OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL Rachel Carson State Office Building P. O. Box 8464 Harrisburg, PA 17105-8464

August 7, 2009

Bureau of Regulatory Counsel

Telephone 717-787-7060 Telecopier 717-783-7911

Honorable James McNulty Secretary, Public Utility Commission P.O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

RE: Joint Petition for Consolidation of Proceedings and Approval of Energy

Efficiency and Conservation Plans for Metropolitan Edison Company, Pennsylvania Electric Company and Pennsylvania Power Company

PUC Docket No. M-2009-2092222 PUC Docket No. M-2009-2112952 PUC Docket No. M-2009-2112956

Dear Secretary McNulty:

Please find enclosed Comments and Recommendations of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Environmental Protection in the above referenced matter. Copies have been served on all parties listed on the enclosed Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

/s/ Scott Perry

Scott Perry Assistant Counsel

Enclosures

cc: Service List

OSA

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Joint Petition of Metropolitan Edison :

Company, Pennsylvania Electric :

Company and Pennsylvania Power : Docket Nos. M-2009-2092222,

Company for Consolidation of : M-2009-2112952 and M-2009-2112956

Proceedings and Approval of Energy : Efficiency and Conservation Plans :

COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Pursuant to the July 18, 2009 *Pennsylvania Bulletin* notice issued in the above-captioned matter, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Environmental Protection (the "Department") submits the following comments and recommendations to the Joint Petition of Metropolitan Edison Company, Pennsylvania Electric Company and Pennsylvania Power Company's ("First Energy") Petition for Approval of its Energy Efficiency and Conservation Plan ("EEC Plan").

CORE CONCERNS OF THE DEPARTMENT

Act 129, along with Act 1 of 2008 and the Alternative Energy Portfolio Standards Act, represent the Commonwealth's strong commitment to transforming the way Pennsylvania generates and uses electricity. The goals of these laudable pieces of legislation cannot be realized, however, unless there is a firm commitment to proper implementation. The Department recognizes that Act 129 established aggressive goals. However, these are only minimum standards and where more cost effective and environmentally beneficial alternatives exist, they

must be pursued. To that end, the Department identified the following core concerns that must be addressed if First Energy's plan is to achieve the goals and purpose of Act 129. These comments are intended to provide an overview of the Departments concerns and will be elaborated on in the testimony and briefs submitted by the Department in this matter.

1. Projects Funded Through Act 1 or the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act ("ARRA") Cannot be Used to Demonstrate Compliance with Act 129

Coordination between the Department and First Energy can yield substantial benefits to First Energy's customers. Act 1 and ARRA provide the Commonwealth with significant resources with which to promote energy conservation. These efforts can by expanded further if the Commonwealth and First Energy work together to provide optimal incentives to First Energy's customers. Unfortunately, this will not occur if First Energy is permitted to claim "credit" under Act 129 for projects funded by the Commonwealth. Indeed, allowing First Energy to claim credit for Commonwealth funded projects thwarts the shared purposes of ARRA, Act 1 and Act 129 – which are to promote cost effective new or expanded programs of energy conservation. Without proper coordination, customers will be over subsidized and the conservation funds will have been used in an inefficient manner.

Equally troubling, the EEC Plan as proposed jeopardizes the Commonwealth's ability to obtain future funding under ARRA. The Department of Energy ("DOE") requires States to make a written commitment that certain ARRA funds will not be used to supplant or replace existing projects funded by the state, ratepayers, or other funding. Pennsylvania was required to list all existing energy efficiency and renewable energy programs, including those funded by ratepayers, that it intended to expand with ARRA funds. No Act 129 program was included in the list Pennsylvania submitted to the DOE.

The Department is aware that in its Total Resource Cost Test Order, the Commission determined that "[f]or the purposes of TRC testing, if the end-use customer is a recipient of an incentive/rebate from an Act 129 program, even if the customer is also a recipient of an Act 1 incentive or rebate for the same equipment or service, we conclude that the entire savings of that equipment or service can also be claimed by the EDC for TRC testing purposes." The plain language of the Order limits the determination to whether a measure is cost effective – not whether projects installed with government funds can be used to determine compliance with Act 129. The Commission must not allow First Energy to use Commonwealth funded projects as a means of complying with Act 129.

