>	