

## Damage Prevention Committee Meeting Case List April 11

## **Omnibus Session**

| Case            | Stakeholders           | Summary  | Violations &                           |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Number<br>18028 | Facility Owner: PECO   | On 9/2/2020 11:00:00 AM at 1 OLD MILL RD, NEW  | Recommendation SPINIEO INC.:           |
| 10020           | Contractor/Excavator:  | HOPE BORO, BUCKS Incident occurred on 09/02/2020   | \$2,000.00                             |
|                 | SPINIEO INC.           | at 1 Old Mill Road, in New Hope Borough, Bucks   | Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense             |
|                 | Project Owner:         | County.  | \$500.00                               |
|                 | HOMEOWNER              |  |  |
|                 | <b>Other:</b> NEW HOPE | PECO stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR)  | Section 5(8) 1st Offense               |
|                 | BOROUGH                | that the Homeowner, Mr. Spineo was digging for   | \$500.00                               |
|                 | Other: PROPERTY        | drainage work when a PECO 1/2" plastic gas service line  |  |
|                 | MANAGER                | was hit and damaged. No One Call ticket was placed prior to excavation. 911 was not called.  | Section 5(8) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00 |
|                 |                        | Investigation found that SPINIEO INC. was the  | \$1,000.00                             |
|                 |                        | company who was hired by Gina Vitelli, who was the   | HOMEOWNER: \$0.00                      |
|                 |                        | condominium owner who hired Spinieo to install a pipe  | Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense             |
|                 |                        | for water to flow away from the building. Pictures and   | \$0.00                                 |
|                 |                        | bill are included.   |  |
|                 |                        |  | NEW HOPE                               |
|                 |                        | Spinieo Inc. stated I their AVR "Running of downspout  | BOROUGH: \$0.00                        |
|                 |                        | from house to side yard ditch." They state that they   | Section 2(5)(vii) 1st                  |
|                 |                        | cannot remember the work site, but also state that the   | Offense \$0.00                         |
|                 |                        | exact measured depth of grade was 10" Deep. The project was <\$400,000. And took 2 days.   | PROPERTY                               |
|                 |                        | Compliance Specialist stated there was not enough  | MANAGER: \$0.00                        |
|                 |                        | information to determine if there were any tickets.  |  |
|                 |                        |  |  |
|                 |                        | Old Mill Condo (Homeowner association) stated in their   |  |
|                 |                        | AVR "The Old Mill Condominium consists of seven (7)  |  |
|                 |                        | units privately owned pursuant to the By-Laws of the   |  |
|                 |                        | Homeowners Association.  |  |
|                 |                        | On August 20, 2020, Gina Vitelli owner of Unit #2 hired  |  |
|                 |                        | the second s |  |
|                 |                        | services of Spinieo, Inc. a licensed general contractor<br>(#PA014681)   |  |
|                 |                        | in Montgomery County, PA to install a concrete inlet   |  |
|                 |                        | box and pipe   |  |
|                 |                        | for water to flow away from the building and remediate   |  |
|                 |                        | stormwater   |  |
|                 |                        | leaking into her unit. The agreement (attached) stated   |  |
|                 |                        | that the   |  |
|                 |                        | contractor would determine and coordinate all relevant   |  |
|                 |                        | permits.<br>On September 2, 2020, Spinieo's personnel began to dig   |  |
|                 |                        | on our   |  |
|                 |                        | property and broke a gas pipe. When confronted by the  |  |
|                 |                        | fire   |  |
|                 |                        | department, Spinieo stated that they did not know about  |  |
|                 |                        | the pipe,<br>did not call 811, and did not apply for a PA1 permit. We  |  |
|                 |                        | were later   |  |
|                 |                        | informed by representatives from the New Hope  |  |
|                 |                        | Township, PECO,  |  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation |
|----------------|--------------|--|--------------------------------|
|                |              | and the Fire Department that Spinieo, Inc. would be<br>fined for<br>negligence.<br>On September 11, 2020 Spinieo's management informed<br>the HOA<br>that our contractual agreement was terminated and<br>proceeded to<br>repair the damage. Contract with Spinieo is included.  |                                |
|                |              | <ul> <li>Spinieo Inc. is in violation of Sections:</li> <li>5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to</li> <li>One Call within the correct timeframe. This is a first-<br/>time offense, and the\$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500.</li> <li>Training is required.</li> <li>5(8) Excavator failed to notify 911. The penalty is<br/>applied. Training is required.</li> <li>5(8) Excavator vacated the worksite after causing<br/>damages that resulted in the escape of gas. This is a first-<br/>time violation and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500.</li> </ul> |                                |
|                |              | Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50,<br>Section 2(5)(vii) failed to respond to an emergency<br>ticket within the required amount of time.  |                                |
|                |              | New Hope Borough did not respond to emergency ticket 20202461769 for more than 24 hours with "clear no facilities" in KARL. This is a first-time violation and \$1000. penalty is reduced to a warning. * Additional Information:  |                                |
|                |              | 10/27/2022 Case was reopened. Spinieo is an excavator<br>and not a homeowner. The lady in apt 1 received the<br>AVR request letter, then when she received the penalty,<br>she called to explain that she is not Spnieo. She stated<br>that Spinieo did some work because one of the neighbors<br>had an issue in her home. She also stated that the<br>excavator messed up and they were evacuated, the<br>emergency personnel were there and the lady that hired<br>them did not have them finish the job. The case had to be<br>reinvestigated.                                       |                                |
|                |              | A representative from Spinieo Inc called as soon as the<br>Alleged Violation Report (AVR) request letter was<br>emailed. The lady, that called, did not state her name.<br>She was very upset and said that this was over 2 years<br>ago and that they did not do the job. She said that a lady<br>named Gina hired them to do the work, but their<br>excavator hit something within 15 minutes and Gina got<br>someone else to finish the work. She did not recall<br>Gina's last name.   |                                |
|                |              | Spinieo called to say that the excavator that is not<br>working there any longer was digging by hand when the<br>line was hit. They plan on submitting an AVR today.   |                                |
|                |              | *New Hope Borough had attended training on August<br>31, 2022 at 1pm with One Call. They admit that they<br>were not understanding how the ticket information<br>worked, prior to the training. They stated that the<br>training was very valuable. They say that they are a very  |                                |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|---|--|---|
|                |   | small community. The community is so small that they<br>have volunteers that work for them. The \$500. penalty<br>would be very harsh for them and request that the<br>penalty be waived. The violation remains, but the<br>penalty is reduced to a warning.                                 |   |
|                |   | Additional Information:<br>Email received from PECO on 11/7/2022 included<br>pictures with an excavator and confirmation that the bill<br>was paid by Spinieo.   |   |
| 21817          | Facility Owner: UGI<br>Utilities Inc<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>DECISIVE                       | On 1/13/2021 7:00:00 AM at DILLER AVE, NEW<br>HOLLAND BORO, LANCASTER Synopsis 21817<br>East Earl Township plans to attend the prediscussion on<br>12/13/2022.   | DECISIVE<br>COMMUNIATIONS:<br>\$250.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense           |
|                | COMMUNIATIONS<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>SITEK CONSTRUCTION<br>L L C<br>Project Owner: Comcast | Incident occurred on Diller Ave, New Holland Borough,<br>Lancaster County where an excavator created multiple<br>large tickets without requesting a meeting.   | \$250.00<br>SITEK<br>CONSTRUCTION L L<br>C: \$250.00                          |
|                | Cablevision<br>Other: EARL  | *No Damage   | Section 5(3) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00  |
|                | /ETSA/WESTERN<br>Other: EAST EARL<br>TOWNSHIP   | UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that<br>Sitek Construction LLC called in multiple PA One Call<br>tickets that were just under 1000 feet and this cause an<br>unmanageable workload for the facility owners. After<br>the contractor was confronted, an Emergency One Call | Comcast Cablevision:<br>\$3,250.00<br>Section 6.1(7) Subsequent<br>\$1,000.00 |
|                |   | ticket was submitted for installing cable house to house.<br>Sitek Construction stated in their AVR that they<br>were contracted by Decisive Communications to install   | Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00  |
|                |   | conduit using Directional Boring techniques for Comcast<br>Cable. There were several locations along the same<br>stretch of road that were called into One Call. He also   | Section 9 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |   | states that all the locates are less than 1000 this was 4 projects with different locations. One location was 622', another was 815', another 420', and one more a 354',   | Section 4(4) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00  |
|                |   | area. They also state that UGI locator questioned the<br>length of the job and requested a meeting. They state that<br>Sitek met with the locator on site to review plan and   | Section 4(2) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00  |
|                |   | complete the UGI locates.  | Section 4(5) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00  |
|                |   | Sitek also stated that the emergency ticket 20210271283<br>was called in because Decisive Communications let<br>Sitek Construction know that a Comcast<br>communications line was down and needed immediate  | Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00  |
|                |   | service because this left many customers without the<br>ability to call 911.<br>Sitek states that level "B" Subsurface Utility   | EARL<br>/ETSA/WESTERN:<br>\$0.00  |
|                |   | Engineering (SUE) was used for these projects.   | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00   |
|                |   | Decisive Communications was mailed an AVR request<br>letter on 6/6/2022. No AVR has been received to date.   | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00   |
|                |   | Comcast was emailed an AVR request on 1/05/2022. No AVR has been received to date.   | EAST EARL<br>TOWNSHIP: \$0.00<br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense                  |
|                |   | Sitek Constructionism in violation of section:<br>5(3) Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meting<br>prior to beginning a complex project. If an excavator  | \$0.00<br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|--|---|--|
|                |  | intends to perform work at multiple work sites or over a<br>large area, to take reasonable steps to work with facility<br>owners, including a time reasonably in advance of the<br>actual start of excavation for each phase of the work. If<br>the excavator does not believe a preconstruction meeting<br>is necessary under the circumstances, then it should<br>indicate so in the notice.  | \$0.00<br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00<br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00 |
|                |  | <ul> <li>Comcast Cablevision is in violation of Sections:</li> <li>9 – Failed to make the best efforts to comply with the common Ground Alliance Best Practices section 4.</li> <li>6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</li> <li>6.1(3) Released a project to bid of construction before the final design was complete. This is a first-time offense, and the penalty is applied.</li> <li>4(4) Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by Section 2. Penalty is applied.</li> <li>4(5) Designer's Drawings does not include One Call's toll-free number and the serial number of the ticket. Penalty is applied.</li> <li>6.1(7) Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. This is a subsequent offence, and the penalty is applied.</li> <li>6.1(1) Failed to utilize sufficient levels of Subsurface Utility engineering (SUE) and the penalty is applied.</li> </ul> | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00  |
|                |  | Notes on no meeting:<br>The no responses are considered because they are over 7<br>days but are the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250. Per<br>ticket.<br>East Earl/Earl/Western is in violation of Sections:<br>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to tickets 20210080086 and<br>20210080072 within the required amount of time. This is<br>a first-time offense and the \$250. penalty is reduced to a<br>warning for each ticket. Because there was no meeting,<br>there were 7 days to respond before this became a<br>"failed to respond". Education is required.   |  |
|                |  | East Earl Township is in violation of Sections:<br>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to tickets 20210080147,<br>20210080086, 20210080072, 20210603217 and<br>20210080121 within the required amount of time. This is<br>a first-time offense and the \$250. penalty is reduced to a<br>warning for each ticket.   |  |
| 21505          | Facility Owner: TC<br>ENERGY / COLUMBIA<br>GAS TRANSMISSION<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Zokaites Contracting INC | Notes:<br>DPI reached out to CDC: TLI, Shell Legacy Holdings<br>LLC. AVR2022JAN130012 on 7/5/2022. They<br>requested a meeting on response to Ticket 20210550392<br>On 1/13/2021 2:00:00 PM at 765 Donaldson Road,<br><u>NORTH FAYETTE TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> All<br>Violations to Zokaites Inc. are withdrawn. these were<br>listed as: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged<br>Violation Report. Penalty is applied. Training is   | Zokaites Contracting<br>INC: \$0.00  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders                | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation |
|----------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
|                | Project Owner:<br>Homeowner | required, 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location<br>request to One call and 5(17) Excavator failed to comply<br>with all requests for information from PUC staff. No<br>evidence of digging was provided.  |                                |
|                |                             | Case 21505 was in pre discussion on 11/08/2022. DPI requested more pictures from Columbia Gas Transmission. With the current information, there are no photos to substantiate what was alleged. The pictures that were provided do not have any pictures showing Zokaites Contracting Inc or any excavation occurring.   |                                |
|                |                             | Zokaites properties, LP disagrees. No other information was provided.  |                                |
|                |                             | Damage Prevention Committee member (Eric) made a<br>point that someone put in a One Call and that should<br>have some validity. Tickets are submitted by someone<br>and are not facts.   |                                |
|                |                             | Zokaites Contracting Inc. stated that "There was no<br>violation, no unlawful activity, no excavation work, no<br>soil disturbance, no line strike, and obviously no<br>damage.<br>I request you pursue the utility (or person that made the<br>subject report) for false reporting to a governmental<br>agency."  |                                |
|                |                             | **************************************   |                                |
|                |                             | * No damage  |                                |
|                |                             | Columbia Gas Transmission (locator) states in their<br>Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Zokaites<br>Contracting Inc., was using a backhoe/trackhoe,<br>encroaching on the pipeline area without a One Call<br>ticket. Emergency ticket 20210142034 was submitted<br>stating that other excavators did call in One Call tickets,<br>but this excavator did not. Email from 02/14/2022<br>Columbia Gas states that Zokaites Contracting Inc is<br>also the Developer of this area. 2 pictures are included.<br>Emergency ticket 20210142034 reads that there is active<br>digging and equipment is present with the name Zokaites<br>Contracting Inc. on the equipment. Other tickets are<br>submitted for this area. |                                |
|                |                             | Zokaites Contracting Inc. was sent an AVR request letter<br>on 12/20/2021. No AVR has been received to date. An<br>email was received from Frank Zokaites stating that<br>there was no violation, no unlawful activity, no<br>excavation work, no soil disturbance, no like strike and<br>obviously no damage. He also states that there should be<br>a pursuit of the person or utility for reporting falsely to a<br>government agency.  |                                |
|                |                             | Notes from One Call state that Zokaites Contracting has placed One Call Notifications in the past.   |                                |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|---|---|
| 23849          | Facility Owner: UGI<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>STANFORD SCAPES<br>INC                             | On 5/18/2021 9:56:00 AM at 107 Woods Drive,<br>SILVER SPRING TWP, CUMBERLAND Incident<br>occurred on 5/18/2021 at 107 woods Drive, Silver<br>Spring Township, Cumberland County.  | STANFORD SCAPES<br>INC: \$1,750.00<br>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00                            |
|                | <b>Project Owner:</b><br>PROPERTY OWNER  | *No Damage  | Section 5(21) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |  | UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that<br>Stanford Scapes was grinding with a loader on private<br>property, without a One Call ticket. One Call ticket<br>20211381468 state that the landscape work is at the front<br>of 107 Woods Dr. and that there is active digging with<br>an excavator and a skid loader. Pictures are submitted.<br>One call commented that Stanford Scapes has not placed<br>One Call notifications in the past. As of 9/20/2022 they<br>are still not a member of One Call. * | Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>PROPERTY OWNER:<br>\$0.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00 |
|                |  | Stanford Scapes was mailed an AVR request letter on 6/27/2022. No AVR has been received to date. On 7/7/2022 DPI returned a call and spoke with Larry Stanford. DPI answered questions about the AVR, the process and the case. DPI returned the call three times because the telephone signal kept dropping, but all the questions were answered.<br>Homeowner at 107 Woods Dr. was mailed an AVR request letter. No AVR or contact has been made to date.   |   |
|                |  | Property Owner is in violation of Section:<br>5(16) Homeowner failed to submit an Alleged violation<br>report. This is a first-time offense, and the penalty is a<br>warning.   |   |
|                |  | <ul> <li>Stanford Scapes Inc is in violation of Sections:</li> <li>5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to</li> <li>One Call. Penalty is applied.</li> <li>5(21) Excavator failed to pay the annual fee for services</li> <li>provided by One Call. Penalty is applied.</li> <li>5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation</li> <li>Report. This does not show a good faith effort. The</li> <li>penalty is applied.</li> </ul>   |   |
|                |  | Additional Information:<br>Stanford Scapes website describes "About Us"<br>*Stanford Scapes inc. is a family owned business that<br>specializes in hardscapes, waterscapes and landscape<br>designs. Our focus is on reestablishing your connection<br>with nature by bringing your visions and ideas to life for<br>whatever purpose(s) you have in mind.  |   |
| 23821          | Facility Owner: PECO<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Pickering Valley<br>Contractors<br>Project Owner: | On 5/19/2021 9:00:00 AM at 25 IVY LN (lot 2-13),<br>RADNOR TWP, DELAWARE Incident occurred on<br>5/19/2021 at 25 Ivy Lane, Radner Township, Delaware<br>County.   | <b>Pickering Valley</b><br><b>Contractors: \$1,500.00</b><br>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00       |
|                | Homeowner<br>Project Owner: Pohlig<br>Builders   | PECO Energy Alleged Violation Report states that<br>Pohlig Builders were digging with a backhoe at 25 Ivy<br>Lane when they damaged a 1" plastic gas service riser to   | Section 5(8) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00  |
|                |  | a under construction residential building. The house was<br>not occupied and there was no interruption of service.<br>911 was marked as being called.   | Homeowner: \$0.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation                                      |
|----------------|--------------|--|---|
|                |              | Email received from PECO on 6/23/2022 stated that a backhoe was used for this excavation.<br>One Call notes that Pohlig Builders has placed notifications in the past. No project owner or designer provided.  | Pohlig Builders: \$500.00<br>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00 |
|                |              | Pohlig Builders did not submit an AVR, but created a<br>document and called it "Alleged Violation Report"<br>(AVR) and stated that they were not the excavator for<br>this project. They also stated that Pickering Valley<br>Contractors (PVC) was excavating for underground<br>downspout piping that connects the gutter/downspout<br>system to the underground stormwater management<br>crate system. While trenching near the front right corner<br>of the house, the excavator nicked the 1" plastic gas<br>service riser at the gas meter. The PVC excavator<br>immediately notified the Pohlig Project Superintendent<br>and PECO was informed. PECO responded in a timely<br>fashion to repair the 1" plastic service line. |   |
