



Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission

**Damage Prevention Committee Meeting Case List
May 9, 2023**

Omnibus Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
23836	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Gulisek Construction LLC</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: LINDY PAVING</p> <p>Project Owner: PEOPLES GAS</p> <p>Other: GREATER JOHNSTOWN WATER AUTHORITY</p> <p>Other: SOUTHMONT BOROUGH</p> <p>Other: THE LINDY GROUP INC.</p>	<p><u>On 5/17/2021 11:19:00 AM at 216 Palliser St., JOHNSTOWN CITY, CAMBRIA</u> Incident occurred on 5/17/2021 at 216 Pallister Steet in Johnstown City, Cambria County.</p> <p>Peoples Natural Gas (PNG) stated in their Alleged Violation (AVR) Reported that Lindy Paving was using an excavator to install new sidewalks when they damaged a 1” PLA service line. Contractor did not place a One Call prior to excavating. However, the lines were clearly marked from a previous installation of new gas facilities. Lindy Paving was operating under another contractors One Call ticket. The service line was repaired the same day and the customer had their gas back on. 911 was not called. Pictures and the operations call log were provided. PNG is the project owner.</p> <p>Lindy Paving was emailed an AVR request letter on 6/23/2022. No AVR has been received to date. An email was received from the Lindy Group on 2/1/2023. This was after the stakeholder review letters went out. The Lindy Group area safety manager stated that “ I am writing in response to Case No. 023836. Lindy Paving was not working in Johnstown City, Cambria County on May 17, 2021, near 216 Palliser St as identified in PNG's AVR. Lindy Paving called in the PNG gas damage – see PNG call log.</p> <p>The letter was addressed to attention Jamie Hamm who does not work for Lindy Paving. Gulisek Construction was contracted by Lindy Paving to perform sidewalk restoration in that area. “</p> <p>*DPI note: Jamie Hamm’s email was listed as jamie.hamm@lindypaving.com. On ticket 20211324290 as the onsite contact person.</p> <p>Gulisek Construction, LLC stated in their AVR “I got notification of a letter from PUC claiming that Gulisek struck a 1" gas line on 5/17/21 in Johnstown City, Cambria County. While working for Lindy Paving, we did submit and have a current One Call for that job on the date in question. Gulisek has no knowledge of a damaged line or any line needing repairs.” Ticket 20211324290 was provided. Gulisek Construction was emailed on 2/10/2023 with questions about the work done. No information was provided to date.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Lindy Paving is in violation of Section: 6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. Please note that Lindy Paving has had a violation for not submitting an AVR in the past listed under excavator violations. This is a first offense</p>	<p>Gulisek Construction LLC: \$2,750.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>LINDY PAVING: \$750.00 Section 6.1(7) 1nd Offense \$750.00</p> <p>GREATER JOHNSTOWN WATER AUTHORITY: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>SOUTHMONT BOROUGH: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>THE LINDY GROUP INC.: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>violation as a project owner. New AVR request letter had to be mailed on 2/01/2023 to Gulisek Construction LLC because The Lindy Group Inc stated that they hired Gulisek Construction to do this work. The Lindy Group Inc did not provide this information until the stakeholder letters went out. The penalty for a second offense is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>Gulisek Construction LLC is in violation of Sections: 5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(8) Excavator failed to notify 911. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(7) Excavator failed to immediately report to the facility owner any break or leak in its lines. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests form PUC staff. Gulisek Construction was emailed on 2/10/2023 with questions about the work dome. No information was provided to date. The penalty is applied.</p> <p>Southmont Borough is in violation of section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to ticket 20211324290 within the required amount of time. Ticket was due on 5/14/2021 and Southmont Borough responded on 5/17/2021. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>Greater Johnstown Water Authority is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to ticket 20211324290 within the required amount of time. Ticket was due on 5/14/2021 and Greater Johnstown Water Authority responded on 5/18/2021. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p>	
23806	<p>Facility Owner: Honey Brook Borough Authority Contractor/Excavator: Rhino Cable Services Project Owner: COMCAST Other: NORTHWESTERN CHESTER COUNTY Other: VERIZON</p>	<p><u>On 5/26/2021 12:00:00 AM at Honey Lane , Walnut Street, HONEY BROOK BORO, CHESTER</u> Incident occurred on 5/26/2021 in Honeybrook Borough, Chester County. Tickets were submitted listing that the area was marked in white, but no white markings were located.</p> <p>Honey Brook Borough Authority (HBBA) stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that they are having an issue with Rhino Cable Company Services, who is working for Comcast in the Honey Brook area. He states that the tickets read that the area will be marked in white, but no white markings are ever found. He also states that Rhino Cable Services provides many utilities pole numbers that do not match the utility pole numbers in this area, which leaves customer service lines that could be affected. He also noted that the tickets are placed late in the day, which leaves only two days for this small community to respond to the tickets. He states that Rhino Cable Services are requesting full developments to be marked out all at one time.</p> <p>Comcast was emailed an AVR request letter on 6/9/2022. No AVR has been received to date. This does not show a good faith effort and thus the penalties are kept at full amounts.</p>	<p>Rhino Cable Services: \$1,250.00 Section 5(11) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(11) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(11) 1st Offense \$250.00 COMCAST: \$2,000.00 Section 6.1(7) 3rd Offense \$750.00 Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$500.00 Section 4(5) 1st Offense</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Rhino Cable Services was mailed an AVR request letter on 6/9/2022. No AVR has been received to date. This does not show a good faith effort and thus the penalties are kept at full amounts.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Comcast is in violation of Sections: 6.1(7) Project owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of a line strike. This is a third offense, and the penalty is applied. 4(2) Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System. penalty is applied. 4(5) Designer’s drawing does not include One Call’s toll-free number and the serial number of the ticket. Penalty is applied. 6.1(3) Released a project to bid or construction before the final design was complete. The penalty is applied.</p> <p>Rhino Cable Services is in violation of Sections: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. Penalty is applied. 5(17) Excavator failed to comply withal requests for information- from PUC staff. Penalty is applied. 5(11) Excavator failed to use the color white to mark a proposed work site for tickets 20211444314, 20211444323, and 20211444324. Penalty is applied.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time</p> <p>Northwest Chester County is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine ticket 20211444314, 20211444323, and 20211444324 in the required amount of time. These are first-time offenses and the \$250. Penalty for each is reduced to \$125. per offense.</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to 20211444314 within the required amount of time. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>HBBA email notes: Honey Brook Borough Authority manager sent an email on 7/28/2022 which stated that HBBA had reached out to Rhino Cable Services about the insufficient tickets and was told that they were sent by mistake. None of the pole numbers or street addresses lined up with anything in the field. HBBA adds that it turned out that Rhino did not work in the area which they stated. There are no pictures of this incident or the other incidents like this. That work is completed now. HBBA adds that since this time, Rhino Cable Services project manager has been in good communications with HBBA with new underground installations.</p>	<p>\$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>NORTHWESTERN CHESTER COUNTY: \$375.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>VERIZON: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
23953	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Contractor/Excavator: EARTHWORK SERVICES LLC Project Owner: Unknown</p>	<p><u>On 5/26/2021 3:35:00 PM at Emerald Street & N. 3rd Street, HARRISBURG CITY, DAUPHIN</u> Incident occurred on 5/26/2021 on Emerald Street and N. 3rd Street. * No damage</p> <p>UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Contractor Earthwork Services was using Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) to bore under the street. The gas facility was not spot holed prior to or during the boring process. Pictures are included.</p> <p>Earthwork sent in a letter that stated they gathered all the information that was available to them. They named the workers who did the work for their company. They state that PA One Call was notified prior to their arrival at the site. They state that on or about May 24th UGI did some exploration with a vac truck to locate one of their lines. Earthworks also states that UGI did locate this line and this line was physically seen and measured by the Earthwork Services crew. Earthworks states that they made an attempt to cross Emerald Street with their drill but were unsuccessful. Shortly after that, Danella Line services had to trench across the road in that same location. Earth works states that they were able to install the conduit into their open trench. Earthwork adds that Danella Line Services was not aware of any conflict with a gas line on the corner of Emerald St. and N. 3rd St. in Harrisburg. A photo is attached. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Project owner is unknown. Designer is unknown.</p> <p>Compliance Coordinator states that Earthwork have submitted One Call tickets in the past. No One Call tickets were found for this location that were submitted by Earthworks.</p> <p>Earth Work Services LLC is I violation of Sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report (AVR). The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>Additional Information: It is possible that they were using the ticket that Danella Line Services requested.</p>	<p>EARTHWORK SERVICES LLC: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
24139	<p>Facility Owner: COLUMBIA GAS Contractor/Excavator: Independent Enterprises Project Owner: DORMONT BOROUGH Other: VERIZON PA LLC</p>	<p><u>On 6/9/2021 4:00:00 PM at 1319 ARKANSAS AVENUE, DORMONT BORO, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 6/09/2021 at 1319 Arkansas Ave, Dormont Borough, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Independent Enterprises was removing curbing when they struck and damaged a 1" plastic inserted, low pressure gas service line. The homeowner at 1319 Arkansas Ave., Pittsburgh, called in an odor of gas at 4:01pm. A Columbia Gas service technician</p>	<p>Independent Enterprises: \$125.00 Section 5(11) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>VERIZON PA LLC: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>responded immediately and discovered the damaged gas service line, which was still covered with an existing concrete slab. The excavator was not on site upon arrival, nor did they report the line strike to Columbia Gas or 911. The Columbia Gas Damage Prevention Specialist, a Field Operations Leader, and the Locator met onsite with the Independent Enterprises crew and the PUC on 06.10.21 to discuss the damage. The crew was unaware the damage occurred, as it was not visible, and would not have left the site had they known. Pictures were included.</p> <p>Columbia Gas sent an email on 9/28/2022 with a snippet from the locators notes which reads that the locator marked all of the Columbia Gas facilities involved around the white marks found at intersections. He spoke with the contractor and noted that they are only digging the curbs and sidewalks marked in white.</p> <p>The white flags in the pictures are post damage and what Columbia Gas field employees uses for parameter checks.</p> <p>Dortmont Borough stated in their AVR that Independent Enterprises, Inc. was using a backhoe/ trackhoe to place a curb/sidewalk. On the date of the event, the contractor was on site replacing select sections of curb/sidewalk. One such section was in front of Arkansas Ave. During the day, the borough inspector (Wayne McVicar) made periodic inspections of the work being performed along the street. At the time of the incident, he had completed his work and inspections. No report of the incident was made to the Borough that day. On the following day, the Borough responded to an Emergency One Call Ticket. The inspector spoke with the foreman about the incident, who advised him that the service was never seen, and the gas was never smelled. The project was < \$400,000. And 880 ft. Level B Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used. In the AVR they checked that 911 was not called. Contractor information sheets were provided. 6/10/2021 sheet reads that "Gas. Co. made an emergency repair at 1319 last night. They added that Randy says, "they never saw the service or smelled gas".</p> <p>Independent Enterprises, Inc. stated in their AVR that they were hand digging at the time of the incident. They state that the line was not marked at all by Columbia Gas. Ticket 20211611071 submitted by Independent Enterprise reads that the method of excavation is trenching with an excavator milling machine.</p> <p>Independent Enterprises are in violation of section: 5(11) Excavator failed to use the color white to mark a proposed excavation work site. This is a first-time offense and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125. Education is required.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Section:</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
26560	Facility Owner: UGI Utilities, Inc Contractor/Excavator: Bering Concrete	<p>2(5)(v) Failed to respond to routine ticket 20211611071. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p><u>On 9/30/2021 3:06:00 PM at 921 Maple Street, LEBANON CITY, LEBANON</u> The incident occurred on Thursday, September 30, 2021, at 921 Maple Street, Lebanon City, Lebanon County.</p> <p>UGI Utilities gas line was damaged and they stated that the contractor, Bering Concrete was excavating, replacing the curb and the driveway apron but working without a One Call ticket, and during the excavation a gas service line was hit and damaged. UGI provided photos of the damaged. Bering Concrete called 911 and the Fire and Police Department responded.</p> <p>At 3:10pm, Bering Concrete placed a New Damage Emergency ticket, 20212733130, reporting the line hit, and at 3:15pm they placed a New Excavation Routine ticket, 20212733157, for the curb and driveway replacement.</p> <p>On Thursday, November 3, 2022, a letter was mailed requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) from Bering Concrete. There was no response to the request and no AVR submitted.</p> <p>Bering Concrete in in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe 5(16) Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line Recommendation: Education required, and penalties applied.</p>	<p>Bering Concrete: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
26549	Facility Owner: UGI Utilities, Inc Contractor/Excavator: Melhem & Nelson Construction	<p><u>On 9/30/2021 3:22:00 PM at 6671 CARMEL DR., MACUNGIE BORO, LEHIGH</u> The incident occurred on September 30, 2021, at 6671 Carmel Drive, in Macungie Borough, Lehigh County.</p> <p>UGI Utilities gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI stated that the contractor Melhem & Nelson Construction did not place a One Call ticket before their dig, but used locate marks from a previous ticket. During the excavation, to install pipe for water run off near the house, a gas service line was hit and damaged. UGI provided one photo of the damaged line. The contractor called 911 and the Fire Department responded. PA One Call Compliance commented that Melhem & Nelson Construction has placed One Call notifications in the past. There are no tickets associated with this incident.</p> <p>On November 1, 2022, an email and a letter requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) were sent to Melhem & Nelson Construction. There was no response to the requests and no AVR submitted.</p> <p>Melhem & Nelson Construction is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe</p>	<p>Melhem & Nelson Construction: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		5(16) Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line Recommendation: Education required, and penalties applied	
27406	Facility Owner: Penelec / First Energy Contractor/Excavator: HAPPY VALLEY MOBILE HOME PARK Project Owner: HAPPY VALLEY MOBILE HOME PARK	<p><u>On 10/5/2021 4:00:00 PM at 2947 HAPPY VALLEY ROAD, PERRY TWP, ERIE</u> Incident occurred on 10/05/2021 at 2947 Happy Valley rd., In Perry Township, Erie County.</p> <p>PECO stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Happy Mobile Home Park was excavating at 2947 Happy Valley Rd., when they damaged Penelec's primary facilities. They state there was no One Call ticket submitted for this excavation.</p> <p>One Call stated they found no record of Happy Valley Mobile Home Park ever placing a One Call ticket.</p> <p>Happy Valley Mobile Home Park was mailed an AVR request letter on 9/26/2022. No AVR has been received to date. ***** Happy Valley Mobile Home Park is in violation of Sections: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit and Alleged Violation Report. The Penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location Request to One Call. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(21) Excavator failed to pay the annual fee for services provided by the One Call System. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p>	<p>HAPPY VALLEY MOBILE HOME PARK: \$1,750.00 Section 5(21) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
27187	Facility Owner: VERIZON PA LLC Project Owner: VERIZON PA LLC Designer: CYIENT Other: GENERAL ASPHALT PAVING Other: PHILADELPHIA CITY H2O DEPARTMENT	<p><u>On 10/22/2021 1:00:00 PM at Woodhaven Rd. & Audubon Ave., PHILADELPHIA CITY,</u> <u>PHILADELPHIA</u> Incident occurred on 10/22/2021 at Woodhaven Rd. and Audubon Ave.in Philadelphia City, Philadelphia.</p> <p>General Asphalt Paving (GAP) stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Their crew was digging with a backhoe/trackhoe removing roadway, curb, and sidewalk for the installation of new concrete handicap ramps, when they damaged a cable TV line that was 13" deep. They also state that there were multiple One Call tickets submitted for this project, as many handicap ramps were being installed, but this ramp did not have a One Call notification. They state that the project was < \$400,000. And level "C" Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used. Emergency notification was made to Comcast directly. Crew left the site at 3:30 pm, with no response from Comcast. A site visit at 4:30pm revealed that the cable repair was completed, and the customer was back in service. Pictures and One Design ticket were provided. General Asphalt Paving assumed the line was Comcast. Both Communications companies had lines in this area. This line belonged to Verizon. Verizon was not notified. See emergency ticket 20210613535 where Comcast responds clear.</p> <p>General Asphalt Paving Co. (GAP) responded to an email on 9/27/2022 which asked to verify what communications company was notified. GAP stated they</p>	<p>VERIZON PA LLC: \$1,500.00 Section 2(4) Subsequent \$1,500.00</p> <p>CYIENT: \$1,250.00 Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 4(5) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 4(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>GENERAL ASPHALT PAVING: \$3,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 2nd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(7) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>will get answers from the foreman of the work site. No response was received.</p> <p>One Call notes that General Asphalt Paving has made One Call notifications in the past and provided an address.</p> <p>Comcast responded with an email on 9/27/2022 that they have no reports of damage in that area.</p> <p>Verizon stated in their AVR that Incident occurred on 10/22/2021 at Woodhaven Rd. and Audubon Ave.in Philadelphia City, Philadelphia County, where a communications cable was damaged. General Asphalt Paving (GAP) was digging with a backhoe/trackerhoe removing roadway, curb, and sidewalk for the installation of new concrete handicap ramps, when they damaged a cable TV line that was 13” deep. Lawful Start Dates--[27-Oct-21] thru [05-Nov-21] Response Due Date--[26-Oct-21] Scheduled Excavation Date--[27-Oct-21] Dig Time--[0700] Duration--[3 WEEKS] 0/26/2021 1:24:41 PM: Responded DETAILS: #3 KARL - Marked with Paint: added by Joseph Iffrig, Locate Time: 10/26/2021 1:24:37 PM</p> <p>Cyient AVR request letter was emailed and received on 9/26/2022. No AVR has been received to date. Cyient stated that they did submit an AVR on behalf of Verizon. That was received on 3/24/2023. They also stated that Verizon Pennsylvania LLC has completed review of the incident associated with Case #027187-005238. Email received on 3/24/2023 stated "Please note that I have submitted on behalf of Verizon Pennsylvania LLC AVR #AT20230830015 (AVR2023MAR240009); see attached. As part of the review, which included discussion with the excavator, the following was determined:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Designer PA ONE-CALL ticket 20210613535 was submitted <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Utility Comcast, which later advised of disrupted service associated to the project, responded to the PA ONE-CALL submission that there were no Comcast facilities in the area; see response attached • Excavator General Asphalt Paving inadvertently failed to submit an excavator PA ONE-CALL ticket for the incident. There were multiple locations included within the scope of the project, and although PA ONE-CALL submissions were placed for the other locations, the Woodhaven Rd/Audubon Ave location was inadvertently bypassed. • Excavator General Asphalt Paving did not inform the Project Owner Verizon Pennsylvania LLC of the incident or subsequent excavator’s submitted AVR report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Guidance has been made between Project Owner Verizon Pennsylvania LLC and Excavator General Asphalt Paving to stress that any future violations must be reported by the Excavator to the Project Owner so that a Designer AVR Report can be submitted". 	<p>PHILADELPHIA CITY H2O DEPARTMENT: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>An email was received from Cyient on 4/18/2023 which stated "I received a letter on Friday 4/14/2023 indicating that case 027187 will be heard on 5/9/2023. I won't be able to attend the hearing, but wanted to mention additional detail (some of which has already been provided):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Designer PA-One Call submission 20210613535 was made by me at request and on behalf of Verizon Pennsylvania LLC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Utility Comcast, which later advised of damaged facilities from the associated work, responded to 20210613535 that there were no facilities located in the area • An Excavation PA-One Call submission was inadvertently not submitted by outside contractor General Asphalt Paving (GAP), which subsequently filed AVR report AVR2021NOV020023 upon report of damaged Comcast facilities as a result of the excavation work <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o No report of the incident was made to me by GAP of the incident and, to be honest, neither I nor my Verizon Pennsylvania LLC engineer referral had ever been involved in an AVR incident prior to this one. When I received the initial report, I alerted Verizon Pennsylvania LLC, which investigated and found that GAP had submitted an AVR. At that point in time, we were of the mistaken belief that the GAP AVR would cover the incident – especially given the Comcast response to 20210613535 • After receiving another notice, I again consulted with Verizon Pennsylvania LLC and together we were able to submit AVR2023MAR240009. Once submitted, I provided an overview/results to Eva Maki, who confirmed receipt on 3/27/2023 <p>Is there anything that can done in this regard given the above issues which have been documented and provided – albeit in a delayed manner – to avoid punishment for this incident? Having now experienced how the AVR process works, we believe we are better suited to respond more promptly to any future incidents".</p> <p>General Asphalt Paving is in violation of Sections: 5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information. An email response was received that General Asphalt Paving will reach out to the foreman about facility owner verification. No information was received after this. This is a second offense, and the penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(2.1) General Asphalt Paving states in their AVR that there were multiple tickets for this project, but none for this area. This is a second offense, and the penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(7) Excavator failed to immediately report to the facility owner any break or leak in its lines. Penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>Cyient is in violation of Sections:</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>4(3) Designers drawing does not show the position and type of facility owner’s line. The penalty is applied. 4(4) Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage and to minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. The penalty is applied. 4(5) Designer’s drawing does not include the One Call’s toll-free number. The penalty is applied. 4(8) Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. The penalty is applied. ***** Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and Section 2(4) failed to respond to a designers request for information.</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Section: 2(4) Failed to respond to design ticket 20210613535. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>Additional Information: 9/27/2022 - email to General Asphalt Paving to verify who the facility owner was, as Comcast states they are unaware of any damage. Final design ticket 20210613535, submitted with the AVR from GAP shows one corner of what is a much larger design. Woodhaven Ave does not exist in Google Maps. Wood haven Rd does. Ticket 20212952871 reads the work site as Woodhaven Rd. Ticket 20212952825 reads the worksite to be Woodhaven Ave.</p> <p>Comcast stated in an email that they are not the facility owner. They had no reports of damage in the area.</p>	
27518	<p>Facility Owner: PA AMERICAN WATER Facility Owner: PEOPLES NATURAL GAS Contractor/Excavator: D&M CONSTRUCTION UNLIMITED INC Project Owner: PEOPLES GAS Designer: PEOPLES GAS Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 11/18/2021 12:12:00 AM at 31 RUTHFRED DR, UPPER ST CLAIR TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 11/18/2021 at 27 Rutherford Dr in Upper St. Claire Township, in Allegheny County.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Alleged Violation Report states that D&M Contracting spotted the gas service line, recorded the dept, backfilled, and then proceeded to bore through the service line. 911 is checked as not being called.</p> <p>D&M Construction was emailed an AVR request letter on 9/14/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>D&M Construction Unlimited Inc. is in violation of Section: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report. The penalty is applied. 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. 5(11.2) When using horizontal drilling (HDD) Excavator failed to utilize the best practices published by the HDD Consortium 5.4. 5(8) Excavator failed to immediately notify 911. The penalty is applied.</p> <p>Peoples Gas is in violation of Sections:</p>	<p>PA AMERICAN WATER: \$0.00</p> <p>PEOPLES NATURAL GAS: \$750.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>D&M CONSTRUCTION UNLIMITED INC: \$2,500.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(11.2) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>2(5)(v) Failing to respond to ticket 20212910161 and 20212910162 in the required amount of time. This is a third offense, and the penalty is applied. *****</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. Violation Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to Designer’s request for information within 10 Business days. Violation 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project as described in section 5(3).</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Section: 2(5) ((viii) Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project ticket 20202613409. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p>	
29029	<p>Facility Owner: PENCOR SERVICES BLUE RIDGE COMMUNICATION Contractor/Excavator: Duane Burkholder Excavating</p>	<p><u>On 2/23/2022 3:00:00 PM at 27 Martzall Rd. EAST COCALICO TWP, LANCASTER</u> The incident occurred on, Wednesday, February 23, 2022, at 27 Martzall Road, in East Cocalico Township, Lancaster County, where a cable Tv line was damage. There are tickets associated with this incident.</p> <p>Pencor Services Blue Ridge Communication is the facility owner and they stated, Duane Burkholder Excavating did not place a One Call ticket before their excavation and during the job UG communication cable was hit and damaged, causing service interruption to 2-10 customers for 1-6 hours. The facility owner provide two photos of the damaged line. The PA One Call Compliance commented, Duane Burkholder Excavating has placed One Call notifications in the past.</p> <p>On Wednesday, July 20, 2022, an email was sent to Duane Burkholder Excavating requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR).</p> <p>Duane Burkholder Excavating is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line Recommendation: Education required, and penalties applied</p>	<p>Duane Burkholder Excavating: \$1,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
