

William T. Hawke Kevin J. McKeon Thomas J. Sniscak Lillian Smith Harris Scott T. Wyland Todd S. Stewart Craig R. Burgraff Janet L. Miller Steven K. Haas William E. Lehman Tori L. Giesler

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

100 North Tenth Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101 Phone: 717.236.1300 Fax: 717.236.4841 www.hmslegal.com

June 14, 2010

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Commonwealth Keystone Building 400 North Street – Filing Room Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Joint Application of West Penn Power Company d/b/a Allegheny Power, Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company and FirstEnergy Corp. for a Certificate of Public Convenience under Section 1102(a)(3) of the Public Utility Code approving a change of control of West Penn Power Company and Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company; Docket Nos. A-2010-2176520 and A-2010-2176732; PROTEST and PETITION TO INTERVENE OF THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed for filing are the originals and three (3) copies each of the Protest and Petition to Intervene of The Pennsylvania State University ("PSU") to the Application of West Penn Power in the above-captioned matter. This document has been served in accordance with the attached Certificate of Service.

If you have any questions with regard to this filing, please direct them to me. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

Thomas J. Sniscak

Counsel for

The Pennsylvania State University

WEL/bes Enclosures

cc: Per Certificate of Service

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Joint Application of West Penn Power Company d/b/a Allegheny Power, Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company

and FirstEnergy Corp. for a Certificate of

Public Convenience under Section 1102(a)(3) of the Public Utility Code approving a change

of control of West Penn Power Company and Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company Docket Nos. A-2010-2176520

A-2010-2176732

PROTEST OF THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

NOW COMES The Pennsylvania State University ("PSU"), by and through its attorneys, Hawke McKeon & Sniscak LLP, and files this Protest of the above-referenced Application, pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.51-5.53. In support thereof, PSU avers as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

- 1. On February 10, 2010, FirstEnergy Corp. ("FirstEnergy"), Element Merger Sub, Inc, ("Merger Sub"), a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of FirstEnergy, and Allegheny Energy, Inc. ("Allegheny Energy") entered into an agreement whereby Merger Sub, will be merged with and into Allegheny Energy with Allegheny Energy surviving the merger as a wholly-owned subsidiary of FirstEnergy.
- 2. On or about May 14, 2010, West Penn Power Company ("West Penn"), d/b/a Allegheny Power ("Allegheny"), Trans-Allegheny Interstate Line Company ("TrAILCo") and FirstEnergy (collectively the "Joint Applicants"), filed the above-captioned Application ("Application") with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("Commission") seeking the Commission's approval under Chapters 11 and 28 of the Public Utility Code for a change of control of West Penn and TrAILCo to be effected by the merger of Allegheny with Merger Sub, a wholly-

owned subsidiary of FirstEnergy ("Merger"). In addition, the Joint Applicants request that the Commission approve, under Chapter 21 of the Public Utility Code, certain revisions to affiliated interest arrangements.

- 3. Notice of the Joint Applicants' Application was published on May 29, 2010, in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin*. A deadline of June 14, 2010, was established by the Commission for the filing of Protests and Petitions to Intervene.
- 4. Concurrently with this Protest, PSU is filing a Petition to Intervene, which is incorporated herein in its entirety.

II. PROTESTANT

5. The full name and address of the Protestant is:

The Pennsylvania State University Room 208 Physical Plant Building University Park, PA 16802-1118 Attn: Robert E. Cooper, P.E. Director, Energy and Engineering

The name(s), address and telephone numbers of the attorneys for PSU, upon whom it is requested that all documents be served, are:

Thomas J. Sniscak, Esquire
Todd S. Stewart, Esquire
William E. Lehman, Esquire
Hawke McKeon & Sniscak LLP
100 North Tenth Street
P. O. Box 1778
Harrisburg, PA 17105
717-236-1300
tjsniscak@hmslegal.com
tsstewart@hmslegal.com
welehman@hmslegal.com

6. PSU is a major generation, transmission and distribution service customer of Allegheny at its University Park campus receiving service through Allegheny PA Retail Tariff 37. PSU is the only customer taking service under Tariff 37. In 2009, PSU received 280,625,102 kWh

of electric energy from Allegheny at the University Park campus and paid Allegheny Energy \$22,451,129 for generation, transmission and distribution service. PSU also receives generation, transmission, and distribution service from Allegheny under rate schedules other than PA Retail 37 for approximately 100 additional accounts at the University Park campus and campuses at New Kingston, Fayette and Mont Alto. In 2009, PSU received 36,572,334 kWh of electric energy from Allegheny and paid Allegheny \$2,612,943 for generation, transmission and distribution service through these other accounts.