|                |              | PVC stated in their AVR that their operator was digging<br>for gas utilities and while digging next to the meter, they<br>noticed a crack and a leak stating that this was a result of<br>the meter head being improperly installed on a <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub> " or 1"<br>line with no supports to keep it from leaning. They state<br>that their opinion is this occurred due to the weight and<br>lack of support. In quotations they state that" Leak was<br>above ground and not a result of digging. The job<br>superintendent (Pohlig Builders) asked the utility<br>company to install supports to keep it from occurring<br>again".  |   |
|                |              | The Homeowner was not living in the house at the time<br>of the incident; it was completely vacated.   |   |
|                |              | Pickering Valley Contractors is in violation of Sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Ticket 20210601846 describes working on a 4x4 ft area around the light post damage. This is a first offense, penalty reduced from \$1,000 to \$500. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when the damage resulted in the escape of gas. The AVR stated that the leak was above ground, 911 was not called. Penalty applied. Education is required.  |   |
|                |              | Pohlig Builders is in violation of Section:<br>6.1(7) Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged<br>Violation Report. Penalty applied. Education is required.   |   |
|                |              | Homeowner failed to submit an Alleged Violation<br>Report. This is a first-time offense, and the penalty is a<br>warning.  |   |
|                |              | *****  |   |
|                |              | Additional Information:<br>Pohlig Builders was mailed an AVR request letter on<br>6/23/2022.   |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|---|---|--|
|                |   | Pohlig Builders sent in a statement titled Alleged<br>Violation Report. on 7/8/2022. Pohlig Builders did not<br>provide a project owner or designer.<br>On 7/8/22 and 8/18/22, an email was to Pohlig Builders<br>stating that an AVR must be submitted through the One<br>Call System and One Call's web address was provided.<br>On 8/18/2022 another email was sent with a with a read<br>receipt asking if Pohlig Builders was the owner of the<br>building project and who the designer is. No response<br>has been received to date.  |  |
| 24206          | Facility Owner: PECO<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>PRECISION PIPING<br>Project Owner: Deluca      | On 5/27/2021 9:00:00 AM at 200 S MAPLE ST,         AMBLER BORO, MONTGOMERY         Incident occurred         on 5/27/2021 at 200 S Maple Street, Ambler Borough,         Montgomery County.   | <b>PRECISION PIPING:</b><br>\$1,750.00<br>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00 |
|                | Homes   | <ul> <li>PECO stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Precision Piping was excavating with a trackhoe/backhoe to work on their sewer lines when they damaged an unmarked 1" plastic gas service stub to 250 S. Maple Ave – Building 1, in Ambler Borough. There is a 4" plastic main and (3) 1" plastic service stubs on this property and they all have accurate records. The contractor failed to make a One Call notification prior to excavating.</li> <li>One Call states that Precision Piping has placed One Call notifications in the past.</li> <li>On 6/27/2022, a letter was mailed requesting an AVR from Precision Piping. No AVR has been received to date.</li> <li>Precision Piping is in violation of Sections: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. This is not a good faith effort. This is the second</li> </ul> | Section 5(16) 2nd Offense<br>\$750.00  |
|                |   | offense violation, and the penalty is applied.<br>5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to<br>One Call. The penalty is applied.<br>Additional notes<br>10/27/2022 New address was found for Precision Piping<br>and added to the case. There has been no returned mail<br>from Precision Piping's 301 OXFORD VALLEY RD<br>STE 501 address.   |  |
|                |   | 12/29/2022 PECO sent an email and included the Project<br>Owner's name. Deluca Homes information was not<br>added to the case because this information was not in the<br>PECO AVR and Precision Piping did not submit an<br>AVR.  |  |
| 24396          | Facility Owner: PECO<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Dougherty Contractors<br>LLC<br>Project Owner: | On 6/2/2021 10:00:00 AM at 638 SUSSEX RD,<br>LOWER MERION TWP, MONTGOMERY Incident<br>occurred on 6/02/2021 at 638 Sussex Township, in<br>Montgomery County.  |  |
|                | Homeowner   | PECO stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Ferry Contractors LLC was Auguring holes to put up fence posts, without a One Call ticket, when they damaged an unmarked 1.25" plastic gas service line to 638 Sussex Rd.  |  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|---|---|
|                |  | PECO was in error, the excavator was Dougherty<br>Contractors LLC, not Ferry Contractors.   | Ketoninendation   |
|                |  | PECO verified on 8/19/2022 that hand equipment was used; No violations are found.   |   |
| 24337          | Facility Owner:<br>COMCAST<br>CABLEVISION<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>GOLDEN WING FENCE<br>COMPANY<br>Project Owner: Knights<br>Inn<br>Other: COMCAST<br>CABLEVISION | <ul> <li>used; No violations are found.</li> <li>On 67/2021 12:00:00 AM at 1215 S Main Street,<br/>GREENSBURG CITY, WESTMORELAND Incident<br/>occurred on 6/07/2021 at 1215 S Main Street,<br/>Greensburg City, Westmoreland County.</li> <li>Comcast stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR)<br/>that Knights Inn was excavating without a One Call<br/>ticket. Comcast had their main lines marked, but the<br/>customer has a MDTA and a second feeder goes<br/>throughout the property. This secondary feeder was not<br/>marked. Pictures were included.</li> <li>Knights Inn stated in their AVR that this work was<br/>behind their property. They stated that they hired Golden<br/>Wing Fence Company and that only hand tools were<br/>used, but that the lines were marked. They also state that<br/>one of the employees from the Golden Wings Fence<br/>Company was working on excavating about 25 ft and hit<br/>the cable line of the Knights Inn by mistake. The<br/>supervisor of the company came and informed him of<br/>the damage. They add that the damage was repaired by<br/>the end of the day. Pictures and One Call tickets were<br/>included.</li> <li>Golden Wings Fence Co (GWFC) stated in their AVR<br/>that the CATV line was cut while hand digging, using a<br/>post hole digger. As soon as the line was cut, they<br/>notified 811. They state that they think some of the flags<br/>were removed by kids but believes that the secondary<br/>line was never marked. They also state that Comcast<br/>admitted that the line was not marked, when they came<br/>out to put a temporary fix to the line. Comcast then<br/>marked the other lines in the area. GWFC was then able<br/>to continue working without any other incidents. They<br/>add that Comcast also billed him for the damage, even<br/>though Comcast did not mark their lines. Called added<br/>that GWFC makes One Call notices frequently and<br/>complains that Comcast does not come out to mark their<br/>lines. Tickets were included.</li> <li>GWFC was using hand tools. All tickets and pictures<br/>show that this was hand digging.<br/>************************************</li></ul> | COMCAST<br>CABLEVISION:<br>\$5,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) 2nd<br>Offense \$1,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(vii) 3rd<br>Offense \$2,000.00 |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|---|---|
| Tumber         |  | Notes:<br>7/6/2022 DPI spoke with Knights in Owner and was told<br>that He is the project owner and that he hired Golden<br>Wing Fence Company to put a fence around his<br>property. He also provided the contact information. He<br>knows that he needs to fill out an AVR as a project<br>owner.   | Recommendation  |
|                |  | Note about Comcast procedure:<br>DPI Maki spoke with Golden Wing Fence Co (GWFC),<br>who stated that there were no markings in the middle<br>section, but there were markings on both sides. He also<br>stated that Comcast came out after the emergency ticket<br>was called in and then put the markings on the land and<br>took pictures. He also stated that Comcast charged him                      |   |
|                |  | \$1242.77. for this damage. He stated that he split the bill<br>with the project owner and that the project owner should<br>have the receipt. GWFC did submit an original One Call<br>ticket (for hand digging) for this project. Comcast Bill is<br>included.  |   |
| 26754          | Facility Owner: UGI<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Yardscapes NEPA<br>Project Owner:<br>Homeowner | On 9/4/2021 7:00:00 AM at 523 CLARKSON AVE,<br>JESSUP BORO, LACKAWANNA Incident occurred on<br>9/04/2021 at 523 Clarkson Ave., Jessup Borough,<br>Lackawanna County.  | UGI: \$2,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent<br>\$2,000.00<br>Yardscapes NEPA:                       |
|                | Other: JESSUP<br>BOROUGH   | UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that<br>the gas service line that was hit and damaged was not<br>marked and UGI had no record of this service, A call<br>was received from a homeowner who had smelled gas<br>for 4 days. They state that a Contractor uncovered this<br>service while digging to replace a driveway and do<br>foundation work. Contractor did not notify 811 when the | <b>\$2,250.00</b><br>Section 5(6)(ii) 1st<br>Offense \$500.00<br>Section 5(7) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00 |
|                |  | line was found. They did not report this line to anyone<br>despite the release of gas. They did not protect the<br>facility that they exposed. The damage was found when  | Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00  |
|                |  | a resident called in the odor of gas. According to that<br>resident he believed that the line had been damaged 3-4<br>days earlier, since that is how long he had been smelling   | Section 5(17) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |  | the gas. 911 was also not notified by the excavator.<br>Pictures and ticket are included.<br>Yardscapes of NEPA LLC stated in their AVR that the  | <b>JESSUP BOROUGH:</b><br><b>\$250.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00                  |
|                |  | excavation around the gas line was a two-month project<br>to replace the foundation along the left side of the house<br>and to replace the driveway with modified gravel.<br>Excavation began on 7/30/2021 and was completed on<br>8/4/2021. They state that their crews were not on site on  | \$250.00  |
|                |  | the date of incident. They state that they were told by the<br>mason that was on site that day that a smell of gas was<br>called in due to a rubber coupling that had dry rotted<br>underground. They also state that the gas line was not<br>exposed due to excavation, but erosion in the trench.   |   |
|                |  | Yardscapes did not notify One Call because they state<br>that the line was not marked, so they assumed it was not<br>in service. They state that they did not puncture the line<br>while excavating, but the line was damaged due to age<br>and sunlight, thus began to leak. They add that the<br>Alleged Violation occurred a month after the completed   |   |
|                |  | excavation around the unmarked gas line. This area was  |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation |
|----------------|--------------|--|--------------------------------|
|                |              | also marked off by caution tape at the completion of<br>their excavation work to prevent unauthorized access.<br>Picture is attached and the coupling is circled in red.   |                                |
|                |              | Yardscapes verified in an email and with a screenshot with the date that the work started: 7/30/2021.  |                                |
|                |              | Jeff Hemak, homeowner stated he has no pictures or<br>information. Home is being converted from oil heat to<br>natural gas.  |                                |
|                |              | Yardscapes is in violation of Sections:<br>5(6)(ii) Excavator failed to provide support and<br>mechanical protection for a knows facilities line. This is<br>a first offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250.<br>Education is Required.<br>5(7) Excavator failed to immediately report to the<br>facility owner any break or leak in its lines. This is a<br>first-time violation and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to<br>\$500. Education is Required.<br>5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to<br>One Call within the correct time frame. This is a first<br>offense and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500.<br>Lawful dig dates were 7/20/2021 thru 7/29/2021.<br>Excavation was verified to begin on 7/30/2021.<br>Education is Required.<br>5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for<br>information from PUC staff. Contact information about<br>the Mason was never received. |                                |
|                |              | Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50,<br>Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call<br>ticket  |                                |
|                |              | UGI is in violation of Section:<br>2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines. This is a<br>subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.<br>One Call ticket 20211963543. The response was Clear<br>no facilities, but the One Call map of the area shows the<br>buffer zone to go out to the street. The homeowner and<br>the excavator, both stated that there were markings on<br>the street from another job.  |                                |
|                |              | Jessup Borough is in violation of Section:<br>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to One Call ticket<br>20211963543. There was no response. This is a first<br>offense violation and the \$500. penalty is reduced to<br>\$250.  |                                |
|                |              | Notes:<br>Yardscapes was cooperative with the investigation.<br>Yardscapes stated in their emails that they put the<br>caution tape around the area so unauthorized person<br>would not come in. They also stated that the mason did<br>no excavating and that they were responsible for all of<br>the excavation. They stated that they came back to  |                                |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|--|--|--|
|                |  | backfill after the mason was done. Yardscapes states<br>they do not know who called in the notification to UGI.<br>There were Gas marking in the street from a previous<br>One Call ticket. They did not tell me the contact<br>information of the mason. Stating that the mason did not<br>smell the gas. They did not give me information about<br>when they completed the project.<br>UGI states that the smell of gas was called in by a<br>resident, who had smelled gas for 3-4 days. The exposed<br>gas line is bent. Yardscapes states that they had<br>completed the excavation in the area by 8/4/2021.<br>One Call ticket 20211963543. The response was Clear |  |
|                |  | no facilities, but the One Call map of the area shows the<br>buffer zone to go out to the street. The homeowner and<br>the excavator, both stated that there were markings on<br>the street from another job.<br>Homeowner sated on 8/2/022- that UGI was converting   |  |
| 27446          | Facility Owner:<br>COLUMBIA GAS                                | his home from oil to natural gas heating. 12/22/2022 DPI<br>asked UGI when that occurred.<br>On 11/2/2021 10:00:00 AM at QUEEN ST,<br>ARENDTSVILLE BORO, ADAMS NOTE FROM   | Guyer Brothers Inc.:<br>\$1,625.00   |
|                | Contractor/Excavator:<br>Guyer Brothers Inc.<br>Project Owner: | SARA: Arendtsville Boro attended and passed both facility owner and designer trainings in February 2023.   | Section 5(13) 1st Offense<br>\$125.00  |
|                | Arendtsville<br>Boro/Arendtsville BMA<br><b>Designer:</b> KPI  | Incident occurred on 11/02/2021 on Queen Street in Arendtsville Borough in Adams County.   | Section 5(7) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00   |
|                | TECHNOLOGY   | Columbia Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report<br>(AVR) that this was an excavator issue. Guyer Brothers<br>Inc. was excavating new water mains for Arendtsville  | Section 5(8) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00   |
|                |  | Borough, when they damaged a 1" plastic gas service<br>line with a trackhoe/backhoe. The contractor proceeded<br>to squeeze off the plastic gas service line with their<br>squeeze off. The 1" gas service line did have an EFV<br>(Excess flow valve). Columbia's call center was notified,<br>but 911 was not called.  | Arendtsville<br>Boro/Arendtsville BMA:<br>\$500.00<br>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00 |
|                |  | The Columbia Gas Damage Prevention Specialist<br>educated the contractor on not squeezing off the gas line   | Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |  | and the importance of calling 911 for any gas line<br>damage and notifying 811 for facility notification.<br>Service was interrupted for 1-<6 hours to 1 customer.   | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00  |
|                |  | There was a gas meter on the side of the house. Locate marks were no longer visible and the flags were no longer on the property. The last One Call ticket 20212663537 was placed on 9/23/2021. There were no update tickets placed. Locate pictures from the initial ticket are attached.   | KPI TECHNOLOGY:<br>\$0.00  |
|                |  | Guyer Brother Inc AVR was submitted, but most of it<br>was not filled out. Notably the part about who the work<br>was being done for and about the project was left blank.<br>Guyer Brother Inc did enter that this was an excavator<br>issue and that they failed to exercise due care and take<br>all reasonable steps necessary to avoid injury or<br>interference with all lines. 911 is checked as not being<br>called. There were no comments, summary, or<br>attachments.   |  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation |
|----------------|--------------|--|--------------------------------|
|                |              | Arendtsville Boro/Arendsville BMA stated in their AVR<br>that this was an excavator issue. They state that level<br>"D" Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used.<br>The project was >\$400,000. And was 4,500 ft. They<br>state that Guyer Bros was digging across the property at<br>152 Queen St. installing a new water main for<br>Arendtsville Boro/Arendtsville BMA. They state that<br>care was taken to expose the gas service leading to the<br>home. He states that the operator was aware that this gas<br>service line was there. The also states that the operator<br>exited the machine to assist bolting together a fire<br>hydrant and street valve. The operator returned to the<br>machine and completely forgot that the gas line was<br>there. Arendtsville Boro/Arendsville BMA states that<br>this resulted in the damage that occurred. He adds that<br>all of the other information having to do with this event<br>is stated in incident number 27446 and appears to be<br>accurate to the best of their knowledge. |                                |
|                |              | K P I Technology stated in their AVR that level "D"<br>Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used. The<br>project was >\$400,000. and was 4,500 ft. They added<br>that as the designer, KTP Technology provided drawings<br>to the Borough that were necessary for the contractor to<br>complete the water main replacement. The state that K P<br>I was not responsible for placing associated One Call<br>prior to the installation and did not provide any on-site<br>inspection or observation services. Therefore, they add<br>that that they have no firsthand knowledge of the<br>incident, other than what was conveyed to them.<br>*********   |                                |
|                |              | Arendtsville Boro/Arendtsville BMA is in violation of<br>Sections:<br>2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket<br>within the required amount of time. This is a first-time<br>offense and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125.<br>6.1(1) - Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of<br>subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques<br>to properly determine the existence and positions of<br>underground facilities when designing known complex<br>projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more.<br>This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is<br>reduced to \$250.<br>6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before<br>final design was complete. This is a first-time offense<br>and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250.   |                                |
|                |              | Guyer Brothers Inc. is in violation of Sections:<br>5(13) – Excavator changed the location, scope, or<br>duration of a proposed excavation without notifying the<br>One call System. This is a first-time offense and the<br>\$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125.<br>5(7) – Failed to immediately report to the facility owner<br>any break or leak in its lines, or any dent, gouge, groove,<br>or other damage to such lines or to their coating or<br>cathodic protection. This is a first-time offense and the<br>\$1000. Penalty is reduced to \$500.<br>5(8) – Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and<br>the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of   |                                |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|--|---|--|
|                |  | any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which<br>endangers life, health, or property. The penalty is<br>applied.  |  |
| 27328          | Facility Owner:<br>PENNSYLVANIA<br>AMERICAN WATER<br>Contractor/Excavator:   | On 11/7/2021 7:00:00 AM at N MAIN ST, WILKES<br>BARRE CITY, LUZERNE Incident occurred on<br>11/07/2021 at N. Main St., in Wilkes Barre in Luzern<br>County.   | <b>AT&amp;T: \$2,000.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st<br>Offense \$1,000.00   |
|                | KRIGER PIPELINE INC<br>Project Owner: UGI<br>Other: AT&T<br>Other: PLAINS SEWER<br>AUTHORITY OF THE<br>TOWNSHIP OF<br>Other: VERIZON PA<br>LLC<br>Other: WILKES BARRE<br>CITY OF | Kriger Pipeline Inc stated in their Alleged Violation<br>Report (AVR) that the operator was using a hoe pack<br>and was tamping approximately two feet away, when the<br>water main cracked. They also state that at no time did<br>the operator strike the old 4" cast iron water main. Ticket<br>is included.<br>UGI stated in their AVR that Kriger Pipeline was<br>compressing the area around an accurately marked | Section 2(5)(vii) 1st<br>Offense \$1,000.00<br>PLAINS SEWER<br>AUTHORITY OF THE<br>TOWNSHIP OF:<br>\$1,500.00<br>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st<br>Offense \$500.00 |
|                |  | facility. Kriger crew was backfilling an existing hole for<br>a gas main repair. After the hole was covered, a leak was<br>detected. Ticket is included.  | Section 2(5)(vii) 1st<br>Offense \$500.00  |
|                |  | PA American Water stated in their AVR that the<br>excavator did not use prudent techniques in the tolerance<br>zone. 100 customers were affected for 1-6 hours. They<br>state that when Kriger was backfilling their trench, water<br>started filling into the trench. PAWC was contacted and   | Section 2(5)(vii) 1st<br>Offense \$500.00<br>VERIZON PA LLC:<br>\$2,500.00<br>Section 2(5)(vii)<br>Subsequent \$2,500.00                                   |
