

Suzan DeBusk Paiva
Assistant General Counsel



Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.
1717 Arch Street, Floor 3 SE
Philadelphia, PA 19103

April 6, 2011

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street – Filing Room (2 North)
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

RECEIVED

APR - 6 2011

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Re: Michael Moffa v. Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.;
Docket No. C-2010-2212745; **PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS OF VERIZON
PENNSYLVANIA INC.**

Dear Secretary McNulty:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission are the original and three (3) copies of Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.'s Preliminary Objections in connection with the above-referenced case.

If you have any questions with regard to this filing, please direct them to me. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,


Suzan D. Paiva
Counsel for Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.

SDP/meb
Enclosures

Via U.S First Class Mail
cc: Office of Administrative Law Judge
Kimberly Hafner
Herbert Nurick, Mediator
Certificate of Service

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Michael Moffa,

Complainant,

v.

Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.,

Respondent

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Docket No. C-2010-2212745

NOTICE TO PLEAD

RECEIVED


APR - 6 2011

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

TO: Michael Moffa
1877 School Road
Hatfield, PA 19440

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §§5.101 *et seq.* you are hereby notified that Verizon Pennsylvania Inc. ("Verizon PA") has filed Preliminary Objections and a Motion to Strike to which you may answer within ten (10) days unless otherwise provided in Chapter 5 of Title 52 of the Pennsylvania Code. Your failure to answer will allow the presiding officer to rule on the Preliminary Objections without a response from you, thereby requiring no other proof. All Pleadings such as a reply to these Preliminary Objections must be filed with the Secretary of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, with a copy served on the undersigned counsel for Verizon PA.

Date: April 6, 2011



Suzan DeBusk Paiva, I.D. No. 53853
Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.
1717 Arch Street, 3rd Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Phone: (215) 466-4755
Fax: (215) 563-2658

*Counsel for Respondent
Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.*

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

RECEIVED

APR - 6 2011

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Michael Moffa,

Complainant,

v.

Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.,

Respondent

Docket No. C-2010-2212745

**PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS AND MOTION TO STRIKE
OF VERIZON PENNSYLVANIA INC.
TO THE COMPLAINT OF MICHAEL MOFFA**

Verizon Pennsylvania Inc. ("Verizon PA") hereby submits the following Preliminary Objections and Motion to Strike pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(2), to the Complaint filed by Michael Moffa ("Complainant"). In support thereof, Verizon PA avers and represents as follows:

BACKGROUND

1. Complainant filed a Formal Complaint on December 1, 2010, seeking an order for "retribution" against Verizon PA for his frustration regarding an unpaid final balance and negative remark on his credit report. The Commission served the Complaint on Verizon PA on December 7, 2010.

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS AND MOTION TO STRIKE

2. The Commission's Rules of Administrative Practice and Procedure permit the filing of preliminary objections and motion to strike. 52 Pa. Code §5.101(a)(2).

Commission procedure regarding the disposition of preliminary objections and a motion to strike is similar to that utilized in Pennsylvania civil practice.¹

3. A preliminary objection in civil practice seeking dismissal of a pleading will be granted only where relief is clearly warranted and free from doubt.² The Commission has adopted this standard.³

4. A motion to strike "impertinent matter" is the appropriate means to challenge an erroneous prayer for damages.⁴

5. The moving party may not rely on its own factual assertions, but must accept for the purposes of disposition of the motion, all well-pleaded, material facts of the other party, as well as every inference fairly deducible from those facts.⁵ The motion may be granted only if the moving party prevails as a matter of law.⁶ Any doubt must be resolved in favor of the non-moving party by refusing to sustain the preliminary objections.⁷

¹ See *Equitable Small Transportation Interveners v. Equitable Gas Company*, 1994 Pa. PUC LEXIS 69, Docket No. C-00935435 (July 18, 1994).

² *Interstate Traveller Services, Inc. v. Pa. Dept. of Environmental Resources*, 406 A.2d 1020 (Pa. 1979); *Rivera v. Philadelphia Theological Seminary of St. Charles Borromeo, Inc.*, 595 A.2d 172 (Pa. Super. 1991).

³ *Montague v. Philadelphia Electric Company*, 66 Pa. PUC 24 (1988).

⁴ See *Leiby's Mobile Home Community LLC v. Verizon Pennsylvania*, Docket #C-2011-2225151, Order Denying Preliminary Objections, March 28, 2011, by Elizabeth Barnes, ALJ.