29153	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: OC CONSTRUCTION Project Owner: HOMEOWNER</p>	<p><u>On 2/27/2022 10:00:00 PM at 625 ASHBOURNE RD, CHELTENHAM TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> Incident occurred on 2/27/2022 at 10pm, 625 Ashbourne Rd, Cheltenham, Montgomery County.</p> <p>O C Construction struck an unmarked gas line owned by PECO. No one call tickets and no 911 call.</p> <p>PECO's AVR states, "ON 02/27/2022 AT APPROXIMATELY 2233, PECO WAS NOTIFIED OF A GAS ODOR AT 625 ASHBOURNE. ON 02/25/2022 THE HOMEOWNER HAD A WATER LEAK AND</p>	<p>OC CONSTRUCTION: \$2,750.00 Section 3.1(f.1) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>HIRED CONTRACTOR, OSCAR CASTRO-ACOSTA/ OC CONSTRUCTION WHO WAS EXCAVATING WITHOUT A POC AND STRUCK THE GAS SERVICE TO THIS HOME. ONE CUSTOMER WAS AFFECTED. THERE WERE NO INJURIES." PA One Call does not have any records for OC Construction.</p> <p>DPI mailed an AVR request to the homeowner on 7/20/22. Letter also requested the contact information for O C Construction.</p> <p>Resent letter to homeowner name that was provided by PECO. Called OC Construction for an email address of oscaracosta10@icloud.com and gave his business mailing address, but communication was difficult to make out accurately. Advised OC Construction to file an AVR over the phone and sent an email for an AVR on 7/21/22.</p> <p>O C Construction was mailed a letter to submit an AVR on 9/21/22 to current and previous addresses listed online for the business. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>O C Construction Section 3.1(f.1) – Failed to pay the annual fee for services provided by the One Call system. Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Section 5(8) – Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line.</p> <p>Homeowner Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line.</p>	<p>\$500.00</p> <p>HOMEOWNER: \$0.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
30652	<p>Facility Owner: PECO ENERGY Contractor/Excavator: TRI COUNTY WATER SERVICES Project Owner: PECO Other: Verizon Other: Zayo Bandwidth</p>	<p><u>On 3/1/2022 12:00:00 PM at WESTGATE VILLAGE DR, EAST WHITELAND TWP, CHESTER</u> Tri County Water Services disputed 5(3) and 5(2.2). DPI reviewed their documents and removed both violations and penalties. They accepted the violation of 5(16). ***** Incident occurred on 3/1/2022 at 12pm along Westgate Village Drive, East Whiteland Twp, Chester County.</p> <p>Tri County Water Services hit an unmarked gas line owned by PECO. 911 was notified.</p> <p>PECO's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "Contractor damaged an incorrectly marked .5” plastic gas service. The service was marked using inaccurate PECO records."</p>	<p>PECO ENERGY: \$4,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>TRI COUNTY WATER SERVICES: \$500.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$5,000.00 Section 2(5)(v.1) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v)</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Emailed and mailed Tri County Water Services a request for an AVR on 9/8/22. No AVR has been received as of 10/25/22.</p> <p>Tri County Services ticket 20220522070 covers a large area with multiple work sites.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>PECO Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20220522070 Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line.</p> <p>Tri County Water Services Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required. Section 5(2.2) – Excavator failed to provide exact information to identify the worksite. Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project.</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20220522070 Section 2(5)(v.1) – Failed to communicate directly with excavator within 2 hours of renotification. 20220522070</p> <p>Zayo Bandwidth Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20220522070</p>	<p>Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Zayo Bandwidth: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p>
30655	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: GALLAGHER CONSTRUCTION Project Owner: Eadeh Real Estate</p>	<p><u>On 3/1/2022 1:00:00 PM at 814 E LANCASTER AVE, EASTTOWN TWP, CHESTER</u> Incident occurred on 3/1/22 at 1pm at 814 E Lancaster Ave, Easttown Township, Chester County where a gas line was damaged.</p> <p>PECO's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Contractor digging on an expired ticket (8/21) damaged an unmarked 1" plastic gas service. The contractor failed to get a new PA1." AVR notes 911 was called.</p> <p>Ticket 20212382090 says that the duration is 2 weeks with the type of work as installing a deck and grading. Ticket was placed 8/26/2021 and work was done on 3/1/22 which is a difference of 187 calendar days.</p> <p>On 9/29/22, Eadeh Real Estate and Gallagher Construction were mailed and emailed requests to submit an AVR. No AVR has been received to date from Eadeh Real Estate. Email delivery receipt was sent from Gallagher Construction. Gallagher Construction sent an AVR on 9/29/22. The summary was left blank, and the excavator failed to answer questions asked in the AVR request letter sent to them.</p> <p>Violations:</p>	<p>GALLAGHER CONSTRUCTION: \$1,750.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Eadeh Real Estate: \$500.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Gallagher Construction Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Education is required. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required. Penalty is reduced to \$0 for 1st offense. Section 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request. Education is required.</p> <p>Eadeh Real Estate Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Education is required.</p>	
29377	<p>Facility Owner: TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION, LP Contractor/Excavator: T L Long Excavating</p>	<p><u>On 3/2/2022 7:00:00 AM at 141 McCall Lane, MARTINSBURG BORO, BLAIR</u> Incident occurred on 3/2/22 at 7am at 141 McCall Lane, Martinsburg, Blair County where a non-damage violation took place.</p> <p>Texas Eastern Transmission, LP's alleged violation report states, "A third party contractor left a previously agreed upon work area and used heavy machinery to cross the natural gas transmission pipeline without authorization. A dozer was used to take out trees and a brush fire was lit on top of the pipeline. A pressure restriction was applied to the pipeline in order to investigate the pipeline for damage. No damage was found, and the pipeline was returned to regular service."</p> <p>T L Long Excavators AVR states, "Approx. one week prior to alleged violation, Terry Long Jr. had contacted A.J. Brooks with Texas Eastern to let him know we would be working in the vicinity of the pipeline and to approve the crossing over of Texas Eastern pipeline with our dump truck on the farmer's field lane. We had scraped the grass and weeds off along the access roadway to the farmer fields. No more than 2" of ground was disturbed- Texas Eastern aircraft saw the disturbance and reported it in."</p> <p>On 10/7/22 T L Long was emailed for the following information: Who was the project owner? Did you have any PA1call tickets for the original dig site? How many feet or miles did the project cover? What was the estimated cost of the project? Who was the designer? No response has been received to date.</p> <p>Violation:</p> <p>T L Long Excavators Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Education is required. Section 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request. Education is required.</p>	<p>T L Long Excavating: \$1,250.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
29242	<p>Facility Owner: PHILADELPHIA GAS</p>	<p><u>On 3/4/2022 10:00:00 AM at 710 Manatawna Avenue, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> The incident</p>	<p>Response Electric: \$1,250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>WORKS Contractor/Excavator: Response Electric</p>	<p>occurred on, Friday March 4, 2022, at 710 Manatawna, in Philadelphia City, Philadelphia County, where a gas line was damaged. There are no tickets associated with this incident.</p> <p>Philadelphia Gas Works (PGW) stated Response Electric did not place a One Call before job; a 2-inch intermediate pressure plastic gas service line was hit and damaged. PGW provide no photos. The PA One Call Compliance commented, Response Electric has placed notifications with PA One Call in the past.</p> <p>On Friday, July 22, 2022, a letter was mailed to Response Electric requesting an Alleged Violation Report (AVR).</p> <p>Response Electric is in violation of sections: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an AVR within 10 business days of striking a line Recommendation: Education required, and penalties applied</p>	<p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>
29803	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS Contractor/Excavator: WILKINSBURG PENN JOINT WATER AUTHORITY Project Owner: WILKINSBURG PENN JOINT WATER AUTHORITY</p>	<p><u>On 3/29/2022 11:00:00 AM at 7834 MOUNT CARMEL RD, PENN HILLS MUNIC, ALLEGHENY</u> Peoples is disputing section 2(5)(vi) with the reasoning that the locator actually did not mark the service line. He marked the main line and curb box and marked them in yellow. WPJWA was not onsite, and sometimes takes forever to show, so he dotted in white to give them an idea so there would be no confusion of WPJWA thinking he actually "marked" the service line being in yellow paint. WPJWA was not charged for the repair of the damaged line according to Peoples.</p> <p>DPI is reducing the penalty to \$0 but keeping the violation due to the emergency situation and lack of time for a Vac truck. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 3/29/2022 at 11am at 7834 Mount Caramel Rd, Penn Hills Munic, Allegheny County when Wilkesburg Penn Joint Water Authority (WPJWA) hit a marked Peoples Gas Company (Peoples) utility line.</p> <p>Peoples AVR stated, "WPJWA was repairing a water main break under an emergency PA One Call near 7834 Mt. Carmel Rd. when they struck and damaged a 1" plastic medium pressure service line serving 7834 Mt. Carmel Rd. The damage occurred just about directly above the marked main line. The crew was informed of the damaged service prior due to not having no wire above ground to access for a conductive locate, so the locator informed the crew of section 5.15 and dotted it out in white to give the crew an idea where it may possibly be due to the ticket being an emergency. The marks were actually correct and the line was still damaged. WPJWA failed to exercise due care and take all reasonable steps in preventing a damage." AVR noted</p>	<p>PEOPLES GAS: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vi) 2nd Offense \$0.00</p> <p>WILKINSBURG PENN JOINT WATER AUTHORITY: \$1,750.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>that 911 was not called. PEOPLES GAS COMPANY Picture 5 shows clothing covering the line.</p> <p>DPI mailed and emailed WPJWA a request for an AVR on 6/22/2022. Received AVR on 6/23/2022.</p> <p>WPJWA's AVR states, "The water company had a leak on our 10" that is directly under the gas line. The soil in this area is solid slag. We nicked the gas line with our air spade tool trying to expose it from the slag. The gas company was immediately notified and came out and made the repair and moved the line out of our way so we could continue digging and make our repair. There was no easy way to expose the gas line with all the slag so we were as careful as we possibly could be here."</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company Section 2(5)(vi) - Lines were not marked in compliance. 20220870930 (Marked in white) \$500</p> <p>WPJWA Section 5(4) - Failed to use prudent techniques in the tolerance zone. 20220870930 Education is required + \$500 Section 5(8) - Failed to call 911 after a gas line hit with a hazardous release. 20220870930 Education is required + \$1000 Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an AVR within 10 Days of a line strike. 20220870930. Education is required + \$250</p>	
29971	<p>Facility Owner: SPRINGFIELD TOWNSHIP</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Concrete Cutting Systems</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: UTILITY LINE SERVICES</p> <p>Project Owner: PECO ENERGY</p>	<p><u>On 4/12/2022 11:00:00 AM at BALTIMORE PIKE, SPRINGFIELD TWP, DELAWARE</u> Incident occurred on 4/12/2022 along Baltimore Pike, Springfield Township, Delaware County where a traffic loop was damaged.</p> <p>Concrete Cutting Systems (CCS) hit a traffic loop owned by Springfield Township of Delaware County (Springfield) while subcontracting for Utility Line Services (ULS). The project was for PECO.</p> <p>ULS' Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "On 4/12/2022, while working in the area of Baltimore Pike and Church Rd., Concrete Cutting Systems sawcut thru unmarked traffic signal wires on the opposite side of the intersection from where traffic signal wires were marked. A township representative responded and confirmed there were no marks at the damage location."</p> <p>PECO's second AVR states, "On 4/12/2022, while working in the area of Baltimore Pike and Church Rd., Concrete Cutting Systems sawcut thru unmarked traffic signal wires on the opposite side of the intersection from where traffic signal wires were marked. A township representative responded and confirmed there were no marks at the damage location." First AVR noted ULS instead of CCS.</p>	<p>SPRINGFIELD TOWNSHIP: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>DPI mailed and emailed request letter for AVRs to CCS and Springfield Township of Delaware. CCS did not respond as of 8/1/22. Springfield responded by email due to their line being under \$2500 to repair and only hit once within 6 months.</p> <p>Springfield's email states, "Springfield Township received a phone call from PECO on April 12th informing us that the traffic light at Church Rd and Baltimore Pike was flashing. The Public Works Department then notified Robert Artese who is the Superintendent of the lights and signals department for Springfield Township. Upon arrival Mr. Artese met with both crews from CCS and PECO. After investigating the area it was discovered that an underground wire connecting the traffic light system had been struck while CCS was cutting for future PECO work. The line that was struck does or did not appear on any drawings/maps that Springfield Township has in their possession. All other township structures were marked. This line is believed to be atleast 40+ years old. After identifying the break in the line it was determined it would be easier to run the broken underground wire overhead from pole to pole to avoid any future incidents similar to this. Please let us know if we can provide any more information in this case. "</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Springfield Township Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate and mark facilities within 18 inches on ticket number 20220800328. 1st Offense \$500 + Education is required</p>	
30837	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: MAIOCCO EXCAVATING INC Project Owner: Throop Borough Other: Reilly Engineering</p>	<p><u>On 4/13/2022 12:00:00 PM at 204 DUNMORE ST, THROOP BORO, LACKAWANNA</u> Maiocco Excavating disputed their violations for 5(3), 5(6)(ii), and 5(16).</p> <p>Maiocco Excavating agreed to a 1st offense reduced penalty of 50% for section 5(3) and 5(6)(ii) plus a \$0 penalty on 5(16) with Education. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 4/13/22 at 12pm at 204 Dunmore Street, Throop Boro, Lackawanna County.</p> <p>UGI's Alleged Violation Report states, "Excavator struck and damaged correctly marked gas service line while excavating in the tolerance zone with mechanized equipment." AVR also notes that 911 was called.</p> <p>On 10/3/2022, Maiocca Excavating was emailed and mailed a request to submit an AVR. Throop Borough was mailed an AVR. No AVR has been received from Maiocca Excavating to date.</p> <p>Throop Borough's AVR states, "While rolling out one of the stones that was set to form the vertical wall of the gutter system the gas service was compromised. The size of the vertical stones on each side of the gas service were approximately 24" long, 24" high and 10" thick. The gas</p>	<p>MAIOCCO EXCAVATING INC: \$375.00 Section 5(6)(ii) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Throop Borough: \$500.00 Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Reilly Engineering: \$250.00 Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>service alignment was clearly marked on the pavement surface with paint and the gas service was accurately depicted on the construction plans at this location. The contractor was aware that there was a gas service in the immediate vicinity. The gas service was expected to be found under the floor of the stone curb & gutter system and the contractor expected to hand dig to locate the gas service once the stone curb & gutter system was removed." AVR notes that 911 was called. The project used SUE Level D while covering 8,025 and had an estimated cost of over \$400,000.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Maiocca Excavating Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. Education is required. Section 5(6)(ii) – Excavator failed to provide support and mechanical protection for known facility owner’s lines at the construction work site. Education is required. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required.</p> <p>Throop Borough Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. Education is required. Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Education is required.</p> <p>Reilly Engineering Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. Education is required.</p>	
30198	<p>Facility Owner: PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS Contractor/Excavator: Best Choice Plumbing Project Owner: PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS Other: PECO Other: SOUTHEASTERN PA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY</p>	<p><u>On 4/14/2022 2:00:00 PM at 5205 W BERKS ST, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> Incident occurred on 4/14/2022 at 5205 W Berks St. Philadelphia City, Philadelphia Co.</p> <p>Philadelphia Gas Works (PGW) states in their AVR that on 4/14/2022, Best Choice Plumbing was working for Philadelphia Gas Works, using a loader, when the contractor hit a PGW service line. 1 customer was affected for 1-6 hours. Email received on 9/27/2022 stated that there are no other pictures that they have. They also stated that the markings for the service line are covered by the dirt pile.</p> <p>Best Choice Plumbing did not submit an AVR. They wrote a letter directly to us. The letter stated that Best Choice Plumbing was contracted to perform excavation at 2505 W. Berks St., Philadelphia, where a PA 811 call was completed. Marking for the gas line showed that the</p>	<p>Best Choice Plumbing: \$1,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>PECO: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>SOUTHEASTERN PA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY: \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>line was running straight to the gas main, however the line looped to the gas main closer to the curb. The markings toward the house were accurate. The mark at the curb was off. The gas line looped around the fresh air vent then back to the gas main. Photos were attached. Neither Arrow Plumbing nor PWG could answer why an Arrow Truck was in the pictures. PWG responded that this may have been a subcontractor. Best Choice Plumbing did not respond at all.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Best choice Plumbing is in violation of Sections: 5(16) Excavator failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report: They did not follow the directions on the AVR request letter. They did attempt to give some information. This is a first-time offense, and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250. Education is required. 5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information form PUC staff. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(4) Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent techniques. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket and 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time.</p> <p>Southeastern PA Transportation is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to routine One Call ticket 20220952850. They did not respond through One Call. The penalty is applied.</p> <p>PECO is in violation of Section: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to One Call ticket 20220952850 in the required amount of time. Ticket was due on 4/7/2022 and PECO responded Clear no facilities on 4/8/2022. This is a subsequent violation, and the penalty is applied.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
30846	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES INC Contractor/Excavator: ALL PREMIER FENCING</p>	<p><u>On 4/15/2022 1:58:00 PM at 934 Moosic Rd., OLD FORGE BORO, LUZERNE</u> Incident occurred on 4/15/22 at 1:58pm at 934 Moosic Rd, Old Forge Boro, Luzerne County where a gas line was hit.</p> <p>UGI's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Fencing contractor struck and damaged their own gas service line while excavating to place a fence around their Fence Company. No one call was made by excavator." AVR notes that 911 was called. UGI proved eight pictures that show the damaged line and the repair work.</p> <p>811: We have no record of All Premier Fencing placing one call notifications.</p> <p>On 10/3/22, All Premier Fencing was mailed and emailed a request to submit an AVR. Email delivery receipt was received. No AVR has been submitted to date.</p>	<p>ALL PREMIER FENCING: \$2,000.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(21) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Violations:</p> <p>All Premier Fencing Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Education is required. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required. Section 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request. Education is required. Section 5(21) – Excavator failed to pay the annual fee for service provided by the One Call System. Education is required.</p>	
30323	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC Contractor/Excavator: WILSON EXCAVATING - A LINDY GROUP COMPANY Project Owner: People's Gas Company</p>	<p><u>On 4/25/2022 1:00:00 PM at 527 SOUTHCREST DR, PITTSBURGH CITY, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 4/25/2022 at 1pm at 527 Southcrest Drive, Pittsburgh City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Wilson Excavating hit a mismarked Peoples Gas line that was 5 1/2 inches outside of the tolerance zone. 911 was called and the facility owner was notified.</p> <p>Peoples Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Wilson Excavating was installing new gas lines on Southcrest Dr. for Peoples Gas when they struck and damaged a mis-marked service 5 1/2" outside the tolerance zone with a backhoe. The locator marked the service line by measurements due to no wire available above ground for a conductive locate. The locator was instructed not to mark without a tone in the future and communicate 5.15 with the contractor and place a vac request internally for the trouble locate. Peoples Gas spoke to Wilson about using mechanized equipment so close to the tolerance zone as well."</p> <p>Wilson's AVR states, "Hit a mismarked line outside the tolerance zone with excavator. Line is owned by Peoples Gas and they are also the project owner. Peoples Gas was notified. 911 was called. Peoples Gas repaired the line onsite that day." Pictures provided by Wilson Excavating show the line was mismarked.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally. 20220732674</p> <p>Notes-Violations for a final design ticket and ticket responses are being cited in cases 030096 and 030071.</p>	<p>PEOPLES GAS COMPANY LLC: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p>
30326	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: STEEL NATION ENVIRONMENTAL Project Owner: TIONESTA BOROUGH</p>	<p><u>On 4/26/2022 8:15:00 AM at 112 WALNUT ST, TIONESTA BORO, FOREST</u> Incident occurred on 4/26/2022 at 8:15am at 112 Walnut Street, Tionesta Boro, Forest County.</p>	<p>UGI Utilities: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 2nd Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Designer: E&M Engineers and Surveyors PC Designer: Keller Engineers, Inc Other: Verizon</p>	<p>Steel Nation Environment hit an unmarked gas line owned by UGI while working for Tionesta Borough. 911 was called.</p> <p>UGI's alleged violation report (AVR) stated, "Contractor hit and damaged an unmarked service." AVR notes 911 was called.</p> <p>DPI mailed and emailed a request for an AVR to Steel Nation Environmental, Tionesta Borough, and Keller Engineers, Inc on 7/29/22. DPI asked Keller for a final design ticket. Tionesta Borough and Keller Engineers confirmed that Keller Engineers ticket was for a separate project. E & M Engineers were mailed and emailed on 10/4/2022.</p> <p>Tionesta Borough's AVR states, "Contractor, Steel Nation hit a mismarked gas line. No one from the Borough was onsite at the time of the incident. The contractor told us immediately following the incident." Tionesta email confirms that the project was under \$400,000.</p> <p>E & M Engineers AVR states, "We have attached the POCS Web Ticket Confirmation (dated 10/16/2017) along with the POCS KARL Responses (dated 10/15/2017 2:07 a.m. and 10/19/2017 8:30 a.m.) as requested. This is an excavation one call that was completed prior to our topographic survey being performed and there was not a design one call performed at this time or at a later date. At the time of completing this one call ticket, we were having extremely poor response by utility companies in regard to marking underground utilities in the field. We acknowledge that a design one call is needed to be completed for this project and we have been instructed by Mr. Cooper and Mr. Kirk Kirkpatrick of the PA One Call to complete both an excavation and design one call for a project site prior to completing our topographic survey work for future projects. The gas service line in question was not marked by UGI prior to our topographic survey work, therefore, was not included on our project drawings and it is our understanding that it was not marked after a one call had been completed by Steel Nation prior to starting construction."</p> <p>Steel Nation's AVR states, "While digging for a storm sewer on Walnut Street Tionesta PA, we hit an unmarked gas line. PA One Call was made aware ahead of time. Crew from UGI came on site and made repairs and made sure all other lines were marked." Verizon and UGI failed to respond within 10 business days to the design ticket 20203421102.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>UGI Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line.</p>	<p>TIONESTA BOROUGH: \$500.00 Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>E&M Engineers and Surveyors PC: \$500.00 Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,500.00 Section 2(4) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20203421102 Tionesta Borough</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. Education is required.</p> <p>E&M Engineers, Inc. Section 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. Education is required.</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20203421102</p>	
30644	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA - South</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: POLLARD LAND SERVICES INC</p> <p>Project Owner: MASONTOWN MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY</p> <p>Designer: Sleighter Engineering</p> <p>Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 5/3/2022 9:00:00 AM at 344 RIVER AVE, MASONTOWN BORO, FAYETTE</u> Incident occurred on 5/3/22 at 9am at 344 River Ave, Masontown Boro, Fayette County where a gas line was hit.</p> <p>Pollard Land Services, Inc's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "CREW WAS DIGGING, EXPOSED THE MARKED LINES, FOUND A 1-1/4" STEEL SERVICE THAT LOOPED INTO A YARD 10 FEET AND CAME BACK ACROSS THE ROAD."</p> <p>Columbia Gas Company's AVR states, "Pollard Land Services Inc., was completing sewer replacement work when they struck an inaccurately marked 1” plastic-inserted gas service. The service line record for 344 River Ave., Masontown, indicated the gas service came straight from the meter set out into the street. The locator had tone when locating this service; however, the service actually ran on an angle down towards the intersection where the work was taking place. Pollard Land Services notified Columbia Gas directly regarding the damage. Columbia Gas responded immediately to make the area safe and complete repairs. Company records have been updated to reflect the correct measurements of the gas service."</p> <p>On 9/29/22, Sleighter Engineering and Masontown Municipal Authority were mailed and emailed request to submit an AVR.</p> <p>Sleighter Engineering's AVR states, "CONTRACTOR BROKE AN 1 1/4", UNMARKED GAS LINE." AVR notes that the project was 3,800 feet, with an estimated cost over \$400,000, and SUE Level B. *This is a violation for the level of SUE on a project over \$400,000. No Final Design ticket was found.</p> <p>Masontown Municipal Authority's AVR states, "CREW WAS DIGGING, EXPOSED THE MARKED LINES, FOUND A 1 1/4" STEEL SERVICE THAT LOOPED INTO A YEAR 10 FEET AND CAME BACK ACROSS THE ROAD." AVR notes that 911 was called by Columbia Gas.</p>	<p>Columbia Gas of PA - South: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>POLLARD LAND SERVICES INC: \$1,000.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>MASONTOWN MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY: \$1,000.00 Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Sleighter Engineering: \$750.00 Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>No final design ticket was submitted before the project began. The preliminary designs that were done used SUE Level B. This is a required level of SUE and failure to submit a final design ticket for the designer and project owner.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Columbia Gas Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. 20221031297</p> <p>Pollard Land Services Inc Section 5(8) – Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. 20221231621. Education is required.</p> <p>Masontown Municipal Authority Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. Education is required. Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. Education is required.</p> <p>Sleighter Engineering Section 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. Education is required. Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. Education is required.</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3).</p>	