III. PROTEST GROUNDS

- 7. Section 1102(a) of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa.C.S. § 1102(a), permits a public utility to undertake certain actions only upon Commission pre-approval, evidenced by a Certificate of Public Convenience. Among the activities that require Commission approval is the following:
 - (3) for any public utility or an affiliated interest of a public utility... to acquire from, or to transfer to, any person or corporation... by any method or devise whatsoever, including the sale or transfer of stock, including the sale or transfer of stock and including a consolidation, merger, sale or lease, the title to, or the possession or use of, any tangible or intangible property used or useful in the public service.

66 Pa.C.S. § 1102(a)(3). Our Supreme Court has construed this Section of the Code as requiring a finding that a proposed merger will affirmatively benefit the public. Specifically, it must "affirmatively promote the 'service, accommodation, convenience or safety of the public' in some substantial way." City of York v. Pa.P.U.C., 449 Pa. 136, 141, 295 A.2d 825, 828 (1973). In addition, Section 1103(a) allows the Commission to impose upon its issuance of a certificate of public convenience "such conditions as it may deem to be just and reasonable." The Commission is permitted to impose such conditions under Section 1103(a), even if the proposed acquisition would satisfy the City of York test without those conditions. Popowsky v. Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, 594 Pa. 583, 937 A.2d 1040, 1057, fn. 21 (Pa. 2007).

- 8. The Commission also has authority over the proposed Merger under Section 2811 of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa.C.S. § 2811, which is a provision of the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act. Specifically, Section 2811(e) requires the Commission to consider "[w]hether the proposed merger, consolidation, acquisition or disposition is likely to result in anti-competitive or discriminatory conduct, including the unlawful exercise of market power, which will prevent retail electricity customers in this Commonwealth from obtaining the benefits of a properly functioning and workable competitive retail electricity market." Pursuant to Section 2811(e)(2), the Commission is permitted to impose upon an approved acquisition, "such terms and conditions as it finds necessary to preserve the benefits of a properly functioning and workable competitive retail electricity market."
- 9. PSU submits that the Application raises several issues of concern that may require the Commission to reject the proposed Merger, or to approve it only after imposing conditions. These issues include:
 - a. Whether the proposed Merger, if approved, would impede competition in the electric retail market, thereby negatively impacting the price ratepayers, specifically PSU, must pay for energy;⁴ and
 - b. Whether the proposed Merger provides affirmative public benefits that are substantial.

PSU's concerns are discussed in more detail below.

10. The Joint Applicants assert that the Merger will not result in the unlawful exercise of market power, or otherwise prevent retail electricity customers in Pennsylvania from obtaining the benefits of a properly functioning competitive retail electricity market and, in fact, may facilitate additional competition. PSU is a large customer of Allegheny Power and has concerns that the Merger

¹ 66 Pa.C.S. § 2801, et seq.

² 66 Pa.C.S. § 2811(e)(1).

³ 66 Pa.C.S. § 2811(e)(2).

⁴ West Penn and FirstEnergy currently have neighboring service territories. (Application, p. 12).

will have adverse effects on competition in the electric generation marketplace and may hinder PSU's ability to participate in the competitive marketplace. The Commission has a statutory obligation to examine whether the Merger is likely to result in anti-competitive or discriminatory conduct.

- The Application, as filed, does not demonstrate that the Merger would result in an 11. affirmative public benefit that is sufficiently substantial, as required under Section 1103(a) of the Public Utility Code,⁵ and under York.⁶ Specifically, the Joint Applicants are vague and wholly speculative in their allegations and statements regarding benefits. (Application at 15). Consequently, they do not support a necessary finding of substantial, affirmative public benefits by the Commission under a York analysis.
- 12. As part of its investigation of the Merger, the Commission must determine whether the Joint Applicants' estimation of net merger savings to the Joint Applicants' regulated businesses is reasonable and whether the methodology by which the Joint Applicants allocated alleged generalized Merger savings among their regulated and unregulated businesses, and among the various states in which the Joint Applicants do business, is reasonable. Here Joint Applicants have not and the application must be rejected absent further proof.
- 13. Based upon the content of the Application, PSU submits that the Joint Applicants have failed to demonstrate that the Merger would result in an affirmative public benefit that is substantial, as required under Section 1103(a) of the Public Utility Code, and under York.⁷ The Joint Applicants' claim that the Merger *might* result in lower rates several years in the future is simply insufficient to offset the substantial risk that the Merger would result in FirstEnergy gaining impermissible market power, thereby harming the competitive retail electric market and the wholesale electric market. Unless the Commission imposes conditions on the Merger that would be

⁵ 66 Pa.C.S. § 1103(a). ⁶ 449 Pa. at 141, 295 A.2d at 828.

