

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION 2010 NOV -1 AM11: 10

Application of PPL Electric Utilities :
Corporation Filed Pursuant To 52 Pa Code :
Chapter 57, Subchapter G, For Approval of : Docket No. A-2010-2152104
The Siting And Construction OF The Effort
Mountain #1 & #2 138 kV Taps In
Chestnuthill And Polk Townships, Monroe
County, Pennsylvania

PA.P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

**EXCEPTIONS OF KURT SCHELLER TO THE RECOMMENDED DECISION OF ALJ
WAYNE L. WEISMANDEL ON DOCKET NO. A-2010-2152104**

TO: Commissioners and Office of Special Assistants

Kurt Scheller hereby submits Exceptions to Administrative Law Judge Wayne L. Weisman del Recommended Decision to the Application for the Siting and Construction of the Effort Mountain #1 & #2 138kV Taps Project at Docket No. A-2010-2152104.

First and foremost, I would like the Commission to recognize that I have filed a Formal Complaint, which was assigned by the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission as a Protest on September 2, 2010. As a result, PPL Electric Utilities filed Preliminary Objections and Answer & New Matter Documents to my protest on September 16, 2010. As part of that process, I received Notice to Plead, which I have completed and filed in the time frame that was allocated. As of October 28, 2010, I have not received any correspondence from Judge Weisman del that he has read or given any consideration to the three documents I have filed with the PPUC regarding this proposed project. Furthermore, Judge Weisman del's Recommended Decision is dated September 16, 2010, and therefore, it is clear my documents have not been considered in this matter. On September 2, 2010, I was informed by an employee of the PPUC that this assigned protest would be given the same consideration and procedures, which I have not been granted. Given none of my complaints have been addressed or acknowledged by Judge Weisman del, I spoke to the PPUC Secretary Office on October 18, 2010, and was informed this issue of assigned protest would be brought to the attention of the Office of Special Assistants. Given this information, I believe any arguments by PPL Electric Utilities Corporation involving a timeline for protest is irrelevant. Therefore, at this time, I request the Office of Special Assistants to read and consider the three documents I have previously filed towards the application at Docket No. A-2010-2152104. In doing so, I would like the Office of Special Assistants to recognize I object to Administrative Law Judge Weisman del Recommended Decision for all the reasons mentioned in those documents.

In regards to the exceptions to Judge Weismandel's Recommended Decision Document, the following objections are arranged in numerical order of that document.

Page 13, No. 40, PPL has failed to increase the distance of this proposed line from my property line. I have attempted to address PPL Electric Utilities Corporation's failure to adjust their intended route by a distance of approximately 300 feet away from my property line by corresponding with PPL representatives at meetings and by use of electronic mail. In addition, on page 37, Judge Weismandel indicates PPL has continued to work with landowners and other interested persons to further reduce the impact of the project, which is untrue in my experience.

Page 14, No. 43, In that, I find it hard to believe the PPUC or the public would agree that a donation of less than 5 acres, prior to their removal of a 100' X 1000' right away, be classified as "substantially expanding" the Jonas Mountain Preserve. Judge Weismandel indicates on page 38 of his recommendations that PPL has donated 123 acres, which is a false and misleading statement. Judge Weismandel again repeats this misleading statement of substantial tract of land on page 42.

Page 15 - 16, No. 48. In that, it is my understanding that PPL shall not use any forms of chemical herbicides on the property of the Jonas Mountain Preserve.


Page 29. Paragraph No. 2, It is written that the Sun Valley/Jonas area is one of the poorest performing areas in terms of the number of service outages, duration of outages, and number of customers affected. I reside in this area, I have not experienced this claim. In addition, Judge Weismandel has received input from several citizens arguing this same point, yet has failed to mention these challenges in his decision.

Page 50, Judge Weismandel states there have been no other complaints, other than Larue High, from affected landowners regarding PPL's Real Estate Specialists. You will see when reviewing my previously filed documents that I also have filed complaint to the PPUC regarding this issue. In addition, Judge Weismandel fails to state as to whether he has received any additional complaints or letters of opposition regarding this project, which if I understand this process correctly, should be forwarded onto the Office of Special Assistants for their review.

Finally, it should be recognized that Judge Weismandel's Recommended Decision Document appears to be a cut and paste document taken directly from the documents PPL has filed with the PPUC regarding this application. Furthermore, I question if PPL Electric Utilities itself has not actually provided this Recommended Decision Document to his Honor given the fact the same typo exists in the very same paragraph in his document as PPL's Briefs & Reply Briefs Documents. However, I am unfamiliar with the process, and therefore, I do not wish to be accusatory, the applicant providing this document may be the standard practice in these proceedings.

WHEREFORE, for all the above mentioned reasons, I, Kurt Scheller, respectfully requests that my Exceptions and Protest on Docket No. A-2010-2152104, be considered for further proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,


Kurt Scheller

Date: October 28, 2010

RECEIVED
2010 NOV - 1 AM 11:10
PA.P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the **Exceptions of Kurt Scheller to the Recommended Decision of ALJ Wayne L. Weismandel on Docket No. A-2010-2152104** has been served upon the following persons, in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code 1.56.

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

John H. Isom
Post & Schell, P.C.
17 North Second Street
12th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601

Larue High
RR 1 Box 279
Effort, PA 18330

Suzanne A. Hart
RR 1 Box 279
Effort, PA 18330

Albert Barney
40 Rodeo Drive
Kunkletown, PA 18058

Barbara J. Weyel
4823 Queensway
Kunkletown, PA 18058

Please Note: Party of Records was not provided on PPUC website, therefore, I have provided service to the following names, for which I was able to obtain.

October 28, 2010


Kurt Scheller

RECEIVED
2010 NOV - 1 AM 11:10
PA.P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU



Kurt S. Scheller
 PO Box 827
 Effort, PA 18330



RECEIVED

2010 NOV -1 AM 11:11

PA. P.U.C.
 SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Secretary of the Commission
 Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
 P.O. Box 3265
 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

13