30572	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Natural Gas Contractor/Excavator: Quaker Sales Corporation Project Owner: PENNDOT Designer: Keller Engineers, Inc</p>	<p><u>On 5/3/2022 1:15:00 PM at Arbutus Avenue, RICHLAND TWP, CAMBRIA</u> Incident occurred on 5/3/2022 at 1:15pm along Arbutus Ave, Richland Township, Cambria County.</p> <p>PennDot's alleged violation report (AVR) stated, "excavator installing drainage pipe dug through a gas line (service to one home.)" AVR noted that 911 was not called and 1 customer was affected for 1-6 hours.</p> <p>Quaker Sales Corp's AVR states, "Incident occurred when the removal of an existing storm water inlet pinched an existing gas line that was right next to the inlet box. Ground markings did not indicate that the line was that close to the inlet box. Utility company was called and showed up to fix the issue that day and were</p>	<p>Quaker Sales Corporation: \$1,750.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>compensated by Quaker Sales for their work." AVR notes that 911 was not called. The project was over \$400,000.</p> <p>No complex project ticket was found for Quaker Sales Corp on project over 2,800 ft. Picture 1 provided by Peoples Gas shows a sheared open gas line, and 911 was not notified.</p> <p>Peoples Gas Company's AVR states, "Contractor was doing road work and damaged a distribution service line that was marked accurately. One of the attached photos is from ticket #20221150456 and shows this." AVR notes 1 customer was affected with a cost of under \$1,000. Picture 1 from Peoples Gas show that the line was sheared open.</p> <p>Keller Engineers, Inc's AVR states, "I was unaware that the incident had occurred until I was contacted by the PUC on September 7, 2022. The information provided above is based on the entire project information. We have no specific details on the location of the incident along the 1.8 mile corridor. SUE Test Level A was utilized at various locations along the corridor. After our design was complete, the gas company relocated most of the gas lines along that road. We showed the proposed relocation on our plan. We also sent the gas company our topo plans for facility verification."</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Quaker Sales Corporation Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. Education is required. Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Education is required. Section 5(8) – Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. Education is required.</p>	
33459	<p>Facility Owner: COLUMBIA GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete</p> <p>Project Owner: COLLEGE TOWNSHIP ENGINEERING</p> <p>Designer: KELLER ENGINEERS INC</p> <p>Other: COLLEGE TOWNSHIP WATER AUTHORITY</p>	<p><u>On 5/4/2022 8:31:00 AM at 717 PIKE ST, COLLEGE TWP, CENTRE</u> 5 Incidents occurred. The 1st incident occurred on 5/4/2022, the 2nd on 8/24/2022, the 3rd on 8/31/2022 a 4th on 9/21/2022 and a 5th on 9/26/2022. This occurred at multiple addresses near each other on Pike St. in College Township, Centre County, where gas lines were damaged.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/26/2022 at 717 Pike Street, in College Township in Centre County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Bowman Excavation was attempting to spot the 1” plastic gas service line. They state that there were large tree roots in the area of the work, so the operator and crew decided to use the bucket to pull additional roots out of the way. When they did, they caught the gas</p>	<p>Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete: \$1,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>service line with the bucket. Columbia Gas states that the excavator immediately called 911 and notified Columbia Gas. They state that the excavator also called in One Call damage ticket.</p> <p>Columbia Gas states that their Damage Prevention Specialist met with Bowman Excavation and reviewed the hand digging requirements for the work being done by Bowman. Pictures are included.</p> <p>College Township stated in their AVR that the length of the project was 950ft, the cost was >\$400,000, and that test hole/pot holing was done. They state that level "A" Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used. No plans were provided showing SUE. Two attachments were provided: Drawing for incident and Designer Engineer Comments.</p> <p>Drawing- for incident 33459 states that sketch shows the approximate location of the excavator when the gas service lateral was broken. Two test pits were opened to locate the gas line prior to the stump removal. The excavator was pulling on the stump, and when the stump broke free, the roots from the stump pulled and broke the gas line.</p> <p>Designer Engineer Comments – states that the project cost \$1,517,159.85. Design meetings included Columbia Gas, who was consulted during the design process relative to gas line location and sometimes the relocation of the gas line. A formal PennDOT utility clearance process was observed with Columbia Gas Company resulting in a form D-4181 approval from the gas company and completion of a D-419 Utility Clearance Report.</p> <p>Construction meetings were held, and Contractor was in constant contact with Columbia Gas Company and other affected utility companies during construction as required by construction documents in order to coordinate general work along with various required utility relocations.</p> <p>Design Serial number: 20220250926. Name of Designer: Keller Engineers, Inc.</p> <p>Name of Contractor: Bowman Excavating/Paving/Concrete.</p> <p>SUE Level: effectively subsurface quality level C was utilized for this project consisting of multiple PA One-Call notifications with attendant utility company underground locations during the design life of the project and accompanying field survey to locate utility company alignment and depth designations. Presumably some utility companies' information was based on geophysical location methods, therefore approaches quality level B Sue. This process was updated several times throughout the long design life of this project.</p> <p>SUE quality Level A was utilized in certain areas of the project, as some non-destructive exposure of underground utilities was effectuated during a previous water main relocation effort within the immediate project area (with attendant location survey and design plan adjustments as uncovered utility line alignments and depths (including as lines) became confirmed.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Keller Engineers Inc. They refer to case 33883 in their AVR and state that the excavator encountered and broke a gas service line and that this break affected two residences, therefore two PUC incidents were created. They add that temporary repairs were done this same day. They state that the final repair of the gas main was performed by Columbia Gas on 09/11/2022. Project is >\$400,000. SUE information is listed differently on each AVR. No SUE design work was provided to date.</p> <p>Case 33883 is one of 5 gas line damages which occurred with this project and same excavator.</p> <p>Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete was emailed an AVR request on 9/27/2022 and mailed an AVR request on 12/02/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete is in violation of Sections:</p> <p>5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Cases 33884, 33826, 33827, and 33883 are related investigations.</p> <p>Ticket 20221360949 violations area addressed in case 33826.</p> <p>Complex project violations are addressed in case 33826.</p>	
30868	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: UPPER MORELAND-HATBORO SEWER AUTHORITY Project Owner: UPPER MORELAND Township</p>	<p><u>On 5/5/2022 11:00:00 AM at DAVISVILLE RD, UPPER MORELAND TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> Incident occurred on 5/5/22 at 11am, Davisville Road, Upper Moreland Twp, Montgomery County where a gas line was hit.</p> <p>PECO's alleged violation report (AVR) stated, "ON 05/05/2022, CONTRACTOR, UPPER MORELAND-HATBORO SEWER AUTHORITY, WAS EXCAVATING WITH A BACKHOE TO REPLACE A SEWER MAIN UNDER POC 20221242888, WHEN THEY STRUCK AND DAMAGED A 1/2" PLASTIC GAS SERVICE, WHICH WAS NOT MARKED BY LOCATOR, FOR UNKNOWN REASONS." AVR also noted that 911 was called.</p> <p>On 10/3/2022, Upper Moreland-Hartboro Joint Sewer Authority (UMHJSA) was mailed and emailed a request to submit an AVR. Cleared up the difference between a violation penalty and an AVR request letter with UMHJSA . No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>PECO</p>	<p>PECO: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>UPPER MORELAND-HATBORO SEWER AUTHORITY: \$500.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line.</p> <p>Upper Moreland-Hartboro Joint Sewer Authority Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required.</p>	
30869	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: BIG DAWG PLUMBING Project Owner: Tierview Capital Designer: Pierce Engineering, Inc. Other: Philadelphia City Water Department Other: Southeastern PA Transportation Authority</p>	<p><u>On 5/6/2022 9:00:00 AM at 6311 GERMANTOWN AVE, PHILADELPHIA CITY, PHILADELPHIA</u> Incident occurred on 5/6/2022 at 9am at 6311 Germantown Ave, Philadelphia City, Philadelphia County.</p> <p>PECO's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "ON 05/06/2022 CONTRACTOR, BIG DAWG PLUMBING, 5300 UMBRIA STREET, UNIT 2, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19128, STRUCK A DUCT BANK AT 6311 GERMANTOWN AVENUE. CONTRACTOR WAS INSTALLING SANITARY LINES FOR A NEW CONSTRUCTION. THE ENTIRE AREA WAS CORRECTLY MARKED BY USIC. CONTRACTOR CONFIRMED THAT THEY SAW THE DUCT CONTRACTOR STATED THAT HE WAS UNABLE TO HAVE THE DUCT IDENTIFIED AND DID NOT KNOW IF IT WAS ABANDONED OR WORKING, SO HE CHIPPED AT IT TO SEE WHAT WAS INSIDE AND THE DAMAGE OCCURRED."</p> <p>On 10/3/2022, letters to submit an AVR were mailed and emailed to Big Dawg Plumbing, Pierce Engineering, and Tierview Capital. Peirce Engineering responded and provided proof that their design work was only for a retaining wall. Pierce Engineering presenting documents that they were only hired to design a retaining wall. Big Dawg Plumbing sent an email with an explanation and pictures within the email. They were reminded to submit an AVR. No AVR has been received from Big Dawg Plumbing or Tierview Capital to date.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Big Dawg Plumbing Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Education is required. 20221163002 Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required. Section 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request.</p> <p>Tierview Capital Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Education is required. 20221163002</p> <p>Southeastern PA Transportation Authority Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221081328. Education is required.</p>	<p>BIG DAWG PLUMBING: \$1,250.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Tierview Capital: \$500.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Philadelphia City Water Department: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Southeastern PA Transportation Authority: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Philadelphia City Water Department Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20191213934. Education is required.</p>	
30875	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: CERES TOWNSHIP Project Owner: CERES TOWNSHIP/97469</p>	<p><u>On 5/18/2022 7:00:00 AM at Ceres Township Building, CERES TWP, MCKEAN</u> Ceres Township disputed no AVR - AVR was received on 10/13/22</p> <p>DPI reduced penalty on 5(16) to \$0 with Education. Ceres Township accepted the resolution while agreeing to 5(2.1) also. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/18/2022 at 7am at 12 Barbertown Rd, Ceres Township, McKean County where a gas line was hit.</p> <p>UGI's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "Township was working on a water line without a PA-1 Call and damaged their 3/4" PL gas service." AVR notes 911 was called.</p> <p>On 10/3/2022, Ceres Township was mailed and emailed a request to submit an AVR. Ceres Township called on 10/13/22 asking how to file an AVR. Township representative was very honest and upfront about the line hit. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Ceres Township Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Education is required. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required.</p>	<p>CERES TOWNSHIP: \$1,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>
30933	<p>Facility Owner: Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority Contractor/Excavator: CADDICK UTILITIES L L C Project Owner: Aqua Designer: Total Engineering, LLC</p>	<p><u>On 5/23/2022 9:00:00 AM at 2520 LAUREL DR, BRISTOL TWP, BUCKS</u> Incident occurred on 5/23/22 at 9am at 2520 Laurel Dr, Bristol Township, Bucks County.</p> <p>Aqua and Caddick Utilities alleged violation reports (AVRs) state, "Damaged unmarked sewer lateral at house 2520 Laurel Dr. and found unmarked 2" steel line."</p> <p>On 10/19/22, a request to submit an AVR was mailed and emailed to Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority and Total Engineering.</p> <p>Total Engineering's AVR stated, "DAMAGED UNMARKED SEWER LATERAL ALONG LAUREL DRIVE."</p> <p>Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority (LBCJMA)'s AVR stated, "Neither the private sewer line nor the 2" line in owned or maintained by LBCJMA."</p>	<p>Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority: \$750.00 Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>LBCJMA has called and worked with the PUC to update their practices on marking lateral point of connections in the future. Reducing Section 2(5)(i.1) penalty in half for case 30931, 30933, 30934, and 30965.</p> <p>Violations: LBCJMA Section 2(5)(i.1) – Failed to locate an actually known facility’s point of connection to its facilities. Education is required. Section 2(5)(vii) – Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification. Education is required. Penalty was reduced by 50% from \$1000 to \$500.</p> <p>Related to cases 30931,30965, and 30934.</p>	
30907	<p>Facility Owner: LEHIGH COUNTY AUTHORITY Contractor/Excavator: A. T. Hobel Excavating LLC</p>	<p><u>On 5/24/2022 8:00:00 AM at 7743 HAMILTON BLVD, UPPER MACUNGIE TWP, LEHIGH</u> Incident occurred on 5/24/2022 at 8:00am at 7743 Hamilton Blvd., Upper Macungie Township, Lehigh County.</p> <p>***No Damage***</p> <p>Lehigh County Authority's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "Line was located after emergency once call was placed. Contractor began digging with no pa one call. once the contractor could not locate or find LCA water main by digging with machine they then called in an emergency one call. The job clearly was not an emergency and should have been called in as a 3 day routine knowing their scope of work that was planned ahead." AVR notes near miss.</p> <p>On 10/4/2022, a letter requesting an AVR was mailed and e-mailed to A.T. Hobel Excavating LLC. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>A.T. Hobel emailed stating, "The customer had a water leak. After investigating the leak, the owner decided to replace the 3/4" copper line. Someone did a Pa-one call. I thought it was from my estimator. It was from an old PA one call. The township stopped to inform me that no pa-one call was done. The property had no water. I called an emergency one call."</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>A.T. Hobel Excavating Section 5(2.1) – Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. Education is required. Section 5(16) - Excavator failed to submit a report of an alleged violation to the commission through the One Call System not more than ten business days after striking or damaging a facility owner's line during excavation or demolition or if the excavator believes a violation of this act has been committed in association with excavation or demolition work. Education is required.</p>	<p>A. T. Hobel Excavating LLC: \$1,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
30934	<p>Contractor/Excavator: CADDICK UTILITIES L L C</p> <p>Project Owner: AQUA PENNSYLVANIA</p> <p>Other: Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority</p> <p>Other: Total Engineering, LLC</p>	<p><u>On 5/24/2022 10:00:00 AM at 2603 LAUREL DR, BRISTOL TWP, BUCKS</u> Incident occurred on 5/24/22 at 10am at 2603 Laurel Dr, Bristol Township, Bucks County.</p> <p>Caddick Utilities and Aqua's alleged violation reports (AVRs) state, "Damaged unmarked sewer lateral at house 2603 Laurel Drive."</p> <p>On 10/25/22, a request for an AVR was mailed and emailed to Total Engineering and Lower Bucks County Joint Municipality Authority (LBCJMA)</p> <p>Total Engineering's AVR states, "DAMAGED UNMARKED SEWER LATERAL ALONG LAUREL DRIVE."</p> <p>LBCJMA's AVR states, "LBCJMA does not own the 2" steel line that was hit at the same location. Caddick Utilities LLC was replacing a water main for AQUA PA and hit a private sewer lateral during excavation. LBCJMA does not mark private sewer laterals. The location where the private sewer lateral was hit was >5' away from the sewer main, therefore any markings at the sewer main for the lateral connection would be irrelevant because the lateral could go in any direction after the tap. The excavator should have practiced more caution when excavating in the street where there were private sewer laterals. The attached plan was a portion of the prints that were provided by LBCJMA during the design one-calls. On these prints, the approximate locations of the private sewer laterals are shown. The design engineer / contractor knowing these approximate locations would reasonably be expected to proceed in a cautious manner. We have three other similar damage claims in the same area under the following cases: 030934, 030965, & 030931."</p> <p>LBCJMA has called and worked with the PUC to update their practices on marking lateral point of connections in the future. Reducing Section 2(5)(i.1) penalty in half for case 30931, 30933, 30934, and 30965. First offense for 2(5)(vii) reducing 50% with education.</p> <p>Violation:</p> <p>LBCJMA Section 2(5)(i.1) – Failed to locate an actually known facility's point of connection to its facilities. Education is required. 20221220441 Section 2(5)(vii) – Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification. 20221442337</p> <p>Related to cases 30931,30933, and 30965.</p>	<p>Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority: \$750.00 Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
30965	<p>Facility Owner: Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: CADDICK UTILITIES L</p>	<p><u>On 5/25/2022 10:00:00 AM at 2611 LAUREL DR, BRISTOL TWP, BUCKS</u> Incident occurred on 5/25/22 at 10am at 2611 Laurel Drive, Bristol Township, Bucks County.</p>	<p>Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority: \$750.00 Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>L C Project Owner: AQUA PENNSYLVANIA Designer: Total Engineering, LLC</p>	<p>Caddick Utilities LLC's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "Damaged unmarked sewer lateral at 2611 Laurel Dr. Our crew again made repairs. This is one of over 20 damages to unmarked sewer lines on this project. Each of these has cost us at least \$1500/ hour. The responding sewer authority says it is not their responsibility to mark sewer laterals. The law is clear and they still choose to ignore it. Letter to PUC to follow." AVR notes the damage took 1-2 hours and costed \$1,001-\$5,000.</p> <p>Aqua's AVR states, "Damaged unmarked sewer lateral at 2611 Laurel Drive."</p> <p>On 10/25/22, a request for an AVR was mailed and emailed to Total Engineering and Lower Bucks County Joint Municipality.</p> <p>Total Engineering's AVR states, "DAMAGED UNMARKED SEWER LATERAL ALONG LAUREL DRIVE."</p> <p>LBCJMA's AVR states, "Caddick Utilities LLC was replacing a water main for AQUA PA and hit a private sewer lateral during excavation. LBCJMA does not mark private sewer laterals. The location where the private sewer lateral was hit was >5' away from the sewer main, therefore any markings at the sewer main for the lateral connection would be irrelevant because the lateral could go in any direction after the tap. The excavator should have practiced more caution when excavating in the street where there were private sewer laterals. The attached plan was a portion of the prints that were provided by LBCJMA during the design one-calls. On these prints, the approximate locations of the private sewer laterals are shown. The design engineer / contractor knowing these approximate locations would reasonably be expected to proceed in a cautious manner. We have three other similar damage claims in the same area under the following cases: 030931, 030933, & 030934."</p> <p>LBCJMA has called and worked with the PUC to update their practices on marking lateral point of connections in the future. Reducing Section 2(5)(i.1) penalty in half for case 30931, 30933, 30934, and 30965.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Lower Bucks County Joint Municipal Authority Section 2(5)(i.1) – Failed to locate an actually known facility's point of connection to its facilities. Education is required. Section 2(5)(vii) – Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification. 20221452870</p> <p>Related to cases 30931,30933, and 30934.</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>
31635	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES INC Contractor/Excavator:</p>	<p><u>On 6/7/2022 9:47:00 AM at WYNDMERE WAY, WEST LAMPETER TWP, LANCASTER</u> Incident</p>	<p>West Lampeter Township: \$2,250.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>West Lampeter Township Project Owner: West Lampeter Township Placeholder Other: Lancaster City of Water</p>	<p>occurred on 6/7/2022 at 9:47am at Wyndmere Way, West Lampeter Township, Lancaster County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit.</p> <p>UGI's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Excavator utilizing mechanized equipment struck a correctly marked gas service while excavating inside the tolerance zone."</p> <p>West Lampeter Township submitted an AVR with an attachment stating "As the stone was removed the bucket teeth caught the line and gas started hissing. All equipment was shut down and everyone evacuated safely. UGI was notified and on scene in no time to stop and fix the leak."</p> <p>On 10/18/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed and e-mailed to West Lampeter Township. West Lampeter Township submitted their AVR on 10/20/2022.</p> <p>*West Lampeter Township is in violation of sections: Section 5(8) – Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Section 5(6)(i) – Excavator failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage to or minimize interference with a facility owner’s facilities in the construction area. Recommendation: Education is required and penalties applied</p> <p>*Lancaster City of Water is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket on ticket 20221310694. Response was due 5/17/2022. DPI reduced the penalty to zero because Lancaster City Water didn’t respond to this routine ticket 20221310694, but they did respond to the routine ticket 20221310695 directly following the ticket 20221310694. Recommendation: Education is required and a warning</p>	<p>\$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Lancaster City of Water: \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>
31307	<p>Facility Owner: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER Contractor/Excavator: Brett Sabol Plumbing</p>	<p><u>On 6/9/2022 11:00:00 AM at N EDGEWATER AVE, YARDLEY BORO, BUCKS</u> Brett Sabol Disputed the 5(6)(i). DPI Removed the violation and penalty for 5(6)(i). Brett Sabol Plumbing agreed to resolution and agrees to pay remaining violation with education. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 6/9/2022 at 11am at 32 Maple Avenue, Yardley Boro, Bucks County when Brett Sabol plumbing hit a marked line owned by PA American Water (PAW).</p> <p>PAW's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) stated, "Brett Sabol was at 32 maple ave to install new sewer connection, while during the excavation he struck a 4" ac main, then caused the main to break, the water company was then notified at aprox 11:30am to shut the valves off, which Brett already had shut, water company</p>	<p>Brett Sabol Plumbing: \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>provided two 4" hymax to do the repair on the 4" ac main." Photos provided by PAW show accurate mark outs prior to the dig.</p> <p>DPI mailed Brett Sabol Plumbing a request to file an AVR on 6/15/2022. AVR was received on 6/22/2022.</p> <p>Brett Sabol Plumbing's AVR stated, "Excavator accidently hit the main water supply. Notified the Water company right away. Water company approved Excavation company repairing the water main. Water main was repaired that day and service was back to customers by the end of the day."</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Brett Sabol Plumbing Section 5(4) - Excavator failed to use due care within the tolerance zone. 20221583634. \$500 + Education is required. Section 5(6)(i) - Excavator failed to plan excavation work to avoid damaging known facilities. 20221583634. \$250 + Education is required.</p>	
31645	<p>Facility Owner: Comcast</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Shainline Excavating, Inc.</p> <p>Project Owner: Aqua PA</p> <p>Designer: Chester Valley Engineers</p> <p>Other: Buckeye Partners</p> <p>Other: Chester Water Authority</p> <p>Other: Middletown Township Delaware County Sewer Authority</p> <p>Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 6/21/2022 7:40:00 AM at ABEL PLACE, MIDDLETOWN TWP, DELAWARE</u> Buckeye Partners Disputed Violation 2(5)(viii), upon further review DPI withdrew the penalty/violation.</p> <p>Chester Water Authority Disputed Violation 2(5)(viii), upon further review DPI withdrew the penalty/violation.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 6/21/2022 at 7:40am near 3 Abel Place, Middletown Township, Delaware County.</p> <p>A Comcast communications line was hit. Complex Project is \$400,000+ and expected to take 4 months to complete.</p> <p>Shainline Excavating Inc.'s alleged violation report (AVR) states "During excavating for transferring a water service to the new water main our backhoe hit and broke a telecommunication line. The line was orange and said co axle cable. This line was only 6 inches below the ground and no marks. Address near 3 able place A comcast mark out person responded and indicated that this is a active comcast line. He made note of it and left with out repairing. Crew kept the hole open as long as they possibly could. After several hours 4+ they closed up the hole. Comcast repair crew arrive at 130pm and had them dig it back up. During this time our crew had to stop what they were doing to excavate the line again."</p> <p>Aqua PA's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Aqua Contractor Shainline Excavating was excavating to transfer a water service to the new water main. Backhoe hit and broke a telecommunication line. The line was orange and said co axle cable. The line was 6in below the ground and no markings. Address near 3 Able Pl. Comcast locator responded and indicated the line was an</p>	<p>Comcast: \$3,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Chester Valley Engineers: \$500.00 Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Buckeye Partners: \$0.00</p> <p>Chester Water Authority: \$0.00</p> <p>Middletown Township Delaware County Sewer Authority: \$500.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$5,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>active Comcast Line. He made a note of it and left without repairing it. Crew kept the hole open as long as they possibly could. After several hours 4+ they closed up the hole. Comcast repair crew arrived at 1:30pm and had them dig it back up. During this time the Shainline crew had to stop and excavate the line again."</p> <p>On 10/19/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed and e-mailed to Comcast and Chester Valley Engineers.</p> <p>On 10/21/2022 an e-mail went out to Shainline Excavating requesting the sign-in sheet for the Complex Project Meeting. On 10/25/2022 Shainline Excavating responded stating that the yellow sheet in their packet of documents was the sign-in sheet.</p> <p>11/2/2022 received an email from Comcast stating "I have no record of Comcast plant damage at this location/date". This was a Comcast line that was damaged, Comcast Services 3 Able Place (see attached document verifying service to the location).</p> <p>*Comcast is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket 20221604601. Responded "Clear" on 6/21/2022. Response was due on 6/13/2022. Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Chester Valley Engineers is in violation of sections: Section 4(8) – Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days of being notified or aware that a violation of this act may have been committed. Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Verizon is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket 20220332044. Responded "Conflict" on 2/3/2022. Response was due 2/16/2022. Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Buckeye Partners is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). Recommendation: Violation/Penalties Withdrawn during stakeholder review.</p> <p>*Chester Water Authority is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3).</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Recommendation: Violation/Penalties Withdrawn during stakeholder review.</p> <p>*Middletown Township Delaware County Sewer Authority is in violation of sections: Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket 20220332044. Did not respond through Pa One Call. Response was due 2/16/2022. Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket 20213152605. Did not respond through Pa One Call. Response was due 11/30/2021. Recommendation: Education Required and penalties applied</p>	