2. Financial Assistance for a State Wide "Whole-Building" Approach is Necessary.

Despite the strong urging of Chairman Cawley and Commissioner Gardner, no EDC proposed a statewide program similar to Keystone HELP – or any statewide program for that matter. Indeed, it appears that no effort beyond sharing information concerning rebate programs was made. The EEC Plans need to encourage and partner with existing programs so that residential, commercial, and government building can make substantive investments designed to achieve maximum long-term energy conservation goals. As the plans are proposed now, each EDC will duplicate efforts in the design, education and marketing of their programs. At the very least, a state-wide whole building program will move these overhead expenses into actual program measures as Act 129 intended. At most, the whole building approach will deliver the most cost effective and longest lasting energy conservation measures available.

The focus on lighting-only projects and prescriptive rebate programs in government buildings is of particular concern because these programs fundamentally impair a government's ability to obtain significant long term energy consumption reductions through guaranteed energy

savings contracts provided by energy service companies. These contracts are often the only way governments can finance these important energy conservation measures. By eliminating the ability of governments to take advantage of these contracts, the EEC plan actually contravenes the very purpose of Act 129. The Commission should direct all EDCs to implement a uniform state wide program that provides the finances necessary to achieve whole building energy consumption reductions for residential and government owned buildings. This state wide program must also include measurement and verification protocols that meet proven, nationally accepted standards such as the data collection protocols of Energy Star Portfolio Manager and Home Performance with Energy Star.

3. Measures That Result in Negative Environmental Impacts or Increased Energy Consumption Should Not Be Approved.

The Department is charged with the guardianship of Pennsylvania's land, water and air resources and the need to balance that protection with the Commonwealth's goals of economic growth. In pursuing Act 129 goals, there are three particular pitfalls that must be avoided in order to advance the objects of energy conservation while continuing to sustain high standards for environmental protection. The first is proper disposal of appliances. The second is avoiding an increase in electricity consumption by incentivising the purchase of an additional appliance. The third is promoting fuel switching.

Appliance recycling programs encourage customers to dispose of their existing appliances when they purchase new ones or eliminate a second unit that may not be needed. From an environmental perspective, removing and capturing refrigerants from old appliances has a greater greenhouse gas impact than the energy saving from the equipment replacement. Therefore, the EEC plan must contain provisions that require proper management of the refrigerants and recycling, rather than landfilling, the appliance

Appliance rebate programs, if not managed correctly, can increase electricity consumption. For example, the appliance rebate program may result in increased energy consumption if the "old" appliance is moved into another service rather than being decommissioned - such as air-conditioning a previously unconditioned space with the old room air-conditioner or putting a second refrigerator into service. Similarly, the rebate program can promote fuel switching from a combustion appliance to an electric appliance.

Alternatively, the rebate program can promote fuel switching from a combustion appliance to an electric appliance. Simply stated, fuel switching is not a conservation measure. The Department opposes any measures that would promote consumers switching from electric consumption to gas or other fuels as a means to capture energy reduction. EDCs should instead focus on programs or measures that reduce base load consumption through conservation and source reduction strategies or use renewable sources of energy.

Finally, EEC Plans that attempt to address peak demand through the increased use of distributed generation rather than through management of energy consumption should be prohibited. Grid demand reduction that is merely replaced by higher emitting distributed generation has negative air impacts, and is an unacceptable strategy for Pennsylvania.

4. Deployment Of Smart Meters And Time Of Use Rates Should Be Expedited.

Early deployment of smart meters and implementation of the time of use rates and real time price plans that smart meters support are key components to a successful energy efficiency and conservation program. Smart meters and time sensitive price plans effectively use market forces to reduce consumption, shift some uses to cheaper times of day, save the consumer money and provide system-wide benefits to all consumers. Customers who shift their electric use away from times of peak electricity demand not only save money, but also help to reduce prices for everybody. Providing electricity at peak demand periods is very expensive. A one-percent

reduction in peak demand during the highest peak demand times can cut the entire market price by ten percent. Reducing peak and overall demand alleviates stress on the electric system and keeps wholesale prices down, which saves everybody money.

As demonstrated by Allegheny's EEC Plan, a commitment to early smart meter deployment is entirely consistent with both this proceeding and the smart meter procurement and installation proceeding. If First Energy does not revise its EEC plan and agree to commit itself to expedited smart meter deployment as Allegheny has done, the Commission should require First Energy to furnish the meters at a faster rate.

5. Measurement And Verification Of EEC Plan Performance Should Be Rigorous, Continual and Open.

Active participation by stakeholders and oversight by the Commission will be necessary to ensure high quality performance of the EEC Plan. The Commission and stakeholders must be able to analyze the results of the EEC Plan programs in sufficient detail, and in a timely enough manner, so that if necessary, an EDC can reshape its program. To accomplish this goal, the Department believes that all EDCs must use the same measurement and verification protocols, ideally those associated with proven, nationally accepted standards such as the data collection protocols of Energy Star Portfolio Manager and Home Performance with Energy Star.