|                |  | identified that one of the two water mains was leaking.<br>PAWC crew were called in to excavate, PAWC crew<br>used a band clamp to make the repair. They state they<br>are unable to confirm that Kriger caused this split in the<br>4" water main. Tickets are provided.   | Subsequent \$2,500.00<br>WILKES BARRE CITY<br>OF: \$3,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st<br>Offense \$1,000.00  |
|                |  | The evidence for violations due to the damage of the<br>water line are inconclusive. Emergency tickets were<br>submitted. Water lines were marked out. Excavator did<br>not hit the water line. Damage may have been caused by<br>tamping near the cast iron water main. There are no   | Section 2(5)(vii) 1st<br>Offense \$1,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st   |
|                |  | pictures.<br>************************************   | Offense \$1,000.00   |
|                |  | Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, 2(5)(vii) failed to respond to an emergency ticket within the required amount of time.   |  |
|                |  | AT&T is in violation of Section:<br>2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to emergency tickets<br>20213092963 and 20213110005. Penalty is applied.<br>Education is required.  |  |
|                |  | Verizon is in violation of Section:<br>2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to emergency ticket<br>20213092963. This is a subsequent violation, and the<br>full penalty is applied.  |  |
|                |  | Plains Sewer Authority of the is in violation of Section: 2(5)(vii) 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to emergency tickets 20213092963 20213091025 and 20213110005. This is a first-time offense and the \$1000. Penalty per each offense is reduced to \$500. Per offense. Education is required.  |  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|---|---|
|                |  | Wilks Barre the City is in violation of Section:<br>2(5)(vii) 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to emergency tickets<br>20213092963 20213091025 and 20213110005. This is a<br>first-time offense in 2022 and the \$1000 penalty is<br>applied. Education is required.   |   |
|                |  | Note:<br>On 11/05/2021 emergency tickets 20213092963 and<br>20213091025 were submitted for a gas leak.  |   |
| 27835          | Facility Owner: UGI<br>UTILITIES INC<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>KRIGER<br>CONSTRUCTION INC<br>Project Owner: L.R. | On 12/6/2021 11:00:00 AM at 1315 MEYLERT AVE.<br>SCRANTON CITY, LACKAWANNA The incident<br>occurred on Monday, December 6, 2021, at 1315<br>Meylert Avenue, in Scranton City, Lackawanna County.<br>UGI Utilities gas main line was damaged.  | KRIGER<br>CONSTRUCTION INC:<br>\$750.00<br>Section 5(3) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00 |
|                | Costanzo Co., Inc.   | UGI stated, Kriger Construction is in violation of not<br>preserving the locate marks, failing to renotify One Call<br>for remarks and failed to provide the exact location of  | Section 5(20) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |  | the work site. UGI explained that Kriger's excavation<br>ticket (20212150683) was over four months old (no<br>other excavation tickets) and there were some old locate<br>marks outside of the initial excavation area. With an<br>excavator, Kriger hit and damaged a gas distribution<br>main causing a large outage in the area- 140 customers<br>were affected with interrupted service for 24 - 48 hours<br>and 10 people were evacuated, and cost of the damaged<br>line repair was \$25,000-\$50,000.<br>UGI provided 9 pictures with 2 showing unclear line<br>damage | L.R. Costanzo Co., Inc.:<br>\$0.00<br>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00      |
|                |  | Kriger Construction stated that the 3-inch gas main was<br>unmarked when the damaged occurred; "it did show on<br>the Borton Lawson Plan". Kriger explained that the crew<br>was excavating for proposed pipe run P54 along Meylert<br>Avenue and during the excavation an unmarked steel<br>encasement pipe was hit and damaged causing the inner<br>3-inch gas main to leak.<br>Kriger provided one picture that was taken after the<br>original legal dig date, it shows no locate marks.  |   |
|                |  | On Friday, March 4, 2022, an email was sent to the<br>project owner, L.R. Costanza requesting an AVR. L.R.<br>Costanza noted that Level B SUE was used. Also they<br>explained, that the gas main line was not marked and it<br>did show on the Borton Lawson plan. The Kriger crew<br>was excavating proposed pipe run P54 along Meylert<br>Avenue and during the excavation the unmarked steel<br>encasement pipe was hit and damaged and the inner 3-<br>inch gas main was damaged too causing a leak.   |   |
|                |  | UGI stated, Kriger failed to call 911, but Kriger and the<br>project owner, L.R. Costanza Alleged Violation Report<br>(AVR) indicated that 911 was called, by their foreman,<br>the Fire and Police department responded, and traffic<br>was stopped.   |   |
|                |  | Kriger Construction is in violation of sections:<br>5(3) Excavator failed to preserve mark-outs or request a<br>remark  |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|--|--|--|
|                |  | 5(20) Excavator failed to renotify One Call of an<br>unmarked or incorrectly marked facility upon arrival at a<br>work site<br>Recommendation: Education required and penalties<br>applied   |  |
|                |  | L. R. Costanza, project owner is in violation of section:<br>6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of a line strike<br>Recommendation: Education required, zero penalty but<br>keep the violation.   |  |
| 30234          | Facility Owner:<br>Philadelphia Gas Works<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>DMC Environmental<br>Group INC | On 12/10/2021 12:00:00 AM at 6923 FORREST AVE,<br>PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA Incident<br>occurred on 12/10/2021 at 6923 Forrest Avenue,<br>Philadelphia City, Philadelphia County.  | DMC Environmental<br>Group INC: \$1,750.00<br>Section 5(8) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00 |
|                | Project Owner:<br>Homeowner<br>Other: Philadelphia City<br>H20 Department                            | Philadelphia Gas Works (PGW) submitted an alleged<br>violation report (AVR) on 4/26/2022 that stated DMC<br>Environmental Group, INC (DMC) pulled a one inch<br>plastic service line with a backhoe which sheared the gas<br>service line. PGW submitted that their gas line was<br>properly marked. Images from DMC environmental<br>reinforce this with backhoe teeth marks at full depth<br>within the tolerance zone. PGW Image 1 & 2 identify<br>this line strike most accurately.                            | Section 5(4) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00        |
|                |  | Update As- "On 5/25/22, an email and a letter were sent requesting an AVR from DMC, also asking who the project owner is. On 6/3/22, an email was sent to PGW requesting pictures and if gas was released from the line hit. PGW responded to the email with pictures and stated that the line was sheared apart."   |  |
|                |  | DMC's AVR, stated that they began their excavating by<br>hand digging and backhoe digging to locate the water<br>line. After digging they smelled gas and called PGW.<br>They claim that the line was undermined by the water<br>leak. DMC Images 3 & 4 indicate that the backhoe was<br>used within the tolerance zone.   |  |
|                |  | On 7/8/22, an email and a letter was sent requesting an AVR from Philadelphia Water Department."   |  |
|                |  | Homeowner's AVR states, "The company in question<br>was fixing an underground water line that had burst at<br>my home. I called the City of Philadelphia Water<br>Department, who sent out DMC Environmental to dig up<br>my lawn to fix the leak, which was underground. While<br>they were digging up the ground, they inadvertently<br>struck the gas line, which was located in the same area<br>as the water line. The gas company was called, and they<br>came out and shut off the gas and fixed the line." |  |
|                |  | Violations:<br>Section 5.8 - DMC failed to call 911 when damage<br>resulted in release of gas. Education is required.<br>Section 5.4 - DMC failed to excavate with due care<br>within the tolerance zone. Education is required.<br>Section 5.16 - DMC failed to submit an AVR 10 days<br>after the line strike. Education is required.  |  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders                              | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation              |
|----------------|---|---|---|
| 29384          | Facility Owner:                           | <u>On 1/15/2022 8:12:00 PM at ISOLINE ST,</u>   | PENNSYLVANIA                                |
|                | Columbia Gas of PA -<br>Central           | <u>PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> The incident  | AMERICAN WATER:                             |
|                | Contractor/Excavator:                     | occurred on Saturday, January 15, 2022, on Isoline<br>Street, Pittsburgh, Allegheny County.                       | <b>\$500.00</b><br>Section 5(4) 1st Offense |
|                | PENNSYLVANIA                              | Columbia Gas line was damaged.  | \$500.00                                    |
|                | AMERICAN WATER                            |   |   |
|                | Project Owner:<br>Pennsylvania American   | Columbia Gas stated, PA American Water Company<br>(PAWC) failed to use prudent techniques in the                  | Pittsburgh City Dept. of<br>Mobility &      |
|                | Water                                     | tolerance zone. Columbia Gas provided photos of the   | Infrastructure: \$2,000.00                  |
|                | Other: Pittsburgh City                    | damaged line.   | Section 2(5)(vii) 1st                       |
|                | Dept. of Mobility &                       | PAWC had an emergency excavation, ticket  | Offense \$1,000.00                          |
|                | Infrastructure<br>Other: Pittsburgh Water | 20220150151, of repairing a water main line break.<br>Columbia Gas explained that their locator was at the        | Section 2(5)(vii) 1st                       |
|                | & Sewer Authority                         | work site during the excavation and was unable to get a   | Offense \$1,000.00                          |
|                | Other: Verizon PA LLC                     | good conductive signal on the gas main line. The locator  |   |
|                |   | used records and a visual off a curb box in the street to   | Pittsburgh Water &                          |
|                |   | give yellow dots for PAWC excavator to begin looking<br>for the gas main. The locator advised the excavator to    | Sewer Authority:<br>\$1,000.00              |
|                |   | use prudent techniques in the area of the dots, but during  | Section 2(5)(vii) 1st                       |
|                |   | the dig the 3-inch plastic gas main line was damaged.   | Offense \$1,000.00                          |
|                |   | Columbia Gas made an emergency repair, ticket   | Varian DALLO                                |
|                |   | 20220150189, and replaced the bad tracer wire with new tracer wire in the damaged section. Also, Columbia Gas     | Verizon PA LLC:<br>\$2,500.00               |
|                |   | left marker balls and requested PAWC excavation crew  | Section 2(5)(vii)                           |
|                |   | place the marker balls in before closing-up the hole after  | Subsequent \$2,500.00                       |
|                |   | they make their repairs.  |   |
|                |   | PAWC stated that there was a Columbia Gas locator on  |   |
|                |   | site. Originally, there were two sets of locate marks, but  |   |
|                |   | blacked out first set because it could not be verified by   |   |
|                |   | trace wire. The second set of yellow locate marks were  |   |
|                |   | pretty accurate. PAWC explained that their excavation<br>crew was lightly scraping the back of the hole to remove |   |
|                |   | excess material for a better look and curl into the gas   |   |
|                |   | main line.  |   |
|                |   | The New Excavation Emergency ticket, 20220150151,   |   |
|                |   | placed by PAWC on 1/15/22, at 5:05pm, to repair a   |   |
|                |   | water main line break. There was No Response from   |   |
|                |   | Pittsburgh City Dept of Mobility & Infrastructure.  |   |
|                |   | The New Excavation Emergency ticket, 20220150189,   |   |
|                |   | placed by Columbia gas on 1/15/22, at 8:35pm, to repair   |   |
|                |   | the gas main line. There was No Response from Verizon   |   |
|                |   | and Pittsburgh City Dept of Mobility & Infrastructure.  |   |
|                |   | There was a Late Response from Pittsburgh Water &<br>Sewer Authority, on 1/16/22 as Clear No Facilities.          |   |
|                |   |   |   |
|                |   | *PAWC is in violation of section:   |   |
|                |   | 5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation technique                                |   |
|                |   | Recommendation: penalty applied   |   |
|                |   |   |   |
|                |   | *Pittsburgh City Dept of Mobility & Infrastructure is in  |   |
|                |   | violation of section:<br>2.5(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification                                  |   |
|                |   | as soon as practicable following a notification   |   |
|                |   | Recommendation: Education required and penalty  |   |
|                |   | applied   |   |
|                |   | *Verizon PA LLC is in violation of section:   |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation |
|----------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
|                |  | 2.5(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification<br>as soon as practicable following a notification<br>Recommendation: penalty applied   |                                |
|                |  | *Pittsburgh Water & Sewer Authority is in violation of section:   |                                |
|                |  | 2.5(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification<br>as soon as practicable following a notification<br>Recommendation: Education required and penalty  |                                |
| 29494          | Facility Owner:         PEOPLES GAS         Contractor/Excavator:         OAKMONT BOROUGH         MUNICIPAL         AUTHORITY         Project Owner:         OAKMONT BOROUGH         MUNICIPAL         AUTHORITY | <ul> <li>applied</li> <li>On 1/26/2022 12:00:00 PM at 1405 BARBARA DR.<br/>PENN HILLS MUNIC, ALLEGHENY Incident<br/>occurred on 1/26/2022 at 12:00 pm at 1405 Barbara<br/>Drive, Penn Hills Municipality, Allegheny County</li> <li>Peoples Gas Company (PNG) submitted an AVR stating<br/>that, "Oakmont Water had placed an emergency PA One<br/>Call to repair a water main break near 1405 Barbara Dr.<br/>When the locator arrived, he determined there was no<br/>wire in the curb box to locate the long plastic service line<br/>in the dig area. He marked out the main line with paint<br/>and flags. He then spoke with the crew lead of Oakmont<br/>Water onsite, Mike. Peoples Gas locator explained the<br/>involvement with the unlocatable long plastic service<br/>line and that it is in the dig area, but since he can't locate<br/>conductively, he's advising him of this under section<br/>5.15 of the law. Mike stated he would have the crew<br/>hand dig in the area. Oakmont Water hit the service line<br/>with the backhoe. Oakmont Water failed to exercise due<br/>care to prevent damaging underground facilities." PNG<br/>attached 3 pictures for proof.</li> <li>DPI requested an AVR from Oakmont Borough<br/>Municipal Authority (Oakmont) on 6/1/2022 via mail<br/>and email. DPI also asked Oakmont to identify why<br/>three emergency one call tickets were placed on the<br/>same site.</li> <li>Oakmont's AVR stated, "For the particular incident<br/>mentioned above, there were 3 one calls put in because<br/>we were digging for a water main break. We had to do<br/>some investigating, when one was found another break<br/>happened. These were emergency tickets so there was no<br/>design or engineering to complete. I spoke to the gas<br/>locator on site, and he told me that he was not allowed to<br/>locate/mark the long plastic services if there was no<br/>wire. Apparently, if we hit it they would send a crew out<br/>to fix it. He told us to dig carefully. We hit the service<br/>line and I gave the locator a call because he was in the<br/>area. He came over, and called a crew to come out and<br/>fix the service line."</li> </ul> |                                |
|                |  | Emailed PNG to see if gas was released. PNG responded<br>on 7/5/22 that according to responders the line was hit<br>but no gas was released.  |                                |
|                |  | Gas company was unable to locate the line because there<br>was no tracer wire. Oakmont was using an excavator due<br>to the freezing temperatures combined with a water   |                                |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|--|---|--|
|                |  | break. The facility owners line was pulled but not<br>punctured. No violations or penalties given.  |  |
| 29081          | Facility Owner: UGI<br>UTILITIES INC<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Umbriac Trucking, LLC<br>Project Owner:<br>LUZERNE BOROUGH<br>STREET DEPT | On 2/18/2022 1:00:00 PM at 619 MILLER ST.<br>LUZERNE BORO, LUZERNE The incident occurred on<br>Friday, February 18, 2022, at 619 Miller Street, Luzerne<br>Borough, Luzerne County.<br>UGI Utilities gas line was damaged.<br>UGI stated, while Umbriac Trucking was excavating a<br>gas service line was hit and damaged with mechanized   | <b>Umbriac Trucking, LLC:</b><br><b>\$1,500.00</b><br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Section 5(3) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00                      |
|                |  | equipment; they failed to use prudent techniques within<br>the tolerance zone. Also, UGI stated Umbriac failed to<br>protect and preserve the locate marks or contact One<br>Call for a remark, and failed to hold a preconstruction<br>meeting, and no design ticket for this project, no<br>complex ticket for this job.<br>UGI provided photos of the damage. An email from UGI<br>stated the damage repair was less than \$1,000.                         | Section 5(4) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>LUZERNE BOROUGH<br>STREET DEPT: \$500.00<br>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense |
|                |  | The project owner Luzerne Borough Street Department<br>submitted an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) without a<br>summary of the incident.  | \$0.00   |
|                |  | On Wednesday, September 7, 2022, an email requesting<br>an AVR was sent to Umbriac Trucking.<br>On Thursday, September 8, 2020, an email requesting an<br>AVR was sent to Luzerne Borough Street Department.<br>They submitted their AVR on 3/6/22.   |  |
|                |  | *Umbriac Trucking LLC is in violation of sections:<br>5(3) Excavator failed to preserve mark-outs or request a<br>remark<br>5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ<br>prudent excavation techniques<br>5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of striking a line<br>Recommendations: Education required, and penalties<br>applied.   |  |
|                |  | *Luzerne Borough Streets Dept. is in violation of<br>sections:<br>6.1(1) Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of<br>Subsurface Utility Engineering or other similar<br>techniques to properly determine the existence and<br>positions of underground utilities<br>6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an AVR<br>(incomplete/blank AVR) within 10 business days of a<br>line strike<br>Recommendations: Education required, and penalties<br>applied |  |
| 29192          | Facility Owner: UGI<br>UTILITIES INC<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Umbriac Trucking, LLC<br>Project Owner:                                   | appliedOn 3/3/2022 10:50:00 AM at 565 MILLER ST.LUZERNE BORO, LUZERNEThe incident occurredThursday, March 3, 2022, 565 Miller Street, LuzerneBorough, Luzerne County.UGI Utilities gas line was damaged.  | Umbriac Trucking, LLC:<br>\$1,500.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00  |
|                | LUZERNE BOROUGH<br>STREET DEPT   | UGI stated that Umbriac Trucking hit and damaged<br>another gas line while excavating with mechanized<br>equipment; they failed to use prudent techniques within  | Section 5(3) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Section 5(4) 1st Offense   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|---|---|
| Tumber         |  | the tolerance zone. Also, UGI stated Umbriac failed to<br>protect and preserve the locate marks or contact One<br>Call for a remark; the marks for the entire job site were<br>almost destroyed, and failed to hold a preconstruction<br>meeting, and no design ticket for this project, no<br>complex ticket for this job.   | \$500.00<br>LUZERNE BOROUGH<br>STREET DEPT: \$500.00<br>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00                      |
|                |  | On Wednesday, September 7, 2022, an email requesting<br>an AVR was sent to Umbriac Trucking.<br>On Thursday, September 8, 2020, an email requesting an<br>AVR was sent to Luzerne Borough Street Department.<br>*Umbriac Trucking LLC is in violation of sections:<br>5(3) Excavator failed to preserve mark-outs or request a<br>remark<br>5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ                                     |   |
|                |  | prudent excavation techniques<br>5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of striking a line<br>Recommendations: Education required, and penalties<br>applied<br>*Luzerne Borough Streets Dept. is in violation of<br>sections:<br>6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an AVR<br>(incomplete/blank AVR) within 10 business days of a<br>line strike<br>Recommendations: Education required, and penalties |   |
| 29397          | Facility Owner: PECO<br>ENERGY<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>UTILITY LINE<br>SERVICES<br>Project Owner: PECO | applied<br><u>On 3/7/2022 10:00:00 AM at E 14TH ST, CHESTER</u><br><u>CITY, DELAWARE</u> The incident occurred on Monday,<br>March 7, 2022, at E. 14th Street, in Chester City,<br>Delaware County.<br>PECO gas line was damaged. Utility Line Services   | <b>PECO ENERGY:</b><br><b>\$2,000.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(i) 2nd<br>Offense \$1,000.00<br>Section 6.1(3) 2nd Offense |
|                | ENERGY<br>Other: Chester City<br>Other: Chester City<br>Stormwater Authority                               | (ULS) stated that their crew cut thru a mismarked gas<br>service line. The line was 5-feet off the locate mark and<br>10-inches deep. ULS provided 2 photos.<br>PECO Energy stated that the gas service line was<br>mismarked due to inaccurate PECO prints. PECO has no<br>Final Design ticket.  | \$1,000.00<br><b>Chester City: \$500.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00                              |
|                |  | Update Excavation Routine ticket, 20220602713, placed<br>on 3/1/22. There was No Responses from Chester City,<br>and Chester City Stormwater Authority.   | <b>Chester City Stormwater</b><br><b>Authority: \$500.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00             |
