



PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS

800 West Montgomery Avenue • Philadelphia, PA 19122

Danielle Ross, Paralegal
Legal Department
Direct Dial: 215-684-6862
FAX: 215-684-6798
E-mail: danielle.ross@pgworks.com

August 18, 2011

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Re: Hamilton Ringgold v. PGW, Docket No. C – 2011 – 2254323

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.101, the Philadelphia Gas Works ("PGW") hereby files the original of its Preliminary Objections to the Complaint in the above captioned matter.

If additional information is required, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned. Thank you for your assistance in the matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Danielle Ross". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Danielle Ross

Enclosure

cc: Hamilton Ringgold (Regular Mail)
Anne Marie Cromley (PGW Mail)
Linda Pereira (PGW Mail)

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Hamilton Ringgold

v.

Philadelphia Gas Works

:
:
:
:
:

Docket No. C – 2011– 2254323


NOTICE TO PLEAD

To: Hamilton Ringgold, Complainant

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.101, you are hereby notified to file a written response to the enclosed Preliminary Objections and Motion to Strike, within ten (10) days from service hereof or you may be deemed to be in default and relevant facts stated in these pleadings may be deemed admitted and a judgment may be entered against you.

Respectfully submitted,

August 18, 2011



Laureto Farinas, Esquire
Attorney I.D. No. 50415
Philadelphia Gas Works
800 W. Montgomery Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19122
(215) 684-6982

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Hamilton Ringgold	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket No. C – 2011 – 2254323
	:	
Philadelphia Gas Works	:	

**Philadelphia Gas Works’
Preliminary Objections and
Motion to Strike Impertinent Matter**

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.101, the Philadelphia Gas Works (“PGW”) hereby files its Preliminary Objections to the Complaint filed in the above captioned matter on the grounds that the Commission lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Complaint, and that the Complaint includes impertinent matter in its requested relief to remove (or forbear collection of) the lien, and moves to strike the requested relief as “impertinent matter” pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §§5.101(a)(1) and (2).

In support of its preliminary objection and motion to strike, PGW hereby avers the following:

1. On or about July 21, 2011, the Complainant filed a formal complaint against PGW with the Commission under the above captioned matter, regarding unpaid debt for gas service to 455 W. Fisher Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Subject Property).
2. The Complaint avers that the unpaid gas service to the Subject Property is under accounts of the Complainant’s tenants.
3. The Complainant avers that he was not aware of his tenants unpaid balances.

For the period from January 2009 through July 2011, the Complainant’s tenants owed \$3,421.03 owed for unpaid gas service to the Subject Property. Upon further review, PGW discovered that it made an error in the total amount owed.

PGW will issue a refund of \$1,446.43 to the Abstract Company for the overage collected at settlement.

4. The Complaint requests relief in the form of a Commission order to refund of the \$3,421.03 paid at the time of settlement, that was collected as an unpaid municipal claim under the Municipal Claim and Tax Lien Law, Act 153 of 1923, P.:. 207 53 P.S. §7101. The City of Philadelphia has the right to collect on debt owed to PGW for gas service to the Service Address as a municipal claim

5. Under the Commission's Rules of Administrative Practice and Procedure at 52 Pa. Code §5.101, the treatment of preliminary objections is comparable to that of Pennsylvania civil practice. (See: Order Sustaining Preliminary Objection in *Paul W. Fricker v. PECO Energy Company*, Docket No. C-2009-2094757 (May 21, 2009))

The Commission's regulations provide, in relevant part:

(a) *Grounds.* Preliminary objections are available to parties and may be filed in response to a pleading except motions and prior preliminary objections. Preliminary objections...must state specifically the legal and factual grounds relied upon and be limited to the following:

(1) Lack of Commission jurisdiction or improper service of the pleading initiating the proceeding.

(2) Failure of a pleading to conform to this chapter or the inclusion of scandalous or impertinent matter.
52 Pa. Code §5.101(a) (2)¹

6. In this case, as the Complaint states that the bills that are the subject of the lien were from gas service under his tenants accounts. The Complainant opines that under circumstances, he should not be held responsible.

¹ 52 Pa. Code §5.101(a) (2) emphasis added.

7. PGW has not transferred the outstanding balance of the tenants accounts to an account in the Complainant's name. Thus, PGW does not hold the Complainant responsible for the unpaid debt.