This requirement ensures the consistency of data over time; provides a common database through which many sorts of analyses – particularly verification of energy savings – are supported; and offers a long-established and widely-used system supported by the U. S. Department of Energy and embedded in the Department's own energy efficiency programs. Finally, the Department recommends that the PUC approve any modification to an EEC program

that involves reallocation of funds (from one project to another), amendment to project details (standards, metrics, etc.) or other significant change.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Scott Perry

George Jugovic (Pa. No. 39586)
Assistant Counsel
gjugovic@state.pa.us
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Environmental Protection
400 Waterfront Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 1522-4745
412.442.4262
412.442-4274 (Fax)

Scott Perry (Pa. No. 86327)
Assistant Counsel
scperry@state.pa.us
Aspassia V. Staevska (Pa. No. 94739)
Assistant Counsel
astaevska@state.pa.us
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Environmental Protection
RCSOB, 9th Floor
400 Market Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101-2301
717-787-7060
717-783-7911 (Fax)

Dated: August 7, 2009

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Joint Petition of Metropolitan Edison : Company, Pennsylvania Electric :

Company and Pennsylvania Power : Docket Nos. M-2009-2092222,

Company for Consolidation of : M-2009-2112952 and M-2009-2112956

Proceedings and Approval of Energy :

Efficiency and Conservation Plans:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of the foregoing document, Comments and Recommendations of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Environmental Protection, upon parties of record in this proceeding in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code Section 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

SERVICE BY E-MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

David A. Salapa Administrative Law Judge Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission P. O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265 Kathy J. Kolich, Esquire FirstEnergy Service Company 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44309

Lauren M. Lepkoski, Esquire

Daniel G. Asmus, Esquire

Harry S. Geller, Esquire John C. Gerhard, Esquire Pennsylvania Utility Law Project 118 Locust Street Harrisburg, PA 17101-1414

Office of Small Business Advocate

1102 Commerce Building 300 North Second Street Harrisburg, PA 17101

Charles Daniel Shields, Esquire Carrie B. Wright, Esquire Office of Trial Staff Public Utility Commission P O Box 3265

Barry A. Naum, Esquire Shelby A. Linton-Keddie, Esquire

Charis Mincavage, Esquire

NcNees Wallace & Nurick

P O Box 3265 100 Pine Street Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265 P O Box 1166

Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

Bradley A. Bingaman, Esquire Mark C. Morrow, Esquire

FirstEnergy Service Company 2800 Pottsville Pike P O Box 160001 Reading, PA 19612-6001

Daniel Clearfield, Esquire Kevin J. Moody, Esquire Eckert Seamans Cerin & Mellott, LLC 213 Market Street, 8th Floor P O Box 1248 Harrisburg, PA 17108-1248

Lillian S. Harris, Esquire Thomas J. Sniscak, Esquire Hawke McKeon & Sniscak, LLP P O Box 1778 100 North Tenth Street Harrisburg, PA 17101

Pamela C. Polacek Vasiliki Karandrikas Charis Mincavage, Esq. Shelby Linton-Keddie, Esq. McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC 100 Pine Street, P O Box 1166 Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

Lee E. Hartz National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation P O Box 2081 Erie, PA 16512 UGI Utilities, Inc. 460 North Gulph Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

Candis A. Tunilo, Esquire Aron J. Beatty, Esquire Tanya J. McCloskey, Esquire Office of Consumer Advocate 555 Walnut Street - 5th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923

Renardo L. Hicks, Esquire Stevens & Lee 16th Floor 17 North Second Street Harrisburg, PA 17101

Ruben S. Brown, President The E Cubed Company, LLC 1700 York Avenue, B1 New York, NY 10128

Christopher A. Sharp, Esquire Christopher A. Lewis, Esquire Melanie J. Tambolas, Esquire Blank Rome LLP One Logan Square 130 North 18th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-6998 Edward P. Yim, Esquire Office of Rep. Camille "Bud" George 4 East Wing P O Box 202074 Harrisburg, PA 17120

Cheryl Walker Davis Director's Office Office of Special Assistants Pennsylvania Utility Commission P O Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265 Carolyn Pengidore President/CEO ClearChoice Energy 180 Fort Couch Road, Suite 265 Pittsburgh, PA 15241

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Scott Perry

Scott Perry (Pa. No. 86327) Assistant Counsel scperry@state.pa.us

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection RCSOB, 9th Floor 400 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17101-2301 717-787-7060 717-783-7911 (Fax)

Dated: August 7, 2009