

RECEIVED

2012 AUG -6 AM 10: 29

August 01, 2012


PECO Energy Co.
2302 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pa., 19101

PA P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

RE: Donald C. Le Land III v PECO Energy Company
PUC Docket No. F-2011-2239324

Dear Shawane Lee:

I am responding to your letter of July 26, 2012. I have a number of difficulties to address as I am not being treated fairly. My case is being determined by conjecture of thought and stated assertions rather than fact. Mr. Colon presented with statements of finding faults that could not be linked to me or my property when judgement was determined by, "Most likely to be believed" which assumes my credibility is less than his and his statements are statements and mine are, "Mere bald assertions." What is lacking in determining my guilt is, LAW. PECO Energy denies incorrect charges based on meter tampering, which my guilt of, cannot be established as proven in court when all physical exhibits were denied. I am not attempting to *retry* the case. I would just like for it to be done with equal consideration for my position, which I think I am entitled. I have no NEW evidence, there is no evidence and Mr. Colon's statements have the same rights and privileges accorded me and I have no problem if the court would like to call them, "Mere bald assertions," as it has done for me.


Donald C. Le Land III
8/11/12

Pennsylvania P.U.C.

AUG 14 2012

Consumer Services
CAC Division

Le Land,
427 W. Wisconsin St
19144-4507

PHILADELPHIA PA 304

11 AUG 2012 PM 10:12



RECEIVED

2012 AUG 13 AM 10:41

PA P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pa. 17105-3265

Pennsylvania P.U.C.

AUG 14 2012

Consumer Services
CAC Division

Attn: Rosemary Chiavetta

17105326565

