

* WITHDRAWAL
of
PROTEST

Lawrence J. Leonard
4111 US Hwy 158
Advance, NC 27006
336-940-2064

Sept 13, 2011

Ember S. Jandebaur, Administrative Law Judge
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Office of Administrative Law Judge
Scranton State Office Building
100 Lackawanna Avenue, Room 317
Scranton, PA 18503


RE: A-2011-2230589 and Docket No. A-2011- 2205755

Dear MS Jandebaur:

This letter is a Follow-up to the Phone conversation with you on 9/12/2011 about my written agreement with PPL signed on 9/3/2011 based on the my Letter to PPUC, dated March 14, 2011 and received at the PUC on March 21, 2011 via Registered Mail, on Notification of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, Filed pursuant to 52 Pa. Code Chapter 57 Subchapter G, with respect to the Tobyhanna #1 and #2 138/69kv Tap Line to service the United States Army Depot in Coolbaugh Township, Monroe County – Docket No. A-2011- 2205755

Based on these conversations and a signoff meeting on September 2, 2011 held at the residence of George F. Leonard, Leonard Lane, Tobyhanna with myself, George Leonard and Diane Williams I see no need to have a hearing on my behalf unless PPL falls comply with the agreement prior to construction. *

Thank you for your time and consideration



Lawrence J. Leonard
4111 US Hwy 158, Advance, NC 27006
Phone 336-940-2064

RECEIVED
2011 OCT 19 AM 11:15
P.P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Following is a copy of the Issues and Actions taken that I have worked out with the representatives from PPL Electric Utilities Corporation. I have already sent you the signed Copy of the PPL agreement.

❖ SUMMARY ISSUES

➤ AND ACTION TAKEN OR PROVIDED:

A meeting was set up with the Home Owners on Leonard Lane to address the concerns about the Tobyhanna #1 and #2, 138/69kv Tap Line to service the United States Army Depot. The meeting was held at the PPL Pocono Service Center, Rte. 715, Tannersville, PA - on Tuesday, April 12th, 2011 @ 6PM, I attended from North Carolina, by speaker phone.

- ❖ I was to be provided with the meeting documents reviewed during the meeting IE: Meeting Materials displayed not included in the Letter of notification, Environmental Assessment Study, Mellon Biological Survey, Land Erosion Study.
 - E&S Plan and Wild Life Documentation will be presented before construction starts.
- ❖ What are the Pros and Cons to a Letter of Notification verses a Full Application?
 - Was expecting feedback from PUC, none received.
- ❖ Missing is an Attachment Section for the existing right-of-way agreements, In my opinion this is not considered maintenance of the existing Line but a new line.
 - PPL did supply the right-of-way agreements and I had reviewed by a Attorney in NC that felt I had a case but referred me to a PA Commonwealth Attorney which agreed this was a new line but was considered maintenance to the existing service.
- ❖ Concern that allowing this 20 foot offset off center of row sets precedence for another set of future tap line 20 foot to the other side of the row.
 - For my property PPL will be providing me with a re-centered plan and a new transmission right of way agreement for the rebuilt transmission line on your property. Additionally, PPL would like to use the access route on the right side, just after the bridge, to access the southwestern portion of the ROW. As part of the re-centering survey the road would need to be surveyed and visibly marked to eliminate any confusion, to be marked by PPL survey before construction begins.
- ❖ An Attachment and Section is needed for flood plain and wet lands Maps.
 - Covered in the , Environmental Assessment Study
- ❖ Attachment-3, Section D. NATURAL FEATURES requires a detailed Action Plan addressing destruction and replacement of the scenic and horticultural features.
 - It is understood that they will trim and work around the existing NATURAL FEATURES where possible. There will not be the need for mass clearing or use of Herbicides, PPL cannot guarantee Herbicides will not be used except in the wet lands.
- ❖ Magnetic Field Management, it is not clear as to what is going to be done, this seems to be important if the new line is allowed to be located 20 feet off Row center with a total 278kv.
 - The two property owners that homes are directly affected by the 20 foot offset of the new lines did not seem to feel threatened and felt this was not an issue.
- ❖ Missing is the Transportation/Delivery Plan/Route of new power poles to installation site. Assumption is the poles would be over 100 foot in length, Impact home owners on **Leonard Lane** (a private road, mistakenly marked "Lakeside Drive" on the Aerial Exhibit) that are not on the power-line right of way.
 - Further Meetings at Leonard lane were conducted and agreements were reached as to traffic issue that might occur.

Comments of Lawrence J. Leonard**07/22/2011**

- ❖ If Leonard lane is to be used for construction traffic then it would be reasonable to expect the road and bridge be *upgraded to township standards.*
 - PPL and the Army Depot feel It is not their responsibility to upgrade the bridge and road to township standards. They agreed to initial stoning Leonard Lane, The stone will be placed prior to the use of Leonard Lane for construction access. Final Grading and Rebuilding of the Leonard Lane and Bridge – following completion of the construction work, PPL will restore Leonard Lane by re-grading the stone to repair any damages caused by PPL during construction. Restoration of the road will be completed within 90 days of completion of construction. New wood decking will be placed on the bridge prior to Initiation of construction. If the new decking is damaged by PPL during construction, PPL will repair the decking within 90 days of completion of construction.
- ❖ Alternate Route indicated but not defined, is the nature trail that loops at the end of Leonard lane following the East Branch and Tobyhanna Creeks access road back to Leonard lane then that is a discussion that has not happened.
 - Access routes will be discussed with property owners prior to use. PPL would like to use the access route on the right side, just after the bridge, to access the southwestern portion of the ROW and the property at the end of Leonard lane the access to the northeastern portion of the ROW as discussed with George and Lawrence Leonard. It was agreed these roads could be used if stoned prior to use for construction access. Any necessary repairs to the road will be completed following construction. Additionally, prior to use, the road would need to be surveyed and visibly marked to eliminate any confusion, to be marked by PPL survey before construction begins.
- ❖ Concern with 20 foot offset and missing original right-of-way agreements setting precedence to allow implementation in the future of another set of tap lines 20 foot to the other side of the row or worse, erection of communication or other towers.
 - For my property PPL will be providing me with a re-centered plan and a new transmission right of way agreement for the rebuilt transmission line on your property. Documentation will be received at the signoff meeting on September 2, 2011

Thank you for your time and consideration

Lawrence J. Leonard
4111 US Hwy 158
Advance, NC 27006
Phone 336-940-2064

FAX COVER SHEET

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

TO: Secretary's Bureau/Audley Brown Fax 717-787-0974

CC: OALJ Scheduling/Melissa 717-787-0481

FROM: Ember S. Jandebour, Administrative Law Judge ESJ
Office of Administrative Law Judge-Scranton

DATE:

CERT OF SATISFACTION or WITHDRAWAL

CASE NAME: PPL Tappine / Jobyanna

DOCKET : A-2011 2230589

Attached is a withdrawal or certificate of satisfaction in the above case. A hard copy will not be sent.

I have entered the w/d or cert. in IMap.

Please attach to case file in IMap and process.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (570) 963-4818.