|                |  | *PECO Energy is in violation of section:<br>2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18<br>inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line<br>6.1(3) Released a project to bid or construction before<br>final design was complete<br>Recommendation: penalty applied  |   |
|                |  | *Chester City is in violation of section:<br>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket<br>Recommendation: Education required, and penalty<br>applied<br>*Chester City Stormwater Authority is in violation of<br>section:<br>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket  |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|--|--|--|
|                |  | Recommendation: Education required, and penalty applied  |  |
| 29760          | Facility Owner:<br>PEOPLES GAS<br>COMPANY LLC<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Duquesne Light Company<br>Project Owner:<br>DUQUESNE LIGHT | On 3/10/2022 10:45:00 AM at DUFF RD,<br><u>MONROEVILLE MUNIC, ALLEGHENY</u> The incident<br>occurred on Thursday, March 10, 2022, on Duff Road, in<br>Monroeville Borough, Allegheny County.<br>Peoples Natural Gas (PNG) gas line was damaged.<br>PNG stated, Duquesne Light Company started their<br>excavation before the lawful start date and the gas main<br>line was not marked. Duquesne hit and damaged the<br>unmarked 4-inch plastic medium pressure line. PNG<br>provided photos.  | Duquesne Light<br>Company: \$1,500.00<br>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00 |
|                |  | Duquesne Light stated they were excavating installing a<br>new pole and digging before the lawful dig date. PNG<br>facility was not located and marked yet and as a result<br>Duquesne Light struck and damaged the gas line.<br>Duquesne explained, they were unable to find any<br>photos of the damage. The damage happened 5+ months<br>ago and the crew that completed the installation no<br>longer have photos of the damage. With the installation<br>of a single pole, there are no design or preconstruction<br>meetings required. |  |
|                |  | The Excavation Routine ticket, 20220682784, was placed on 3/9/22, at 3:06pm, by Duquesne, with a due date of 3/11/22, and lawful start dates of 3/14/22 - 3/23/22. Type of work was installing a pole. PNG responded to the routine ticket on 3/10/22 at 2:29pm as Field Marked.<br>The New Damage Emergency ticket, 20220691236, was placed on 3/10/22 at 10:37am, by Duquesne.   |  |
|                |  | On Thursday, August 18, 2022, an email was sent to<br>Duquesne Light, requesting an Alleged Violation Report<br>(AVR). An AVR was submitted on September 15th.   |  |
|                |  | *Duquesne Light Company is in violation of sections:<br>5(2.1) Began excavation work before the lawful start day<br>5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of striking a line<br>Recommendation: penalties applied   |  |
| 29504          | Facility Owner: PECO<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>MECO<br>Project Owner:  | On 3/18/2022 8:00:00 AM at 800 E LANCASTER<br>AVE, RADNOR TWP, DELAWARE Radnor Township<br>is disputing Section 2(5)(v) their reasoning is that they<br>believe 1 miss ticket in the fiscal year constitutes a fine  | <b>PECO: \$2,000.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent<br>\$2,000.00  |
|                | VILLANOVA<br>UNIVERSITY<br>Designer: PENNONI<br>ASSOCIATES INC   | and education.<br>DPI emailed PA1call for a ticket response report on<br>Radnor Township. Radnor Township (ZL) has a   | <b>MECO: \$1,000.00</b><br>Section 5(3) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00  |
|                | Other: AT&T<br>Other: Crown Castle<br>Other: Radnor Township   | response rate of 99.5% for 2022. Total tickets received is 5,666.<br>Keeping Radnor's violation but reducing the penalty to  | Section 5(16) 2nd Offense<br>\$750.00  |
|                | Other: Verizon<br>Other: Wohlsen<br>Construction<br>Other: Zayo Bandwith   | \$0 with the education. Radnor is no longer disputing after changes.<br>************************************   | VILLANOVA<br>UNIVERSITY: \$200.00<br>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense<br>\$100.00  |
|                |  | Incident occurred on March 18, 2022, at 8am at 800 East<br>Lancaster Ave, Radnor Township, Delaware County.  | Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense   |

| Case   | Stakeholders | Summary   | Violations &                            |
|--------|--------------|---|---|
| Number |              |   | Recommendation<br>\$100.00              |
|        |              | MECO Constructors Inc hit an incorrectly marked 2"  | ψ100.00                                 |
|        |              | plastic line owned by PECO while working for  | Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense              |
|        |              | Villanova University. 911 was called.   | \$0.00                                  |
|        |              | PECO's AVR states, "Contractor damaged an incorrectly   | PENNONI                                 |
|        |              | marked 2" somastic plastic gas service. The damaged   | ASSOCIATES INC:                         |
|        |              | service was not on PECO print." Notes 911 was called.   | \$500.00                                |
|        |              |   | Section 4(2) 1st Offense                |
|        |              | On 6/8/22, emails and letters were sent requesting AVRs   | \$500.00                                |
|        |              | from Wohlsen Construction and MECO Constructors<br>Inc. Wohlsen responded stating that they subcontracted             | AT&T: \$500.00                          |
|        |              | to MECO. MECO submitted an AVR on 7/20/22. Also,  | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense             |
|        |              | Wohlsen notified the designer, Pennoni of the incident,   | \$500.00                                |
|        |              | and Pennoni submitted their AVR by 7/15/22.   |   |
|        |              |   | Crown Castle: \$250.00                  |
|        |              | MECO's AVR states, "Meco hired GPRS to mark out<br>private utilities based on existing utility map provided to        | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00 |
|        |              | Meco by Villanova. The gas service that was struck was  | ψ250.00                                 |
|        |              | omitted from this plan. A new map was provided by   | Radnor Township: \$0.00                 |
|        |              | Villanova after the hit that shows the gas line." Notes   | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense             |
|        |              | 911 was called. Size of project being 5 Acres. New  | \$0.00                                  |
|        |              | excavation ticket 20220110451 calls for 600-foot radius.  | Verizon: \$2,500.00                     |
|        |              | DPI obtained the contact information for the project  | Section 2(5)(v)                         |
|        |              | owner, Villanova University, and emailed an AVR   | Subsequent \$2,500.00                   |
|        |              | request on 8/10/2022. Villanova University submitted  |   |
|        |              | their AVR on the same day.  | Zayo Bandwith: \$750.00                 |
|        |              | Villanova University's AVR states, "I was notified  | Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense<br>\$750.00 |
|        |              | around 8am by Wohlsen Construction that their   | φ <i>15</i> 0.00                        |
|        |              | subcontractor, MECO, had hit a gas line. By the time i  |   |
|        |              | arrived on site, the fire department had arrived. I called  |   |
|        |              | PECO's emergency number and they arrived about an   |   |
|        |              | hour later to repair the gas line. PECO confirmed the line<br>was an incoming service line and worked on repairing it |   |
|        |              | for several hours. A PECO investigator arrived and  |   |
|        |              | confirmed MECO had called One Call but this line was  |   |
|        |              | not marked by them when the lines were marked on site.  |   |
|        |              | It was back up and running by 2pm. The gas line was hit<br>in a grass field internal to our campus that is only       |   |
|        |              | accessed via Villanova's private driveways. This did not  |   |
|        |              | impact public traffic."   |   |
|        |              |   |   |
|        |              | Preliminary design was completed, but no final design ticket was found.   |   |
|        |              | ucket was toulid.   |   |
|        |              | Violations:   |   |
|        |              |   |   |
|        |              | PECO  |   |
|        |              | Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to mark a line within 18 inches  |   |
|        |              | horizontally on ticket 20220110451. Subsequent + \$2,000  |   |
|        |              |   |   |
|        |              | MECO  |   |
|        |              | Section 5(3) - Failed to hold a complex project meeting.  |   |
|        |              | Education is required.  |   |
|        |              | Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10 days of a line strike.  |   |
|        |              |   |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|---|---|---|
|                |   | Villanova University - Recommendation<br>Section 6.1(1) - Failed to use sufficient level of SUE.<br>20200581698. 1st offense Reduce to \$100 + Education<br>is required.<br>Section 6.1(3) - Failed to submit a Final Design before<br>releasing a project for bid. 20200581698. 1st offense<br>Reduce to \$100 + Education is required.<br>Section 6.1(7) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10 days<br>of a line strike. Warning \$0 + Education is required.<br>Penalties reduced because they do not have previous<br>violations. |   |
|                |   | Pennoni<br>Section 4(2) - Designer failed to request line information<br>for a final design ticket between 10 to 90 days before<br>releasing project for bid. 1st Offense \$500 + Education<br>is required.   |   |
|                |   | Others:   |   |
|                |   | Radnor Township<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to PA1call ticket<br>20220110451. 1st offense \$500 + Education is required.   |   |
|                |   | Zayo Bandwith<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond on time to PA1call<br>ticket 20220110451. 3rd offense \$750 + Education is<br>required.  |   |
|                |   | Crown Castle<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond on time to PA1call<br>ticket 20220110451. 1st offense \$250 + Education is<br>required.   |   |
|                |   | AT&T<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to PA1call ticket<br>20220110451. 1st offense \$500 + Education is required.  |   |
|                |   | Verizon<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond on time to PA1call<br>ticket 20220110451. Subsequent offense \$2,500 +<br>Education is required.   |   |
| 30002          | Facility Owner:<br>Columbia Gas<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Met Ed / First Energy | On 3/18/2022 12:03:00 PM at 120 S LINCOLN DR,<br>CONEWAGO TWP, ADAMS Columbia Gas disputed<br>that the 2(5)(i) penalty should be a 3rd offense instead of<br>a subsequent.  | <b>Columbia Gas: \$1,500.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense<br>\$1,500.00              |
|                | Not La / First Endry  | DPI reviewed the previous violations and adjusted the<br>penalty to a 3rd Offense which was accepted by<br>Columbia Gas Company.<br>************************************  | <b>Met Ed / First Energy:</b><br><b>\$500.00</b><br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00 |
|                |   | Incident occurred on 3/18/2022 at 12:03pm at 120 South<br>Lincoln Drive, Conewago Township, Adams County.   |   |
|                |   | Met Ed was auguring when they hit an unmarked<br>Columbia Gas line. 911 was called immediately by Met<br>Ed, and Columbia Gas came out to make the immediate<br>repair.   |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|---|---|--|
|                |   | Columbia Gas' AVR stated that, "Met-Ed was installing<br>a new electric pole and believed they were in the clear of<br>the 2" plastic gas main, due to the locate marks. Met-Ed<br>augured into the gas main, causing the damage and<br>release of gas. Met-Ed immediately called 911 and<br>evacuated the area. Four houses were evacuated. The fire<br>department and police were on scene. Columbia Gas<br>conducted a perimeter control and completed a single<br>squeeze of gas main to make area safe. Met- Ed also<br>notified 811 of the damage. The local Damage<br>Prevention Specialist and Field Operation Leader<br>completed a root cause and additional education with the<br>locator involved. They followed up with all locators and<br>provided additional training. After making repairs,<br>Columbia Gas also then vacuum excavated out a new<br>hole for the pole and anchor that Met-Ed needed to set."<br>Images confirm that gas marks were off.  |  |
|                |   | Met-Ed's AVR states, "On 02/24/2022, Met-Ed<br>submitted Routine ticket 20220550397 to relocate a pole<br>and anchor at 120 South Lincoln Drive, Adams County.<br>On 03/10/2022, Met-Ed submitted Update ticket<br>20220692348 with the following remarks "Work not<br>started. Remark Lines." On 03/11/2022 Columbia Gas<br>responded "Field Marked." On 03/18/2022 while using<br>an auger, Met-Ed struck Columbia Gas' mismarked<br>facilities, submitted Emergency ticket 20220772011 and<br>immediately contacted 911. Columbia Gas responded to<br>make repairs and the Columbia Gas representative<br>indicated to the Met-Ed Lines Supervisor that Columbia<br>Gas' facilities were mismarked. The attached markout<br>and damage photos show Columbia Gas facilities<br>marked on the road of South Lincoln Drive, but do not<br>show markings, or flags, on the grass or snow in the<br>excavation area. The root cause of this dig in is<br>identified as the Facility Owner mismarked their<br>facilities." |  |
|                |   | Met-Ed submitted their AVR in July. The incident<br>happened over 10 business days on March 18th.<br>Violations:  |  |
|                |   | Columbia Gas<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to mark line within 18 inches<br>horizontally on ticket 20220692348.   |  |
|                |   | Met-Ed<br>Section 5(16) - Excavator failed to submit an AVR<br>within 10 days of a line strike. 20220772011   |  |
| 29636          | Facility Owner: AQUA<br>PENNSYLVANIA INC<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Miller Bros<br>Other: Chester Water<br>Authority<br>Other: PECO AN | On 3/23/2022 8:00:00 AM at W CYPRESS ST, NEW<br>GARDEN TWP, CHESTER Aqua was disputing the<br>violation and penalty for section 2(5)(v.1). They<br>provided information that their crew was in contact with<br>the excavator and onsite to remark lines within two<br>hours.  | AQUA<br>PENNSYLVANIA INC:<br>\$0.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00 |
|                | Other: PECO, AN<br>EXELON COMPANY   | DPI is removing the violation and penalty for 2(5)(v.1) for Aqua after reviewing the new information provided by Aqua.  |  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|--|---|
|                |  | **************************************   |   |
|                |  | Miller Brothers was using HDD and potholing to locate<br>an unidentifiable Aqua wastewater line while working<br>for PECO.   |   |
|                |  | Aqua's AVR stated, that they purchased the wastewater<br>system in December 2020. On 3/21/22 a technician<br>marked out lines at the pump station, manholes, and<br>wooded areas with no tracer wire. On 3/23/22 two<br>technicians used an old map but the landmarks were no<br>longer present. Aqua then hired GPRS, Inc to use ground<br>penetrating radar. After this Aqua decided their line must<br>be deeper than the HDD work being done by Miller<br>Bros. They told Miller Bros to proceed. GPRS cost<br>\$900.  |   |
|                |  | Miller Bros. AVR stated, "Miller Bros is performing a directional drill project for PECO Energy to replace an existing electric line. Miller Bros have a valid PA One Call - 20220771589. Aqua did respond on time to the one call. When the crew dug test holes to locate Aqua's forced main, it was not where the marks were. Miller Bros called PA One Call and an Aqua representative visited the site. Aqua then hired GPRS to help locate the forced main and properly mark it. Once remarked, Miller Bros hand dug at the location of the new marks. The forced main was not there. Miller Bros widened the excavation to 4' on either side of the new marks but the line was still not uncovered. PECO is paying Miller Bros to continue to hand dig to locate this mismarked line before proceeding with the drill work. This AVR is being submitted to inform PA One Call that Aqua has not been able to properly mark their lines and is causing additional costs to PECO. No Damage has currently occurred in relation with this AVR." |   |
|                |  | PECO's AVR stated that the facility owner hasn't marked<br>the line.<br>Reducing Aqua's 2(5)(i) penalty to zero for using GPRS<br>to help identify unlocatable line along with being on site   |   |
|                |  | to fix in damages that may occur.<br>Violations:   |   |
|                |  | Aqua<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate line within 18 inches on<br>ticket 20220771589.<br>Section 2(5)(v.1) - Failed to respond to a renotification<br>ticket within 2 hours.  |   |
| 29974          | Facility Owner: Citizens'<br>Electric Co<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Gutelius Excavating Inc<br>Project Owner: PA<br>American Water Co | On 3/28/2022 2:00:00 PM at 168 OXFORD DRIVE,<br><u>EAST BUFFALO TWP, UNION</u> Incident occurred on<br>3/28/22 at 2pm at 168 Oxford Drive, East Buffalo<br>Township, Union County where an electric line was hit.  | <b>Citizens' Electric Co:</b><br><b>\$500.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00 |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|---|---|--|
|                |   | Dave Gutelius Excavating Inc (DGE) hit a mismarked<br>line owned by Citizen's Electric Company while<br>working for Pennsylvania American Water (PAW).  |  |
|                |   | Citizen's AVR states, "On 4/4/22, Gutelius was<br>excavating near the driveway of 168 Oxford Drive when<br>the struck a cable-in-conduit owned by Citizens'<br>Electric. No injuries occurred and no customers were<br>interrupted by the strike. Gutelius ceased work at the<br>location and contacted PAOne Call. Citizens' Electric<br>employees responded and verified the cable. After<br>which, the de-energized and replaced the damage cable.<br>The cable was marked, but the markings were<br>approximately 39" off center from the actual cable<br>location. Gutelius was not excavating within the<br>tolerance zone when they struck the cable." |  |
|                |   | DPI sent AVR request letters via mail and email to Gutelius and PAW on 7/22/22.   |  |
|                |   | Gutelius AVR states, "Digging to replace a water service<br>was outside the tolarance zone of the marked electric and<br>slightly damaged the electric line that was not marked<br>properly."   |  |
|                |   | PAW's AVR states, "Our contractor, Dave Gutelius Exc.<br>Inc., was digging beside our curb box to expose the<br>water service line to 168 Oxford Dr. when at the back or<br>end of their trench they caught the electric line. The line<br>they caught is the primary underground electric line in<br>this development. They did not damage the actual<br>electric line they just tore the black conduit that houses<br>this line. The closest mark to the damaged line is over<br>24" away. After the hit they called PA One Call to<br>report."   |  |
|                |   | Violation:<br>Citizens Electric Company   |  |
|                |   | Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally.   |  |
| 29670          | Facility Owner: UGI<br>UTILITIES INC<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>CAMCO EXCAVATING<br>Other: Verizon | On 3/29/2022 11:09:00 AM at EASTON AVE.,<br><u>BETHLEHEM CITY, NORTHAMPTON</u> Incident<br>occurred on 3/29/22 at 11:09 am on the 2900 block of<br>Easton Ave, Bethlehem City, Northampton County.  | CAMCO<br>EXCAVATING:<br>\$1,500.00<br>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00 |
|                |   | UGI'S AVR states, "CAMCO EXCAVATING WAS<br>DIGGING WITHOUT A PA ONE CALL IN THE<br>WORK AREA. WHEN DOING SO HE DAMAGED<br>THE COATING ON A 2-INCH STEEL MAIN.   | Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00  |
|                |   | DIGGING WITHOUT A PA ONE CALL TICKET."<br>Easton Ave Pic 4 and 6 show the damaged line.   | <b>Verizon: \$2,500.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$2,500.00         |
|                |   | Camco Excavating was mailed and emailed a request for<br>an AVR on 6/16/2022. Camco submitted an AVR on<br>6/23/22.   |  |
|                |   | Camco's AVR notes that it was a near miss. AVR had no summary.  |  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|--|---|--|
|                |  | Ticket 20220884298 was placed after line strike. Ticket<br>also noted "7 HOLES WERE ALREADY DUG,<br>THERE ARE 4 MORE TO GO."  |  |
|                |  | Violations:   |  |
|                |  | Camco Excavating  |  |
|                |  | Section 5(2.1) - Failed to submit a pa1call before digging. Education is required.  |  |
|                |  | Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike. Education is required.  |  |
|                |  | Verizon<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to routine ticket,   |  |
|                |  | 202208842982, in a timely manner.   |  |
| 30008          | Facility Owner:<br>PENNSYLVANIA<br>AMERICAN WATER<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>DUQUESNE LIGHT | On 3/29/2022 2:20:00 PM at WILSON AVE,<br>CLAIRTON CITY, ALLEGHENY Incident occurred<br>3/29/2022 at 2:20pm along Wilson Avenue, Clairton<br>City, Allegheny County.  | PENNSYLVANIA<br>AMERICAN WATER:<br>\$1,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) 2nd<br>Offense \$1,000.00 |
|                | COMPANY  | Duquesne Light had an emergency ticket to replace a<br>light pole when the struck an unmarked Pennsylvania<br>American Water line.  |  |
|                |  | Duquesne Light's Alleged Violation Report (AVR)<br>stated, "Duquesne Light Overhead Crew struck an<br>unmarked water line owned by Pennsylvania American<br>Water while digging to replace a utility pole. A Damage<br>One Call was placed and the facility owner was<br>notified." Please see Duquesne Light Pictures 1-3 for<br>damage and line markings. |  |
|                |  | Emailed and mailed PA American Water on 7/7/2022 with a request to complete an AVR. AVR was submitted on 7/14/2022.   |  |
|                |  | PA American Water's AVR stated, "On 3/29/2022,<br>Duquesne Light was drilling to replace a power pole.<br>They struck a 2" water line during the process." AVR<br>notes lines were marked.  |  |
|                |  | PA American Water responded Clear no facilities to Ticket 20220881939.  |  |
|                |  | Violations:<br>Pennsylvania American Water<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate underground lines<br>within 18 inches horizontally on ticket 20220881939.<br>2nd offense \$1,000   |  |
| 30011          | Facility Owner: UGI<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>RLE Enterprises<br>Project Owner:            | On 4/6/2022 3:00:00 PM at 321 RACE ST, WEST<br><u>PITTSTON BORO, LUZERNE</u> West Pittston disputed<br>and sent the pre-construction sign-in sheet, they have<br>also provided evidence that they technically did follow  | <b>UGI: \$3,000.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense<br>\$1,500.00                        |
|                | PENNSYLVANIA<br>AMERICAN WATER<br>Other: Verizon   | the provisions of Act 50, but without responding in the POCS system. They have agreed to the violations with warnings in lieu of financial penalties, and education.  | Section 2(4) 2nd Offense<br>\$500.00   |