sufficient to alleviate market power concerns and that would ensure that ratepayers share in Merger savings, PSU submits that the Application and Merger should not be approved.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, The Pennsylvania State University respectfully requests that the Commission conduct and permit a full investigation or proceeding, including sufficient time for discovery, rounds of pre-filed testimony, followed by evidentiary hearings, regarding the Application. Upon completion of that process, PSU further requests that the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission reject the Application unless the Commission finds that the Merger is in the public interest; provides a preponderance of substantial affirmative benefits to West Penn's customers including PSU; does not present countervailing detriments; does not adversely affect retail electric competition or wholesale electric competition in Pennsylvania; and complies with the Public Utility Code. Finally, PSU requests, in the alternative, that if the Commission approves the Merger, the Commission impose such terms and conditions upon its approval as are necessary to ensure that the Merger is in the public interest; provides substantial affirmative benefits to West Penn's customers, including PSU; does not adversely affect retail electric competition or wholesale electric competition in Pennsylvania; and, complies with the Public Utility Code.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas J. Sniscak, Attorney I.D. # 33891

Todd S. Stewart (75556)

William E. Lehman, Attorney I.D. #83936

Hawke McKeon & Sniscak LLP

100 North Tenth Street, P.O. Box 1778

Harrisburg, PA 17105-1778

E-mail: tjsniscak@hmslegal.com
Email: tsstewart@hmslegal.com
E-mail: welehman@hmslegal.com

Telephone: (717) 236-1300

Facsimile: (717) 236-4841

Counsel for

The Pennsylvania State University

Dated: June 14, 2010

VERIFICATION

I, POBERT COOPER hereby state that I am authorized to make this Verification on behalf of The Pennsylvania State University, and that the facts set forth herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that the statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).

Date: June 14, 2010

Name/Title

DIRECTOR, ENERCY & ENGINEERING

SECRETABLES

A TOWN

ت ان ان ان

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of the foregoing document upon the parties, listed below, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a party).

Via First Class U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail

Randall B. Palmer, Esquire Jennifer L. Petrisek, Esquire Allegheny Energy, Inc. 800 Cabin Hill Drive Greensburg, PA 15601 (717) 838-6984 rpalmer@alleghenyenergy.com

Wendy E. Stark, Esquire Bradley A. Bingaman, Esquire FirstEnergy Service Co. 2800 Pottsville Pike P.O. Box 16001 Reading, PA 19612-6001 (610) 921-6203 starkw@firstenergycorp.com bingamanb@firstenergy.com

W. Edwin Ogden, Esquire
Alan Michael Seltzer, Esquire
Ryan Russell Ogden & Seltzer, PC
Suite 210, 1150 Berkshire Blvd.
Wyomissing, PA 19610-1208
(610) 372-4761
aseltzer@ryanrussel.com
Counsel for West Penn Power Co. and
Trans Allegheny Interstate Line Co.

Thomas P. Gadsden, Esquire Kenneth M. Kulak, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921 (215) 963-5234 tgadsden@morganlewis.com Counsel for FirstEnergy Company Irwin A. Popowsky, Esquire
Office of Consumer Advocate
555 Walnut Street
Forum Place, 5th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923
(717) 783-5048
spopowsky@paoca.org

William R. Lloyd, Jr., Esquire Office of Small Business Advocate Commerce Building, Suite 1102 300 North 2nd Street Harrisburg, PA 17101 (717) 783-2525 wlloyd@state.pa.us

Johnnie E. Simms, Esquire
Allison C. Kaster, Esquire
Carrie B. Wright, Esquire
Office of Trial Staff
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265
(717) 787-1976
josimms@state.pa.us
akaster@state.pa.us
carwright@state.pa.us

Benjamin L. Willey, Esquire 7272 Wisconsin Ave., Suite 300 Bethesda, MD 20814 (301) 941-1972 blw@bwilleylaw.com
Counsel for York County Waste and Refuse Authority

Certificate of Service Docket Nos. A-2010-2176520 and A-2010-2176732 Page 2 of 2

Michael W. Gang, Esquire Anthony D. Kanagy, Esquire Post & Schell, P.C. 17 N. Second St., 12th Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101 (717) 731-1970 mgang@postschell.com akanagy@postschell.com Counsel for Duquesne Light Company

Scott J. Rubin 333 Oak Lane Bloomsburg, PA 17815 scott.j.rubin@gmail.com Representing International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 2244

Gary A. Jack, Esquire Kelly L. Geer, Esquire Duquesne Light Company 411 Seventh Ave., 16-4 Pittsburgh, PA 15219 (412) 393-1541 gjack@duqlight.com kgeer@duqlight.com

Via Electronic Mail Only

David Vollero, Executive Director York County Solid Waste & Refuse Authority d.vollero@ycswa.com

Robert M. Strickler, Esquire Griffity, Strickler, Lerman, Solymos and Calkins rstrickler@glslsc.com Counsel for York County Solid Waste and Refuse Authority

Dated: June 14, 2010