

RECEIVED

APR 19 2012

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

RECEIVED

OCT 03 2011

September 26, 2011

Mary F. Kingsade
5538 Warrington Ave.
Philadelphia PA 19143
267-670-3845

Public Utility Commission
Philadelphia Office
Administrative Law Judge

Docket No. C-2009 2134662
C-2010 2778854

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Office of Administrative Law Judge
PO BOX 3265
Harrisburg PA 17105-3265

PA
PUBLIC
UTILITY
COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S
BUREAU

RE: Mary F. Kingsade v. Philadelphia Gas Works

Dear Sir/Madam:

I, Mary F. Kingsade, the complainant in the above captioned case received correspondence from PG&W the defendant in this case noting the receipt of a notice from the Commission dated September 20, 2011 noting a further Scheduled Hearing for the date of September 30, 2011. I did not receive a notice until after receiving correspondence from PG&W, the defendant. Enclosed is correspondence explaining the details. My question is how or what can be done to prevent this type of incident from happening again?

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,
Mary F. Kingsade

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

BEFORE THE

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

MARY E. KINGRADE

Complainant

V.

Philadelphia Gas Works

Laureto Farinas, Esq. Defendant

DOCKET NO.

G-2009-2134662

G-2010-277-8854

In Support of Complainant's AVERMENTS including

Legal Argument and Brief

Complainant AVERs as follows:

1. On August 04, 2011 at the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, 801 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA. Under Administrative Law Judge, The Honorable Eranda Vero, Complainant Submitted a Complaint with a Cause of Action.
2. On September 14th 2011, The Second Hearing date, Complainant called Judge Vero's Chambers due to a Medical Emergency, with a request for an excused absence from the Hearing.
3. It was made known to Judge Vero via a Telephone Conversation that Complainant would be presenting a Brief at the Hearing on On September 14, 2011 in support of her Legal Complaint and Cause of Action that was Presented at the Hearing on August 04, 2011.
4. The defendant, Philadelphia Gas Works, with Attorney Laureto Farinas, Esq. NEVER answered nor responded in any Manner to the Complaint. The Complaint was addressing the same issues as in the Formal Complaint and placed in a legal format for a Legal Hearing.

Continued

4. AS an Attorney, the defendant is aware that by "not responding, objecting or filing in writing his/their defense the case can proceed with^{out} you. A judgement may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any claims demanded or requested by complainant. you may lose rights important to you."
5. Complainant received correspondence from Attorney Farinas of PGW by Federal Express - correspondence dated September 21, 2011. Correspondence received date - September 23, 2011, an overnight delivery by Federal Express.
6. The defendant, PGW was requesting a change for the hearing date September 30, 2011.
7. Complainant had no knowledge of a Rescheduled hearing date September 30, 2011.
8. The defendant noted in his correspondence # 12 that "PGW has attempted to contact complainant to see if she would object to PGW's request for a continuance of the hearing in this matter."
"The complainant has neither answered nor returned the telephone call messages left at the complainant's two telephone numbers." PGW notes they were notified by the Commission September 20, 2011 of a scheduled further hearing being 09-30-2011.

9. Complainant has no phone records of any phone calls or phone messages made from the defendants of the said matter, there by, not being able to consent to the change of the hearing date of September 30, 2011.

10. Complainant spoke with Judge VERO on September, 23, 2011 immediately after receiving the defendants correspondence via Fed X.

Judge VERO confirmed that there was a scheduled hearing date of September 30, 2011

that I should ignore that date of Sept. 30, 2011

as Judge VERO noted that attorney Farinas request for the hearing date change of September 30, 2011 will be acknowledged.

Complainant was in agreement with Judge VERO with the change of date.

September 26, 2011

Respectfully Submitted,

Mary F. Kingrade, Pro Se
Mary F. Kingrade
Complainant

VERIFICATION

Complainant Mary F. Kingcade
Verify that the facts and statements
set forth in this list of AVERMENTS
including legal Argument and Brief are TRUE
and correct to the best of her knowledge,
information and belief, the Complainant
understands that statements in this list
of AVERMENTS including legal Argument
and Brief are subject to the criminal
penalties of 18 Pa. C. S. §4904 relating
to unsworn falsifications to
authorities.

September 26, 2011

Mary F. Kingcade, Prose
Mary F. Kingcade
Complainant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I Mary F. Kingslade
have served a True Copy of this list of AVER-
MENTS including Legal Argument and Brief
On the Parties listed.

The Administrative Law
Judge Eranda Vero
Suite 4063
801 Market Street
Philadelphia PA. 19107

Laureto Farinas Esq.
Philadelphia Gas Works
800 W. Montgomery Avenue
Philadelphia PA. 19122

September 26, 2011

Respectfully,
Mary F. Kingslade
Mary F. Kingslade