31643	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania Contractor/Excavator: W.A. Petrakis Contracting Company Project Owner: Upper Saint Clair Township Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 6/22/2022 9:00:00 AM at 2372 MILL GROVE ROAD, UPPER ST CLAIR TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Columbia Gas Disputed Violation 2(5)(i), upon further review DPI withdrew the penalty/violation.</p> <p>***** Incident occurred on 6/22/2022 at 9:00am at 2372 Mill Grove Rd., Upper Saint Clair Township, Allegheny.</p> <p>A Columbia Gas line that was hit. The Excavator called 911.</p> <p>W.A. Petrakis Contracting Company's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Excavation on ticket began at 7am on 6/22/2022. Area was marked in white prior to construction. Responses were posted to onecall system. No indication of incomplete marks or signs of existing utility conflicts present. Line was hit and damaged at 9:30-9:45 AM. 911 was called with gas being released. Then Columbia Gas was called out. Crew was onsite and determined they had no way to shut down line and had to use s squeeze off tool to shut off leak. Columbia locator and operations claimed line was not on mapping. Excavator occurred over down time of over 6 hours and had to move on from the project as Columbia Gas assumed responsibly of the ditch to complete repairs. Excavator was not responsible for this hit." Additional comments were noted, "Main line and services in area clearly marked for all utilities. Excavator marked area in white and provided ample time for locates and coordination. Line that was damaged was not marked as documented on photos and as stated by Columbia Gas employees onsite no mapping reflected the location of this damaged line. It was simply not marked. Closest mark to damage was over 6ft away and well out of tolerance zone." W.A. Petrakis Contracting Company damaged the gas line on 6/22/2022, the Emergency Ticket was submitted on 6/15/2022 with an expected excavation time of 1 month.</p> <p>Columbia Gas of PA's alleged violation report (AVR) states "W.A. Petrakis, working on behalf of Upper Saint Clair Township for a sewer replacement project, hit an unmarked, unmapped gas stub. W. A. Petrakis notified 911, who notified Columbia Gas. A Columbia Gas crew</p>	<p>Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania: \$0.00</p> <p>W.A. Petrakis Contracting Company: \$1,000.00 Section 5(9) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Upper Saint Clair Township: \$500.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$5,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>responded immediately to make the area safe and complete repairs. The Columbia Gas crew removed this stub and added a new piece of 3" gas main to cut out the 3" tee for the stub."</p> <p>Upper St Clair Township's alleged violation report (AVR) states "The contractor, W.A. Petrakis, while exposing an existing gas line, digging parallel with the gas line, snagged an unmarked, abandoned stub, perpendicular to the marked gas line. USCPD and USCVPD were notified and were on site. Multiple Columbia Gas personnel came on-site to figure out what happened and to make a repair.</p> <p>On 10/19/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed and e-mailed to Upper Saint Clair Township. On 10/31/2022 Upper Saint Clair Township submitted their AVR.</p> <p>*Columbia Gas is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. Recommendation: Violation/Penalty Withdrawn during Stakeholder Review.</p> <p>*W.A. Petrakis Contracting Company is in violation of sections: Section 5(9) – Emergency notification does not meet the requirements of “emergency” as defined in Section 1 – Excavator Ticket. Recommendation: Education Required and penalties applied</p> <p>*Verizon is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time for Ticket 20221645048. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time for Ticket 20221661171. Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Upper Saint Clair Township is in violation of sections: Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Recommendation: Education Required and penalties applied</p>	
31618	<p>Facility Owner: Windstream Project Owner: Will A Just, LLC Other: Bazella Group</p>	<p><u>On 6/22/2022 10:00:00 AM at 13, 14 & 15 Woodland ln, TOPTON BORO, BERKS</u> Incident occurred on 6/22/2022 at 10:00am at 13, 14, 15 Woodland Ln., Tipton Boro, Berks County.</p> <p>There was a communications line that was hit. No PA One Call Tickets.</p> <p>Windstream's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Failed to request the location and type of facility owner through the One Call System in advance of beginning excavation or demolition work §5(2.1). Pictures show recent grading excavation and no locate marks present. No signs of locates of any kind and three fiber drops to</p>	<p>Will A Just, LLC: \$1,250.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>trailer homes were hit. Estimated cost to repairs between \$1000 and \$2000 to repair all three fiber drops. Loss of service to customers and concerns that this work will continue and temp lines may get hit again."</p> <p>On 10/14/2022 an AVR request letter was mailed to Bazella Group and Woodland Mobile Home Park. A copy of the letter was sent through the Bazella Groups "contact us" on their website. The Bazella Group was asked to identify the project owner, name and address. DPI spoke with Bazella Group contact Matthew Moore, received the e-mail address for the Bazella Group. After telephone call, emailed AVR letter with one picture to Bazella Group.</p> <p>10/21/2022 - Bazella group states that they were not at this site on this day and time, but provided who was working on this site.</p> <p>On 10/21/2022 an AVR request letter was e-mailed and mailed to Bill Fenstermacher d/b/a Will A Just, LLC. Requested the identity of the project owner, name and address.</p> <p>10/26/2022 - DPI spoke with Bill Fenstermacher d/b/a Will A Just, LLC who states that he is the property owner here, he was grading his own driveway. Mr. Fenstermacher states that he tried to call 811 to submit an AVR, but states he could not get through to anyone.</p> <p>11/2/2022 - Bill Fenstermacher d/b/a Will A Just, LLC submitted an AVR.</p> <p>Will A Just LLC/Bill Fenstermacher's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Please note: We cannot fill in most of the information above because we were doing no excavation work. We were top grading for grass and spreading stone on existing parking spots when we hit the cable or internet line that was improperly installed basically on surface. When cable or internet company came out to repair, they once again wanted to run the line above grass line (which my mowers would have hit) So as you can see via the video attached, I made the cable company bury the line in a ditch (that they dug, we did not do any digging). Please see attached video. Another note: We did not call PA One Call because we were not doing below grade digging. The existing cable line was installed incorrectly and was barely buried below the grass line where a lawn mover could of hit. ONE LAST NOTE: WE DID NOT DIG THIS, THE INTERNET/CABLE COMPANY DID ANY AND ALL DIGGING."</p>	
31621	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: Melcar Contractor/Excavator: Miller Brothers Project Owner: PECO Placeholder Designer: PECO</p>	<p><u>On 6/23/2022 9:00:00 PM at LANCASTER AVE, TREDYFFRIN TWP, CHESTER</u> Incident occurred on 6/23/2022 at 9:00pm at Lancaster Ave., Tredyffrin Township, Chester County.</p> <p>Where an electrical line that was hit.</p>	<p>PECO: \$5,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) Subsequent</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Placeholder Other: Aqua Pennsylvania Inc Other: AT&T Other: Centurylink Other: Sunoco Other: Tredyffrin Township Other: VERIZON BUSINESS Other: Verizon PA LLC Other: Zayo Bandwidth formerly PPL Telecom</p>	<p>Project was over \$400,000.00, over 1,000 feet with No Complex Project completed.</p> <p>PECO's alleged violation report (AVR) states "While Miller Brothers was directional drilling at Darby and Lancaster, they struck an unmarked electric. The electric line was not marked due to inaccurate prints"</p> <p>Melcar's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Night work installing a Gas Main for Miller Brothers. Miller Brothers is locating and potholing all utilities. On 6/23/22 all known utilities were located in the work area. The crew was drilled out and then began to pull back with the reamer around 10pm when the alarm went off on the drill indicating it hit something with an electric current. Work stopped immediately. Smoke was seen coming out of the area and 911 was called. After investigating it was determined that an unmarked secondary line was hit approx. 6Ft from the closest electric mark on the ground. If more space is needed, attach additional pages or documents." Melcar states that Level A SUE was used, and the project was \$400,000+. Melcar also states that Miller Brothers were the ones who called 911.</p> <p>Miller Brothers alleged violation report (AVR) states "Crew was drilling on Lancaster Ave and black wire wrapped around drill and began smoking. No marks were present where this occurred."</p> <p>No Complex Project was submitted for this job site.</p> <p>10/18/2022 - E-mail PECO requesting design plan and what level SUE was used.</p> <p>10/21/2022 - New E-mail to PECO requesting design plan and what level SUE was used.</p> <p>*PECO is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time for Ticket 20221744082. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time for Ticket 20220050225. Section 2(5)(iii.1) – Facility owner failed to propose a mutually agreeable scheduling by which the excavator, facility owner or designer may locate the facilities. Recommendation: penalties applied</p>	<p>\$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(iii.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Melcar: \$750.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Miller Brothers: \$250.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>AT&T: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Centurylink: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Sunoco: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>Tredyffrin Township: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>VERIZON BUSINESS: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*Melcar is in violation of sections: Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Recommendation: Education Required and penalties applied</p> <p>*Miller Brothers is in violation of sections: Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. Recommendation: Education Required and penalties applied</p> <p>*AT&T is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket: Ticket number 20220422415 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket: Ticket number 20220422416 Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Centurylink is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket: Ticket number 20220422415 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket: Ticket number 20220422416 Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Tredyfffin Township is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket number 20220422415. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket number 20220050225. Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Zayo Bandwidth formerly PPL Telecom is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket number 20220050225. Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Sunoco is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket number 20210320454. Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Verizon PA is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket number 20210320454 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket number 20210320453 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket number 20220422415 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket number 20220422416</p>	<p>\$500.00</p> <p>Verizon PA LLC: \$15,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Zayo Bandwidth formerly PPL Telecom: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket number 20221744082</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on Ticket number 20220050225</p> <p>Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Verizon Business is in violation of sections:</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket: Ticket number 20220422415</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket: Ticket number 20220422416</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket: Ticket number 20210320453</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket: Ticket number 20210320454</p> <p>Recommendation: penalties applied</p>	
31837	<p>Facility Owner: UGI</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: "AMISH"</p>	<p><u>On 6/28/2022 11:48:00 AM at 105 W. Main St, Harrison Valley PA, HARRISON TWP, POTTER</u> Incident occurred on 6/28/2022 at 11:48am at 105 W. Main St., Harrison Township, Potter County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit. **Hand Digging**</p> <p>UGI's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Amish contractor hit and damaged a plastic service line. No 1-call was placed for the work. Using hand tools "Digging bar"."</p>	
32009	<p>Facility Owner: Comcast/Xfinity</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: MacMor Construction</p> <p>Project Owner: Lower Paxton Township</p> <p>Designer: HERBERT ROWLAND AND GRUBIC INC (HRG INC)</p> <p>Other: PPL</p> <p>Other: UGI</p> <p>Other: Veolia Water</p> <p>Other: Verizon</p> <p>Other: Zayo Bandwidth</p>	<p><u>On 7/7/2022 12:52:00 PM at White Haven Road, LOWER PAXTON TWP, DAUPHIN</u> UGI disputed their case with the KARL communications for designated mark outs.</p> <p>DPI removed the violations and penalties for UGI. *****</p> <p>PPL disputed their violations for 2(5)(v) with documentation of USIC's communication with the excavator on scheduled mark outs throughout the project.</p> <p>DPI removed the penalties for the violations. *****</p> <p>HRG disputed the violation for section 4(4) along with Lower Paxton Township's violation for 6.1(1) involving the utilization of SUE. Documents provided show that Comcast lines were pothole prior to excavation and a notification was sent to comcast to relocate lines within the townships right of way.</p> <p>DPI is removing the violations of 4(4) for HRG and 6.1(1) for Lower Paxton Township. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred 7/7/2022 at 12:52pm on White Haven Road, Lower Paxton Township, Dauphin County.</p> <p>MacMor Construction hit an unmarked Comcast line while working for Lower Paxton township.</p> <p>Herbert, Rowland, and Grubic's (HRG) alleged violation report (AVR) states, "An unmarked Utility Cable was</p>	<p>Comcast/Xfinity: \$12,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) Subsequent \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) Subsequent \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(10) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>encountered and broken at 12:52 PM on White Haven 18' from the intersection of Taunton Road at a depth of 18". The adjacent house is #6401 Taunton Road. The utility crosses Taunton Road. Contractor called PA 1 call hit in. Verizon on site 1:10pm, not theirs. Xfinity on site 1:38pm line to be repaired. Various utilities are marked in the area with no markings within 10' and no noted PA 1 Call markings crossing the road. Temporary connection made above ground at 3:30pm. This is a trench for new stormwater pipe." AVR notes Level C SUE on a project exceeding \$400,000. Pictures 1-4 show the location and unmarked damaged line."</p> <p>On 8/2/22, HRG was emailed for final design tickets. DPI mailed and emailed a request for an AVR from MacMor Construction on 8/2/22. Also sent MacMor an email asking if they have struck multiple Comcast lines within 6 months. DPI mailed and emailed Comcast on 8/3/22 asking if MacMor has struck 2 or more of their lines within 6 months or if the cost of the of repairs was over \$2,500 on 8/3/22.</p> <p>8/3/22 - MacMor called to state that they hit a second Comcast line on White Haven Road on 6/30/2022.</p> <p>MacMor Construction's AVR states, "Lower Paxton Township, White Haven Rd at the intersection of Taunton Rd. On July 7, 2022, at approximately 1:00 PM, A MACMOR crew was excavating for a stormwater drainage project. The project was underway for over 2 weeks at the time. The One Call ticket serial #20221714152 was the current updated ticket that the crew was working under. The ticket was listed as in progress and remarking had been requested. The crew did not see any indication of marks for the damaged line. There also was not any sign of a buried utility (photo 20220707_144448). A damage notification was submitted to PA One Call. A crew from Comcast responded to assess damage and start temporary repairs. Mr. Michael Miller, a damage investigator from USIC locator service arrived to investigate. He relayed to D. Shearer that there were no locate marks indicating the damaged line." AVR notes that the project was 830ft and less than \$400,000."</p> <p>Lower Paxton Township's AVR states, "An unmarked utility cable was encountered and broken at 12:52 PM on White Haven Rd. 18' from the intersection of Taunton Road at a depth of 18". The adjacent house is # 6401 Taunton Rd. The utility crosses Taunton Road. Contractor called PA 1 call hit in. Verizon onsite 1:10 PM, not theirs. Xfinity on site 1:38 PM, line to be repaired. Various utilities are marked within the 10' and no noted PA 1 Call markings crossing the road. Temporary connection made above ground at 3:30 PM. This is a trench for new stormwater pipe." AVR notes that the project was over \$400,000 and used Level C SUE.</p> <p>Violations:</p>	<p>Lower Paxton Township: \$3,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 2nd offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>HERBERT ROWLAND AND GRUBIC INC (HRG INC): \$1,000.00 Section 4(2) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>PPL: \$0.00</p> <p>UGI: \$0.00</p> <p>Veolia Water: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$12,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Zayo Bandwidth: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Comcast</p> <p>Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. 20221714152</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20210133089</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20200062465</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20200062884</p> <p>Section 2(10) – Facility owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20211024550</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221454238</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221454245</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20220623646</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20220623630</p> <p>HRG</p> <p>Section 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. Education is required.</p> <p>Lower Paxton Township</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20211024550</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221454238</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221454245</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20220623646</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20220623630</p> <p>Verizon</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20210133089. Education is required.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20211024550 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221454238 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221454245</p> <p>Zayo Bandwidth Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20210133089. Education is required.</p> <p>Veolia Water Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3).20210133089. Education is required.</p> <p>UGI Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20220623646 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20220623630 PPL Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20211024550 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221454238 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221454245 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20220623646 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20220623630</p>	
33380	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA Contractor/Excavator: Kinsley Construction Project Owner: Columbia Gas of PA Placeholder & Designer Designer: CS Davidson Other: Verizon Other: York Water Company</p>	<p><u>On 8/24/2022 2:00:00 PM at 1874 N SUSQUEHANNA TRL, MANCHESTER TWP, YORK</u> Columbia Gas disputed the violation and penalty for section 4(4) and 2(5)(vi). The DPI removed the penalty and violation for both 4(4) and 2(5)(vi). There are three cases (31726, 33378, 33380) in this one project and Case 31726 is where 4(4) and 2(5)(vi) will be applied to Columbia Gas.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 8/24/2022 at 2:00pm at 1874 North Susquehanna Trail, Manchester Township, York County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit. ****HAND DIGGING**** 911 was called. One of three line strikes for this complex project.</p> <p>Columbia Gas' alleged violation report (AVR) states "Kinsley Construction, working on behalf of Columbia Gas for an infrastructure replacement project, was using a digging bar to expose a gas service line for an abandonment when they punctured a 1" plastic gas service at 1874 N Susquehanna Trail, York. Kinsley immediately notified 911, 811, and Columbia Gas about the damage. Locate marks were accurate and visible."</p>	<p>Columbia Gas of PA: \$0.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>York Water Company: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Kinsley's alleged violation report (AVR) states "Kinsley Construction was using a digging bar to expose a plastic gas service. While working from the outside edge of the tolerance zone the employee hit the line."</p> <p>*Columbia Gas is in violation of sections: Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. After reviewing the drawings from Columbia Gas, the general area where the work was completed was listed as steel or steel material lines. Three line hits on one project. Section 2(5)(vi) – Lines were not marked in compliance with the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices for Temporary Marking set forth in ANSI standard Z535.1. Recommendation: Penalty/violation withdrawn during Stakeholder Review.</p> <p>*Verizon is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on ticket 20221391983. Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on ticket 20222201696. Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*York Water is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on ticket 20221391983. Recommendation: Education Required; penalties applied</p>	
33378	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of PA</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Kinsley Construction</p> <p>Project Owner: Columbia Gas of PA Placeholder & Designer</p> <p>Other: Manchester Township Municipal Authority</p>	<p>On 8/27/2022 11:00:00 AM at 1923 N Beaver St, <u>MANCHESTER TWP, YORK</u> Manchester Township Municipal Authority disputed the violation and penalty for section 2(5)(v). The DPI removed the violation and penalty for section 2(5)(v).</p> <p>Columbia Gas disputed the violation and penalty for section 4(4) and 2(5)(vi). The DPI removed the penalty and violation for both 4(4) and 2(5)(vi). There are three cases (31726, 33378, 33380) in this one project and Case 31726 is where 4(4) and 2(5)(vi) will be applied to Columbia Gas.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 8/27/2022 at 11:00am at 1923 Beaver Street, Manchester Township, York County.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit. ****HAND DIGGING**** One of three line strikes for this complex project.</p> <p>Columbia Gas' alleged violation report (AVR) states "Kinsley Construction, working on behalf of Columbia Gas for a new gas service line installation, gouged a 2” plastic gas main with a shovel at 1923 N Beaver St, York, while removing dirt from the top of the pipe. It was verified that multiple gouges exceeded 10% of the</p>	<p>Columbia Gas of PA: \$0.00</p> <p>Manchester Township Municipal Authority: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>wall thickness, and this section of new gas main had to be cut out and replaced. No gas was lost, so 911 was not notified. Locate marks were accurate and visible."</p> <p>Kinsley's alleged violation report (AVR) states "A Kinsley Construction crew was hand digging to uncover a new 2-inch plastic gas main to tie a service onto. Upon cleaning and inspecting the pipe gouges were found believed to be caused by a shovel. The section of the pipe was more than 10 percent of the wall thickness. 911 was not called because there was no blowing gas."</p> <p>*Columbia Gas is in violation of sections: Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. After reviewing the drawings from Columbia Gas, the general area where the work was completed was listed as steel or steel material lines. Three line hits on one project. Section 2(5)(vi) – Lines were not marked in compliance with the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices for Temporary Marking set forth in ANSI standard Z535.1. Recommendation: Penalty/violation withdrawn during Stakeholder Review.</p> <p>*Manchester Township Municipal Authority is in violation of sections: Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time on ticket 20222271240. Responded "Conflict" on 8/18/2022. Response was due 8/18/2022. Recommendation: Penalty/violation withdrawn during Stakeholder Review.</p>	
33827	<p>Contractor/Excavator: Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete</p> <p>Project Owner: COLLEGE TOWNSHIP ENGINEERING</p> <p>Designer: KELLER ENGINEERS INC</p> <p>Other: COLLEGE TOWNSHIP WATER AUTHORITY</p> <p>Other: COLUMBIA GAS</p>	<p><u>On 8/31/2022 3:59:00 PM at 907 PIKE ST, COLLEGE TWP, CENTRE 5</u> Incidents occurred. The 1st incident occurred on 5/4/2022, the 2nd on 8/24/2022, the 3rd on 8/31/2022 a 4th on 9/21/2022 and a 5th on 9/26/2022. This occurred at multiple addresses near each other on Pike St. in College Township, Centre County, where gas lines were damaged.</p> <p>Incident which occurred on 8/31/2022 at 907 Pike St. College Twp. In Centre County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Bowman Excavating was working on road reconstruction, when they damaged a 1” plastic service line, by tearing it out with their mini excavator. They state that the excavator failed to hand dig in the tolerance zone and to spot the gas facility. They add that 911 was called immediately and Columbia Gas was notified promptly. Columbia Gas responded immediately and made the area safe, then made repairs. Columbia Gas also states that the local damage prevention Specialist completed additional education on site the following day, as this is the third gas line damage on this project. Pictures are included.</p> <p>College Township stated in their AVR that The Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete was hand digging in the facility of the tracer wire, then expanded the excavation</p>	<p>Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete: \$1,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>area using a trackhoe. They state that it was during this time that the 1” gas line was hit by a trackhoe. They report that Level “C” Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used. Designer Comments are attached.</p> <p>Keller Engineers Inc. stated in their AVR that as was reported to them on 9/26/2022, the pike Street Traffic Calming & Accessibility project contractor apparently struck a 1” plastic natural gas service line with a track hoe on 8/31/2022. They report that the project is <\$400,000. And the length is 947 LF. They also report that Level “B” Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used.</p> <p>Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete was emailed an AVR request letter on 9/27/2022 and mailed an AVR request letter on 12/1/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete is in violation of Sections: (16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. The penalty is applied. Education is required. *****</p> <p>Additional Information:</p> <p>Project is >\$400,000. SUE information is listed differently on each AVR. No SUE design work was provided to date.</p> <p>Cases 33884, 33826, 33459, and 33883 are related investigations.</p> <p>Ticket 20221360949 violations area addressed in case 33826.</p> <p>Complex project violations are addressed in case 33826.</p>	
33883	<p>Contractor/Excavator: Bowman Excavation Paving & Concrete Project Owner: COLLEGE TOWNSHIP ENGINEERING Designer: KELLER ENGINEERS INC Other: COLLEGE TOWNSHIP WATER AUTHORITY Other: Columbia Gas</p>	<p><u>On 9/21/2022 4:20:00 PM at 915 PIKE ST, COLLEGE TWP, CENTRE</u> 5 Incidents occurred. The 1st incident occurred on 5/4/2022, the 2nd on 8/24/2022, the 3rd on 8/31/2022 a 4th on 9/21/2022 and a 5th on 9/26/2022. This occurred at multiple addresses near each other on Pike St. in College Township, Centre County, where gas lines were damaged.</p> <p>Incident which occurred on 915 Pike St., College Township, Centre County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that Bowman Excavating was using a skid steer to cut additional grade for curbing sidewalk when they tore out the accurately marked ½” plastic gas service line going to 915 Pike St., Lemont. Locate marks were</p>	<p>Bowman Excavation Paving & Concrete: \$1,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>visible, But the Bowman crew did not hand dig. Bowman called 911 immediately. Columbia Gas responded to make area safe and complete. Repairs. They state that this is the 4th gas line damage on this project. They add that all of the damages have been Excavator error damages, as they failed to use prudent techniques within the tolerance zone. The local Damage Prevention Specialist has involved the PUC after this damage occurred. Pictures are included.</p> <p>College Township stated in their AVR that the gas line was located along the roadway and the excavation occurred at the adjacent sidewalk. The ½” line was hit at an elevation 1’ higher than the elevation of the roadway. Designer engineer Comments are attached.</p> <p>Design Keller Engineers, Inc. comments in detail that Level “C” SUE was used for the design, but some areas were upgraded to a level “B” and level “A” as the plans were adjusted based on what was found in the field. They also state that some non-destructive exposure of gas mains service laterals has been required of the contractor during this construction effort (with Columbia Gas Company oversite) to determine potential necessity for relocation. Please refer to Engineer comments for more details.</p> <p>Keller Engineers, Inc. state that the contractor nicked the gas service line at 915 Pike St. with a skid steer while cleaning and grading a trench for curb forms.</p> <p>Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete was emailed an AVR request letter on 9/29/2022 and mailed an AVR request letter 12/02/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete is in violation of Sections: 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>This is a fourth incident documented with this same excavator.</p> <p>Other investigations that are related are cases are: 33827, 33459, 33826, and 33884. Project is >\$400,000. SUE information is listed differently on each AVR. No SUE design work was provided to date.</p> <p>Complex project violations are addressed in case 33826.</p> <p>Ticket 20221360949 violations area addressed in case 33826.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
