

RECEIVED

AUG 11 2011

Wilbert Thomas  
P. O. Box 524  
Red Lion, Pennsylvania 17356

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Commonwealth Of Pennsylvania  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
P. O. Box 3265  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105 -+ 3265 .  
Attention : Secretary Of The Com'n : Rosemary Chiavetta .

August 10, 2011 .

Regarding: **WILBERT THOMAS v. METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY**

**C - 2010 - 2163584 .**

**EXCEPTION OF COMPLAINANT TO PUC INITIAL DECISION**

**REPLY TO ALJ SALAPA .**

The intent of the complainant is to show that the evidence presented :

- 1.)burnt destroyed receptacles from the garage wall,
- 2.)burnt destroyed receptacle taken from front brick work of the complainant,s house ,
- 3.)the destruction of the the A D T Security System which had been connected to the burnt destroyed from the wall of the garage,
- 4.)the burnt destroyed house wire at those receptacle boxes ,
- 5.)the Toshiba Cinema Series auto focus apparatus destroyed,
- 6.)the Motorola razor cell phone made defunct ,
- 7.)the Pioneer home theatre speaker damage, and
- 8.)the destruction of the Mac O S X Tiger's power pack ,
- 9.)the inordinate electricity flow within the building delineate property damages , and as such property taken or destroyed prejudices right of the complainant

Property. the right to possess,use , and enjoy a thing . Usually due process a clause of the U S Constitution is for " the conduct of legal proceedings ," and", applicable to the process and proceedings of the courts of justice; they can never be referred to as an act of legislature." Alexander Hamilton 6 Feb. 1787 .

The due process clause also guarantee that each individual will have some degree of freedom of choice and action in all personal matters ..... " not merely freedom from bodily restraint but also the right of the individual to contract, to engage in any of the common occupations of life, to acquire useful knowledge , establish a home ...."

Page 2.

Metropolitan Edison Company destroyed property taking the complainant's enjoyment without authority to do so and without a hearing through by inordinate electricity flowing through out the complainant's house as evidenced by the long list of tools damaged and destroyed. Such electricity flow is called a short which by it's definition " a short is a flow of current / resistance that is where it was sent not . Thus then Met - Ed cannot measure a thing that is not there , and Met- Ed (Saver) saying so is a lie . (See Bell v. Burson ) The word tools denote the items are indispensable , and are tools , and not appliances as Bureson v. Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission .

"... absent a violation of constitutional rights , an error of law, or a lack of substantial evidence Public Utility Law 66 p.s. sec. 1437 fait accompli .

The commonwealth in rule 105 discusses continuance defect , rule 613 prior statements , and rule 405 demands method of questioning of character by testimony thus then the prevarication sent to the Commission by Met - Ed saying that an agreed settlement of the complaint between Thomas , and Met- Ed has resolved the complaint prompted the Commission to " motion a proceeding ." Such thinking is held by the complainant because the only written request to the Commission from the complainant is a motion for time enlargement after the respondents lie . As shakespeare says, and as surely as night follows day .... too the complainant is relived of proof burden . In other words, the respondent knowingly misrepresented the truth and practiced concealment of fact to induce another to act to his or her detriment , On June 15 , 2011 this was brought up by the complainant to display respondent's lack of ethics which A L J promptly should allow not . The intolerance of the A L J towards the complainant was constant from the very first , and in Thomas' professional and clinical view the Administrative Law Judge fails to know a chain of evidence as preponderance due to conversion whereby the judges mental state is disorder , turbulent it is put into physical manifestation primarily the judges inordinate angst , loathing etiologically derived of rejection involving oral / anal gestalt in which thought and emotion are so impaired that perception of intent as a continuation of articles of evidence , and as external reality is severely affected rendering the construed decision for the Commission spin / skew of faulty faculty yielding an erroneous decision reinterpreting 66 p. a .not just (a) which is I think a demand for responsibility on utilities part as word game semantics . After all according to Gardner Black's Law Dictionary proceedings and hearings are each listed to define the other .

For is not law phenomena collected as fact ? The question:blinking / winking still ?  
The answer : yes ,date of question 15 June 2011 .

Signed : *W. E. Thomas*

Date : *August 11, 2011*

RECEIVED

AUG 11 2011

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**


**TO ALL PARTIES : THOMAS v. METROPOLITAN EDISON COMPANY  
C-2010-2163584 .**

**This is to certify that Thomas has caused copies of Exception (s) of The  
Complainant to be delivered by U S mail to Secretary of the Commission , Office of  
Special Assistants , and Attorney B . Good , this 11th day of the month of August  
in the year 2011 .**

**Signed : Wilbert Thomas Date : August 11, 2011**

P.O. Box 524

RED LION, PENNSYLVANIA 17356



RECEIVED

AUG 11 2011

RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
 SECRETARY OF THE COMMISSION: ROSEMARY OLIVETTA  
 PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
 2ND FLOOR, KEYSTONE BUILDING  
 400 NORTH STREET  
 HARRISBURG PENNSYLVANIA 17120