

**PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**  
**Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-3265**


**Ethan Clay**  
v.  
**Duquesne Light Company**

**Public Meeting held December 1, 2011**  
**2226084-ALJ**  
**Docket No. C-2011-2226084**

**JOINT STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER WAYNE E. GARDNER**  
**AND COMMISSIONER JAMES H. CAWLEY**

Although we are supporting the Vice Chairman's motion in this case, we are troubled that our Regulations do not give clear direction to utilities as to where termination notices must be sent in the cases where the billing and service addresses are different. Rather than stating where termination notices must be sent, section 55.2(b) sets forth a form letter to be used by the utility. That form has a place near the top for the customer's billing address but it also requires that the customer's service address be included in the body of the letter. It is ambiguous as to where the notice is to be sent. We encourage the Commission to consider revising this portion of Chapter 55.

**December 1, 2011**  
**Date**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Wayne E. Gardner, Commissioner**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**James H. Cawley, Commissioner**