33884	<p>Facility Owner: COLUMBIA GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Bowman Excavation Paving & Concrete</p> <p>Project Owner: COLLEGE TOWNSHIPWATER AUTHORITY</p> <p>Designer: COLLEGE TOWNSHIP ENGINEERING</p> <p>Designer: KELLER ENGINEERS, INC.</p>	<p><u>On 9/26/2022 7:46:00 AM at 821 PIKE ST, COLLEGE TWP, CENTRE</u> 5 Incidents occurred. The 1st incident occurred on 5/4/2022, the 2nd on 8/24/2022, the 3rd on 8/31/2022 a 4th on 9/21/2022 and a 5th on 9/26/2022. This occurred at multiple addresses near each other on Pike St. in College Township, Centre County.</p> <p>Incident which occurred on 9/26/2022 at 821 Pike St. In College Township, in Centre County, where a gas line was hit for the 5th time by the same excavator.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that This section of 3” plastic gas main was already exposed by Bowman Excavation to confirm the depth and clearance for storm pipe and inlet box. Bowman Excavating was digging for their storm work when they damaged the top of an accurately marked 3” gas main with their equipment. 911 was immediately notified. Columbia gas responded and made safe repairs. Columbia Gas also states that the local Damage Specialist (DPS) for Columbia Gas had contacted Denny Dolan of Bowman Excavating with a phone call, discussing their work that was scheduled for Monday, Sept 6, 2022. The local field operator leader planned to stop out around 11 a.m. on that day to drop off rock shield to wrap Columbia’s 3” plastic gas main. This needed to be done because there would only be 9 “of separation. Bowman was also reminded of hand digging in the tolerance zone.</p> <p>They add that this is the 5th gas line damage on this project. Columbia Gas states that all of the damages have been excavator error issues, as they failed to use prudent techniques within the tolerance zone. Columbia Gas notified the PUC of all the damages. Pictures are included.</p> <p>College Township stated in their AVR that Bowman Excavation, Paving and Concrete was digging adjacent to the pipe. The direction of the excavation was toward the pipe. A rock encountered in excavation was pulled into pipe. Pipe had been previously exposed and later partially covered when struck. Design Engineer Comments are included.</p> <p>Keller Engineers, Inc. stated in their AVR that the excavator was digging adjacent to the 3” gas pipe. They state that the direction of the excavation was toward the pipe and a rock encountered in excavation was pulled into the pipe. The pipe was previously exposed and later was partially covered back up. This is when the damage occurred.</p> <p>Bowman Excavation Paving & Concrete was emailed an AVR request on 9/30/2022 and mailed an AVR request on 12/01/2022. No AVR has been received to date. *****</p> <p>Bowman Excavation Paving & Concrete is in violation of Sections:</p>	<p>Bowman Excavation Paving & Concrete: \$1,250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(6)(i) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>5(6)(i) – Excavator failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage to or minimize interference with a facility owner’s facilities in the construction area. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Cases 33459, 33826, 33827, and 33883 are related investigations.</p> <p>Additional Information: College Township submitted 2 AVR’s for this incident with identical information. Project is >\$400,000. SUE information is listed differently on each AVR. No SUE design work was provided to date.</p> <p>Complex project violations are addressed in case 33826.</p> <p>5 Incidents occurred. The 1st incident occurred on 5/26/2022, the 2nd on 8/24/2022, the 3rd on 8/31/2022 a 4th on 9/21/2022 and a 5th on 9/26/2022. This occurred at multiple addresses near each other on Pike St. in College Township, Centre County, where gas lines were damaged.</p> <p>Ticket 20221360949 violations area addressed in case 33826.</p>	

Full Session

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
23873	<p>Contractor/Excavator: N. C. OUTDOOR SERVICES AND MAINTENANCE Other: UGI UTL</p>	<p>On 5/12/2021 11:00:00 AM at <u>JOSHUA DR, HUGHESTOWN BORO, LUZERNE</u> On 5/10/2023 the Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) voted to keep violation Section 5(16) Failure to submit a One Call ticket – 1st offense, keep the education and reduce the \$1000. penalty to \$500. Keep the violations of Section 5(16) Failure to submit an AVR after request was sent – 1st offense - \$500.00 + Education and Section 5(17) Failure to comply with PUC requests for information – 1st offense - \$250.00 + Education</p> <p>NC Outdoor Services and Maintenance disagrees with the violations and states that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No employees or affiliates of NC Outdoors Services and Maintenance were ever approached by any one single person/persons to inform of any laws being broken or that any employees or affiliates were on site doing any type of excavation. 2. There is no presented evidence by PUC or affiliates that NC Outdoor Services and Maintenance was excavating or breaking any law at the given location of Joshua Drive. 	<p>N. C. OUTDOOR SERVICES AND MAINTENANCE: \$1,250.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>3. We never received any prior mail or AVR notifications on this matter.</p> <p>4. There was no damages or public impact that occurred at this location by NC Outdoor Services and Maintenance.</p> <p>5. NC Outdoor Services and Maintenance is a reputable landscape and excavation company who has never had any violations and follows 811 laws and safe practices closely all the time.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/12/2021 at Joshua Dr, Hughestown Borough, Luzerne County. *No Damage</p> <p>UGI stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that N C Outdoor Services was excavating without a One Call ticket in a new development.</p> <p>One Call Compliance Specialist stated that: N C OUTDOOR SERVICES has placed one call notifications in the past. Phone 570-687-2273 N C OUTDOOR SERVICES AND MAINTENANCE 619 MAIN ST HECKVILLE PA 18452</p> <p>N C Outdoor Services was mailed an AVR request letter on 6/28/2022. No AVR has been received to date. No information has been provided.</p> <p>One Call stated that N C Outdoor Services gas placed One Call notifications in the past and provided an address.</p> <p>No Project Owner or designer was provided.</p> <p>N C Outdoor Services and Maintenance is in violation of Sections: 5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information form PUC Staff. The penalty is applied. 5(16) Excavator failed to submit Alleged Violation Report. The penalty is applied. 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call. The penalty is applied.</p>	
23857	<p>Facility Owner: Hawley Area Authority Contractor/Excavator: CB EXCAVATING Contractor/Excavator: Eichelberger's, Inc Contractor/Excavator: PENNONI ASSOCIATES INC ENGINEERS Project Owner: AQUA PENNSYLVANIA INC Designer: GHD ENGINEERING SERVICES (previously</p>	<p><u>On 5/26/2021 6:45:00 AM at MAIN AVE, HAWLEY BORO, WAYNE</u> 5/9/2023 Eichelberger's Inc. was a No Show. The Damage Prevention Committee voted to keep the violations and penalties as they are.</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/26/2021 on Main Street, in Hawley Borough, Wayne County.</p> <p>Hawley Area Authority stated in their Alleged Violation Report that Eichelberger's Inc changed the scope of their work area, when the Railroad employees informed the drilling company of a need for a flagman at their right of way. Original the drilling was to be done between the Hawley Borough Information Booth & the railroad tracks. The drilling company relocated approximately 25</p>	<p>Hawley Area Authority: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Eichelberger's, Inc: \$125.00 Section 5(13) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>AQUA PENNSYLVANIA INC:</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	CET ENGINEERING SERVICES)	<p>'to between the information booth & the historic train caboose without any notification. They bore right through a pressurized sewer line that serves the library, a smoke shop & the post office. The repair was 14' underground and generic fittings would not hold. The library manager was very upset due to the mess on their property. This was right before Memorial Day weekend. 2-10 people were affected. Damage occurred to the Library Common Area, the Hawley Borough Information Booth and the historic train caboose. Pictures were provided of the aftereffects of the drilling.</p> <p>Aqua PA Inc stated in their AVR that this was an excavator issue. They listed Eichelberger's as the Engineering/Survey excavator, They stated that Eichelbergers used the boring technique for this project. They list Level "C" Subsurface Utility Engineering was used. On this >\$400,000. Project. The length of the project was > 11500 ft. They state that the line was marked inaccurately/incompletely. Aqua explains that their contractor Pennoni Associates was hired to conduct geotechnical boring to determine subsurface conditions in advance of designing a new water main via directional drilling under the Lackwaxen River. Pennoni hired Eichelberger's to perform the boring. Ticket 20211271663 was submitted by Eichelbergers Inc. for a one month auguring soil borings using a drill rig. Two boring locations were specified. Both were off of the east side of Main Ave. Coordinates were given but relocated by about 25' when the railroad employees informed the excavator that flagmen were needed at their right of way.</p> <p>GHD stated in their AVR that the excavator failed to ensure accurate information on the work site to the One Call System. GHD stated that they contracted Pennoni Associates to conduct a geotechnical boring to determine the subsurface conditions in advance of designing a new water main via directional drilling under the Lackawaxen River. Pennoni subcontracted Eichelberger's to perform the boring. Eichelberger's placed One Call ticket 20211271663 but shifted the boring location at the direction of the Railroad company on the morning of May 21, 2021. On 5/26/2021 GHD received an email from Amy Keane, the Hawley Library Librarian and main point of contact for the library property that the sewer main was struck during the previous weeks boring and this was just discovered this morning. GHD adds that they were informed that Hawley Authority was on site excavating to repair the damaged sewer main. GHD states that they were unaware of the hit and the needed repair. GHD states that they did not run out to observe the repair and only requested that the authority provide photos of the damage, repair, and restoration of the area. Photos are attached.</p> <p>Pennoni Associates Inc. Engineering stated in their AVR that Eichelberger's Inc., the excavator was a subcontractor to Pennoni. They state they are not the</p>	<p>\$0.00</p> <p>GHD ENGINEERING SERVICES (previously CET ENGINEERING SERVICES): \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>excavator, designer, facility owner nor the Project Owner. Although Pennoni retained Eichelberger's, Pennoni was not the project owner. See DPI notes from ACT50. Pennoni states that they do not have any information about the Alleged Violation except for the information already included in the AVR submitted by Eichelberger's. Pennoni states that they provided some of the information based on what the DPI sent in the AVR request letter.</p> <p>Pennoni also included a memorandum which stated that Pennoni's Nicholas Rex arrived on site at around 7 a.m. to oversee the boring operations. At one point there was a faint odor and Eichelberger's reached out to Pennoni's to verify there are no facilities in the area. Pennoni used the design map to verify that the utilities were at least 25-30 ft away from the dig area. Drilling was resumed.</p> <p>Eichelberger's Inc stated in their AVR that this was a facility owner issue saying there were missing or incorrect maps or records of the area. They state that they were contracted to auger drill two holes for a water line installation project. One of the locations was to be east of the intersection of Columbus Ave and Main Ave. Eichelbergers submitted ticket 20211271663 to the work to be done. The response from all utilities was clear no facilities. A site plan was used for planning purposes. Overview is shown on attached page 6. A detail of the closest point of approach was used for the sanitary sewer. The line runs along Main Ave on the East side and near the boring site, which is heading almost due north and south. The sewer line is clearly marked "SS" and this should have been marked based on this plan, but was not identified by the facility owner. They add that there was no indication that there was a line in the area of the proposed location.</p> <p>The boring location was moved about 25 ft to the southeast due to overhead utilities, proximity to the rail line and the need for a flagger on the intersection of Main and Columbus. They state that a photo is submitted showing the proposed boring locations as yellow dots at the top right and toward the center of the frame. They add that on page 9, the red and yellow star indicates the actual boring location. The green dashed line is relative of the route the sewer line was reported to have taken.</p> <p>Hawley Area Authority responded clear No facilities to ticket 20211271663, which was the only ticket submitted to One Call for this project.</p> <p>1/20/2023 - GHD clarified that this project is still within the design phase, and that the project has not been released for bid.</p> <p>Hawley Area Authority is in violation of sections: 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to routine One Call ticket 20211271663. This is a first-time violation and the \$500. Penalty is a warning. Education is required. 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification 20211460302 as soon as practicable. This is a first-time</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>violation and the \$1000. Penalty is reduced to a warning. Pictures show that they did respond to the affected area, but education is required to respond to the KARL system.</p> <p>Hawley Area Authority was burdened with much damage. The community came together to preserve the damaged buildings and clean up the sewer mess caused by the excavation.</p> <p>Eichelberger's Inc is in violation of Section: 5(13) Excavator changed the location, scope or duration of a proposed excavation without notifying the One Call System. This is a first offense and the \$250. Penalty is reduced to \$125. Education is required.</p>	
29105	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES INC Contractor/Excavator: JOAO & BRADLEY CONSTRUCTION CO INC Project Owner: CAPITAL REGION WATER Designer: HERBERT ROWLAND AND GRUBIC INC Other: Comcast Other: Harrisburg City</p>	<p><u>On 2/23/2022 1:00:00 PM at CAMERON ST, HARRISBURG CITY, DAUPHIN</u> *On Tuesday, May 9, 2023, at the DPC Meeting, Joao & Bradley Construction and Capital Region Water were disputing. DPC Voted as: Joao & Bradley Construction- Remove all penalties and violations. Capital Region Water- Remove the penalty but keep the violation and education.</p> <p>***** *****</p> <p>*Rejection- Joao & Bradley are disputing all their penalties and violations. The reason why they're disputing: Before starting the project back in November of 2021, our site superintendent, Carlos Tavares, began placing various 1 Calls for the streets we were going to begin work on. When UGI began receiving these tickets, UGI's locator had mentioned to our superintendent that he was not able to locate the gas service to 1119 S. Cameron Street. Carlos and UGI's locator met out on site sometime in late November/early December to discuss the issue at hand. Because our original scope of work did not include going past 1119 S. Cameron Street, our superintendent stated that the gas service did not need to be located. When placing the 1 Call for that section of work on 12/30/21 (ticket number 20213641035), Carlos stated that we would be working from Shanois Street to 1100 Cameron Street. The ticket was cleared.</p> <p>While working in that section, it was discovered through test pitting that we would now have to excavate past 1119 S. Cameron Street due to a conflict with the gas main being too close to the water main and not having ample clearance to install a 16-inch line stop. This meant that we would now have to cross the gas service that was previously deemed not locatable. When this was discovered, our site foreman, Tony Verrastro, placed another 1 Call on 1/14/22 (ticket number 20220141451) to extend the work from Shanois Street to Sycamore Street. Through the KARL Response on 1/20/22 at 2:07:22 AM, UGI responded with the following: "SCHEULDED DATE & TIME LINES WILL BE</p>	<p>JOAO & BRADLEY CONSTRUCTION CO INC: \$0.00</p> <p>CAPITAL REGION WATER: \$0.00 Section 2(11) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 3rd Offense \$2,000.00</p> <p>Harrisburg City: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>MARKED BY: 24 JAN 2022.” On 1/20/22 at 8:59:16 AM, UGI responded with the following: “FIELD MARKED.”</p> <p>On 2/23/22, Joao & Bradley began to excavate in the area and at 1:00 PM struck the 1.5” plastic service line for 1119 S. Cameron Street that was 11’ off the mark. Tony Verrastro called in a damage emergency ticket (20220542123) at 1:11:12 PM.</p> <p>It is incorrect to state that Joao & Bradley changed the scope of work without notifying PA One Call. An excavation routine ticket was first placed on 12/30/21 (20213641035) that did not go past 1119 S. Cameron Street. Another excavation routine ticket was then placed on 1/14/2022 (20220141451) that stated we were now going to cross 1119 S. Cameron Street all the way up the Sycamore Street. UGI responded via the KARL Response by stating that their lines were marked. Why wouldn’t UGI reach out to us stating that they were not able to locate the line?</p> <p>Also, Tickets: • Ticket 20213641035, Placed on 12/30/21, Shanois Street to Address 1100 Cameron Street • Ticket 20220141451, Placed on 1/14/22, Shanois Street to Sycamore Street • Karl Response #1 to Ticket 20220141451, 1/20/22 @ 2:07 AM, UGI responded: “SCHEDULED DATE & TIME - LINES WILL BE MARKED BY: 24 JAN 2022” • Karl Response #2 to Ticket 20220141451, 1/20/22 @ 8:59 AM, UGI responded: “FIELD MARKED” • New Damage Emergency in reference to Ticket 20220141451, 2/23/22 @ 1:11 PM</p> <p>***** *****</p> <p>*Rejection- Capital Region Water is disputing their penalty. They did not give a reason why.</p> <p>***** *****</p> <p>Then incident occurred on Wednesday, February 23, 2022, at 1119 South Cameron Street, in Harrisburg City, Dauphin County. UGI's gas line was damaged.</p> <p>UGI Utilities stated, Joao & Bradley Construction Company is in violation of not informing their excavation crew of information about the scope of work, failing to inform UGI about the change in the scope of the work site of the proposed excavation, and failing to plan the excavation work to avoid damage. UGI’s, Locator had issues locating the gas service line to 1119 S. Cameron Street. UGI explained, that there was unlocatable gas service and the Locator had an on-worksites meeting with the on-site contact person for Joao & Bradley. It was determined that the exact location of the gas service needed to be located before excavation should start in the problem area. Joao &</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Bradley, contact person explained that excavation would not take place North that far and that they would be stopping South of the locate problem area; no need to locate the gas service to 1119 S. Cameron Street (read the attached Email UGI Locator Documentation related to POC 20220141451). Without notifying PA One Call, Joao & Bradley changed the scope of their work, which resulted in striking and damaging the service line to 1119 South Cameron Street.</p> <p>Joao & Bradley Construction and the designer- Herbert Rowland and Grubic Inc (HRG-Inc) explained, the gas service line to 1119 S. Cameron Street was miss-marked by 11-feet and that resulted in the pulling up the 1.5-inch line, and gas was getting into the sewer and storm line.</p> <p>Fire and Police Departments responded to the 911 call, 133 customers were affected, 55 people were evacuated and traffic was stopped. Look at the attached photos from UGI, Joao & Bradley Construction and HRG-Inc</p> <p>20220141451- New Excavation Routine ticket placed on 1/14/2022 at 12:37pm, and location information was from Shanois Street to Sycamore Street.</p> <p>20220542123- New Damage Emergency ticket placed on 2/23/22 at 1:11pm, and location information was 1119 S. Cameron Street, damaged occurred in front of Mecca auto sales.</p> <p>Responses: Comcast East- No Response Harrisburg City- No Response</p> <p>Joao & Bradley Construction, the project owner Capital Region Water and HRG-Inc submitted incomplete Alleged Violation Reports (AVR); they did not include a summary of the incident. However, Joao & Bradley Construction attached their company's One Call Incident Report Information and Daily Report about the incident. On Wednesday, July 20, 2022, an email was sent to Capital Region Water requesting a detail summary of the incident. They did not respond to the email. On Wednesday, August 10, 2022, an email was sent to HRG-Inc requesting a detail summary of the incident. They responded the same day and said they will respond to the email with a summary of the incident. The next day they emailed a summary of the incident with photos.</p> <p>*Joao & Bradley Construction is in violation of sections: 5(6) Failed to inform each operator employed by the excavator at the work site of the information 5(13) Failed to fulfill the obligations of §5 after a change to the location, scope or duration work site of a proposed excavation 5(6)(i) Failed to plan the excavation or demolition work to avoid damage or minimize interference with a facility owner's facilities in a construction area Recommendation: Penalties applied</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>*Comcast is in violation of section: 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification Recommendation: penalty applied</p> <p>*Harrisburg City is in violation of section: 2(5)(vii) Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification Recommendation: penalty applied</p> <p>*Capital Region Water is in violation of section: 2(11) Facility owner failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request Recommendation: Penalties applied</p>	
29485	<p>Facility Owner: PECO Contractor/Excavator: SHAINLINE EXCAVATING Project Owner: Aqua Designer: Total Engineering and Consulting, LLC Other: Borough of Norwood Other: Comcast Other: Verizon</p>	<p>On 3/14/2022 10:20:00 AM at 330 PARK AVE, NORWOOD BORO, DELAWARE DPC voted on 5/9/2023: Disputing is Aqua PA. Accept the DPIs Recommendations ***** Aqua is disputing Section 6.1(1). Aqua submitted a PowerPoint on their SUE process. ***** PECO disputes the violation and penalty for section 2(5)(vi). Reducing penalty to \$0 but keeping violation. ***** Incident occurred 3/14/2022 at 10:20 am at 330 Park Ave in Norwood of Delaware County.</p> <p>PECO submitted an AVR stating that the excavator, Shainline Excavating (Shainline), hit a correctly marked one inch plastic service line. Please see images labeled Shainline Image 1, 2, and 3.</p> <p>Aqua Pennsylvania Inc (Aqua) submitted an AVR stating that, "Excavator indicated they uncovered a marked service, they hit a second service on the same mark within the tolerance zone."</p> <p>Shainline stated in their AVR that, "During excavation on 3/14/2022 at 10:20am a gas line was damaged. Due to the smell of gas 911 was called. Crew located the gas line and exposed it. Crew was unaware that the line located was an old abandoned line, and that there was another gas line below it. 911 notified due to gas smell. There was no blowing of gas. Peco responded and repaired the damaged line."</p> <p>All three companies submitted AVRs. The abandoned gas line is located above the one inch plastic gas line that is in service.</p> <p>DPI emailed PECO for images from USIC's markout to verify if the type of line was marked for Shainline to identify. PECO failed to reply to both emails.</p> <p>On 9/20/22, Total Engineering was emailed and mailed a request to submit and AVR.</p>	<p>PECO: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vi) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Aqua: \$500.00 Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Total Engineering and Consulting, LLC: \$250.00 Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Borough of Norwood: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$750.00 Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Total Engineering's AVR states, "RECEIVED NOTIFICATION OF AVR ON SEPT 20, 2022 STATING THAT SHAINLINE EXCAVATING ALLEGEDLY HIT A 1 INCH GAS LINE OWNED BY PECO ON MARCH 14, 2022 AT PARK AVE, NORWOOD BORO, DELAWARE CO. WE WERE ADVISED BY AQUA PA'S (OWNER) INSPECTOR THAT THE EXCAVATOR INDICATED THEY UNCOVERED A MARKED SERVICE. THEY HIT A SECOND SERVICE ON THE SAME MARK WITHIN THE TOLERANCE ZONE. FOR THIS PROJECT, WE (DESIGNER) DID NOT PROVIDE CONSTRUCTION SERVICES OR ON-SITE INSPECTION." AVR notes that the designs were Level C SUE and covered 8,340 ft.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Aqua Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more.</p> <p>Total Engineering Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area</p> <p>PECO Section 2(5)(vi) – Lines were not marked in compliance with the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices for Temporary Marking set forth in ANSI standard Z535.1.</p> <p>Verizon Section 2.5.v - Failed to respond to a ticket. 20213512065</p> <p>Borough of Norwood Section 2.5.v - Failed to respond to a ticket. 20213512065 Section 2.5.v - Failed to respond to a ticket. 20220673642 Section 2.5.v - Failed to respond to a ticket. 20212163165 Section 2.5.vii - Failed to respond to an emergency ticket. 20220731368</p> <p>Comcast Section 2.5.v - Failed to respond to a ticket on time. 20213512065</p>	
29648	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: Barrasso Excavation Project Owner: North Catasauqua Borough Designer: Keystone Consulting Engineers, Inc</p>	<p><u>On 3/28/2022 3:06:00 PM at WASHINGTON ST, NORTH CATASAUQUA BORO, NORTHAMPTON</u> DPC voted on 5/9/2023: North Catasauqua Borough- Remove 6.1(3) penalty and violation. Accept the 6.1(1) penalty and violation. Keystone Consulting Engineers- Remove 4(2) penalty and violation. Accept the 4(4) penalty and violation.</p>	<p>Barrasso Excavation: \$1,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>Other: Northampton Borough Municipal Authority Other: Verizon</p>	<p>***** Keystone Consulting Engineers are disputing Sections 4(2) and 4(4) with the reasoning the project was released to pennbid within 77 days and the project used Level C and D SUE.</p> <p>*The Final Design was on 9/11/2020. The project was on PENNBID as Bid Available on 12/30/2020, Bid Opening – 1/18/2021, and Bid Award – 2/17/2021. The complex project ticket was placed on 11/8/2021, and the construction began in December of 2021. Keystone stated that the project was delayed due to supply chain issues. 301 business days separate the final design ticket and the start of construction. *****</p> <p>North Catasauqua Borough is disputing with no reason given. Updated documents were provided showing that a representative signed into the complex meeting. SUE levels of C and D were provided along with the final design release for bid date.</p> <p>DPI removed the violation for section 2(5)(viii) after reviewing the documents that show a Borough rep signed into the complex meeting.</p> <p>*The Final Design was on 9/11/2020. The project was on PENNBID as Bid Available on 12/30/2020, Bid Opening – 1/18/2021, and Bid Award – 2/17/2021. The complex project ticket was placed on 11/8/2021, and the construction began in December of 2021. Keystone stated that the project was delayed due to supply chain issues. 301 business days separate the final design ticket and the start of construction.</p> <p>***** Incident occurred on 3/28/22 at 3:06pm at 1603 Washington Street, North Catasauqua Boro, Northampton County.</p> <p>Barrasso Excavation struck a correctly marked gas line owned by UGI. 911 was called. Emergency Ticket on 3/15/22 used hand tools. Emergency Ticket on 3/28/22 used an excavator.</p> <p>UGI's AVR states, "BARRASSO WAS EXCAVATING FOR A STORM SEWER DRAIN AND WHEN DOING SO THEY STRUCK A CORRECTLY MARKED GAS SERVICE FACILITY. CREW WAS DIGGING IN THE TOLERANCE ZONE."</p> <p>DPI emailed and mailed Barrasso, North Catasauqua Borough, and Keystone Consulting Engineers a request to complete an AVR on 6/13/22. Barrasso emailed what happened and was asked to submit an AVR. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>Keystone Consulting Engineer's AVR states, Working at 1603 Washington St, North Catasauqua, PA. Contractor originally successfully located the service at a depth of 5' within one side of the trenchline, however, within the project trenchline the service was located at a depth of</p>	<p>North Catasauqua Borough: \$500.00 Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Keystone Consulting Engineers, Inc: \$250.00 Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Northampton Borough Municipal Authority: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$5,000.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>20" on the other side which while digging for the new storm pipe the contractor hit the service line within the tolerance zone. See photo attachment." AVR received on 4/11/22. Keystone Consulting Engineer's claimed their AVR covered North Catasauqua Borough.</p> <p>DPI emailed North Catasauqua Borough for estimated cost of project, an AVR to be submitted, and what level of SUE was used on the project on 8/23/22. Also asked Keystone for the level of SUE and cost on 8/23/22.</p> <p>Keystone Engineers responded on behalf of North Catasauqua Borough stating that the project was \$767,277 with Level C and D SUE. The Final Design was on 9/11/2020. The project was on PENNBID as Bid Available on 12/30/2020, Bid Opening – 1/18/2021, and Bid Award – 2/17/2021. The complex project ticket was placed on 11/8/2021, and the construction began in December of 2021. Keystone stated that the project was delayed due to supply chain issues. 301 business days separate the final design ticket and the start of construction.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Barrasso Excavation Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required. Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Education is required.</p> <p>Keystone Consulting Engineers, Inc Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. Education is required. Section 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed.</p> <p>North Catasauqua Borough Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. Education is required. Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3).</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3).</p> <p>Northampton Borough Municipal Authority Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. Education is required.</p>	
