

Robert E Diehl
500 REDCO Drive
Enola, PA 17025
717-574-0500
Fax 796-9600
REDCO1@msn.com

November 22, 2010

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Secretary of the Commission
PA Public Utility Commission
PO Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

RECEIVED

NOV 23 2010

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Re: PUC Initial Decision:

EXCEPTIONS
Case: C-2009-2149261

I disagree with the INITIAL DECISION Issued November 4, 2010. PPL did in fact declare, to me back in the 1980's that the RTS rate stayed with the life of the building. The representative of PPL declared this quite forcefully to me when I installed the special equipment. I spent tens of thousands extra money to build my house, virtually a house inside of a house. The extra expense I incurred and the interest on a thirty year mortgage, back in those days nine percent or more, is, has never been recaptured and the taking away of the RTS rate is a breach of PPL's promise.


PPL's high profile lawyers are no match for a virtual layperson like me. I never disputed at the hearing any facts about a TARIFF. I presented to the best of my abilities what PPL promised. I did not find any contract, but neither did PPL have a copy. You would think that a big company like PPL should have kept such records. The Administrative Law Judge wrote I failed to prove my case. PPL at many of my Builders meetings pushed this RTS rate and stressed at the builders meetings the **rate stayed with the life of the building.**

I was going to drop it and feel well the big guys stuck it to me. However, this weekend I got a call from another person with the same issue. I found that what the other person and his witness were telling the same story, virtually identical almost word for word, to what I had testified to at my hearing. There are other people out there that were told the same thing at the time of their decision to use the RTS rate.

It matters little if there was some kind of TARIFF, what matters are PPL was not dealing in good faith. The phone call this weekend reinforced the fact that PPL told us customers that the rate was lifetime of the building.

Sorry I am not good at this legalese stuff. I guess I did not do such a good at the hearing, as I told the Law judge I was leaving my phone on in case I got a call from home, as my wife was quite ill. She passed away on September 16 of this year.

Respectfully


Robert E Diehl
PPL PUC Exception 112210

500 REDCO Drive
Enola, PA 17025



RETURN RECEIPT
REQUESTED

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Secretary of the Commission
PA Public Utility Commission
PO Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265