⁵ *County of Allegheny v. Commw. of Pa.*, 490 A.2d 402 (1985); *Commw. of Pa. v. The Bell Telephone Co. of Pa.*, 551 A.2d 602 (Pa. Commw. 1988).

⁶ *Roc v. Flaherty*, 527 A.2d 211 (Pa. Commw. 1985).

⁷ *Dept. of Auditor General, et al. v. State Employees' Retirement System, et al.*, 836 A.2d 1053, 1064 (Pa. Commw. 2003) (citing *Boyd v. Ward*, 802 A.2d 705 (Pa. Commw. 2002)).

6. A preliminary objection that challenges the sufficiency of the complaint is in the nature of a demurrer.⁸ For testing the legal sufficiency of the challenged pleading, a preliminary objection in the nature of a demurrer admits as true all well-pleaded, material, relevant facts, and every inference deducible from those facts. The pleader's conclusions or averments of law are not considered to be admitted as true by a demurrer.⁹

7. The Commission must act within, and cannot exceed its jurisdiction.¹⁰

8. Complainant seeks an order for “retribution” against Verizon PA for frustration regarding his billing issues.

9. The Complaint should be dismissed because the Commission does not have the authority to order utilities to pay monetary damages. Under 52 Pa. Code § 5.1.1(a)(1), a party may file a preliminary objection to dismiss a pleading for “lack of commission jurisdiction.”

10. The Commission does not have the authority or jurisdiction to order utilities to pay monetary damages, which is the only relief sought by the Complainant here. *Feingold v. Bell of Pennsylvania*, 477 Pa. 1, 383 A.2d 791 (Pa. 1977); *Suburban East Tires, Inc. v. Pa. PUC*, 582 A.2d 727 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1990). *See also, DeFrancesco v. Western Pennsylvania Water Company*, 453 A.2d 595 (Pa. 1982) and *Elkin v. Bell of Pa.*, 420 A.2d 371 (Pa. 1980).

11. In *Feingold*, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court explained:

. . . the statutory array of PUC remedial and enforcement powers does not include the power to award damages to a private litigant

⁸ *Jamieson v. Pa. Bd. of Probation and Parole*, 83 Pa. Commonwealth Ct. 546, 547, 478 A.2d, 152 (1984).

⁹ *County of Allegheny v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania*, 507 Pa. 360, 372, 490 A.2d 402 (1985).

¹⁰ *Feingold v. Bell of Pennsylvania*, 383 A.2d 791 (Pa. 1977); *Loma, Inc. v. Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission*, 682 A.2d 424 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1996).

for breach of contract by a public utility. Nor can we find an express grant of power from which the power to award such damages can be fairly implied. Thus, it can be concluded that the Legislature did not intend for the PUC to have such a power.


Feingold, 383 A.2d at 794.

12. Accordingly, the Complaint should be dismissed because the Commission lacks jurisdiction over the relief sought.

13. The Commission may dismiss any complaint without a hearing if, in its opinion, a hearing is not necessary in the public interest.¹¹ As the Commission lacks subject matter jurisdiction over Complainant's demand for damages, the Pennsylvania PUC has no authority to require any action to be taken by Verizon PA and a hearing would be a fruitless exercise and a waste of resources.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, and in accordance with the Public Utility Code and the Commission's regulations, Verizon PA respectfully requests that the Formal Complaint Docket No. C-2011-2225151 be dismissed or denied in its entirety.

Date: April 6, 2011



Suzan DeBusk Paiva, I.D. No. 53853

Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.

1717 Arch Street, 3rd Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone: (215) 466-4755

Fax: (215) 563-2658

Counsel for Respondent

Verizon Pennsylvania Inc.

RECEIVED

APR - 6 2011

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

¹¹ 66 Pa. C.S. § 703(b)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Suzan D. Paiva, hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the Preliminary Objections of Verizon Pennsylvania Inc., upon the participants listed below.

Dated at Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, this 6th day of April, 2011.


VIA USPS FIRST CLASS MAIL

Michael Moffa
1877 School Road
Hatfield, PA 19440

RECEIVED

APR - 6 2011

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU



Suzan D. Paiva
Verizon
1717 Arch Street, 3SE
Philadelphia, PA 19103