|                | <b>Other:</b> West Pittston<br>Borough   | ***************************************   | Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$1,000.00   |
|                |  |   | <b>RLE Enterprises:</b>  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--------------|--|---|
|                |              | Incident occurred on 4/6/2022 at 3pm at 321 Race Street,<br>West Pittston Boro, Luzerne County.  | <b>\$500.00</b><br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00                            |
|                |              | RLE Enterprises (RLE) hit an unmarked gas line owned<br>by UGI while installing lines for Pennsylvania American<br>Water (PAW). 911 was called.  | <b>Verizon: \$5,000.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(viii)<br>Subsequent \$2,500.00           |
|                |              | UGI's AVR stated, "RLE struck an incorrectly marked<br>gas service line while excavating outside the tolerance<br>zone."   | Section 2(4) Subsequent<br>\$2,500.00   |
|                |              | PAW'S AVR stated, "WHILE EXCAVATER WAS<br>DIGGING FOR NEW WATER MAIN<br>INSTALLATION THEY STRUCK A GAS SERVICE<br>LINE FOR 321 RACE ST. MARKED<br>INCORRECTLY." AVR noted that the project estimated   | West Pittston Borough:<br><b>\$0.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st<br>Offense \$0.00 |
|                |              | cost was under \$400,000 and the length was 708 feet.<br>DPI mailed and emailed RLE for an AVR on 7/7/22.  | Section 2(4) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00  |
|                |              | Email delivery confirmation was received on 7/7/22.<br>Email read confirmation was received on 7/7/22 from<br>rleenterprises.net. No AVR has been submitted as of<br>8/17/22.  | Section 2(4) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00  |
|                |              | Violations:  |   |
|                |              | UGI<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to mark a line within 18 inches<br>on ticket 20220611263.<br>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designers request<br>within 10 business days on ticket 20212871985.<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond on time to routine<br>ticket 20220731164.  |   |
|                |              | RLE Enterprises<br>Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of a line hit. Education is required.  |   |
|                |              | Other:   |   |
|                |              | Verizon<br>Section 2(5)(viii) - Failed to respond to complex design<br>ticket 20220611263.<br>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designer request for<br>information within 10 business days on ticket<br>20220633022.  |   |
|                |              | West Pittston Borough<br>Section 2(5)(viii) - Failed to respond to complex design<br>ticket 20220611263. Education is required.<br>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designer request for<br>information within 10 business days on ticket<br>20220633022. Education is required.<br>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designer request for<br>information within 10 business days on ticket<br>202128791985. Education is required. |   |
|                |              | **************************************   |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|---|---|---|
|                |   | RLE Enterprises' Alleged Violation Report states, "RLE<br>Struck a mismarked gas service on race st on 4-28-22.<br>RLE vac excavated all marked services on the street<br>prior to beginning. This service was marked 11 feet<br>away and RLE found an old gas line on this mark. Then<br>proceeded excavating down the street and struck the<br>unmarked line." AVR notes that 911 was notified on a<br>line hit that affected one customer for 1-6 hours while<br>costing \$1,001-\$5,000. AVR was not submitted within<br>10 days of the line strike.<br>Emails from PAWC and UGI both state that they do not<br>have any records of a line hit on this date. PAWC<br>confirmed with RLE Enterprises that this was the same<br>site information as the line strike for case 30011 on |   |
| 29977          | Facility Owner: Aqua<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>MACADAM COMPANY<br>Project Owner: FS<br>RESIDENTIAL<br>Other: Comcast<br>Other: PECO<br>Other: Verizon | Ario Anison as the fine state for take 500 from 467 (2022).         On 4/8/2022 11:00:00 AM at 25 LINDEN DRIVE,         CHELTENHAM TWP, MONTGOMERY PECO sent         documents to dispute on 2/7/2023, but the last day to         dispute was 1/21/2023. Sara Locke email PECO to let         them know their dispute could no longer be accepted.         ************************************  | Aqua: \$500.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>MACADAM<br>COMPANY: \$500.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Comcast: \$1,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$1,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$1,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$2,500.00 |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation                                    |
|----------------|--|---|---|
| 29951          | Facility Owner: Verizon<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>BRUBACHER                      | On 4/11/2022 7:30:00 AM at 800 KIMBERLY LANE,<br>WESTTOWN TWP, CHESTER Incident occurred on<br>4/11/2022 at 7:30am at 800 Kimberly Lane, Westtown   | Verizon: \$15,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent<br>\$2,500.00  |
|                | EXCAVATING<br>Project Owner: Aqua  | Township, Chester County.   | Section 2(10) Subsequent  |
|                | Designer: Total<br>Engineering LLC<br>Other: Comcast                               | Brubacher Excavating hit an unmarked Verizon line<br>while working for Aqua PA.   | \$2,500.00<br>Section 2(11) Subsequent                            |
|                |  | Brubacher's AVR states, "While excavating the trench<br>for new water main installation on Kimberly Dr Project,<br>the crew encountered an unmarked Verizon line. A 2"  | \$2,500.00<br>Section 2(5)(v)                                     |
|                |  | diameter conduit was found at 2'- 0" deep. The excavation was in the street adjacent to 800 Kimberly  | Subsequent \$2,500.00   |
|                |  | Ln. The work was being performed for Aqua PA.<br>Original Ticket #2022 067 1755 and the damage ticket<br>#2022 101 0474." Images 1-6 provided by Brubacher  | Section 2(5)(viii)<br>Subsequent \$2,500.00                       |
|                |  | confirm the line hit with the lack of markings from Verizon."   | Section 2(4) Subsequent<br>\$2,500.00                             |
|                |  | Aqua's AVR quoted Brubacher's statement.  |   |
|                |  | On 7/21/2022, Verizon was mailed and emailed a request to submit an AVR. Asked Verizon if Brubacher has hit a line within 6 months. No AVR been received. The Verizon email server sent a confirmation delivery email on 7/21/22. |   |
|                |  | Confirmed that Brubacher has hit a Verizon line within 6 months with AVR2022JUL150013.  |   |
|                |  | Violations:   |   |
|                |  | Verizon<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate underground facility<br>within 18 inches horizontally.<br>Section 2(10) - Failed to submit an alleged violation   |   |
|                |  | report within 30 business days.<br>Section 2(11) - Failed to comply with all requests for information by the Commission.  |   |
|                |  | Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine PA1call ticket.<br>Section 2(5)(viii) - Failed to participate in   |   |
|                |  | preconstruction meetings for a complex project.<br>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designers request<br>for information within 10 business days.  |   |
| 30014          | <b>Facility Owner:</b> UGI<br><b>Contractor/Excavator:</b><br>Jack Bell Excavating | On 4/11/2022 8:50:00 AM at RT 446 X Larabee, PORT<br>ALLEGANY BORO, TIOGA Incident occurred on<br>4/11/22 at 8:50am, along Rt 446 x Larabee, Port   | Jack Bell Excavating:<br>\$2,750.00<br>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense |
|                | Project Owner:<br>UNKNOWN  | Allegany Boro, Tioga County.<br>Jack Bell Excavating hit a gas line owned by UGI while  | \$1,000.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00               |
|                |  | operating without a PA1Call ticket.<br>UGI's AVR states, "Contractor dug and damaged a gas<br>service line. No 1-Call had been placed for the work."  | \$500.00<br>Section 5(17) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00                 |
|                |  | AVR notes 911 was not call. Contractor has placed One<br>Call notifications with PA One Call in<br>the past.  | Section 5(8) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00                            |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|--|---|
|                |  | DPI mailed an AVR request to Jack Bell Excavating on 7/7/22. Mailed to multiple addresses found online. No mailed has been returned from the USPS. Also asked for the project owner information to be provided. No response or AVR has been submitted as of 8/17/22.   |   |
|                |  | Violations:  |   |
|                |  | Jack Bell Excavating<br>Section 5(2.1) - Failed to submit a PA1call request<br>before digging. Education is required.<br>Section 5(8) – Excavator failed to immediately notify<br>911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the<br>escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid<br>which endangers life, health, or property. Education is<br>required.  |   |
|                |  | Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of the line strike. Education is required.<br>Section 5(17) - Failed to comply with all request for<br>information from the PUC within 30 days. Education is<br>required.   |   |
| 30023          | Facility Owner: PECO<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>DELMONT UTILITIES<br>COMPANY<br>Project Owner: Aqua | On 4/11/2022 9:00:00 AM at 12 STRATFORD RD,<br>DARBY TWP, DELAWARE PECO sent documents to<br>dispute on 2/7/2023, but the last day to dispute was<br>1/21/2023. Sara Locke email PECO to let them know<br>their dispute could no longer be accepted.   | PECO: \$2,500.00<br>Section 2(5)(i.1)<br>Subsequent \$1,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(v) |
|                | Other: Verizon   | Incident occurred 4/11/22 at 9am at 12 Stratford Rd,<br>Darby Township, Delaware County.   | Subsequent \$1,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00                  |
|                |  | Delmont Utilities identified an unmarked line owned by<br>PECO while installing new water lines for Aqua PA Inc.<br>No Damage.   |   |
|                |  | Delmont's AVR stated, "#12 Stratford Rd. Service never marked out."  |   |
|                |  | Lateral point of connection had no markings for #12<br>Stratford Road.   |   |
|                |  | Aqua's AVR stated, "Excavator indicated they<br>uncovered an unmarked gas service at #12 Stratford, no<br>damage."   |   |
|                |  | Asked PECO for an AVR on 7/7/22. No Damage. No AVR was received from PECO and they are not obligated to provide one.   |   |
|                |  | <ul> <li>PECO response on Final Design 20192141354 was<br/>"Conflict direct contact to follow."</li> <li>PECO response on Final Design 20212863204 was<br/>"Clear no facilities."</li> <li>PECO response on Complex Ticket 20220610198 was<br/>"Clear no facilities."</li> <li>PECO response on Routine Ticket 20220671945 was<br/>"Conflict Direct Contact to follow." Response was due<br/>by 3/15/22. Response was then given 18 days later as</li> </ul> |   |
|                |  | "Field Marked."<br>PECO response on Update Routine Ticket 20220841122<br>was late "Field Marked" on 4/1/22.  |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|---|---|
|                |  | Violation<br>PECO<br>Section 2(5)(i.1) - Failed to locate an actually know<br>facility's point of connection to its facilities.<br>20220841122<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine one call<br>ticket within the required amount of time. 20220841122<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a one call ticket.<br>20220671945   |   |
| 30024          | Facility Owner: PECO<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>DELMONT UTILITIES<br>COMPANY<br>Project Owner: Aqua   | 202206/1945         On 4/11/2022 9:00:00 AM at 8 STRATFORD RD,<br>DARBY TWP, DELAWARE Non damage incident<br>occurred 4/11/22 at 9am at 8 Stratford Rd, Darby<br>Township, Delaware County.         Delmont Utilities identified an unmarked line owned by<br>PECO while installing new water lines for Aqua PA Inc.         Delmont's AVR stated, "#8 STRATFORD RD. GAS<br>SERVICE NEVER MARKED OUT."         Aqua's AVR stated, "Excavator uncovered an unmarked<br>gas service at #8 Stratford. No damage."         Asked PECO for an AVR on 7/8/22. No Damage. No<br>AVR was received.         Violation:<br>PECO<br>Section 2(5)(i.1) - Failed to locate an actually know<br>facility's point of connection to its facilities.         Notes:  | PECO: \$500.00<br>Section 2(5)(i.1) 2nd<br>Offense \$500.00   |
| 30027          | Facility Owner: AquaContractor/Excavator:Leeward Construction,Inc.Project Owner: AquaPennsylvania, Inc.Designer: GHD IncOther: Borough ofHonesdaleOther: DelawareLackawaxen &Stourbridge RROther: Texas TownshipOther: Verizon | Other violations were noted in case 30023.On 4/11/2022 11:00:00 AM at 106 Ridge St.,HONESDALE BORO, WAYNE Incident occurred on4/11/2022 at 11am along Ridge Street, Honesdale Boro,Wayne County.Leeward Construction, Inc. (Leeward) hit a mismarkedwater line owned by Aqua.Aqua's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Thecontractor was excavating for a new 8" water main whenthe excavator hit the unmarked water main andpunctured the pipe with the tooth of the bucket. The OneCall markings were on the other side of the street fromwhere the pipe was hit, approximately 8' off. Thecontractor repaired the main with a new piece of 4" DIPand two fittings."DPI mailed and emailed Leeward an AVR on 7/8/22.Leeward's AVR states, "On 4/11/22 at approximately8:00am, Leeward was installing water main in thevicinity of 106 Ridge St in Honesdale, PA. The crewstruck an unmarked water service and no one indicatedthat they were out of water. The crew went back to | Aqua: \$1,250.00         Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense         \$500.00         Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st         Offense \$250.00         Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense         \$500.00         Leeward Construction,         Inc.: \$500.00         Section 5(16) 1st Offense         \$500.00         GHD Inc: \$250.00         Section 4(4) 1st Offense         \$250.00         Section 4(8) 1st Offense         \$0.00         Borough of Honesdale:         \$250.00         Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--------------|--|---|
|                |              | digging and later struck the 4 inch main that was 12 feet<br>off of the marked location." AVR noted SUE Level A.<br>See file named, Picture - LEEWARD<br>CONSTRUCTION Ridge St.  | Delaware Lackawaxen &<br>Stourbridge RR: \$250.00<br>Section 2(4) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00 |
|                |              | GHD was mailed and emailed a request to complete an AVR that asked them the level of SUE and total estimated cost of the project.  | <b>Texas Township: \$500.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st<br>Offense \$500.00             |
|                |              | GHD's AVR lists that the project used Level C SUE on a project costing \$519,737.50. The 2,224 LF project was released for bid on 12/8/2021. GHD's field report was completed on 4/11/22. GHD's AVR was due 30 business days after 4/11/22.  | <b>Verizon: \$5,000.00</b><br>Section 2(4) Subsequent<br>\$2,500.00                       |
|                |              | Violations:  | Section 2(5)(viii)<br>Subsequent \$2,500.00   |
|                |              | Aqua<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate lines within 18 inches<br>of the lateral on ticket 20220872383.<br>Section 2(5)(i.1) - Failed to locate an actually known<br>facility's point of connection to its facilities on ticket<br>20220872383.<br>Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels<br>of subsurface utility engineering or other similar<br>techniques to properly determine the existence and<br>positions of underground facilities when designing<br>known complex projects having an estimated cost of<br>(\$400,000) or more. |   |
|                |              | Verizon<br>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designers request<br>for information within 10 business days. 20213363120.<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a ticket in a timely<br>manner. 20220872416.<br>Section 2(5)(viii) - Failed to participate in complex<br>meetings. 20220540984.  |   |
|                |              | Delaware Lackawaxen & Stourbridge RR<br>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designers request<br>for information within 10 business days. 20213363120.<br>Education is required  |   |
|                |              | Texas Township<br>Section 2(5)(viii) - Failed to participate in complex<br>meetings. 20220540984 Education is required   |   |
|                |              | Borough of Honesdale<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ - Failed to respond to a ticket in a timely<br>manner. 20220872416 Education is required   |   |
|                |              | Leeward Construction<br>Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of a line strike. Education is required   |   |
|                |              | GHD Inc.<br>Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to<br>avoid damage to and minimize interference with<br>facilities in the construction area. 20213363125.<br>Education is required.   |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|---|---|
|                |  | Section 4(8) – Designer failed to submit an Alleged<br>Violation Report through the One Call System within 30<br>business days of being notified or aware that a violation<br>of this act may have been committed. Education is<br>required. 1st offense reducing to \$0.   |   |
|                |  | Related to cases 31353 & 30856  |   |
| 30000          | Facility Owner: Verizon<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>BRUBACHER<br>EXCAVATING INC<br>Project Owner: Aqua<br>Designer: Total<br>Engineering | On 4/11/2022 12:00:00 PM at 814 KIMBERLY LANE,<br>WESTTOWN TWP, CHESTER<br>Incident occurred on<br>4/11/2022 at 12pm at 814 Kimberly Lane, Westtown,<br>Chester County.Brubacher Excavating hit an unmarked Verizon line<br>while working for Aqua PA. Burbacher Excavating has<br>hit two unmarked lines within 6 months. (Case 29951)Aqua's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "While<br>Brubacher was excavating the trench for new water main<br>installation on the Kimberly project, the crew<br>encountered an UNMARKED Verizon line A 2"<br>diameter orange conduit was found at 2' deep. The<br>excavation was in the street adjacent to 814 Kimberly<br>Lane."Brubacher's AVR states, "While excavating the trench<br>for new water main installation on Kimberly Ln Project,<br>the crew encountered an unmarked Verizon line. A 2"<br>diameter orange conduit was found at 2'-0" deep. The<br>excavation was in the street adjacent to 814 Kimberly<br>Ln. The work was being performed for Aqua PA.<br>Original Ticket #2022 067 1740 and the damage ticket<br>#2022 101 2874 (Kimberly) and 2022 101 2882 for<br>General Greene Dr." Pictures 1-5 provided by Brubacher<br>shows the line hit.On July 22, 2022, Verizon was mailed and emailed a<br>request to submit an AVR. No AVR has been received.<br>This AVR is mandatory because Brubacher has hit<br>Verizon's line more than twice in 6 months.Violations:Verizon<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate underground lines<br>within 18 inches of the outside wall of the line.<br>Section 2(10) - Failed to comply with all requests for<br>information by the commission.Notes-Case 29951 includes any response citations from<br>tickets 20212571211, 20221010474, 20220671781, and | Verizon: \$7,500.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent<br>\$2,500.00<br>Section 2(10) Subsequent<br>\$2,500.00<br>Section 2(11) Subsequent<br>\$2,500.00 |
| 30022          | Facility Owner: Peoples<br>Gas<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>West Deer Township  | 20220560469.<br>On 4/12/2022 12:33:00 PM at LOGAN RD, WEST<br>DEER TWP, ALLEGHENY Incident occurred on<br>4/12/22 at 12:33pm along Logan Road, West Deer<br>Township, Allegheny County. West Deer Township<br>(WDT) hit an unmarked line owned by Peoples Gas.  | Peoples Gas: \$1,500.00           Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offens           \$1,500.00           West Deer Township:           \$0.00                    |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|---|---|---|
|                |   | Peoples Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stated, "West<br>Deer Township was working on Logan Road in West<br>Deer Twp. installing catch basins and storm water drains<br>when they hit a mismarked gas service line of ours. PNG<br>crew arrived onsite to fix the damaged service line. A<br>Marker Ball was installed.  | Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00   |
|                |   | DPI sent an AVR request letter to WDT on 7/7/22.<br>Submitted AVR on 7/12/22.   |   |
|                |   | WDT's AVR stated, "While locating the service line to a resident's home with an excavator and hand tools the line was punctured with the teeth of the excavator bucket, the service gas line was approximately 6 inches deep. Under normal operating procedures after the first layer which is normally this depth, we use hand tools to remove several inches at a time to ensure the excavator can remove the following layers until the utility is safely found. At that time, I contacted the gas company. Then contacted 911 dispatch."                                |   |
|                |   | Violations:   |   |
|                |   | West Deer Township<br>Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of a line strike. Warning \$0 + Education is<br>required.  |   |
|                |   | Peoples Gas Company<br>Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines<br>within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line.<br>20220942673  |   |
| 30007          | Facility Owner: Lower<br>Bucks County Joint<br>Municipal Authority<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>VALIANT ENERGY | On 4/13/2022 9:00:00 AM at 109 GREEN LYNNE DR,<br>BRISTOL TWP, BUCKS Incident occurred on<br>4/13/2022 at 9am at 109 Green Lynne Drive, Bristol<br>Township, Bucks County.  | Lower Bucks County<br>Joint Municipal<br>Authority: \$1,250.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00 |
|                | Project Owner: PECO   | Valiant Energy Services (VES) augured into a water line<br>owned by Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal<br>Authority (LBJ).  | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |   | VES alleged violation report (AVR) stated that the augur struck a water line.   | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |   | PECO's AVR stated that the contractor hit a mismarked water service.  | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |   | LBJ's AVR states, "On April 13th 2022, Valiant Energy<br>was replacing a utility pole located at 109 Green Lynne<br>Drive in Levittown PA. The auger which dug the<br>location for the replacement utility pole demoged the   | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |   | location for the replacement utility pole damaged the<br>public water main. Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal<br>Authority (LBCJMA) was contacted via an emergency<br>PA-1 ticket about water coming out of the auger hole.<br>The hole was dewatered and it was determined that the<br>auger hit the water main causing a leak. LBCJMA<br>repaired the water main and Valient Energy completed<br>the pole replacement. The location of the marked water<br>main was within the tolerance zone for a 6" pipe. The<br>water main was marked with blue paint and flags. The | Section 2(5)(vii) 1st<br>Offense \$0.00   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|--|--|--|