8. Pursuant to the Natural Gas Choice and Competition Act, 66 Pa. C.S.A Section 2201 et seq., section 2212(n), which specifically provides, "Nothing contained in this title shall abrogate the power of a city natural gas distribution operation to collect delinquent receivables through the imposition of liens pursuant to section 3 of the act of May 16, 1923 (P.L. 207, No. 153), referred to as the Municipal Claim and Tax Lien Law, or otherwise. Thus, under 66 Pa. C.S.A Section 2212(n), the Commission has no jurisdiction over the filing of such a lien.²

9. The Commission has repeatedly recognized its lack of subject matter jurisdiction in cases involving a dispute over a municipal lien placed upon a property. *Cornelia Strowder v. Philadelphia Gas Works*, 2002 WL 32069511 (2002), *Debra Williams Lawrence v. Philadelphia Gas Works*, Docket Number C-20066672 (Final Order entered January 22, 2007), *Tina L. Francis-Young v. Philadelphia Gas Works*, Docket Number C-2008-2029672, (Final Order entered February 23, 2009), *Dung Phat, LLC v. Philadelphia Gas Works*, Docket Number C-2009-2135667, (Final Order entered January 13, 2010), *Nathaniel Lewis Mooney v. PGW*, Docket No. C-2009-2134673, (Final Opinion and Order entered January 13, 2010), *David Golan v. Philadelphia Gas Works*, Docket Number C-2009-2138115, (Final Order entered February 4, 2010), *2020 West Passyunk Avenue Inc. v. Philadelphia Gas Works*, Docket Number C-2009-2138727, (Final Order entered February 4, 2010), *Jean Charles v. Philadelphia Gas Works*, Docket Number C-2009-2138638, (Final Order entered February 5, 2010), *Agron Vata v. Philadelphia Gas Works*, Docket No. C-2009-2149960 (Final Order entered August 24, 2010), *William Petravich v. Philadelphia Gas Works*, Docket No. C-2010-2188984, (Final Opinion and Order entered February 10, 2011), *Avner and Gail Yamin v. Philadelphia Gas Works*, Docket No. C-2011-2221883, (Final Order entered June 29, 2011), *Ardelle Jackson v. Philadelphia*

² 52 Pa. Code §5.101(a) (1)

Gas Works, Docket No. C-2009-2119940 (Final Opinion and Order entered July 1, 2011)

10. Pursuant to the Responsible Utility Customer Protection Act at 66 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 1414, which states: “[a] city natural gas distribution operation furnishing gas service to a property is entitled to impose or assess a municipal claim against the property and file as liens of record claims for unpaid natural gas distribution service and other related costs, including natural gas supply ...,” clarifies and confirms such rights to impose a lien.

11. The nature of a lien is such that it encumbers the real estate, regardless who caused the event, which results in the imposition of a municipal claim. PGW may collect as a municipal claim, unpaid debt for gas service rendered, even when the gas service was not rendered to the owner of the property. *Newberry Township v. Ray Stambaugh*, 848 A.2d 173; (Pa. Cmwlth. 2000)

12. A prayer for relief in the form of monetary compensation is not recoverable in the cause of action before the Commission as the Commission is without jurisdiction over the collection of Municipal liens. The request for relief is irrelevant to the instant cause of action and therefore “impertinent matter” within the use and meaning of 52 Pa/ Code §55.101 (a) (2).

Wherefore, PGW respectfully requests that this Commission sustain PGW’s preliminary objections to the Complaint and dismiss the Complaint for lack of jurisdiction and strike off the requested relief as impertinent matter.

Respectfully submitted,

August 18, 2011



Laureto Farinas, Esquire
Attorney I. D. No. 50415
Philadelphia Gas Works
800 W. Montgomery Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19122
(215) 684-6982

VERIFICATION

I, Laureto Farinas, hereby declare that I am counsel for the Philadelphia Gas Works. I am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. The facts set forth in the foregoing Answer are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I expect to be able to prove these facts at a hearing held in this matter. This verification is made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. §4904, concerning false statements to authorities.

August 18, 2011



Laureto Farinas, Esquire

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE THIS DAY SERVED A TRUE COPY OF THE FOREGOING DOCUMENT UPON THE PARTICIPANTS LISTED BELOW, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF 52 PA CODE §1.54 (RELATING TO SERVICE BY A PARTICIPANT).

For Complainant:

Mr. Hamilton Ringgold
3323 Ashville Street
Philadelphia, PA 19136

August 18, 2011



Laureto Farinas, Esquire
Philadelphia Gas Works
800 W. Montgomery Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19122
(215) 684-6982