30235	<p>Facility Owner: Comcast Contractor/Excavator: E K SERVICES INC Project Owner: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER Other: PPL Electric Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 4/19/2022 7:00:00 AM at BLACKSMITH RD, LOWER ALLEN TWP, CUMBERLAND</u> DPC voted on 5/9/2023: Accept the DPIs recommendations.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Pennsylvania American Water Company is disputing sections 6.1(1) and 4(4) with the attached documents.</p> <p>The test holes were completed beginning on April 15. The damaged line did not have a test pit. First routine ticket is dated 4.12.2022. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred 4/19/2022 at 7am at 108 Blacksmith Road, Lower Allen Township, Cumberland County.</p> <p>E K Services Inc hit an unmarked line owned by Comcast after submitting a PA 1 call ticket along with a renotification ticket.</p> <p>E K Services, Inc's AVR stated that, "All operations came to a stop when it was found that a telecom line was struck. PA One Call was contacted immediately. Verizon arrived on site and stated it was not their line, that it was Comcast. According to the response ticket, comcast showed field is marked, however, the location in question was not previously marked, making the strike an unmarked strike. Comcast arrived on site the representative did some looking around and then he left the scene without any information being provided to our crew. Another Comcast crew was on site up the road fixing an old line and the representative from that crew called his supervisor stating that none of their lines were marked on site.</p> <p>Pennsylvania American Water's AVR quoted E K Services AVR. Project cost of \$400,000 or more is noted with SUE Level D.</p> <p>DPI mailed and emailed Comcast for an AVR on 7/11/2022. No AVR has been received from Comcast. Comcast was notified that EK Services has hit more than one Comcast line within a 6 month period.</p> <p>PPL Electric provided field marked photos and dated responses from USIC on 4/7/22.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Comcast Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to mark lines within 18 inches horizontally.</p>	<p>Comcast: \$3,500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(10) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER: \$1,250.00 Section 6.1(1) 2nd Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$7,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v.1) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(10) - Failed to submit an AVR within 30 business days. Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket in a timely manner.</p> <p>PA American Water Section 6.1(1) - Failed to utilize sufficient levels of SUE. Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area.</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to a routine ticket in a timely manner. Section 2(5)(v.1) - Failed to respond to a renotification ticket. Section 2(5)(viii) - Failed to respond to a complex ticket.</p>	
30460	<p>Facility Owner: Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: MICHAEL FACCHIANO CONTRACTING</p> <p>Project Owner: Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania</p> <p>Designer: Keystone Consultants</p> <p>Other: Century Link</p> <p>Other: Clairton City</p> <p>Other: Clairton Municipal Authority</p> <p>Other: People's Gas</p> <p>Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 4/26/2022 7:36:00 AM at WADDELL AVE, CLAIRTON CITY, ALLEGHENY DPC</u> voted on 5/9/2023: Disputing is Columbia Gas. Remove the violation and penalty for 6.1(1).</p> <p>***** Clairton Municipal Authority disputed and agreed to reduction of 50% on their penalties with the addition of education. *****</p> <p>Peoples Gas disputed the violation for 2(4)- with email documents that they sent the designs to the designer by the due date.</p> <p>DPI removed the 2(4) violation after reviewing the documents. *****</p> <p>Columbia Gas Company is disputing the following:</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii), for ticket # 20202463448, failing to participate in a preconstruction meeting -- A preconstruction meeting was not requested with reason for no meeting “NOT NECESSARY.”</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) – Columbia had two consecutive projects on Waddell Ave. The initial project (job # 18-0222747) had faulty fusions, and Columbia had to have a contractor go back in and re-do portions of the project. The PUC was aware and communicated with throughout the entirety of the correction project.</p> <p>The final design ticket # 20211241688, was placed for the “new” project (job # 20-0226774/20-0227608). The complex ticket # 20202463448, was placed relating to the “old” project.</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) – Columbia would like to appeal this violation to remain consistent in our position that the law does not define level A as being required on projects over \$400,000, just that a sufficient level be used. This damage resulted due to a locator error. Columbia felt SUE level C was sufficient during the design phase of</p>	<p>Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>MICHAEL FACCHIANO CONTRACTING: \$1,000.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Century Link: \$500.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Clairton City: \$500.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Clairton Municipal Authority: \$375.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$125.00</p> <p>People's Gas: \$0.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$7,500.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>this project and does not feel the damage is a direct cause of not using a higher level.</p> <p>DPI is removing the Violations for 6.1(3) and for 2(5)(viii) after reviewing the new information. *Columbia Gas Company is still disputing Section 6.1(1). ***** Incident occurred on 4/26/2022 at 7:36am at 734 Waddell Avenue, Clairton City, Allegheny County.</p> <p>Michael Facchiano Contracting (MFC) hit an unmarked abandoned line owned by Columbia Gas. 911 was not called.</p> <p>Columbia Gas' Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Michael Facchiano Contracting, completing restoration work on behalf of Columbia Gas after an infrastructure replacement project, was excavating for base restoration when the excavator damaged the abandoned gas service to 734 Waddell Ave. The gas service was abandoned at the curb box during the replacement project. This gas service was unmarked and at the same depth as the hard surface. 911 was not notified. Facchiano is retraining all employees on the requirement to notify 911 after a gas damage." AVR notes that the project cost over \$400,000 with SUE Level C.</p> <p>MFC's AVR states, "While excavating for base restoration the Facchiano excavator damaged the abandoned service to 734 Waddell Ave. The service was abandoned at the curb box during the replacement project. This service was unmarked and at the same depth as the hard surface. 911 was not notified. Facchiano is retraining all employees on the requirement to notify 911 after a gas damage."</p> <p>Columbia Gas submitted a final design ticket, 20211241688, was submitted on 5/4/2021, and a complex project ticket had already been placed by MFC on 9/2/2020.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Columbia Gas Section 2(5)(i) - Failed to mark lines within 18 inches on ticket 20220743549. Section 2(5)(viii) - Failed to participate in a complex project. Ticket number 20202463448. Section 6.1(1) - Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of SUE. 20211241688 Section 6.1(3) - Released project for bid before final design was complete. 20211241688</p> <p>Michael Facciano Contracting Section 5(8) - Failed to notify 911 after hitting a gas line with hazardous release. Education is required + 1st offense \$1,000</p> <p>Clairton City</p>	<p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to design ticket within 10 days. 20192942324 Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designers request for information within 10 days. 20182992289</p> <p>Clairton Municipal Authority Section 2(5)(v) - Failed to respond to routine ticket number 20220743549. *Reduced penalty from \$500 to \$250. Education is required. Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to a designers request for information within 10 days. 20182992289 *Reduced penalty from \$250 to \$125. Education is required.</p> <p>Century Link Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to design ticket within 10 days. 20192942324</p> <p>Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to design ticket within 10 days. 20200291066</p> <p>People's Gas Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to design ticket within 10 days. 20211241688</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to design ticket within 10 days. 20202463448 Section 2(4) - Failed to respond to design ticket within 10 days. 20192942324 Section 2(5)(viii) - Failed to participate in a complex project. Ticket number 20193521010</p>	
30455	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES INC. Attn: Pipeline Safety Contractor/Excavator: Ebersole Excavating Project Owner: Pennsylvania American Water Designer: PENNSYLVANIA AMERICAN WATER COMPANY Other: Comcast Other: FirstLight Fiber Other: Frontier Communications Solutions Other: PPL Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 4/29/2022 12:35:00 PM at LIMERICK CT, DERRY TWP, DAUPHIN DPC voted on 5/9/2023:</u></p> <p>Accept the DPIs recommendations. *****</p> <p>PAWC is disputing Section 4(2) and 4(4) with the reasoning that their designs potholed the main and work was released to bid on 10/19/2021. Design work states, "Maintain minimum 6' between gas main and proposed water main. All potential utility crossings require test pits."• Work Order Sketch with the utility responses mapped out, and the note stating, "All potential utility crossings require test pits."</p> <p>DPI is removing the violation and penalty for 4(2). *****</p> <p>PPL disputed both of their violations for Section 2(5)(v) with the reasoning that USIC worked an agreement for scheduled mark outs with the excavator.</p> <p>DPI is removing both violations. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 4/29/22 at 12:35pm at 1419 Limerick Ct, Derry Township, Dauphin County.</p> <p>Ebersole Excavating hit an unmarked gas service at 1419 Limerick Ct. 911 was called.</p>	<p>UGI UTILITIES INC. Attn: Pipeline Safety: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Ebersole Excavating: \$0.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Pennsylvania American Water: \$250.00 Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Comcast: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>FirstLight Fiber: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Frontier Communications Solutions: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>UGI's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "While excavating to do a water main replacement, the contractor damaged an unmarked gas service to 1419 Limerick Ct."</p> <p>Mailed and emailed AVR request letters to Pennsylvania American Water (PAWC) and Ebersole Excavating on 8/25/22. Ebersole sent their AVR on 8/25 and PAWC supplied theirs on 8/26.</p> <p>Ebersole Excavating's AVR states, "During excavation for water line replacement, our crew damaged an unmarked gas line in front of 1419 Limerick Ct. The foreman followed safety protocol and immediately called 911. As the situation unfolded, he forgot to call Ebersole Excavating's office to report the hit, therefore a one call to report the hit line was not done and no AVR was completed at the time. I am unsure what time the incident occurred, so I just chose a time."</p> <p>PAWC's AVR states, "Information provided on this form came from conversation with contractor 08/25/2022 due to request to file an AVR. The contractor's foreman did not inform them of the hit but did call 911 therefore an AVR was not filed. Prior to this date we were not informed of this damage." AVR notes Level A SUE on a project under \$400,000. Attachments show that the designs ask the excavator to pothole each line crossing. The project is also part 1 of a larger project to replace all of the water lines in this area.</p> <p>Final Design 20212143217 was placed on 8/2/2021 and the Complex project ticket 20220732185 was placed on 3/14/2022. This is a difference of 136 business days excluding holidays.</p> <p>Two final designs were completed for this project which split the estimated project cost over two sections. Also, the design work calls for the excavator to pothole each crossing. Potholing should be done during the design phase to meet level A SUE. Trackhoe/backhoe was used.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>UGI Section 2(5)(i.1) – Failed to locate an actually known facility’s point of connection to its facilities.</p> <p>Ebersole Excavating Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. 1st offense reduced to \$0. Education is required.</p> <p>PAWC Section 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed</p>	<p>Section 2(5)(v) 3rd offense \$750.00</p> <p>PPL: \$0.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$7,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area.</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20220732185 Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20212923560 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221083056</p> <p>PPL Electric Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221083056 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221583239</p> <p>Frontier Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221083056 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221583239</p> <p>Comcast Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221583239</p> <p>Firstlight Fiber Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20212923560</p>	
30589	<p>Facility Owner: VALLEY ENERGY INC Contractor/Excavator: Kriger Construction Project Owner: PennDOT Designer: CDM & Smith Engineering Designer: PennDOT Designer: Pickering, Corts, and Summerson Inc Other: Athens Borough Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 5/2/2022 12:15:00 PM at 806 North Main Street, ATHENS BORO, BRADFORD</u> DPC voted on 5/9/2023: Pickering Cords and Summerson Inc- Remove 4(4) penalty and violation. Keep the 4(8) penalty and violation. Education is Required. Athens Borough- Education is Required. Reduce all the penalties by 50%.</p> <p>***** Pickering Corts & Summerson is disputing section 4(4) and 4(8).</p> <p>Exhibit 1 – Location map for 806 N. Main Street Exhibit 2 – Field survey of utility location markings placed by utility company. Exhibit 3 - PCS survey of utility location markings. Exhibit 4 - Construction Plan at 806 N. Main Street Exhibit 5 – Cross sections near 806 N. Main Street Exhibit 6 – Project specifications for utility relocation near 806 N. Main St. Exhibit 7- Email Correspondence. ***** CDM Smith is disputing Section 4(4) and Section 4(8). CDM Smith is no longer disputing.</p>	<p>VALLEY ENERGY INC: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Kriger Construction: \$2,500.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>PennDOT: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Removing violation and penalty for 4(4). CDM Smith has agreed to the violation and penalty of 4(8). *****</p> <p>PennDOT is disputing Section 6.1(1) with Level of SUE documents.</p> <p>Removing violation for Section 6.1(1). *****</p> <p>Athens Borough is disputing four Section 2(5)(v) violations for not responded to a ticket along with a section 2(5)(v) for responding late. Reasons are that they provided CCTV footage and mapping to the excavators. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/2/2022 at 12:15pm at 806 North Main Street, Athens Boro, Bradford County.</p> <p>Kruger hit a marked gas line owned by Valley Energy Inc while working for Penndot</p> <p>Valley Energy INC's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "While digging to install curbing on SR 199, Kriger Construction damaged Valley Energy's facility in front of 806 North Main Street, Athens PA. The contractor was digging with mechanized equipment within the tolerance zone, directly over our 6" plastic main. The service tee to 806 N. Main Street was damaged and caused a release of gas." Additional notes: locate was marked correctly and prior to dig date. We refresh marks for them on this SR 199 project routinely. They say 911 was not called.</p> <p>PennDOT's AVR stated, "While digging to install curb on SR 199, Kriger Construction damaged Valley Energy's facility in front of 806 North Main Street, Athens PA. Krige was excavating with a Track Hoe within the tolerance zone, directly over the 6 Inch Plastic Gas Main. The service Tee to 806 North Main Street was damaged and cause a gas leak. Valley Energy had to make repairs so service could be restored to this residence." AVR notes SUE Level B on a 2.64 mile project costing over \$400,000. PennDOT also says that 911 was not called.</p> <p>Mailed and emailed Kriger Construction and the Designer a request for an AVR on 9/13/22. No AVRs have been received to date. Please see email read receipts in the attachments. Kriger read the email roughly 1 minute after it was sent and made no response.</p> <p>09/27/22 Spoke to Rick Senger on the phone and said his company did ground survey work on behalf of CDM & Smith for the project. He is going to send documentation via email or via an AVR report on PA1call. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>11/10/22 Emailed CDM & Smith with a request for an AVR. CDM & Smith was made aware of the incident via a forwarded email on 10/10/2022.</p> <p>Violations:</p>	<p>CDM & Smith Engineering: \$500.00 Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Pickering, Corts, and Summerson Inc: \$500.00 Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Athens Borough: \$1,250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Kruger Construction Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. Education is required. Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Education is required. Section 5(8) – Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. Education is required. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required. Section 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request. Education is required.</p> <p>PennDOT Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more.</p> <p>Pickering, Corts, & Summers, INC. Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. Education is required. Section 4(8) – Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days of being notified or aware that a violation of this act may have been committed. Education is required.</p> <p>CDM & Smith Engineering Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. Education is required. Section 4(8) – Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days of being notified or aware that a violation of this act may have been committed. Education is required.</p> <p>Valley Energy, Inc Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20211273130 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20220181967</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20211041974</p> <p>Athens Borough</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20210112619 Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20211273130 Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20211131906 Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20220181967 Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20211041974 Education is required.</p>	
30580	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES INC. Attn: Pipeline Safety</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: DOLI CONSTRUCTION</p> <p>Project Owner: LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP AUTHORITY</p> <p>Designer: GHD Inc</p> <p>Other: PPL</p> <p>Other: Veolia Water PA</p> <p>Other: Verizon</p> <p>Other: Zayo Bandwidth</p>	<p>On 5/4/2022 7:45:00 AM at WARREN AVE, LOWER PAXTON TWP, DAUPHIN DPC voted on 5/9/2023: Disputing is Doli Construction. Remove the penalty and violation.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Doli Construction is disputing Section 5(4). No reasoning given.</p> <p>DPI asked Doli Construction the following for documentation.</p> <p>Did a spotter look into the sewer line being ripped out to see if the cross bore was still there prior to hitting the tolerance zone?</p> <p>Did they expose the line at the tolerance zone before digging?</p> <p>Was the excavator used within the tolerance zone?</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Lower Paxton Township and GHD disputed section 6.1(1) and 4(4) with the reasoning that the lines were spotted with video/potholed and there was an agreement with UGI to relocate cross bored lines. Dispute also states that this section of the project was HRG's design section and not GHD.</p> <p>DPI reviewed the documents with Level A sue and removed the violation and penalty for GHD and Lower Paxton Township Authority.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>PPL disputed section Section 2(5)(viii) with the reasoning that they attended the meeting then responded the following day.</p> <p>DPI reviewed the documents from PPL and removed the violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(viii).</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/4/22 at 7:45am along Warren Ave, Lower Paxton Township, Dauphin County.</p> <p>Doli Construction hit a gas line owned by UGI Utilities.</p> <p>Doli Construction's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, UGI was aware of cross bores from earlier issues and was supposed to have relocated all the lines in question, crew hand dug down to top of pipe and found nothing. Operator went to remove piece of storm pipe that gas line was cross bored through and broke the gas pipe." Notes 911 and 811 were notified. \$5,000 -</p>	<p>DOLI CONSTRUCTION: \$0.00</p> <p>LOWER PAXTON TOWNSHIP AUTHORITY: \$0.00</p> <p>GHD Inc: \$0.00</p> <p>PPL: \$0.00</p> <p>Veolia Water PA: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$5,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Zayo Bandwidth: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>\$25,000 in damage along with 25 people were evacuated after the line strike.</p> <p>Lower Paxton Township's AVR stated the same information as Doli Construction's AVR.</p> <p>GHD's AVR stated AVR stated the same information as Doli Construction's AVR but noted that the project was \$400,000 or more with Level C SUE.</p> <p>UGI Utilities' AVR states, "The contractor failed to prudently expose the correctly marked gas main in the T/Z before removing the existing drainpipe with mechanized equipment. Cross Bore was involved." UGI Pictures 1,3,4,7, and 9 show mark outs with damage clearly.</p> <p>Asked Doli Construction via email to see if they have documentation from UGI in regard to relocating cross bored lines on 9/9/2022. Doli emailed back claiming that UGI sent a crew to send a camera down the sewer lines to help relocate all cross bored lines. Doli was then given the all clear for the sewer lines. See Verbal Cross Bore Agreement attachment.</p> <p>UGI made effort to locate all lines by having the crew sent out to cam the sewer. Doli Construction planned their excavation by asking UGI to relocate cross bored lines.</p> <p>From the pictures provided Doli failed to locate the line within the tolerance zone before excavating.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Doli Construction Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Education is required. 20220972182</p> <p>GHD Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area</p> <p>Lower Paxton Township Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more.</p> <p>Veolia Water Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20191290787</p> <p>PPL Electric</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20193472183</p> <p>Zayo Bandwidth Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20220972182</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20220972182 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20220972168</p> <p>Related to cases 023022, 026321, 026862, 027656, and 028395.</p>	
30505	<p>Facility Owner: PECO, AN EXELON COMPANY Contractor/Excavator: UTILITY LINE SERVICES Project Owner: Aqua Designer: Gannett Fleming Other: Uniti Fiber Other: Verizon Other: Whitemarsh Township</p>	<p><u>On 5/5/2022 11:30:00 AM at 636 RIDGE PIKE, WHITEMARSH TWP, MONTGOMERY</u> DPC voted on 5/9/2023: Disputing is Aqua PA, and Gannett Fleming. Aqua PA- Remove the penalties and violations. Gannett Fleming- Remove the penalties and violations.</p> <p>***** Gannett Fleming is disputing violation for 4(4) and 4(2). ***** AQUA is disputing the violation for level of SUE - Section 6.1(1). Aqua submitted a powerpoint on their SUE process. Citing law for "whenever practicable." ***** Whitemarsh Township disputed ticket, 20200510494. The ticket was placed on 2/20/2020 and Whitemarsh responded clear on 3/5/2020. -Violation and penalty have been removed for section 2(4). ***** Incident occurred on 5/5/2022 at 636 Ridge Pike, Whitemarsh Township, Montgomery County.</p> <p>Utility Line Services (ULS) hit a mismarked gas line owned by PECO while working for Aqua. 911 was notified.</p> <p>Utility Line Services and Aqua's alleged violation reports (AVRs) state, "While crew was working in the area of 636 Ridge Pike to install a water main they damaged a gas service that was 3' off the mark." ULS Pictures 1-5 show the mark outs with a hit kit.</p> <p>PECO's AVR states, "ON 5/5/22, UTILITY LINE SERVICES, WHILE TRENCHING FOR A WATER MAIN, DAMAGED AN INCORRECTLY MARKED 1/2 INCH PLASTIC GAS SERVICE TO 636 RIDGE PIKE IN WHITEMARSH TOWNSHIP, MONTGOMERY COUNTY. THIS LINE WAS DAMAGED BECAUSE IT WAS MARKED OUT USING INACCURATE RECORDS. THE CONTRACTOR UNCOVERED THIS LINE ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE STREET WITH OUT INCIDENT UNDER THE MARKS HOWEVER THE</p>	<p>PECO, AN EXELON COMPANY: \$1,000.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Aqua: \$0.00</p> <p>Gannett Fleming: \$0.00</p> <p>Uniti Fiber: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p> <p>Whitemarsh Township: \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>GAS SERVICE CROSSES TO THE NORTH SIDE OF THE STREET ON A SLIGHT ANGLE BEFORE TAPPING INTO THE MAIN. THE MARKS ARE STRAIGHT ACROSS THE ROAD FROM THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE STREET TO THE TAP AS THE SKETCH SHOWS. 1 CUSTOMER AFFECTED AND NO INJURIES."</p> <p>A request for an AVR asking for levels of SUE was mailed and emailed to the designer, Gannett Fleming, on 9/7/2022.</p> <p>Gannett Fleming's AVR summary was blank, but they responded via email to the question asked. See email attachment from Gannett Fleming that notes Level C SUE was used on a project over \$400,000.</p> <p>The complex project meeting ticket stated that all facility owners needed to attend unless a clear no facilities could be determined. PA1 call, ULS, Whitemarsh Township, and Whitemarsh Authority signed in. Aqua and PECO responded field marked but failed to attend the complex meeting. Verizon failed to respond or attend the complex meeting.</p> <p>Final Design Ticket was placed on 03/24/2021 and the complex ticket was placed 9/1/2021. This is a difference of 161 business days. See attachment 161 Business days.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>PECO Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line. Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3).</p> <p>Aqua Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete.</p> <p>Gannett Fleming Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. Education is required. Section 4(2) – Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by section 2(4) from the One Call System not less than ten nor more than ninety business days before final design is to be completed. Education is required.</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. Education is required. Education is required.</p> <p>Whitemarsh Township</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. Education is required.</p> <p>Uniti Fiber Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. Education is required.</p>	