|                |  | <ul> <li>cost of repair for LBCJMA was approximately</li> <li>\$2500.00. Please see attached pictures for location of main in respect to utility pole location." Submitted pictures 109 Green Lynne (1) and (2).</li> <li>Emergency ticket claimed 103 Green Lynne, but the GPS coordinates in the ticket marked 109 Green Lynne.</li> </ul>   |  |
|                |  | Violations:  |  |
|                |  | Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ - Failed to respond to routine ticket<br>20220881811. Education is required. 1st offense<br>reducing penalty by 50%.<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ - Failed to respond to routine ticket<br>20220970793. Education is required. 1st offense<br>reducing penalty by 50%.<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ - Failed to respond to routine ticket<br>20220970803. Education is required. 1st offense<br>reducing penalty by 50%.<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ - Failed to respond to routine ticket<br>2022081243. Education is required. 1st offense<br>reducing penalty by 50%.<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ - Failed to respond to routine ticket<br>20220881243. Education is required. 1st offense<br>reducing penalty by 50%.<br>Section $2(5)(vi)$ - Failed to respond to emergency ticket<br>20221031700. Education is required. Reducing penalty  |  |
| 20026          |  | to \$0 due to responding in person but failing to respond<br>in KARL.  | DECO. \$1.000.00   |
| 30036          | Facility Owner: Aqua<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>PECO<br>Project Owner: PECO<br>ENERGY<br>Other: Verizon | On 4/13/2022 1:00:00 PM at 1402 DRAYTON LN,<br>LOWER MERION TWP, MONTGOMERY Incident<br>occurred on 4/13/2022 at 1pm at 1402 Drayton Lane,<br>Lower Merion Township, Montgomery County.<br>PECO hit a water line owned by Aqua was fixing an<br>emergency gas line.<br>Aqua's alleged violation report (AVR) stated, "PECO<br>CREW DAMAGED A WATER SERVICE WHILE<br>REPAIRING A GAS LEAK. THE WATER SERVICE<br>WAS PROPERLY MARKED."<br>Mailed and emailed an AVR request to PECO on<br>7/8/2022. No AVR has been received to date. Email<br>delivery and read receipts were sent from the PECO<br>server.<br>Violations:<br>PECO<br>Section 5(4) - Failed to use prudent techniques within<br>the tolerance zone on ticket 20221030085. 1st offense<br>\$250<br>Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an alleged violation<br>report within 10 business days of a line strike. 1st<br>offense \$250<br>Verizon<br>Section 2(5)(vii)- Failed to respond to emergency ticket | PECO: \$1,000.00<br>Section 5(4) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Verizon: \$2,500.00<br>Section 2(5)(vii)<br>Subsequent \$2,500.00 |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation               |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| 30097          | Facility Owner: PECO   | On 4/18/2022 9:00:00 AM at 465 MAPLEWOOD RD,   | PECO: \$3,000.00                             |
|                | Contractor/Excavator:<br>DELMONT UTILITIES                           | SPRINGFIELD TWP, DELAWARE Incident occurred<br>on 4/18/22 at 9am at 465 Maplewood Road, Springfield  | Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent<br>\$2,000.00     |
|                | COMPANY<br>Project Owner: Aqua                                       | Township, Delaware County.   | Section 2(5)(v)                              |
|                | Other: Comcast<br>Other: Verizon                                     | Delmont hit a 1" plastic gas service outside of the tolerance zone. The line is owned by PECO. 911 was called.   | Subsequent \$1,000.00<br>Comcast: \$1,000.00 |
|                |  | Delmont's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stated,   | Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$1,000.00     |
|                |  | "WHILE DIGGING TO INSTALL WATER MAIN WE<br>HIT A 1"PLASTIC GAS SERVICE 2 FEET OFF THE  | Verizon: \$2,500.00                          |
|                |  | MARK. 465 MAPLEWOOD RD." Delmont Picture 1 shows the damaged line and mark out that is beyond the 18 inch tolerance zone.  | Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$2,500.00     |
|                |  | Aqua's AVR stated, "Excavator indicated they hit a gas service that was approximately 2' off the mark."  |  |
|                |  | DPI sent an AVR letter request to PECO on 7/11/22 via mail and email. PECO submitted their AVR on 7/12/22.   |  |
|                |  | PECO's AVR stated, "Contractor, Delmont, damaged an incorrectly marked 1" plastic service. The service was marked using inaccurate PECO records."  |  |
|                |  | Violations:  |  |
|                |  | PECO<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate underground lines<br>within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the<br>line. 20221020027. Subsequent \$2,000<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket in<br>the required amount of time. 20221020027. Subsequent<br>\$1,000 |  |
|                |  | Verizon<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket.<br>20221020027. Subsequent \$2,500.  |  |
|                |  | Comcast<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket in<br>the required amount of time. 20221020027. Subsequent<br>\$1,000   |  |
|                |  | Notes:<br>Late ticket responses cited in Case 30094.   |  |
| 30856          | Facility Owner: UGI  | On 4/20/2022 8:00:00 AM at 200 RIDGE STREET.   | UGI: \$1,000.00                              |
|                | Contractor/Excavator:<br>Leeward Construction<br>Project Owner: Aqua | HONESDALE BORO, WAYNE Incident occurred on 4/20/22 at 8am at 200 Ridge St, Honesdale Boro, Wayne County.   | Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent<br>\$1,000.00     |
|                | Designer: GHD Inc<br>Other: Honesdale<br>Borough<br>Other: Verizon   | Leeward Construction hit a mismarked gas line owned<br>by UGI while doing work for Aqua. 911 was called.   |  |
|                |  | UGI's AVR states, "Excavator struck and damaged an<br>incompletely marked gas service line while excavating<br>outside the tolerance zone. Gas service line was traced<br>using an insertable tracing rodder and the tap was vac'd<br>and spotted. Service line took an abrupt curve that could    |  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|---|---|---|
|                |   | not be accounted for, that is the reason for the incorrect marking."  |   |
|                |   | On 7/21/2022, request letters to submit AVRs were mailed to Leeward Construction and Aqua. AVRs were received on 7/21 from Leeward and 7/22 from Aqua.  |   |
|                |   | Leeward's AVR states, "While digging for service<br>installation in the vicinity of 200 Ridge St. the Leeward<br>crew damaged a gas service that deviated away from the<br>marks by over 2.5 feet. The service line was marked,<br>however, it took a 90 degree turn off the roadway and<br>ran down the hill through the woods feeding an unknown<br>building. The service was incorrectly marked and<br>outside of the 18 inch tolerance zone." |   |
|                |   | Aqua's AVR summarized the AVR submitted by Leeward.   |   |
|                |   | Violation:<br>UGI Utilities Inc.<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate line within 18 inches<br>horizontally. UGI ran a tracer wire & vac'd the line. Fine<br>reduced by 50% for identify locating.   |   |
|                |   | Other violations linked in case 30027 & 31353   |   |
| 30223          | Facility Owner:<br>PENCOR SERVICES INC<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>NRS Excavating | On 4/25/2022 12:00:00 PM at 132 Burning Tree Dr.<br>BLOOMING GROVE TWP, PIKE Incident occurred on<br>4/25/2022 at 12pm at 132 Burning Tree Drive, Blooming<br>Grove Township, Pike County.  | <b>NRS Excavating:</b><br><b>\$2,000.00</b><br>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00 |
|                |   | NRS Excavation hit a cable line owned by Pencor<br>Services Inc without placing a valid PA1call.  | Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |   | Pencor Services AVR stated, "Contractor hit and<br>damaged a underground cable line to home. A PA 1 call<br>was not called in until after the line was damaged." AVR  | Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00   |
|                |   | Comments - NRS Excavation has placed notifications with PA One Call in the past.  | Section 5(17) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |   | DPI mailed and emailed NRS Excavation with a request<br>for an AVR on 7/18/2022. Also asked for the Project<br>owner's contact information. No AVR has been received<br>from NRS Excavation as of 9/12/22. NRS email server<br>sent a delivered receipt.  |   |
|                |   | Ticket 20221152255 was placed as a routine ticket after<br>the line strike and emergency ticket was placed.   |   |
|                |   | Violations:   |   |
|                |   | NRS Excavation  |   |
|                |   | Section 5(2.1) - Failed to place a location request to One<br>Call within the correct timeframe. Education is required.<br>Section 5(6)(i) - Failed to plan the excavation or<br>demolition work. Education is required.<br>Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of a line strike. Education is required.   |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|---|---|--|
|                |   | Section 5(17) - Failed to comply with all request for information from the PUC. Education is required.  |  |
| 31156          | Facility Owner: PECO<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>CADDICK UTILITIES<br>Project Owner: AQUA<br>PENNSYLVANIA INC<br>Other: VERIZON PA<br>LLC | <ul> <li>information from the PUC. Education is required.</li> <li>On 6/3/2022 10:00:00 AM at LINDLEY RD,</li> <li>CHELTENHAM TWP, MONTGOMERY Incident</li> <li>occurred on 6/03/2022 on Lindley Rd, in Cheltenham</li> <li>Township, Montgomery County.</li> <li>Caddick Utilities LLC stated in their Alleged Violation</li> <li>Report (AVR) that an unmarked PECO gas line was hit</li> <li>and damaged while Caddick Utilities LLC was digging</li> <li>with a backhoe for a project for Aqua PA. The damage</li> <li>occurred near house #707 Willow Grove Ave. They also</li> <li>state that the response crew determined that the line was</li> <li>an old run-to-curb not servicing anyone. This line was</li> <li>disconnected and clamped. 911 was called.</li> <li>Aqua PA Inc. stated in their AVR that Caddick Utilities</li> <li>damaged an unmarked gas line near house #707 Willow</li> <li>Grove Ave. The response crew determined the line was</li> <li>an old run-to curb line not serving anyone, which they</li> <li>disconnected and clamped. Aqua sent an attachment</li> <li>with a correction. 911 was called and both the fire and</li> <li>the police responded.</li> <li>PECO was emailed an AVR request letter- on 6/17/2022.</li> <li>No AVR has been received to date.</li> <li>PECO is in violation of Section:</li> <li>2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18"</li> <li>horizontally of the outside wall. This is a subsequent</li> <li>offense, and the penalty is applied.</li> <li>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50,</li> <li>Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket.</li> <li>This is a subsequent offense, and the full penalty is applied.</li> </ul> | PECO: \$2,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent<br>\$2,000.00<br>VERIZON PA LLC:<br>\$2,500.00<br>Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$2,500.00                                      |
| 31812          | Facility Owner: UGI<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Valacon<br>Project Owner: PA<br>American Water  | On 6/17/2022 10:00:00 AM at S SHERMAN ST,<br>WILKES BARRE CITY, LUZERNE Incident occurred<br>on 6/17/2022 on South Sherman Street, Wilkes Barre<br>City, Luzerne County.<br>Valacon/TSE (Valacon) hit a marked UGI Utility line<br>while installing water lines for Pennsylvania American<br>Water Company (PAWC). 911 was called.<br>UGI's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stated, "UGI<br>employee was one block away at a complex meeting and   | Valacon: \$3,750.00<br>Section 5(4) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00<br>Section 5(6)(ii) 1st<br>Offense \$500.00<br>Section 5(7) 1st Offense |
|                |   | saw Valacon digging. He decided to walk up and do a<br>site visit. When he got to the intersection he discovered<br>that the Valacon crew was in a 6-7 ft deep hole with no<br>shoring and an active gas leak. The Valacon crew was<br>attempting to use duct tape to stop the leak on a tap they<br>had cracked off of the main. Valacon crew said they<br>found the leak and did not hit the tap, however their third<br>party inspector from Reilly Engineering said that there<br>was not a leak initially and the smell of gas and leak did  | \$1,000.00<br>Section 5(8) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation |
|----------------|--------------|--|--------------------------------|
|                |              | not occur until after he witnessed them attempting to<br>shove their water main underneath the UGI gas main.<br>The top of the UGI gas main was 50" and the top of the<br>water main being installed was 50". This leads me to<br>believe they were attempting to bend back the gas main<br>in order to fit their water main underneath it. The nature<br>of the break is also consistent with that root cause. PA<br>American water supervisor arrived on site and promptly<br>kicked the foreman/excavator off of the jobsite and<br>removed him from PA Water work." Image, (UGI<br>Picture 8 Duct Tape), show that someone placed duct<br>tape over the broken utility line. UGI Picture 9 shows the<br>main gas pipe had been pulled vertically.  | PA American Water:<br>\$0.00   |
|                |              | PAWC's AVR states, "Valacon damaged gas main while<br>digging to installed new after main underneath gas main.<br>Damaged the cap that was on the gas main and Valacon<br>attempted to tape main without telling UGI first. UGI<br>came and repaired."   |                                |
|                |              | DPI sent an AVR request to Valacon via mail and email<br>on 7/1/2022. Valacon replied that DPI had the wrong<br>date and referenced another line strike at 126 Sherman<br>Street. DPI cleared this up with images from the line<br>strike at the intersection of South Sherman and South<br>Street. Valacon submitted an AVR on 7/8/22. See email<br>attachment - Valacon Email.   |                                |
|                |              | DPI emailed Reilly Engineering who was on site during the incident for a witness statement on 7/8/2022.  |                                |
|                |              | Reilly Engineering submitted a daily field report of the incident from 6/17/22 that stated, "John Gruver (JG) arrived on E. South St., Wilkes Barre to continue mainline work. The contractor continued up E. South St., Wilkes-Barre installing 8" DI main toward the intersection of S. Sherman St. and E. South Street. The contractor was working on installing a GV at the intersection before continuing up E. South St. During the day JG approached the trench they were working in and noticed fresh black tape around the gas main. After some discussion the contractor admitted they placed the tape over the main as it was "leaking". JG told them that is not permitted and to call UGI and 911. While doing so Jeff from UGI showed up and called the necessary people to have the matter taken care of. Meanwhile JG called Brian to report the situation and to have an AVR done. Once UGI made the repair the contractor backfilled with 2A modified and compacted with a smooth vibratory plate. The contractor then placed temporary pave" Daily Field Report is attached as Reilly Engineering 2022-6-17 |                                |
|                |              | Valcon's AVR states, "VALACON WAS DIGGING TO<br>INSTALL MAIN LINE & WATER SERVICES WHEN<br>THEY EXPOSED A GAS MAIN WITH THE PUNCH<br>TEE ON TOP OF THE GAS MAIN LEAKING."  |                                |
|                |              | Emailed UGI on 8/17/22 to clarify if they had a notification from the excavator or 911. UGI responded  |                                |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|---|---|--|
|                |   | on 8/19/22, after check with their dispatch that they received no notifications. See attachment UGI email.  |  |
|                |   | Violations:   |  |
|                |   | Valacon<br>Section 5(4) - Failed to exercise due care and prudent<br>excavation techniques. 1st offense \$500 + Education is<br>required<br>Section 5(6)(i) - Failed to plan the excavation or<br>demolition work to avoid damage to or minimize              |  |
|                |   | interference with a facility owner's line. 1st offense \$250<br>+ Education is required<br>Section 5(6)(ii) - Failed to provide support and<br>mechanical protection for know facility owner's line. 1st<br>offense \$500 + Education is required             |  |
|                |   | Section 5(7) - Failed to immediately report to the facility<br>owner any leak or break in its line, or any dent, gouge,<br>groove or other damage. 1st offense \$1,000 + Education<br>is required<br>Section 5(8) - Failed to immediately notify 911 and the  |  |
|                |   | facility owner if the damage results in the escape or any<br>flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which<br>endangers life. 1st offense \$1,000 + Education is<br>required  |  |
|                |   | Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an alleged violation<br>report within 10 business day of a line strike. 1st offense<br>\$500 + Education is required   |  |
|                |   | PA American Water has provided evidence that they did<br>use level A SUE, although they declared Level D in their<br>AVR.   |  |
| 21.511         |   | Related cases: 31231, 30999, and 31874.   |  |
| 31611          | Facility Owner: Comcast<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Danella Line Services Inc | On 6/20/2022 11:00:00 AM at 126 Farmstead Circle,<br>LEBANON CITY, LEBANON Incident occurred on<br>6/20/2022 at 11:00am at 126 Farmstead Circle, Lebanon  | <b>Comcast: \$3,500.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$1,000.00 |
|                | Project Owner: York<br>Trenching and Boring<br>Other: Comcast<br>Placeholder  | City, Lebanon County.<br>There was a cable line that was hit. ***Hand<br>Digging***   | Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$1,000.00                               |
|                | Other: MetEd<br>Other: Verizon  | Danella Line Services, Inc. was working for York<br>Trenching and Boring. Danella Line Services AVR   | Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense<br>\$1,500.00                              |
|                |   | states that "While digging with a shovel for Hand hole<br>placement, an unmarked Comcast service drop was cut<br>at 1"-2" deep."  | <b>MetEd: \$500.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00      |
|                |   | Service was interrupted for an unknown amount of time.  | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00                                |
|                |   | *Verizon is in violation section:<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ – Failed to respond to a routine One Call<br>ticket within the required amount of time on ticket<br>20221654479. Response was marked "Field Marked" on<br>6/17/2022. Response was due on $6/16/2022$ . | <b>Verizon: \$2,000.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$1,000.00 |
|                |   | Section $2(5)(v)$ – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on ticket 20221654475. Response was marked "Field Marked" on 6/17/2022. Response was due on 6/16/2022. Recommendation: penalty applied                  | Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$1,000.00                               |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation |
|----------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
|                |  | *MetEd is in violation section:<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ – Failed to respond to a routine One Call<br>ticket within the required amount of time on ticket<br>20221654479. Response was marked "Field Marked" on<br>6/20/2022. Response was due on $6/16/2022$ .<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ – Failed to respond to a routine One Call<br>ticket within the required amount of time on ticket<br>20221654475. Response was marked "Field Marked" on<br>6/20/2022. Response was due on $6/16/2022$ .<br>Recommendation: penalty applied<br>*Comcast is in violation section:<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ – Failed to respond to a routine One Call<br>ticket within the required amount of time on ticket<br>20221654479. Response was marked "Field Marked" on<br>6/20/2022. Response was marked "Field Marked" on<br>6/20/2022. Response was due on $6/16/2022$ .<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ – Failed to respond to a routine One Call<br>ticket within the required amount of time on ticket<br>20221654479. Response was marked "Field Marked" on<br>6/20/2022. Response was due on $6/16/2022$ .<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ – Failed to respond to a routine One Call<br>ticket within the required amount of time on ticket<br>20221654475. Response was marked "Field Marked" on<br>6/20/2022. Response was due on $6/16/2022$ .<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ – Failed to respond to a routine One Call<br>ticket within the required amount of time on ticket<br>20221654475. Response was marked "Field Marked" on<br>6/20/2022. Response was due on $6/16/2022$ .<br>Section $2(5)(i)$ – Failed to locate underground lines<br>within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. |                                |
| 33017          | Contractor/Excavator:<br>Jacob Edens<br>Project Owner: Jacob<br>Edens M&J Oilfield<br>Services<br>Other: MELISSA BIZUB | Recommendation: penalty appliedOn 8/20/2022 1:50:00 AM at 568 Zeigler Road, NEWSEWICKLEY TWP, BEAVERThis is a documentedneighbor dispute and the information collected so far,does not show that there is a safety concern or violationof ACT 50. Case 27348 included the same neighbors andpolice involvement has been documented. Mr. Edensmade a proper One Call notification and states that hisequipment has not left the site and construction hascontinued.Incident occurred on 8/20/2022 at 568 Zeigler Road,Rochester PA 15074, where excavation was taking placewithout a One Call ticket. This is a second occurrencewith this homeowner and the same neighbor.  |                                |
|                |  | AVR from Neighbor state that there was no One Call<br>packed for this excavation. Neighbor stated that a gas<br>transmission line is near the excavation site. She states<br>that this affects the safety of all the neighbors in the<br>community. Pictures were submitted and a video is<br>available upon request.<br>Energy Transfer stated in an email from 8/25/2022, that<br>Energy Transfer line is way off from this area.<br>AVR request letter sent to Jacob Edens on 8/23/2022.   |                                |
|                |  | DPI does not think we need an AVR due to the circumstances of this neighbor dispute.  |                                |

## **Full Session**

| Case   | Stakeholders             | Summary   | Violations &              |
|--------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Number |                          |   | Recommendation            |
