

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Mr. Amir V. Williams

C-2010-2190024

v.

PECO Energy Company

Judge: Ky Van Nguyen

EXCEPTIONS

RECEIVED

NOV 15 2010

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

November 14, 2010

I, Mr. Amir V. Williams, do file the following Exceptions before the P.U.C. Commission.

Background:

I am a sixty year old, black man living on Social Security Disability. I live alone. I do not watch television programs at all (thus no Sports, Game Shows, or impractical programs such as Dancing With the Stars, Big Brother, etc) nor do I have Cable TV or Internet Service. I virtually live in the dark. Most days, I am in the bed by three (3) pm. At night I study and I read by the light of a twenty (25) watt light bulb. All the light bulbs in my one bedroom apartment are CFL lights. The only electrical energy efficient appliances that I consistently use, are the following:

- (1.) My refrigerator.
- (2.) My chest freezer.
- (3.) A window fan for ventilation.

(1.) EXCEPTION:

I object to how I was treated in the hostile court of Ky Van Nguyen. On attending the hearing held on October 6th 2010, I requested from the court a continuance due to extenuating medical circumstances involving my state of health. As I tried to demonstrate to the court my extreme and dangerous state of health (my blood pressure was at that time 197/116), Ky Van Nguyen belligerently stated that he was here to hear this case, and indicated that he was not concerned with my health. While I was demonstrating the status of my blood pressure (with an automatic "talking blood pressure meter") before the court, administrative law judge, Ky Van Nguyen got up and walked out of the court. For the record during "my protest" I stated the he did just this. Demonstrating in my mind, **"the fix was in, in favor of PECO."**

(2.) EXCEPTION:


Judge: Ky Van Ngyen has demonstrated to me UNDER COLOR OF LAW (Atkins v. Lanning D.c. Okl., 415 Supp. 186, 188,) that there was no concern for my state of health. Disregarding all legal considerations as to fairness under the law. The Bureau of Consumer Affairs exist for the consumer and not for the benefit of giant Utility Companies. His main concern was to look out for the interest of PECO only. Nor did he exercise DUE CONSIDERATION (United States ex rel. Maine Potatoe Growers & Shippers Ass'n v. Interstate Commerce Commission 66 App. D.C. 398, 88) in considering the danger to my physical well being, which may have resulted in extreme permanent or deadly consequences for me. Considering my request for a continuation, Ky Van Ngyen did not exercises DUE DILIGENCE (Turnquist v. Kjelbak , N.D. 77 N.W.2nd 854, 861) at all.

I request that my legal **CONSTITUTIONAL** right of **DUE PROCESS (302 U.S. 319)** to file an Appeal to the Judges Initial Decision be upheld and enforced. And/or the formal hearing that was held on October 6th, 2010 be rescheduled at future date, as I requested of Judge Ky Van Ngyen which he adamantly refused to do, no matter what the state of physical condition was at that time. It was matter of *extreme importance to him* and *PECO* that he dispose of my case as soon as possible (perhaps, he did not want me to subpoena federal prisoner Ex-State Senator Vincent Fumo, PECO's Seventeen and Half Million Dollar (\$17.5) financial beneficiary).

(3.) EXCEPTION I would like to know, when did Judge Ky Van Ngyen became more than just an Administrative Judge and became a medically "trained super diagnostic doctor" who is able with just a glance, to say whether someone is "physically strong" or not in "mortal physical danger?" Hospitals need *that* kind of expertise. Apparently he is in the wrong profession.

(4.) Also the letter that I received to answer the court does not state whether the allotted "20 days" are calendar days or working days. Conveniently this is not stated, nor made clear which a legal document should make clear.

Signature



NOV. 14 / 2010

AFFIDAVIT


This is to certify that on Monday, November 15, 2010 I mailed an original and nine (9) copies of Exceptions requested in the Commissioner's Decision and a copy to each party indicated in your correspondence.

I received and signed for the Commissioner's Decision on Thursday, November 4, 2010 and am mailing the Response November 15, 2010.


Mr. Amir V. Williams
Signature

Nov. 15 / 2010
Date

Sworn to and subscribed
before me this 15 day
of NOVEMBER, 2010



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
NOTARIAL SEAL
JOHN D. LIBERT, Notary Public
City of Philadelphia, Phila. County
My Commission Expires May 7, 2014



Secretary of the Commission
~~Commissioner of the Commission~~
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

-OR-
2nd Floor, Keystone Building
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17105