30710	<p>Facility Owner: Bethlehem Water Contractor/Excavator: Linde Corp Project Owner: UGI Utilities Designer: Rettew Associates Inc Other: Astound Broadband Powered by RCN Other: BETHLEHEM LANDFILL COMPANY Other: CenturyLink Level 3 Other: Crown Castle Other: FirstLight Fiber Other: Lehigh University Other: Lower Saucon Township Other: PPL Other: Service electric Cable, TV, & Communications Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 5/10/2022 12:00:00 AM at 217 BROADWAY, BETHLEHEM CITY, NORTHAMPTON</u> DPC voted on 5/9/2023: Lehigh University- remove the penalty and keep the violation and education. *****</p> <p>Lehigh University is disputing Section 2(5)(v) and 2(5)(vii) with the reasoning that the tickets are clear from their zone and they attend regular educational events. Photos are within the email thread.</p> <p>DPI removed the violation for 2(5)(v). Lehigh responded to the initial ticket, but not the renotification. Lehigh is still disputing section 2(5)(vii). *****</p> <p>5/3/23 - Lower Saucon is no longer disputing. Lower Saucon Township is disputing the violation and penalty for Section 2(4) with the reasoning that the design map was not in their territory.</p> <p>Lower Saucon Township did not respond to ticket 20213212877. *****</p> <p>Service Electric disputed their violation with the documentation of Service Electrics Communications with excavator.</p> <p>DPI removed the violation and penalty after reviewing the documents. *****</p> <p>PPL disputed the violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(v) with the delayed mark out agreement between them and the excavator. Ownership of the lines were in question which ended up being the City's electric lines.</p> <p>DPI is removing PPL's violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(v) after reviewing the attached documents. *****</p> <p>Incidents occurred on 5/10/22 at 217 Broadway, Bethlehem, Northampton County.</p> <p>Linde Corp hit 2 unmarked water lines owned by Bethlehem Water.</p> <p>UGI's Allege Violation Report (AVR) states, "Contractor hit and damaged 2- 1" water services that were unmarked. Closest mark was 20' away. Dunkin Donuts was affected."</p> <p>Linde's AVR states, "While crew was excavating to install new gas main they struck and damaged a 1" copper water service that was unmarked. Crew had exposed a marked water service that was 20" away and</p>	<p>Bethlehem Water: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(i.1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Astound Broadband Powered by RCN: \$1,500.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>BETHLEHEM LANDFILL COMPANY: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>CenturyLink Level 3: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Crown Castle: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>FirstLight Fiber: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Lehigh University: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Lower Saucon Township: \$250.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20200150544</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20200150545</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20211733815</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20211733814</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20213212877</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20213212876</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20213212875</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20220874243</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20220874242</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20220874241</p> <p>Education is required.</p> <p>Bethlehem Landfill</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20220874243</p> <p>Education is required.</p> <p>Astound Broadband</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20200150544</p> <p>Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20200150543</p> <p>Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20213212876</p> <p>Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20213212875</p> <p>Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20220874242</p> <p>Education is required.</p> <p>Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20220874241</p> <p>Education is required.</p> <p>Lehigh University</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221093925 Education is required. (violation and penalty removed - see above)</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20220981761 Education is required.</p> <p>Crown Castle</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221093925 Education is required.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>PPL Electric Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221093925</p> <p>Lower Saucon Township Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20213212877 Education is required.</p> <p>Century Link Level 3 Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20211733815 Education is required.</p> <p>Service Electric Cable Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221093925 Education is required.</p> <p>FirstLight Fiber Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20221093925 Education is required.</p>	
30713	<p>Facility Owner: UGI UTILITIES INC Contractor/Excavator: M AND J EXCAVATION Project Owner: White Deer Township Designer: LARSON DESIGN GROUP</p>	<p><u>On 5/11/2022 8:55:00 AM at 410 MAIN ST, WHITE DEER TWP, UNION</u> DPC voted on 5/9/2023: White Deer Twp- NO SHOW. Accept the DPIs recommendations. Larson Design Group- Accept the DPIs recommendations.</p> <p>***** White Deer Township is disputing Section 6.1(1). See designs from LDG. ***** Larson Design Group is disputing violations for Section 4(4) and 4(5). LDG documents attached show the 811 seal with contact info and design ticket on the first page of the designs. LDG drawings found in the coordinate pa files during the investigation period omitted the first page with these details.</p> <p>DPI is removing penalty for Section 4(5). Section 4(4) is still being disputed by LDG. ***** Incident occurred on 5/11/22 at 8:55 am, 410 Main Street, White Deer Township, Union County where a gas line was hit.</p> <p>UGI's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "Contractor doing road work hit and damaged an unmarked gas service." AVR notes that 911 was called on the incident that affected 1 customer for 1-6 hours while costing \$1-\$1,000.</p> <p>On 9/29/22, White Deer Township and M & J Excavation were mailed and emailed a request to submit an AVR. On 9/30/22, Larson Design Group were mailed and emailed a request to submit an AVR.</p>	<p>UGI UTILITIES INC: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>M AND J EXCAVATION: \$500.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>White Deer Township: \$500.00 Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>LARSON DESIGN GROUP: \$250.00 Section 4(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Larson submitted two AVRs. One for themselves as the designer and second AVR on behalf of the project owner, White Deer Township.</p> <p>Larson Design email stated, The project was bid on January 11, 2021, with bids opened on February 23, 2021. The project notice to proceed was provided on April 12, 2021 to M&J Excavation. The original bid was \$718,123.50. The One Call was performed with engineering quality level C through our One Call Ticket 20210082770. This was the number referenced in our Bid Plans. This project was also a Complex Project in the OneCall System as 732554. Larson Design Group and White Deer Township are not aware of any utility impacts that your notifications request. If there is other information or questions you have please let me know but unfortunately White Deer Township or Larson Design Group unaware of these issues. Full time inspection services were not provided by the Township or Larson Design Group for this project.</p> <p>M&J Excavation's AVR stated, "Please see the attached photographs regarding the above issue. In the photos I have attached you can see that there were clearly no markings by UGI on the curbs, sidewalks, and streets. On May 11, 2022, when the accident occurred, we were milling the road, UGI had sent a team out and conducted an investigation regarding the matter as well."</p> <p>Coordinate PA documents include Larson's Design without the toll-free number for 811 or the design ticket number. File attached as Larson Designs.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>UGI Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line.</p> <p>Larson Design Group Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. Education is required. Section 4(5) – Designer’s drawing does not include One Call’s toll-free number and the serial number of the ticket.</p> <p>White Deer Township Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. Education is required.</p> <p>M & J Excavation Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. Education is required.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
30882	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Natural Gas Contractor/Excavator: QUAKER SALES CORPORATION Project Owner: PennDOT Designer: KELLER ENGINEERS INC Other: Geistown Borough</p>	<p>Related to cases 30731 and 31045.</p> <p><u>On 5/11/2022 9:00:00 AM at SR 3008 Hostetler Road, RICHLAND TWP, CAMBRIA</u> DPC voted on 5/9/2023: Disputing Geistown Borough. Remove the penalty but keep the violation and education. *****</p> <p>Geistown Borough is disputing Section 2(5)(v) with the reasoning that the response Conflict DCTF was given along with the ticket was outside the borough and received in error.</p> <p>Update - Geistown has asked for their GIS mapping to be updated by April 2023.</p> <p>*Please see the ticket map image for 20221150801. *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/11/2022 at ST 3008 at Hostetler Rd, Richland Twp, Cambria, County where a gas line was hit.</p> <p>PennDot's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "Contractor excavating for an inlet box encountered a row of bricks used to build the existing inlet. The bricks pierced the 2" gas line."</p> <p>On 10/3/2022, Peoples Natural Gas (PNG), Keller Engineers, and Quaker Sales Corporation were mailed and emailed a request to submit an AVR.</p> <p>PNG's AVR states, "Pipeline was marked in the area of the damage (please refer to photos #119_6411 and #199_6409)." AVR and email from PNG note that 911 was not called and the damaged line cost \$1-\$1,000.</p> <p>Keller Engineers' AVR states, "I was not informed of any contact of a gas line hit during construction. I was unaware that the incident had occurred until I was contacted by the PUC on October 3, 2022. The information provided above is based on the entire project information. We have no specific details on the location of the incident along the 1.8 mile corridor. SUE Test Level A was utilized at various locations along the corridor. After our design was complete, the gas company relocated most of the gas lines along that road. We showed the proposed relocation on our plan. We also sent the gas company our topo plans for facility verification."</p> <p>Quaker Sales Corporation's AVR, "The gas line was behind an inlet box that was to be removed. The line was not visible at the time the box was being removed. It was so close to the inlet box that when the excavator started to lift the box it pinched the line, and it broke." AVR notes that 911 was not called.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>Quaker Sales Corporation Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. Education is required.</p>	<p>QUAKER SALES CORPORATION: \$1,750.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Geistown Borough: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. 20221150801. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 5(8) – Excavator failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner when damage resulted in the escape of any flammable, toxic or corrosive gas or liquid which endangers life, health, or property. Education is required.</p> <p>Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required.</p> <p>Geistown Borough Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221150801. Education is required.</p>	
30924	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: RLE Enterprises Project Owner: Lackawanna Housing Authority Designer: Greenman Pederson, Inc Designer: Reuther & Bowen, PC Other: Olyphant Borough Light</p>	<p><u>On 5/12/2022 9:23:00 AM at 30 WALSH PLAZA, OLYPHANT BORO, LACKAWANNA</u> DPC voted on 5/9/2023: Disputing is Reuther & Bowen. Accept the DPIs recommendations</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Ruether+Bowen would like to dispute their violation to Section 4(8) with the reasoning that - "As the design engineer, we also had very limited duties during construction. We were not onsite to perform any inspections or to oversee any construction activities. The mail and emails sent were in conflict by the following: Official notifications to the firm, i.e. “notifying Reuther & Bowen, PC”, can only be accomplished via direct / certified correspondence to the principals, officers, owners of the corporation. The person cited in the attached emails (asking for information) was a subordinate / low level / part time (now former) employee."</p> <p>Please see the following DPI attachments: File: AVR - Requesting AVR - Designer Ruether & Bowen. This letter was mailed to REUTHER & BOWEN, PC, 326 WARD STREET, DUNMORE, PA 18512-2424 on October 20, 2022. File: Ruether and Bowen 30924 -Protected view of AVR letter mailed via United States Postal Service on 10.20.2022. File: Ruether and Bowen AVR Email - 10.20.22 - Case 30924 - 30 Walsh Plaza Olyphant Boro Lackawanna County emailed on 10.20.2022. File: HACL Email listing the project designer as Ruether and Bowen with the cost over \$400,000. 3/3/2023 - Reuther & Bowen Submitted AVR2023MAR030015.</p> <p>Senior Civil Engineer began work at Ruether & Bowen in Feb of 2015 and left February of 2023. Senior Civil Engineer responded to email requests for an AVR in October of 2022. No AVR was filed till March 2023.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/12/22 at 30 Walsh Plaza, Olyphant Boro, Lackawanna County.</p>	<p>RLE Enterprises: \$1,000.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Lackawanna Housing Authority: \$1,000.00 Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Reuther & Bowen, PC: \$0.00 Section 4(8) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Olyphant Borough Light: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>UGI's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "RLE struck and damaged a correctly marked gas service line while excavating with mechanized equipment in the tolerance zone." AVR notes 911 was called, 25 people were evacuated, and one customer lost service for 1-6 hours. Pictures from UGI show the line marked out along with the damage within the tolerance zone.</p> <p>On 10/14/22, a request to submit an AVR was mailed and emailed to RLE Enterprises and Lackawanna Housing Authority.</p> <p>On 10/20/22, a request to submit an AVR was mailed and emailed to Ruether & Bowen, PC. Greenman Pederson was originally contacted as the designer till they submitted documentation that they were no longer contracted by Lackawanna Housing Authority.</p> <p>No AVRs have been received to date from RLE Enterprise or Ruether & Bowen, PC.</p> <p>Lackawanna Housing Authorities' AVR states, "On May 12, 2022, around 9:00am RLE Enterprise hit a gas line my understanding was mismarking of gas line. We did not need to evacuate and was repair within one hour." Email from Lackawanna list the project as \$492,855.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>RLE Enterprise Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. 20221180995. Education is required. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required.</p> <p>Lackawanna Housing Authority Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. Education is required. Section 6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. Education is required.</p> <p>Ruether & Bowen, PC Section 4(8) – Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days of being notified or aware that a violation of this act may have been committed. Education is required.</p> <p>Olyphant Borough Light Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. 20221180995. Education is required.</p> <p>Related to case 30696.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
30925	<p>Facility Owner: UGI Utilities Contractor/Excavator: RLE Enterprises Project Owner: Pennsylvania American Water Other: Verizon</p>	<p><u>On 5/19/2022 11:30:00 AM at 88 CHURCH ST, KINGSTON BORO, LUZERNE</u> DPC voted on 5/9/2023: Disputing is UGI. Remove the penalty but keep the violation.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>030925 – UGI respectfully rejects the 2(5)(vi) violation based on the inconsistency- between the education provided in the PA One Call User Guide regarding marking the diameter of an existing facility and the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/19/22 at 11:30 am at 88 Church Street, Kingston Boro, Luzerne County.</p> <p>UGI's alleged violation report (AVR) states, "RLE struck and damaged a correctly marked gas service line while excavating in the tolerance with mechanized equipment." AVR notes that 911 was called. One customer was affected for 1-6 hours. Repair cost were between \$1,000-\$5,000. Pictures provided by UGI show that the point of connection marking and the damaged line were within 12 inches.</p> <p>On 10/14/22, a request to submit an AVR was mailed and emailed to RLE Enterprises and Pennsylvania American Water (PAWC). RLE Enterprise has not submitted an AVR to date. Please see email delivered and read receipts from RLE Enterprise's email server.</p> <p>Pennsylvania American Water Company AVR states, "Contractor damaged 1/2" plastic gas service at #88 E Church St, Kingston Boro while excavating to install new 8" water main for system upgrade. Contractor located marked 1" 3/4 gas service by means of a vac truck, at 1'10" deep. Operator continued to excavate and damaged 1/2" plastic gas service, 18" over and 3' deep. Facility size and material was not indicated." AVR notes the 911 was called by the excavator, and that the 1,400-foot project was less than \$400,000 with Level D SUE.</p> <p>UGI picture 88 Church St 2 shows the HVAC steel line along with the damaged line ~12 inches away.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>RLE Enterprise Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Education is required. Section 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. Education is required. Section 5(17) – Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from PUC staff within thirty days of the receipt of the request. Education is required.</p> <p>Verizon Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20220102341</p>	<p>UGI Utilities: \$0.00 Section 2(5)(vi) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>RLE Enterprises: \$1,250.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Verizon: \$7,500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) Subsequent \$2,500.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,500.00 Section 2(4) Subsequent \$2,500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 2022132100</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3). 20221992655</p> <p>UGI</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vi) – Lines were not marked in compliance with the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices for Temporary Marking set forth in ANSI standard Z535.1. 20221302100.</p>	
30920	<p>Facility Owner: PPL</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: D H Funk Excavating</p> <p>Project Owner: Equity Lifestyle Properties</p> <p>Project Owner: MHC CIRCLE M LIMITED PARTNERSHIP</p> <p>Designer: Light-Heigel, & Associates</p> <p>Other: COMCAST CABLEVISION</p> <p>Other: Lancaster City Water</p>	<p><u>On 5/23/2022 12:25:00 PM at 2111 Millersville Road, PEQUEA TWP, LANCASTER</u> DPC voted on 5/9/2023: Disputing is Light Heigel & Associates. Remove violation and penalty.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Light-Heigel is disputing Section 4(4) with the reasoning that the line hit was on their designs. Also submitted addendum to designs, but no other design ticket.</p> <p>Note the project was over \$400,000 with Level C SUE. DPI issued a \$0 penalty with Education.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Lancaster City Water's Violations were adjusted to 1st Offenses. No longer disputing.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>PPL disputed the violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(v) with the delayed mark out agreement between them and the excavator. Ownership of the lines were in question which ended up being the City's electric lines.</p> <p>DPI is removing PPL's violation and penalty for Section 2(5)(v) after reviewing the attached documents.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 5/23/22 at 12:25pm at Circle M Campground, 2111 Millersville Rd, Pequea Township, Lancaster County.</p> <p>D H Funk Excavating hit a PPL Electric line while working for MHC CIRCLE M LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (Circle M)</p> <p>PPL's Alleged Violation Report states, "On Monday, 5/23/2022 at approximately 1225 a non-PPL contractor from D H Funk Excavating contacted an underground primary with an excavator while digging for new sewer line installation at the Circle M RV and Camping Resort, 2111 Millersville Road, Pequea Township, Lancaster County. There were no reported injuries. 26 customers lost power. The excavator did not have a valid PA One Call ticket. PPL Public Safety investigation determined that D H Funk had not placed any PA One Call ticket for sewer line installation at this location in 2022. PPL Public Safety Specialist Krause spoke with D H Funk employee Kevin Fryberger on 5/24/2022 and asked them to stop all work until a new One Call ticket was placed and all facilities marked. There was significant damage</p>	<p>PPL: \$0.00</p> <p>D H Funk Excavating: \$750.00 Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>MHC CIRCLE M LIMITED PARTNERSHIP: \$500.00 Section 6.1(7) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Light-Heigel, & Associates: \$0.00</p> <p>COMCAST CABLEVISION: \$4,500.00 Section 2(4) Subsequent \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 3rd Offense \$2,000.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$1,000.00</p> <p>Lancaster City Water: \$500.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$250.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>inflicted to PPL underground primary cables and concrete duct bank."</p> <p>On 10/14/2022, AVR request letters were mailed and emailed to Circle M, D H Funk Excavating, and Light Heigel & Associates.</p> <p>Light, Heigel, and Associates AVR states, "Light-Heigel performed a design One-Call and prepared the Land Development for the project. Light-Heigel had no role in overseeing the utility trench excavations and was not present on the day the utility was struck. It was several days after the event that Light- Heigel learned during a phone call with DH Funk that their equipment operator had struck an electric distribution line on the property. We do not know exactly where this was but were told the line was shown on the Plan and the Contractor was aware of it, but the equipment operator forgot about it and inadvertently struck it while digging. We understood the Contractor was working with PP&L to have it repaired. That is the extent of Light-Heigel's knowledge on the matter."</p> <p>DH Funk Excavating's AVR states, "DH Funk employee was using Track-Hoe with a Breaker on it to break rock around the duct bank containing electric conduit. he caught the concrete bank and hit the conduit within the bank, causing damage to 3 electric lines. A PA One Call was done prior to the beginning of the work and it was marked properly."</p> <p>DH Funk has multiple worksites over 1,000 feet in length without a complex project ticket.</p> <p>Light-Heigel email states that SUE Level C was used on a project over \$400,000. Email also mentions that they suggested using a higher level of SUE to the project owner in a meeting. Recommending a reduced penalty with education.</p> <p>Circle M owned by Equity Lifestyle Properties submitted an AVR stating, "Property Manager reported to me that they heard a large explosion. Soon after DH Funk contacted us informing that they hit the distribution line while working on the site expansion on the property. DH Funk handled all notifications and repairs with the utility company." AVR notes Level D SUE on a 250,000 square foot project that cost over \$400,000.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>DH Funk Excavating Section 5(3) – Excavator failed to hold a preconstruction meeting prior to beginning a complex project. Education is required. Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Education is required.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Equity Lifestyle Propertites DBA MHC CIRCLE M LIMITED PARTNERSHIP Section 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. Education is required. 1st offense reduced to \$0. Section 6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. 20212033064. Education is required.</p> <p>Comcast Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20210834246 Section 2(5)(vii) – Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification. 20213400732 Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20213211276</p> <p>PPL Electric Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time. 20213211276</p> <p>City of Lancaster Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20210834246. Education is required. Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. 20212033064. Education is required.</p> <p>Light-Heigel, & Associates Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area. 20212033064. Education is required.</p>	
31664	<p>Facility Owner: PEOPLES GAS Contractor/Excavator: Shadco LLC Project Owner: People's Natural Gas Placeholder Designer: People's Natural Gas Placeholder Other: New Kensington Municipal Authority</p>	<p><u>On 6/22/2022 10:00:00 AM at ELAINE DR, LOWER BURRELL CITY, WESTMORELAND</u> Peoples Gas is Disputing Violation 4(4)</p> <p>DPC made the motion to remove the violation and penalty for 4(4).</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Shadco states the incident occurred on 6/22/2022 at 10:00 am at Braddock Drive, Lower Burrell City, Westmoreland County. Peoples Gas states the incident occurred on 6/22/2022 at 11:00 am at Elaine Drive, Lower Burrell City, Westmoreland County. There is a 500 feet difference between Braddock Drive and Elaine Drive, but both locations are within the tolerance zone.</p> <p>Where a gas line was hit. Excavator did call 911.</p>	<p>PEOPLES GAS: \$0.00</p> <p>Shadco LLC: \$1,000.00 Section 5(3.1) 2nd Offense \$500.00 Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>New Kensington Municipal Authority: \$0.00 Section 2(4) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Shadco LLC's alleged violation report (AVR) states "They crew was starting a new job and was beginning to locate the existing utilities on the job. They used an excavator to scrape the topsoil. While scaping the topsoil 5" inches from grade, the bucket caught a no blow off the existing main gas line. The gas leak from the strike was controlled and maintained safe with no injuries. The utility was marked." Shadco's AVR indicated that the line was marked accurately.</p> <p>Peoples Gas states on their Final Design Ticket number 20220660749 on 3/7/2022, that the work site is Elaine Drive and the nearest intersection is Cleveland Drive, but the Map Graphic shows the Coordinate PA Complex Project Boundary from Braddock Drive to Elaine Drive. Shadco put in a routine/renotify ticket 20221641840 on June 22, 2022 which shows that the work site excavation area is from Braddock Drive to Elaine Drive. Then Shadco states on another routine ticket 20221751836 on June 24, 2022, that the work site excavation area is from Elaine Drive to Chester Drive. The tickets were concurrent from Braddock Drive to Chester Drive, which is a total of 1,220 feet.</p> <p>***Shadco LLC is in violation of sections Section 5(3.1) – Scope of project exceeds the maximum area of a routine ticket. - Excavator Section 5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. Recommendation: Penalties Applied</p> <p>***Peoples Gas is in violation of sections Section 4(4) – Failed to prepare construction drawings to avoid damage to and minimize interference with facilities in the construction area Recommendation: Penalties Applied</p> <p>***New Kensington Municipal Authority is in violation of sections Section 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days on Ticket Number 20220660749. Responded on 3/24/2022. Response was due 3/21/2022. Recommendation: Warning with Education Required</p>	
31623	<p>Facility Owner: National Fuel Gas Contractor/Excavator: ROAD SAFE TRAFFIC SYSTEMS Project Owner: PENNDOT Other: I A Construction</p>	<p>On 6/22/2022 11:30:00 AM at SR 66 and SR 2005, JENKS TWP, FOREST DPC voted on 5/9/2023: Accept the DPIs recommendations.</p> <p>***** RoadSafe is disputing the following: Section 5(2.1) -Here, the One Call Ticket(s) were called in by IA Construction for our work (see attached Ticket Nos. 20220964838 & 20220964829). Section 5(8) with the reasoning that someone else took charge so 911 was not called by the excavator or anyone else. Section 5(16) - Given the circumstances enumerated herein, it was RoadSafe’s position that the Alleged Violation was on the part of National Fuel not RoadSafe.</p>	<p>National Fuel Gas: \$0.00</p> <p>ROAD SAFE TRAFFIC SYSTEMS: \$2,500.00 Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 5(8) 1st Offense \$1,000.00 Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>As such, we mistakenly believed that no report was required to be submitted by RoadSafe but rather by National Fuel, as the violator. However, we have taken care to re-review the Act and re-educate ourselves on the correct reporting process.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>National Fuel Gas Penalty for Section 2(5)(i) is removed due to no PA1call for this exact dig site.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Incident occurred 6/22/22 at 11:30am along South Forest Street, Jenks Twp, Forest County.</p> <p>PennDOT's Alleged Violation Report (AVR) states, "Roadsafe hit a live gas line just south of SR 0066 on South Forest St. NFG was notified and came to fix the line. Roadsafe original 1-call serial number is not available as a One Call was not done. Talked with Roadsafe about the original one-call and learned that there was never a One-Call. The hit on the gas line is approximately 30' south of the SR 0066. Roadsafe was driving a sign post base next to an existing base and struck the gas line approximately 12" below grade. NFG was notified and responded to the site and repaired the line."</p> <p>National Fuel Gas' AVR states, "Road Safe was performing excavation work with no Pa One Call ticket. During their excavation they pounded a sign post through an 1 1/8 inch plastic gas service." NFG Images 1-3 show line damage.</p> <p>Road Safe's AVR states, "PA1Call technician failed to properly mark the lines."</p> <p>Road Safe's Field report that was attached included stated, "'We were replacing the existing stop sign with a new one. I could clearly see that the location had already been "One called" and the underground service lines had been marked out directionally on the sidewalk in yellow paint.... however, I decided to anchor the new sign EXACTLY where the old one was just to be safe. After driving our anchor into the ground and hitting the line, I quickly informed the inspector who was shadowing us, and he in turn notified the gas company. As chance would have it, the same service tech who arrived on scene also happened to be the same tech who responded to the original One Call and marked out the line locations. I know this because when he arrived, he had told me that I.A Construction had previously hit a line while digging up the area, and it was they who had made the "One Call" to gas company. He also went onto say... 'I wasn't able to locate the service line for the corner building the last time I was here... I guess you just found it for me'. In line with following RoadSafe's safety and incident reporting protocols, I walked over and began taking photos, the very same service tech said, 'man... don't be taking (explicative) pictures...just go away'. I finished taking the appropriate photos [attached]</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Road Safe pictures show the new sign being installed with a pneumatic hammer. Roadsafe has no PA1 calls for this site. The pictures also show that the lateral to the building was not marked out. Road Safe submitted an AVR two months after the line strike.</p> <p>Violations:</p> <p>National Fuel Gas Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line.</p> <p>Road Safe Traffic Systems Section 5(2.1) - Failed to submit a locate request. Education is required. Section 5(8) - Failed to immediately notify 911 and the facility owner. Education is required. Section 5(16) - Failed to submit an alleged violation report within 10 business days after a line strike. Education is required.</p>	