| 26747  | Facility Owner: National | <u>On 11/1/2020 10:00:00 PM at 1202 BROWN AVE,</u>    | ParkSide Utility          |
|        | Fuel Gas                 | ERIE CITY, ERIE Tuesday, March 14, 2023, DPC          | Construction, LLC:        |
|        | Contractor/Excavator:    | Meeting. Parkside Utility Construction was disputing, | \$1,000.00                |
|        | ParkSide Utility         | and they were NO SHOW. The DPC Voted to Accept        | Section 5(16) 1st Offense |
|        | Construction, LLC        | the DPI's Recommendations.                            | \$500.00                  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|---|---|---|
|                | Project Owner: Verizon<br>North, LLC<br>Other: Erie City<br>Other: PENNSYLVANIA | *********<br>Rejection- Parkside Utility Construction formally rejects<br>the penalties associated with their stake in this case.<br>******   | Section 5(17) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00   |
|                | PUBLIC UTILITY<br>COMMISSION  | The incident occurred on Sunday, November 1, 2020, at 1202 Brown Avenue, in Erie City, Erie County. National Fuel Gas (NFG) gas line was damaged.   | Verizon North, LLC:<br>\$0.00   |
|                |   | An Alleged Violation Report (AVR) was submitted by<br>PA PUC explaining that ParkSide Utility Construction<br>LLC was working for Verizon digging for the<br>replacement of a telephone pole. During the excavation a<br>gas line owned by NFG, was hit and damaged and the   | Erie City: \$0.00<br>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st<br>Offense \$0.00<br>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st |
|                |   | line strike caused a fire in the apartment building.<br>ParkSide Utility explained, proceeded with the job when<br>all facility owners responded as Clear and NFG<br>responded as Field Marked. The broken telephone pole<br>was removed and the same hole was used for the new<br>pole. For the installation, the crew cleaned the hole out<br>and into 4-flights of augering, a hissing sound was heard.<br>The gas line was 7-feet off the locate mark. Immediately,<br>equipment and vehicles were shut down, calls were<br>made to 911 and 811. The damage caused a small house<br>fire. The Fire and the Police Department arrived on site.<br>Park Side Utility provided photos. | Offense \$0.00  |
|                |   | NFG stated, during the excavation ParkSide hit and<br>damaged an unmarked 2-inch medium pressure gas main<br>line. In their AVR they mentioned an Excavator issue<br>but did not list a violation. NFG provided three photos.   |   |
|                |   | 20203060219, New Excavation Emergency ticket, was<br>placed on 11/1/2020, at 9:28pm, by ParkSide Utility, and<br>the type of work was replacing a telephone pole and<br>working for Verizon.<br>NFG responded as Field Marked at 10:23pm.<br>Erie City responded late on 11/2/2020, at 8:04am, as<br>Clear No Facilities.   |   |
|                |   | 20203070004, New Damage Emergency ticket was<br>placed on 11/2/2020, at 2:59am, by ParkSide Utility. The<br>ticket noted, the crew and NFG was on site, gas was<br>released and 911 was called.<br>Erie City responded late on 11/2/2020, at 8:01am, as<br>Clear No Facilities.<br>NFG responded Clear No Facilities at 2:24pm.   |   |
|                |   | On Friday, August 5, 2022, emails were sent to NFG and<br>to Verizon requesting an AVR, and a letter was mailed<br>to ParkSide Utility Construction requesting an AVR.<br>Verizon did not submit their AVR.<br>ParkSide Utility Construction submitted their AVR on<br>August 15, 2022, and NFG submitted their AVR on<br>August 19, 2022.<br>(Prior, ParkSide Utility and Verizon were asked to<br>submit their AVR in September / October 2021, they did  |   |
|                |   | submit their AVR in September / October 2021, they did<br>not comply to the request)  |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|--|---|--|
| Number         |  | <ul> <li>*ParkSide Utility Construction LLC is in violation of sections:</li> <li>5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line</li> <li>5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request</li> <li>Recommendation: Education and penalties applied</li> <li>*National Fuel Gas is violation of section:</li> <li>2(10) Facility owner failed to submit an AVR through the One Call system within 30 business days of a line strike</li> <li>2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of the line</li> <li>2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following a notification</li> <li>Recommendation: Education is required. Penalties applied to sections 2(10) and 2(5)(i). For section</li> <li>2(5)(vii) zero penalty but keep the violation because the damage ticket, 20203070004, noted that NFG was on site.</li> <li>NOTE: NFG IS NO LONGER BEING CITED AS</li> <li>PART OF THIS CASE AS THEY WERE CITED AND FINED FOR THESE VIOLATIONS IN A CASE</li> <li>PROSECUTED ON BEHALF OF THE BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT IN A DIFFERENT PROCEEDING.</li> <li>*Verizon PA is in violation of sections:</li> <li>6.1(7) Facility owner failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike</li> <li>2(11) Facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request</li> </ul> | Recommendation   |
| 25573          | Facility Owner: PECO<br>ENERGY<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>AQUA PENNSYLVANIA<br>Project Owner: Aqua<br>Pennsylvania<br>Other: Upper Providence<br>Township | Recommendation: penalties applied<br>*Erie City is in violation of section:<br>2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification<br>as soon as practicable following a notification.<br>Responded late for both tickets as Clear No Facilities-<br>20203060219 and 20203070004<br>Recommendation: Education required, zero penalties<br>and keep the violations<br><u>On 8/13/2021 10:30:00 AM at 507 IDLEWILD CIR,</u><br><u>UPPER PROVIDENCE TWP, DELAWARE</u> Tuesday,<br>March 14, 2023, at the DPC Meeting, Upper Providence<br>Twp was disputing. The DPC Voted to Remove the<br>penalty but keep the violation.<br>**********<br>Rejection- Upper Providence Township is rejecting the<br>violation and penalty of section 2(5)(vii) Failed to<br>respond to an emergency notification as soon as<br>practicable following a notification. They stated, they<br>got an email on the date of the incident but there were<br>several factors preventing the Township from<br>responding within 2 hours: There highway dept.<br>employees were on the road working at the time of the<br>email and at that time they didn't have the advanced<br>phone call option from PA One Call. The road in   | PECO ENERGY:<br>\$250.00<br>Section 2(1)(ii)(A) 1st<br>Offense \$250.00<br>Upper Providence<br>Township: \$0.00<br>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st<br>Offense \$0.00 |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|---|---|
|                |  | question is a private road and the Township doesn't<br>provide services to that road. The email Notification<br>from One Call stated that 911 had been called so the<br>situation was under control.  |   |
|                |  | *********<br>The incident occurred on, Friday, August 13, 2021, at<br>507 Idlewild Circle, in Upper Providence Township,<br>Delaware County, where a gas line was damaged.  |   |
|                |  | Aqua Pennsylvania was excavating to repair a water<br>service line and during the repair a 2-inch gas main line<br>was hit and damaged. The PECO Energy locate mark<br>was off by 4-feet; marked 4-feet behind the curb. Aqua<br>called 911 and the Fire and Police Department<br>responded, and they placed a New Damage Emergency<br>ticket, 20212251213.<br>Aqua provided photos of the damage.<br>PECO stated that the gas main was incorrectly located<br>and marked with inaccurate prints. |   |
|                |  | Upper Providence Township did not respond to the New Damage Emergency ticket, 20212251213.  |   |
|                |  | *PECO Energy is in violation of section:<br>2(1)(ii)(A) Missing or incorrect facility line maps or<br>records.<br>Recommendation: Penalty applied   |   |
|                |  | *Upper Providence Township is in violation of section:<br>2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification<br>as soon as practicable following a notification<br>Recommendation: Education, penalty reduced by 50%  |   |
| 29496          | Facility Owner:<br>PEOPLES GAS<br>COMPANY LLC<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>MATCON DIAMOND | On 2/15/2022 9:30:00 AM at 7049 WILLIARD ST,<br><u>PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Tuesday, March<br>14, 2023, at the DPC Meeting, Matcon Diamond was<br>disputing all their violations. The DPC Voted to Remove<br>the 5(4) penalty and violation, and all the other penalties  | MATCON DIAMOND<br>INC: \$2,500.00<br>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00 |
|                | INC<br><b>Project Owner:</b><br>PEOPLES GAS  | and violations for 5(2.1), 5(16) and 5(8) remain as<br>recommended by the DPI.  | Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00   |
|                |  | Rejection- Matcon Diamond is rejecting the DPI report<br>in relation to the line hit. They gave no other reason for<br>the rejecton.  | Section 5(8) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00  |
|                |  | The incident occurred on Tuesday, February 15, 2022, at 7049 Williard Street, in Pittsburgh, Allegheny County. Peoples Natural Gas (PNG) line was damaged.  |   |
|                |  | PNG stated, Matcon Diamond Inc failed to place a One<br>Call ticket before their job of a sawcut to the ditch line to<br>install a gas main line. During the sawcut a 1-inch plastic<br>service line was damaged. PNG provided two photos of<br>the damaged line with a hit-kit.  |   |
|                |  | The photos show Matcon using previous locate marks<br>and excavation within the tolerance zone.   |   |
|                |  | The PA One Call Compliance commented, Matcon<br>Diamond has placed One Call notifications.  |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|---|--|---|
|                |   | There are no tickets associated with this incident.<br>On Thursday, September 8, 2022, an email was sent to  |   |
|                |   | Matcon Diamond requesting an Alleged Violation<br>Report (AVR).  |   |
|                |   | *Matcon Diamond Inc is in violation of sections:<br>5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request One<br>Call within the correct timeframe  |   |
|                |   | <ul><li>5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques.</li><li>5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10</li></ul>   |   |
|                |   | business days of striking a line<br>5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the<br>facility owner when damage resulted in escape of gas<br>Recommendation: Education required, and penalties   |   |
| 29613          | Facility Owner:<br>PEOPLES GAS  | Applied<br>On 2/23/2022 12:00:00 PM at 8TH ST, TRAFFORD<br>BORO, WESTMORELAND 3/14/23 DPC - VOTE:  | PEOPLES GAS: \$0.00   |
|                | Contractor/Excavator:<br>LAWSON<br>EXCAVATING<br>Project Owner:<br>WILKINSBURG PENN | Disputing parties PNG and Borough of Trafford.<br>PNG- Remove the penalty and violation.<br>Borough of Trafford- NO SHOW. Maintain the<br>recommendation and increase the penalty to \$1,000 and<br>education.   | LAWSON<br>EXCAVATING:<br>\$1,250.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense                              |
|                | JOINT WATER<br>AUTHORITY<br><b>Other:</b> Borough of<br>Trafford                    | ********<br>Peoples Gas Company is disputing Section 2(5)(i). The<br>reason given was that the excavator added tickets that<br>should have made the project complex.   | \$250.00<br>Section 5(8) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00  |
|                |   | ***<br>Trafford Borough is disputing their violation of Section<br>2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket.<br>20220382662. Education is required. No reason was<br>given.   | <b>Borough of Trafford:</b><br><b>\$1,000.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00 |
|                |   | - Crew was on site to mark and will respond on the new system through their cell phones in the future.   |   |
|                |   | Trafford was sent to education on 10/13/2022 for case 26247 for failing to respond to a ticket. Trafford disputed this penalty but failed to attend the DPC Meeting. As of February 27, 2023, Trafford has not attended education.   |   |
|                |   | **************************************   |   |
|                |   | People's Gas Company AVR stated, "Lawson<br>Excavating was installing new water main on 8th St. in<br>Trafford Boro for WPJWA when they struck and<br>damaged a mismarked 1" plastic low pressure gas<br>service line."<br>DPI emailed Peoples to see if 911 was notified. Peoples<br>responded that according to their records they did not |   |
|                |   | receive a 911 notification.<br>Request for AVRs were sent out to WIlkinsburg Penn<br>Joint Water Authority and Lawson Excavating via mail<br>and email on 6/13/2022.   |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|--|---|--|
|                |  | WPJWA's AVR stated, "The Wilkinsburg Penn Joint<br>Water Authority was not on site at the time of the<br>incident. Therefore, I am unable to answer the majority<br>of these questions due to not physically being there."  |  |
|                |  | No AVR has been received from Lawson Excavating as of 8/23/22.  |  |
|                |  | Violations:<br>Peoples Gas<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate underground lines on<br>ticket 20220382662.  |  |
|                |  | Lawson Excavating<br>Section 5(8) - Excavator failed to call 911. Education is<br>required.<br>Section 5(16) - Excavator failed to fill out an AVR  |  |
|                |  | report. Education is required.  |  |
|                |  | Borough of Trafford<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket.<br>20220382662. Education is required. DPC increased<br>penalty to \$1,000.   |  |
| 29121          | Facility Owner: PPL<br>Electric Utilities<br>Contractor/Excavator: A<br>& E Builders<br>Project Owner: | On 3/1/2022 4:00:00 PM at 525 Westfield Drive, WEST<br>HEMPFIELD TWP, LANCASTER VOTE: Disputing is<br>A & E Builders. Remove the penalties and keep the<br>violations.<br>*********   | A & E Builders: \$0.00<br>Section 5(7) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00<br>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense |
|                | Homeowner  | A&E Builders is disputing with no reasons given.  | \$0.00   |
|                |  | ***************************************   | Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00  |
|                |  | Incident occurred 3/1/2022 at 4pm at 525 Westfield<br>Drive, West Hempfield Township, Lancaster County.   | Section 5(17) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00  |
|                |  | PPL Electric (PPL) submitted an AVR stating that A&E<br>Builders (A&E) contacted an underground secondary<br>service line with a mini excavator. Please see files - PPL<br>Picture 1,3, and 4 Evidence. The customer lost partial<br>power, and A&E had not placed a one call ticket. |  |
|                |  | A request for an AVR was sent via mail and email to A&E and the Homeowner on 5/27/2022. An AVR has not been received from A&E Builders to date.   |  |
|                |  | The Homeowner replied via email stating that A&E<br>Builders hit the neutral wire which causes the power<br>inside the home to flicker on and off. A&E Builders then<br>told the homeowner to call PPL Electric to have them fix<br>it.   |  |
|                |  | Violations:   |  |
|                |  | A&E Builders<br>Section 5.2.1 - Failed to request for a ticket to identify<br>facility owners lines at a worksite. \$1000.00 + Education<br>Required. Penalty reduced to \$0 by DPC.  |  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|--|---|
|                |  | Section 5.16 - Failed to submit an AVR within 10 days<br>of the line strike. \$250.00 + Education Required. Penalty<br>reduced to \$0 by DPC.<br>Section 5.17 - Failed to respond to requests for<br>information from PUC. \$250.00 + Education Required.<br>Penalty reduced to \$0 by DPC.<br>Section 5.7 - Failed to report the damages to the facility<br>owner. Told the homeowner to notify the facility owner.<br>\$1000.00 + Education Required. Penalty reduced to \$0<br>by DPC   |   |
| 29363          | Facility Owner:         Columbia Gas of PA -         Central         Contractor/Excavator:         HUFNAGEL         EXCAVATING         Project Owner:         Pennsylvania American         Water         Other: Cecil Township         Public Works Dept. | <ul> <li>by DPC.</li> <li>On 3/14/2022 11:00:00 AM at 91 Beech St., CECIL</li> <li>TWP, WASHINGTON Tuesday, March 14, 2023, at the DPC Meeting, Cecil Twp Dept of Public Works was disputing. The DPC Voted to Accept the DPS recommendation for all fines to be set at their original amounts- 2(5)(v) at \$500 and 2(5)(vii) at \$1,000.</li> <li>**********</li> <li>Rejection- Cecil Twp Public Works Dept. is disputing the penalty but has accepted the education requirements and the violation.</li> <li>*********</li> <li>The incident occurred on Monday, March 14, 2022, at 91 Beech Street, in Cecil Township, Washington County.</li> <li>Columbia Gas line was damaged.</li> <li>Hufnagel Excavating, working for PA American Water Company (PAWC), stated that during their excavation, of installing a new water line, an unmarked gas service line was hit and damaged. Hufnagel Excavating did not provide photos.</li> <li>PAWC stated, while Hufnagel was installing a water line, they hit an unmarked gas service line. PAWC explained, due to the snowstorm the locate marks faded, and Columbia Gas refreshed the marks but failed to locate and mark the line that was hit.</li> <li>Columbia Gas stated that Hufnagel Excavating failed to excavate with care and did not immediately notify 911 when gas was released from the hit line. During their excavation an accurately marked 1-inch plastic, intermediate pressure, gas service line was hit and damaged. After the hit, Hufnagel notified a Columbia Gas locator nearby, working on refreshing locate marks, of the damage. Columbia Gas crew made immediate repairs.</li> <li>Columbia Gas provided photos- showing the hit-kit, a pre-excavation locate mark and a post-excavation faded locate mark.</li> <li>Also, Columbia Gas explained, "On ticket # 20220630347, Hufnagel indicated No Additional Markouts are needed. Despite those remarks, it is Columbia's policy to always complete a site visit and make that determination for ourselves. The locator on this particular project was working directly with Hufnagel to refr</li></ul> | HUFNAGEL<br>EXCAVATING:<br>\$1,750.00<br>Section 5(8) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00<br>Section 5(4) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Section 5(3) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00<br>Pennsylvania American<br>Water: \$0.00<br>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense<br>\$0.00<br>Cecil Township Public<br>Works Dept.: \$1,500.00<br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st<br>Offense \$1,000.00 |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|---|--|--|
|                |   | crew, and they acknowledged they knew the line existed.<br>This is why the marks are so faded."  |  |
|                |   | -Update Excavation Routine ticket, 20220630347,<br>placed on 3/4/2022, with response due date of 3/8/2022.<br>Remarks: reason for update was work in progress and no<br>additional mark outs needed.<br>Responses: |  |
|                |   | Cecil Township- No Response<br>Columbia Gas- responded Field Marked  |  |
|                |   | -New Excavation Emergency ticket, 20220732129,<br>placed on 3/14/2022, by Columbia Gas with response<br>due date of 3/14/2022, to repair the damaged gas line.<br>Responses:<br>Cecil Township- No Response        |  |
|                |   | -On Thursday, July 14, 2022, an email was sent to<br>PAWC, the project owner, requesting an Alleged<br>Violation Report (AVR). They submitted their AVR on<br>July 19, 2022.                                       |  |
|                |   | *Hufnagel Excavating is in violation of sections:<br>5(3) Excavator failed to preserve mark-outs or request a<br>remark  |  |
|                |   | <ul><li>5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques</li><li>5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the</li></ul>  |  |
|                |   | facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of gas<br>Recommendation: Education required. For sections 5(4)<br>and 5(8) penalties applied. For section 5(3) penalty<br>reduced by 50% (from \$500 to \$250)  |  |
|                |   | *PAWC is in violation of section:<br>6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of a line strike<br>Recommendation: Zero penalty but keep the violation.                              |  |
|                |   | *Cecil Township is in violation of sections:<br>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket,  |  |
|                |   | 20220630347<br>2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification<br>as soon as practicable following a notification,<br>20220732129   |  |
|                |   | Recommendation: Education required, and penalties reduced by 50% (from \$1000 to \$500)  |  |
| 29580          | Facility Owner:<br>COLUMBIA GAS<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>W CRAIG ADAMS INC | On 3/15/2022 2:45:00 PM at 10 GRUMBACHER RD,<br>MANCHESTER TWP, YORK 3/14/23 DPC Accept the<br>DPI's recommendation as written approved<br>************************  | COLUMBIA GAS:<br>\$1,500.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense<br>\$1,500.00 |
|                | Project Owner: Stewart<br>and Tate Inc.<br>Designer: Snyder Secury            | Stewart & Tate is disputing Section $6.1(3)$ and Section $6.1(1)$ . No reason given.   | Stewart and Tate Inc.:<br>\$1,000.00                                     |
|                | & Associates<br>Other: Manchester<br>Township Municipal                       | **************************************   | Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00                                   |
|                | Authority   | Grumbacher Road, Manchester Township, York County.   | Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00                                   |
|                |   | W Craig Adams Inc struck an unmarked Columbia Gas<br>line after Columbia Gas responded clear no facilities.  | Snyder Secury &  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--------------|---|---|
| Number         |              |   | Recommendation Associates: \$500.00   |
|                |              | Columbia Gas Company's Alleged Violation Report<br>(AVR) says that, "W. Craig Adams was grading for an<br>entryway to 10 Grumbacher Rd., York, when their<br>operator came in contact with the end cap of the 3"<br>plastic gas main. 911 was called, as well as Columbia<br>Gas and 811. W. Craig Adams did have an active PA<br>One Call for site work; however, the Columbia Gas<br>locator failed to locate the gas facilities after speaking<br>with an onsite contact and making an assumption the<br>work being done was away from any Columbia Gas<br>facilities." AVR also noted the project was greater than<br>\$400,000 with Level C SUE. | Section 4(8) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00<br>Section 4(4) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00<br>Manchester Township<br>Municipal Authority:<br>\$250.00<br>Section 2(4) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00 |
|                |              | W Craig Adams Inc placed an AVR stating that they hit<br>a Columbia Gas line after placing PA1call ticket,<br>20220381798. Columbia Gas marked the site Clear no<br>facilities. AVR also noted the project was greater than<br>\$400,000 with Level C SUE.  |   |
|                |              | Stewart and Tate Inc's AVR mentioned W. Craig Adams<br>placing a one call ticket for the work where Columbia<br>Gas had indicated they had no facilities.<br>DPI emailed Stewart & Tate for final design tickets.<br>Preliminary tickets were provided by W. Craig Adams,<br>but no final design tickets were produced. Email stated<br>that the design company has gone out of business. AVR<br>also noted the project was greater than \$400,000 with<br>Level C SUE.   |   |
|                |              | DPI mailed and emailed Snyder Secary & Associates<br>(Snyder) on 6/24/2022 to submit an AVR with final<br>design tickets. No response from Snyder, Secary &<br>Associates as of 7/25/2022. Stewart & Tate's email<br>stated that Snyder Secary & Associates were out of<br>business.  |   |
|                |              | Violations:   |   |
|                |              | Columbia Gas Company<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to mark lines within 18 inches.<br>20220743028. \$1,500  |   |
|                |              | Snyder Secary & Associates<br>Section 4(8) - Designer failed to submit an AVR within<br>30 days. 20220743138. Education is required + \$250<br>Section 4(4) - Designer failed to submit final designs.<br>20202942717. Education is required + \$250  |   |
|                |              | Stewart & Tate, Inc.<br>Section 6.1(3) - Released job for bid before final design<br>was complete. 20202942717. Education is required +<br>\$500<br>Section 6.1(1) - Failed to use the appropriate level of<br>SUE. 2020294717. Education is required + \$500   |   |
|                |              | Manchester Township Authority<br>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designers ticket<br>request. 20202942717 Education is required + \$250   |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|---|--|---|
| 29879          | Facility Owner: PECO<br>ENERGY<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>GLENOLDEN<br>BOROUGH               | On 3/31/2022 10:00:00 AM at 327 N. Chester Pk,<br>GLENOLDEN BORO, DELAWARE VOTE: Disputing<br>Glenolden Borough. NO SHOW. Accept the DPI's<br>recommendations.   | GLENOLDEN<br>BOROUGH: \$1,250.00<br>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00          |
|                | Project Owner:<br>GLENOLDEN<br>BOROUGH  | Glenolden Borough is disputing the violation and penalties for Section $5(2.1)$ and Section $5(16)$ . No reason was given.   | Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |   | ***************************************  |   |
|                |   | Incident occurred on 3/31/2022 at 10am at 327 Chester<br>Pike, Glenolden Boro, Delaware County.  |   |
|                |   | Glenolden Borough damaged an unmarked 2" plastic<br>main owned by PECO Energy. No PA1call tickets were<br>placed. 911 was called.  |   |
|                |   | PECO Energy's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stated,<br>"Contractor damaged an unmarked 2"plastic main. The<br>contractor was digging without a ticket." "Glenolden<br>Boro (Highway Div) digging without a PA1 ticket to<br>install a street sign, damaged an unmarked 4" plastic<br>main." |   |
|                |   | On 6/27/2022, a letter was mailed requesting an AVR from Glenolden Borough. The date of the gas line strike with no PA1call was on 3/31/22 which made their AVR due by April 14, 2022. Their AVR was received on 7/11/2022. Glenolden Borough has placed tickets in the past.                |   |
|                |   | Glenolden Borough's AVR stated, "Removal of an<br>existing speed limit sign on an existing pole. When the<br>pole was cut and bent over it pierced the line. No<br>pictures were taken."   |   |
|                |   | Violation:   |   |
|                |   | Glenolden Borough<br>Section 5(2.1) - Failed to submit a one call ticket.<br>Education is required. 1st offense \$1,000<br>Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of a line strike. Education is required. 1st<br>offense \$250                                  |   |
| 29873          | Facility Owner: PECO<br>ENERGY<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>GRATE SERVICE<br>Other: Norristown | On 4/4/2022 1:00:00 PM at 129 W ELM ST,<br><u>NORRISTOWN BORO, MONTGOMERY</u> 3/14/23<br>DPC - Update to a 2(5)(vii) accept the DPI's<br>recommendations and to add education approved<br>************************************   | <b>PECO ENERGY:</b><br><b>\$1,000.00</b><br>Section 2(5)(i) 2nd<br>Offense \$1,000.00 |
|                | Municipal Waste<br>Authority  | 12/20/22 - Norristown Municipal Waste Authority is<br>disputing the violation and penalty for not responding to<br>an emergency ticket. Their reasoning is that their staff<br>has not received the education that was recommended in<br>case #16674.  | <b>GRATE SERVICE:</b><br><b>\$750.00</b><br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00     |
|                |   | *  | Section 5(17) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00   |
|                |   | Incident occurred on 4/4/2022 at 1pm at 129 Elm Street,<br>Norristown Boro, Montgomery County.   | Norristown Municipal<br>Waste Authority:  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|---|---|--|
|                |   | Grate Service struck an inaccurately marked PECO service line while excavating for an emergency sewage backup. 911 was called.  | \$500.00<br>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00  |
|                |   | PECO'S Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stated, ON<br>4/4/22, GRATE SERVICE, WHILE REPLACING A<br>SEWER LATERAL, DAMAGED AN<br>INACCURATELY MARKED 1 INCH PLASTIC GAS<br>SERVICE LINE TO 129 W. ELM ST. IN<br>NORRISTOWN BOROUGH, MONTGOMERY<br>COUNTY. THIS GAS SERVICE WAS MARKED OUT<br>USING AN INACCURATE SERVICE SKETCH.<br>PECO RESPONDED TO THE SITE AND REPLACED<br>2 FEET OF 1 INCH PLASTIC GAS SERVICE AND<br>RELIT THE HOMEOWNER IN ORDER TO MAKE<br>PERMANENT REPAIRS. 1 CUSTOMERS<br>AFFECTED AND NO INJURIES." |  |
|                |   | On 6/27/2022, a letter and was mailed and an email was<br>sent requesting an AVR from Grate Service. No AVR<br>has been received.   |  |
|                |   | Violations:<br>PECO<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to mark lines within 18 inches.<br>20220903660. 2nd offense \$1,000   |  |
|                |   | Grate Service<br>Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of a line strike. 20220903660. Education<br>is required. 1st offense \$500<br>Section 5(16) - Failed to comply with all requests for<br>information from the PUC staff within 30 days.<br>Education is required. 1st offense \$250  |  |
|                |   | Norristown Waste Municipal Authority<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to ticket<br>20220903660. 1st offense \$500. Education is required by<br>DPC.   |  |
| 29834          | Facility Owner: PPL<br>Electric Utilities<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Genesis Builders, INC<br>Other: Bethlehem | On 4/5/2022 1:00:00 PM at 1709 Mount Pleasant Road,<br>LOWER SAUCON TWP, NORTHAMPTON 3/14/23<br>DPC Accept DPI's recommendations as written, increase<br>fine to \$1500 and Mandatory education approved<br>*********************   | <b>Genesis Builders, INC:</b><br><b>\$1,500.00</b><br>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense<br>\$1,000.00 |
| L<br>C         | <b>Other:</b> Bethelen<br>Landfill Company<br><b>Other:</b> Lower Saucon<br>Township                            | Lower Saucon Township is disputing the 2nd offence for<br>not responding to a routine ticket. Reasoning is that the<br>line hit had already happened prior to the ticket. This<br>was unknown to the township till after the ticket was   | Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense                           |
|                |   | due.<br>Lower Saucon was required to attend education in<br>August but have yet to attend.  | \$250.00<br>Bethlehem Landfill<br>Company: \$500.00  |
|                |   | -LOWER SAUCON TOWNSHIP DID NOT RESPOND<br>THROUGH PA ONE CALL. 4/12/2022 12:04:54 AM<br>************************************  | Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Lower Saucon<br>Township: \$1,500.00                |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| Tunioei        |   | Incident occurred on 4/5/2022 at 1pm at 1709 Mount<br>Pleasant Road, Lower Saucon Township, Northampton<br>County.   | Section 2(5)(v) 2nd<br>Offense \$1,500.00  |
|                |   | Genesis Builders, INC struck a PPL Electric service line<br>with and excavator without a PA 1 Call Ticket. Ticket<br>was placed two days later.  |  |
|                |   | PPL's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stated that the excavator struck a PPL main in line with a mini excavator at approximately 1pm on Tuesday April 5th. Damage Photo 9 that was provided by PPL shows the excavator's usage near the PPL pad mounted transformer on the property of the newly built home. AVR notes that 2-10 customers were affected for 1-6 hours.   |  |
|                |   | An AVR request was mailed and emailed to Genesis<br>Builders on 6/23/2022. An AVR was received 7/3/2022.   |  |
|                |   | Genesis Builders AVR stated, "My operator was digging<br>a trench for a drainpipe from the house to the street and<br>crossed over the electric service line trench thinking he<br>was keeping high enough over top. We knew where the<br>electric line was but misjudged the depth and hit it . This<br>is the one time we did not call in for a serial number on<br>this house building project. My deepest apologies for<br>that and also for the lateness of this report." |  |
|                |   | Violations:  |  |
|                |   | Genesis Builders<br>Section 5(2.1) - Failed to submit a ticket prior to<br>excavating with a backhoe. Education is required + 1st<br>offense \$1000<br>Section 5(6)(i) - Excavator failed to plan the excavation<br>to avoid damages. Education is required + 1st offense<br>\$250<br>Section 5(16) - Excavator failed to submit an AVR<br>within 10 business days of a line strike. Education is<br>required + 1st offense \$250  |  |
|                |   | Bethlehem Landfill Company<br>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to routine ticket<br>number 20220973685. Education is required + 1st<br>offense \$500.   |  |
|                |   | Lower Saucon Township<br>Section $2(5)(v)$ - Failed to respond to routine ticket<br>number 20220973685. Education is required + 2nd<br>offense \$1,000. Penalty raised by DPC to \$1,500.  |  |
| 30071          | Facility Owner:<br>PENNSYLVANIA<br>AMERICAN WATER<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>Wilson Excavating<br>Project Owner: Peoples | On 4/7/2022 2:46:00 PM at 657 SOUTHCREST CT,<br><u>PITTSBURGH CITY</u> , <u>ALLEGHENY</u> VOTE: Disputing<br>is PNG. Remove 6.1(7) penalty and violation. Maintain<br>6.1(3).<br>************************************  | PENNSYLVANIA<br>AMERICAN WATER:<br>\$1,000.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) 2nd<br>Offense \$1,000.00 |
|                | Gas<br>Other: Pittsburgh Dept of<br>Mobility and Infrastructure<br>Other: Verizon   | Reasoning for dispute is that their staff has been trained to submit final design tickets since.   | Wilson Excavating:<br>\$500.00<br>Section 5(16) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00                    |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--------------|--|---|
|                |              | Peoples also disputed Section 6.1(7) - This penalty and violation was removed since Peoples was not notified of the line strike in order to know to submit an AVR.   | Peoples Gas: \$500.00<br>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00   |
|                |              | ************************************   | Pittsburgh Dept of<br>Mobility and<br>Infrastructure: \$500.00<br>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense<br>\$500.00<br>Verizon: \$4,500.00<br>Section 2(5)(v)<br>Subsequent \$1,000.00 |
|                |              | Wilson Excavating hit an incorrectly marked water line<br>owned by Pennsylvania American Water Company<br>(PAWC) while doing work for Peoples Gas Company<br>(Peoples).  | Section 2(5)(viii)<br>Subsequent \$2,000.00<br>Section 2(4) Subsequent<br>\$1,500.00  |
|                |              | PAWC's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "While<br>Wilson Excavating was digging to install a new service<br>on a new Peoples Gas main they hit and damaged a<br>water service that was not marked correctly. The marks<br>appear to be off by 3'."   |   |
|                |              | DPI mailed and email AVR request to Wilson<br>Excavating and Peoples Gas Company on 7/15/2022.<br>Asked People's for a Final Design ticket. People's<br>emailed back asking for an extension on 7/19. People's<br>submitted AVR by 8/18/22. Wilson's Excavating<br>submitted an AVR on 7/21/22.  |   |
|                |              | Wilson Excavating's AVR states, "Digging for mainline<br>with excavator and found a mismarked waterline. Water<br>line was damaged. Facility owner was contacted. Facility<br>Owner made the repairs themselves. Complex project<br>Meeting was held on 2/25/2022.<br>Pictures provided by Wilson show the mark outs and<br>damage distance with a hit kit.  |   |
|                |              | Peoples AVR states, "Wilson Excavating was installing<br>new gas facilities for Peoples Gas on Southcrest Dr.<br>when they struck and damaged a mis-marked water<br>facility. Wilson Exacavting contacted PA American<br>Water to repair the damaged mis-marked water facility.<br>PA American Water failed to mark the water facility<br>correctly. The Peoples Gas Design Group failed to<br>complete a Final Design One Call Ticket prior to<br>releasing the work. They did complete the Preliminary<br>One Call Ticket. No excuse for failing to complete the<br>Final Design One Call Ticket. The Design Group stated<br>it was an oversight on their behalf that will not happen<br>again. The Preliminary Design Ticket is 20192212000." |   |
|                |              | Violations:  |   |
|                |              | Peoples  |   |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders   | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation  |
|----------------|--|--|---|
|                |  | Section 6.1(3) - Released a project to bid and<br>construction before final design. 20192212000<br>Section 6.1(7) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10<br>business days of a line strike. Education is required.<br>PA American Water<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate underground line within<br>18 inches.               |   |
|                |  | <ul> <li>Wilson Excavating</li> <li>Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of a line strike. Education is required.</li> <li>Verizon</li> <li>Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket in a timely manner.</li> <li>Section 2(5)(viii) - Failed to participate in a</li> </ul>         |   |
|                |  | preconstruction meeting.<br>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designers request<br>for information.<br>Pittsburgh City Dept of Mobility and Infrastructure<br>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designers request  |   |
| 29998          | Facility Owner:<br>Windstream<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>GREEN ACRES<br>CONTRACTING<br>Project Owner:<br>PennDOT<br>PennDOT | <ul> <li>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designers request for information. Education is required.</li> <li>On 4/8/2022 10:00:00 AM at SR 3031, EAST FRANKLIN TWP, ARMSTRONG 3/14/23 DPC - Motion to remove penalty and violation for Green Acres motion carries approved ************************************</li></ul> | Windstream: \$500.00<br>Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00<br>GREEN ACRES<br>CONTRACTING: \$0.00 |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders  | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation   |
|----------------|---|---|--|
|                |   | Windstream was sent a request for an AVR on 7/5/22.<br>An AVR was submitted on 7/19/22.   |  |
|                |   | Windstream's AVR states, "This was a 300 pair cable<br>and a guardrail post was put through. The incident<br>occurred the afternoon of April 8th if Windstream<br>construction recalls correctly, because the outage<br>knocked out all the phone lines for the State Police<br>Barracks. The construction crew ran a new line through<br>conduit and respliced all the connections. As the crew<br>was there working on it, someone for PA811 showed up<br>on site to do the locate but the damage had already<br>been done and the repairs were in the process of being<br>completed. Damage was done without notification to the<br>company that handles Windstream damage claims,<br>therefore I don't have any pictures of the damage that<br>can be attached here." |  |
|                |   | An attached picture shows that Green Acres Project was 1,227ft in length and crossed two intersections. This requires a complex project ticket.   |  |
|                |   | Violations:   |  |
|                |   | Windstream<br>Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to mark a facility line on ticket<br>20220902645. 1st offense \$500  |  |
| 30230          | Facility Owner:<br>PEOPLES GAS<br>COMPANY LLC<br>Contractor/Excavator:<br>GOLDEN TRIANGLE<br>CONSTRUCTION CO -<br>IMPERIAL, PA<br>Project Owner: Duquesne | On 4/18/2022 10:00:00 AM at 2019 FORBES AVE,<br><u>PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> VOTE: Disputing<br>is PNG. Remove the penalties and violations.<br>************************************   | PEOPLES GAS<br>COMPANY LLC: \$0.00<br>BURNS AND<br>MCDONNELL: \$750.00<br>Section 4(4) 1st Offense<br>\$250.00 |
|                | Light<br><b>Designer:</b> Booth &<br>Associates<br><b>Designer:</b> BURNS AND<br>MCDONNELL<br><b>Designer:</b> Cardo, Inc.                                | Google Map Images from the dates November 2022, the<br>previous excavation during August 2021, and the meter<br>replacement around July 2020 show that Peoples marked<br>the line for 2022 Forbes Ave. Peoples Gas Company's<br>picture with the file named 2022 Forbes Damage 1 lines<br>up with the previous pictures. Golden Triangles picture<br>0061 gives the clearest view of the damage and the<br>yellow mark outs.<br>************************************  | Section 4(2) 1st Offense<br>\$500.00   |
|                |   | Golden Triangle damaged a gas line owned by Peoples<br>Gas Company (PNG) while doing work for Duquesne<br>Light Company. 911 was called.  |  |
|                |   | Golden Triangle's Alleged Violation Report states, "On<br>April 18th, 2022, Golden Triangle's foreman Ryan<br>Hunter's crew was excavating West of MH#90528 on<br>2019 Forbes Avenue between Jumonville and Seneca<br>Street. The crew was in the process of attempting to<br>locate a mismarked gas service line. The gas service line<br>was previously hydro excavated weeks prior via pothole.  |  |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders | Summary  | Violations &<br>Recommendation |
|----------------|--------------|--|--------------------------------|
|                |              | The hydro excavation was 4 feet wide and 9 feet deep<br>and still no gas line was located. Additional pavement<br>needed to be removed for the crew to utilize the hydro<br>excavation vacuum truck to expand their search for the<br>gas service line. The operator was instructed to remove<br>the pavement so they can hydro excavate. At<br>approximately 10:20 AM, as the CAT 314 excavator<br>bucket (attached with paddle teeth) lifted the slab of<br>concrete, the spotter (Ryan Hunter) noticed a 1" plastic<br>gas service line had been damaged. The operator kept<br>the excavator bucket teeth vertically aligned with the<br>concrete pavement. The bucket teeth did NOT hook<br>underneath the concrete slab. The mismarked gas service<br>line was damaged by either the lifting of the slab or rebar<br>connected to the slab. The mismarked gas service line<br>was 5 feet off the one call mark and at a depth of 18"<br>below grade. At 10:21 AM Ryan Hunter called 911 and<br>the fire department arrived shortly after. The fire<br>department stayed until People's Natural Gas repair crew<br>arrived. Repairs of the gas service line were made with<br>(2) stab fittings and was completed at 11:45 AM." AVR<br>notes 911 was called, 1 customer was out of service,<br>project was over \$400,000 with level C SUE, and 2<br>miles long. |                                |
|                |              | Burns and Mcdonnell's first and second AVR stated the<br>same as Golden Triangle's AVR. AVR notes that they<br>used Level C SUE on a project greater than \$400,000<br>and over 2 miles in length.   |                                |
|                |              | PNG's AVR stated, "Golden Triangle Construction was<br>installing electrical ductbanks and manholes for<br>Duquesne Light Forbes Ave when they struck and<br>damaged a 1" plastic low pressure service line. The<br>service line was marked in white with 5.15 and PNG vac<br>request as shown in the attached photos. This<br>information was also told to Golden Triangle to alert<br>them of the unlocatable service ang Peoples Gas<br>submitted a vac request to locate the service and if they<br>need to dig before we get there, they need to dig<br>prudently under section 5.15 of Act 50, and they failed<br>to do so."   |                                |
|                |              | Duquesne Light's AVR states, "Golden Triangle<br>Construction, a contractor for Duquesne Light Company<br>(DLC), damaged a mismarked gas service line owned by<br>Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC (PNG) while<br>digging to install new underground services for DLC. A<br>Damage One Call ticket was placed and 911 was called.<br>Fire and PNG responded to the site."   |                                |
|                |              | Violations:  |                                |
|                |              | Burns and Mcdonnell<br>Section 4(4) - Failed to prepare construction drawings to<br>avoid damage to and minimize interference with facility<br>owner lines.  |                                |
|                |              | PNG  | _                              |

| Case<br>Number | Stakeholders | Summary   | Violations &<br>Recommendation |
|----------------|--------------|---|--------------------------------|
|                |              | Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate lines within 18 inches horizontally.   |                                |
|                |              | Pittsburgh Mobility<br>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer's request for<br>information within 10 business days.<br>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer's request for<br>information within 10 business days.   |                                |
|                |              | Century Link Level 3<br>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer's request for<br>information within 10 business days.  |                                |
|                |              | PWSA<br>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call<br>ticket.  |                                |
|                |              | AT&T<br>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer's request for<br>information within 10 business days.<br>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call<br>ticket.<br>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in<br>preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as<br>described in Section 5(3). |                                |
|                |              | UMPC - Mercy<br>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call<br>ticket.  |                                |
|                |              | Verizon<br>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in<br>preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as<br>described in Section 5(3).  |                                |
|                |              | Energy Center Pittsburgh<br>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in<br>preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as<br>described in Section 5(3).   |                                |

## **Committee Review**

No cases scheduled.