31892	<p>Facility Owner: Peoples Natural Gas Contractor/Excavator: Armstrong Utilities Project Owner: Armstrong Utilities Placeholder Other: Consolidated Communication Other: Shell Legacy Holdings LLC</p>	<p>On 6/27/2022 1:13:00 PM at <u>APPLEJACK DR, RICHLAND TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Peoples Natural Gas has accepted the violation and penalty for section 2(5)(i). The DPI removed the violation and penalty for 2(5)(13). Peoples Gas is disputing the violations and penalties for 2(5)(v) x's 3, 2(5)(vii) and 2(5)(vi).</p> <p>DPC made the motion to remove the violation and penalty for all three 2(5)(v) violations and penalties, keep the violation but remove the penalty for 2(5)(vii), and remove violation and penalty for 2(5)(vi).</p> <p>***** *****</p> <p>Incident occurred on 06/27/2022 at 1:13pm at Applejack Dr., Richland Twp., Allegheny County.</p> <p>A People Natural Gas line was hit. The excavator did call 911. Level B SUE was used on the project.</p> <p>Peoples Natural Gas' alleged violation report (AVR) states "Armstrong was working on Applejack Drive in Richland Township installing fiber optic cable when they hit a mismarked service line belonging to 1020 Applejack Drive. PNG crew was notified and fixed the damaged service line."</p> <p>Armstrong Utilities' alleged violation report (AVR) states "Armstrong Utilities was installing conduit in the utility ROW. White flags and white paint marked location. In the process of boring Armstrong hit a gas service line the had missed by Peoples Gas when locating their gas lines. Armstrong immediately call 911 to report the issue. Police & Fire Dept responded. Peoples Gas came and repaired service."</p> <p>*Peoples Natural Gas is in violation of sections:</p>	<p>Peoples Natural Gas: \$1,500.00 Section 2(5)(i) 3rd Offense \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) 1st Offense \$0.00</p> <p>Consolidated Communication: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Shell Legacy Holdings LLC: \$500.00 Section 2(5)(viii) 1st Offense \$500.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(5)(i) – Failed to locate underground lines within 18 inches horizontally of the outside wall of line.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time for ticket 20221642719.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time for ticket 20221642637.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket within the required amount of time for ticket 20221740913.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vii) – Failed to respond to an emergency notification as soon as practicable following notification for ticket 20221783005.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(vi) – Lines were not marked in compliance with the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices for Temporary Marking set forth in ANSI standard Z535.1.</p> <p>Section 2(5)(13) – Failed to maintain existing records of main lines abandoned on or after the date and to mark, locate, or identify the main lines if possible, based on existing records. (Withdrawn by DPI during Stakeholder review)</p> <p>Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Consolidated Communications is in violation of sections:</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3) for ticket 20213232578.</p> <p>Recommendation: penalties applied</p> <p>*Shell Legacy Holdings LLC is in violation of sections:</p> <p>Section 2(5)(viii) – Failed to participate in preconstruction meetings for a complex project or as described in Section 5(3) for ticket 20213232578.</p> <p>Recommendation: penalties applied</p>	
33826	<p>Facility Owner: COLUMBIA GAS</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete</p> <p>Contractor/Excavator: MID STATE PAVING</p> <p>Project Owner: COLLEGE TOWNSHIP ENGINEERING</p> <p>Designer: KELLER ENGINEERS</p> <p>Other: COLLEGE TOWNSHIP WATER AUTHORITY</p> <p>Other: UNIVERSITY AREA JOINT AUTHORITY</p> <p>Other: VERIZON</p>	<p><u>On 8/24/2022 2:26:00 PM at 821 PIKE ST, COLLEGE TWP, CENTRE</u> On 5/10/2023 the Damage Prevention Committee (DPC voted to Maintain the violation Section 4(2) failure request the line and facility info not less than 10 or more than 90 days before final design is to be completed – 1st offense but to drop the penalty and to keep education.</p> <p>Keller Engineers disagrees and wishes to pursue this to resolution. Email received on 3/27/2023, they stated that "As we indicated earlier, we did make a One Call on 2022-01-25, which was within 90-days of the project bid date of 2022-02-15 – copy attached. Yes, we did mistakenly indicate that this was an excavation ticket as opposed to a final design ticket, but the net result was exactly the same; a One Call notification was made, responding utility companies field marked their facilities, and we field audited our plans to ensure they reflected the most current information, all before the project bid date.</p> <p>The technicality of “excavation” vs. “final design” is just that, a technicality. The response action was the same, the field effort was the same, and the results were the same – complete and accurate plans depicting all known utility locations. The contractor unfortunately hit gas mains several times during the course of construction, but by their own admission this was through operator</p>	<p>Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete: \$1,500.00</p> <p>Section 5(3) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(16) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>Section 5(4) 1st Offense \$500.00</p> <p>COLLEGE TOWNSHIP ENGINEERING: \$500.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(1) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 6.1(3) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>KELLER ENGINEERS: \$0.00</p> <p>Section 4(2) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>errors or proper lack of caution. At no time were these occurrences ever alleged to be a failure on our part – our plans accurately depicted the gas mains at all the strike locations and at all other locations, we required proper contractor/utility company coordination, we counseled proper work practices and safety. As such, Keller Engineers protests any notion that our actions were the cause of this issue or played any part thereof.</p> <p>I would rather avoid attending a meeting in Harrisburg taking up the valuable time of the Commission; it would certainly be far more cost effective for us to simply pay the fine and move on. But there needs to be a moral to this situation - we will hopefully be involved in many more similar projects going forward and we need to fully understand why our actions have been singled out as being part of the cause of this particular situation such that a monetary penalty is warranted.</p> <p>We will certainly make adjustments going forward, being conduction of such notifications under the preliminary and final design categories, and we would certainly accept a reprimand for this technical error, but a punitive monetary fine just does not seem to be fair or warranted in this issue. Please let me know your thoughts".</p> <p>***** ***</p> <p>5 Incidents occurred one on 5/26/2022, another on 8/24/2022 and a third on 8/31/2022 at multiple addresses near each other on Pike St. in College Township, Centre County, where gas lines were damaged.</p> <p>Second incident occurred on 8/24/2022 at 821 Pike Street, in College Township in Centre County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that the marks were no longer visible on the project when the Columbia Gas Damage Prevention Specialist (CGDPS) was at the site on 8/23/2022. They state that the CGDPS requested that the excavator have the locates on the complete project updated. They also state that the CGDPS expressed concern about the concrete pins being driven into the ground.</p> <p>They state that Bowman Excavation was using a skid loader to cut additional grade for a sidewalk when they tore the ½” plastic gas service line. They state that the gas service line was not marked out in the direct work area, but there was an old flag in the grass. The excavator immediately notified 911, then notified Columbia Gas. They add that Columbia Gas responded immediately to make the area safe and completed repairs.</p> <p>Columbia Gas also states that their Damage Prevention Specialist has been on this project several times and has educated this excavator on hand digging best practices. The most recent ticket was called in on 5/16/2022.</p> <p>College Township stated in their AVR that the length of the project was 950ft, the cost was >\$400,000. and that test hole/pot holing was done. They state that level “A” Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used. No</p>	<p>UNIVERSITY AREA JOINT AUTHORITY: \$250.00 Section 2(5)(v) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>VERIZON: \$2,000.00 Section 2(5)(v) Subsequent \$2,000.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>plans were provided showing SUE. Two attachments were provided.</p> <p>Drawing- for incident 33459 states that sketch shows the approximate location of the excavator when the gas service lateral was broken. Two test pits were opened to locate the gas line prior to the stump removal. The excavator was pulling on the stump, and when the stump broke free, the roots from the stump pulled and broke the gas line.</p> <p>Designer Engineer Comments – states that the project cost \$1,517,159.85. Design meetings included Columbia Gas, who was consulted during the design process relative to gas line location and sometimes the relocation of the gas line. A formal PennDOT utility clearance process was observed with Columbia Gas Company resulting in a form D-4181 approval from the gas company and completion of a D-419 Utility Clearance Report.</p> <p>Construction meetings were held, and Contractor was in constant contact with Columbia Gas Company and other affected utility companies during construction as required by construction documents in order to coordinate general work along with various required utility relocations.</p> <p>Ticket Number: 20220250926 is the ticket that Keller Engineers, Inc. alleges was their design ticket is not a design ticket. It is a New Excavation Routine Ticket placed on January 25, 2022.</p> <p>Name of Contractor: Bowman Excavating/Paving/Concrete.</p> <p>SUE Level: effectively subsurface quality level C was utilized for this project consisting of multiple PA One-Call notifications with attendant utility company underground locations during the design life of the project and accompanying field survey to locate utility company alignment and depth designations. Presumably some utility companies' information was based on geophysical location methods, therefore approaches quality level B Sue. This process was updated several times throughout the long design life of this project.</p> <p>SUE quality Level A was utilized in certain areas of the project, as some non-destructive exposure of underground utilities was effectuated during a previous water main relocation effort within the immediate project area (with attendant location survey and design plan adjustments as uncovered utility line alignments and depths (including as lines) became confirmed.</p> <p>Keller Engineers Inc. They refer to case 33826 in their AVR and state that the excavator encountered and broke a gas service lateral at 821 Pike St. They add that Columbia Gas was summoned to the site to repair a broken service line. In case 33826 a gas line was also damaged on 8/24/2022 on Pike St. during this same project. They state that the PUC was notified because of the two incidents.</p> <p>Routine ticket 20220250926 was submitted by Keller Engineers on 1/25/2022. Email received on 12/5/2022 stated that they ticket type was in error and they meant to</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>submit a design ticket instead. The responses that were received were for a routine excavation and not for updating design information. Ticket 20221360949 submitted by Bowman on 5/16/2022 for a 6-month long project. This is an independent project per College Township Engineering email 12/6/2022.</p> <p>Routine ticket 20211321077 was submitted by College Township Water Authority on 5/12/2021. Final Design ticket 20211381866 was submitted by Keller Engineers on 5/18/2021.</p> <p>Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete was emailed an AVR request on 9/27/2022 and mailed an AVR request on 12/02/2022. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>*****</p> <p>Bowman Excavation Paving and Concrete is in violation of Sections:</p> <p>5(3) – Excavator failed to preserve mark-outs or request a remark. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>5(4) – Excavator failed to exercise due care and employ prudent excavation techniques. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>College Township Engineering is in violation of Section:</p> <p>6.1(1) – Failed to utilize sufficient quality levels of subsurface utility engineering or other similar techniques to properly determine the existence and positions of underground facilities when designing known complex projects having an estimated cost of (\$400,000) or more. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250. Education is required.</p> <p>6.1(3) – Released a project to bid or construction before final design was complete. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250. Education is required.</p> <p>Keller Engineers Inc. is in violation of Sections:</p> <p>4(2) Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed to section 2(4) from the One Call system not less than 10 nor more than 90 days. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250. Education is required.</p> <p>Verizon is in violation of Section:</p> <p>2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. This is a subsequent offense, and the penalty is applied.</p> <p>University Area Joint Authority is in violation of Section:</p> <p>2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. Penalty is reduced to \$250. Education is required.</p> <p>*****</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Additional Information:</p> <p>Project is >\$400,000. SUE information is listed differently on each AVR. See Close up of gas main unknown PNG.</p> <p>Cases 33884, 33459, 33827, and 33883 are related investigations.</p> <p>Ticket 20221360949 violations area addressed in case 33826.</p> <p>Project is a Complex project. Ticket 20212503082 map graphic shows the area to include both intersections for water line replacement. This is over 1000 ft. Tickets 20211321077, 20211952149, and 20212222967 are submitted for installing a new water main. There are two separate bids for this project.</p> <p>College Township and College Township Water Authority (CTWA) are separate entities but located in the same building. All One Call tickets are maintained by one person.</p>	
33736	<p>Contractor/Excavator: Baileys Handiman Services Other: EUGENE FRYE</p>	<p><u>On 9/15/2022 12:00:00 AM at 2095 Philadelphia Street, WHITE TWP, INDIANA</u> On 05/09/2023 the Damage Prevention Committee (DPC) voted to accept the violation and waive the penalty of Section 5(2.1) Failure to submit a One Call ticket – 1st offense and to keep the education. They also voted to accept the violation, penalty and education for Section 5(17) Failure to comply with all requests for information by PUC Staff.</p> <p>***** ** Incident occurred on 9/15/2022 at 2095 Philadelphia St, in White Twp, Indiana County.</p> <p>*No Damage</p> <p>Eugene Fry stated in his alleged Violation Report that this is the second time that his neighbor has not done a One Call ticket for an excavation project. He states that the first time involved a gas line at his own house, the second time involved a gas line at his rental house, which is located 1 house away. The excavator lives and excavates in between the two houses that Mr. Fry owns. Compliance Specialist noted that ticket 20222323688 was placed for the location after the Alleged Violation date.</p> <p>Peoples gas stated in an email that they did not submit an AVR because their line was never hit. Peoples Gas also state that this is a known neighbor dispute, of two neighbors who strongly dislike each other. They state that Baily's Handyman Services has been hand digging, except for One Call ticket 20221944685. Peoples Gas states that this neighbor dispute has taken a lot of valuable time from Peoples Gas.</p> <p>Bailey's Handiman Service was mailed an AVR request 10/06/2022. Mr. Bailey replied with an email on</p>	<p>Baileys Handiman Services: \$250.00 Section 5(17) 1st Offense \$250.00</p> <p>Section 5(2.1) 1st Offense \$0.00</p>

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>10/22/2022 that he is looking into this situation. He stated that the home is also his residence. He personally met Peoples Gas Company and White Township. He states that People’s Gas would have a record of this, because they physically tracked the one gas line. Baileys Handiman Services is not able to provide a ticket for that week. He would like to know why they showed up without a ticket. He states that this also happened another time to him. He adds that he never received a confirmation.</p> <p>DPi explained what an AVR is and what it is for on the email that was received on 10/24/2022.</p> <p>Damage Prevention Investigator responded to Mr. Bailey’s email: “Thank you for getting back to me promptly. I am happy to explain what an Alleged Violation Report (AVR) is and why I have you sent an AVR request letter. I received a possible violation of ACT 50 from One Call and I have to investigate this. Part of my investigation is getting your side of the story. The AVR form is found on the One Call site. You do need to register to be able to submit the AVR. I did receive two tickets from One Call that were submitted by you. Tickets 20222623688 and 20221944685. You would put those tickets in your AVR and any other tickets, if you have any more for the alleged violation which occurred on 9/15/2022 at 2059 Philadelphia St, in White Twp, Indiana. The AVR has sections to fill out and at the bottom of the form, you will be able to make a statement in your own words.</p> <p>Damage Prevention Investigator spelled Baileys Handiman Services with a “Y”, instead of the “I” that Mr. Bailey uses in the company name. Mr. Bailey. This has been updated in the case. Mr. Bailey sent an email on 11/27/2022 (AVR was due on 11/13/2022) stating that he does not know who owns Bailey’s Handyman Service, nor do any of his associates.. This response is non cooperative to the investigation. No AVR was submitted, and DPI suggests that Mr. Bailey’s violation be considered as an excavator, not a homeowner.</p> <p>Case was completed on 11/29/2022 using the information that was received to date.</p> <p>DPI made the corrections to 2095 Philadelphia St. and Updated the company name to Baileys Handiman Services and on 12/01/2022 emailed the AVR request letter, with the current violations listed on it and the corrected address with a read receipt.</p> <p>On 12/12/2022 a letter was received from Bolkovac law Offices stating that “After our investigation regarding the above incident, we have found that my client, Daniel Bailey, never performed any excavation work at 2059 Philadelphia St., Indiana PA on September 15, 2022; therefore, 411 would not need to be called.” (Incident occurred at 2095 Philadelphia St.)</p> <p>On 12/19/2022 Mr. Bailey sent an email stating he needs help filing and AVR. DPI responded with all they ways to file an AVR. Another email was received that Mr. Bailey will file his AVR. Notice that original AVR request was sent in 10/06/2022.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Mr. Bailley submitted an AVR on Dec 19, 2022 stating that “After gas lines were established and or marked from a tracable device at 2107 Philadelphia St. I, Dan Bailey was personally there and witnessed Peoples Gas Company tracing the line, at 2107 Philadelphia St , it was determined the gas line went from the meter at 2107 Philadelphia St, to Philadelphia St and the gas line does not go perpendicular to the property at 2095 Philadelphia St. 2095 Philadelphia St. is owned by Dan Bailey. On or around 9-22-22, with the results of Peoples Gas Company markings, Dan Bailey had placed T posts, which hold electric fences up, 1 foot from the property line. There was another incident that took place on 9-15-22, where I, Dan Bailey, was using a Toro Dingo machine, along the property line to spread gravel with a bucket and happened to get it stuck on a hill which happened to border Gene Frye's property. Dan Bailey had taken the bucket off the machine and placed the auger on the machine to try and use the auger as leverage to push the Dingo machine back up the hill. However I was unsuccessful and put a tow strap to my truck, and pulled the machine over the embankment. The machine did flip on my and I had to flip it back ***** **</p> <p>Mr. Baily is in violation of Section: 5(2.1) Excavator failed to submit a location request to One Call within the correct timeframe. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 5(17) Excavator failed to comply with all requests for information from the PUC Staff. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>Additional Ticket Information: Ticket 20221944685 was submitted on 7/13/2022 and the responses were due by Friday 7/15/2022. The lawful dig date is not until Monday 7/18/2022. The excavation began on Saturday 7/16/2022, per the AVR and the ticket. The project was expected to last 8 hours. Ticket 20222342456 was submitted on 8/25/2022 because the neighbor was worried that there were no gas markings, and this was close to the caller’s gas line. Hand tools are specified. Ticket 20222623688 was submitted after the AVR was filed on 9/22/2022. The ticket reads that the excavation began on 9/15/2022.</p>	

Committee Review

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
29865	Facility Owner: COLUMBIA GAS Contractor/Excavator: North Beaver Contracting Project Owner: FINDLAY TOWNSHIP MUNICIPAL	<p><u>On 3/28/2022 3:40:00 AM at 180 MOODY RD, FINDLAY TWP, ALLEGHENY</u> Incident occurred on 3/28/2022 at 180 Moody Road in Findlay Township, in Allegheny County.</p> <p>Columbia Gas stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) that this is a facility owner issue and that the line</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
	<p>AUTHORITY Designer: K L H Engineers Other: SHELL PIPELINE COMPANY</p>	<p>was not marked correctly. North Beaver Contracting was excavating with a backhoe, outside the tolerance zone, when they damaged an incorrectly marked 1” plastic company gas service line. The employee locator didn’t find a connection point (wire) at the curb valve box and he attempted to mark with the signal he had from the wire at the meter. They add that the marks were approximately 2 ‘off. They state that once the employee was able to find a wire at the curb valve, a vacuum should have been used. They add that the root cause was locator error. 911 was called. Pictures are included.</p> <p>Findlay Township Municipal Authority called after the AVR request letter was received. DPI returned a call and left a detailed message on 11/7/2022 describing the information we need, how to submit an AVR and contact information. No AVR has been received to date.</p> <p>North Beaver Contracting called after the AVR request letter was received. DPI returned a call to Mike on 11/29/2022 and explained why we need an AVR and how to submit an AVR through the One Call System. No AVR has been received to date. *****</p> <p>Columbia Gas is in violation of Section: 2(5)(i) Failed to locate underground lines within 18” horizontally of the outside of the wall. The penalty is applied.</p> <p>K L H Engineers are in violation of Section: 4(8) – Designer failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report through the One Call System within 30 business days of being notified or aware that a violation of this act may have been committed. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>North Beaver Contracting is in violation of Section: 5(16) – Excavator Failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 business days of striking a line. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>Findlay Township Municipal Authority is in violation of Sections: 6.1(7) – Project Owner failed to submit an Alleged Violation Report within 10 Business Days of a line strike. The penalty is applied. Education is required. 2(4) – Failed to respond to designer’s request for information within 10 business days. Tickets 20203500633 and 20211663863 had the interim response of conflict DCTF. This is not a final response. They also did not submit any more information about the incident under investigation. The penalty is applied. Education is required.</p> <p>Listed below are facility owners in violation of Act 50, Section 2(5)(v) Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket.</p> <p>Shell Pipeline Company is in violation of Sections:</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>Section 2(5)(v) – Failed to respond to a routine One Call ticket 20220760986 and 20220551743. The response was Conflict DCTF. This is an interim response. This is a first-time offense and the \$500. The penalty for each offense is reduced to \$250. Per offense. Education is required. - On 4/19/2023 Shell Company sent in their communication log. They did communicate with the excavator. They did not change the response in KARL to show a final response.</p>	
30374	<p>Facility Owner: VALLEY ENERGY INC Contractor/Excavator: Vacri Construction Project Owner: AQUA PENNSYLVANIA Designer: GHD ENGINEERING SERVICES (previously CET ENGINEERING SERVICES) Other: CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS</p>	<p><u>On 4/27/2022 1:00:00 PM at 117 Perry St, SAYRE BORO, BRADFORD</u> Incident occurred on 4/27/2022, at 117 Perry St. in Sayre Borough in Bradford County.</p> <p>Valley Energy stated in their Alleged Violation Report (AVR) “Locate was accurate and Contractor was aware of the approximate service line location. On 4/27/2022, while digging for a water main project on Perry St. in Sayre PA; Vacri Construction hit Valley Energy's 1/2" Gas Service line to 117 Perry St. The line was clearly marked, and the contractor knew the approximate location of the service. They were attempting to locate the service line using hand tools. However, in their attempt to locate using hand tools, they poked a hole in the service line within the tolerance zone using a pointed metal bar.”</p> <p>Aqua Pennsylvania stated in their AVR “Contractor was locating the service to 177 Perry St. While looking for the service laborer put a hole in the gas service with a probing bar.</p> <p>GHD Inc. stated in their AVR that “Project went out for bid on November 29, 2021. An above ground survey was utilized based off of utilities marked out from the Design One Call and visible utility facilities in combination with record drawings and GIS records to locate existing underground and above ground utilities in the area. The gas service in question was not picked up by the survey, however the main gas line was shown on the plans.</p> <p>AVR’s from Aqua and Valley Engineering state that Hand tools were used to locate the service line This is not a violation of ACT 50. *****</p> <p>Charter Communications is in violation of Section: 2(4) Failed to respond to Designers request for information within 10 business days. This is a first-time violation and the \$250. The penalty is reduced to \$125.</p> <p>Aqua Pennsylvania is in violation of Section: 6.1(1) Failed to utilize sufficient levels of subsurface utility engineering. This is a first-time violation and the \$500. The penalty is reduced to \$250. 6.1(3) Released a project to bid or construction before the final design was completed. The penalty is applied. 6.1(5) Project owner failed to furnish the pertinent data obtained through subsurface utility engineering to the One Call System. This is a first-time offense and the \$250. The penalty is reduced to \$125.</p>	

Case Number	Stakeholders	Summary	Violations & Recommendation
		<p>GHD Engineering Services Inc. is in violation of Sections: 4(2) Designer failed to request the line and facility information prescribed by Section 2(4) from the One Call System.</p> <p>Additional Information: Project >\$400,000. Level “C” Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) was used.</p> <p>Complex project held on 3/30/2022 9 a.m. Attendees: Vacri, Sayre Borough, Valley Energy, Aqua and USIC.</p>	