

416 Commonwealth Avenue
West Haverhill, Pennsylvania, 15122
September 16, 2012
Docket C-2011-2248 437

Secretary, PUC
Rosemary Cheavette
Harrisburg, Pa. 17120

RECEIVED

SEP 19 2012

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Dear Madam Secretary,

This is an immediate need to send you this letter after I had called your office 3 times and have not received any call back.

This need relates to Attorney Bruin's letter to you, and dated August 23, 2012, the 10th day to respond to my exceptions to the ALJ's decision. Your office received electronic filing from him 3:20 P.M. (C). His letter is full of airy nothingness and hypothetical conjecture. I felt strongly the need to set it more straight and prove his errors and illogical reasoning. I received this "Reply to Exceptions" on September 4, 2012, 10 days from August 23, 2012, his due date.

I immediately spoke to Mr. Rose as you advised, an honorable gentleman. I expressed my concerns to him but he advised me no more exceptions could be honored; otherwise it would become a tennis match of volleying back and forth. I cited my concerns to him on the inaccuracies Mr. Bruin is making in the letter: I felt that since I could not send my exceptions, he could die, misconstrue facts and say anything he wants against me, while knowing I could not make any more exceptions. My objections to him are:

1. Ref p.1, date August 23, 2012 Paragraph 1: He poses me in repetitions and my being away causing pipes to break. How does he not know that maybe I had someone check in on my house? or how many days I may be away?

As I mentioned in my Aug. 8, '12 letter, P 4 & 5, my frequency of away from home averaged no more than 2-3 or 5-8 days or weeks to visit my daughter in Texas 1-2 times per year.

Refer to 33 times of zero "0" readings from year 2006-2010 and out of 49 readings water had been used with 1-3 months non usage. Please be advised on this very important fact. Assuming March 1-31, there was zero reading. This does not mean I used no water, the meter always recorded a reading after it reached 100. So I may have used 100 gallons after a 2-3 month cumulative usage. His claim to my being away is assumed, and to be repetitive where was PAWC when there was 0 readings for more months than 2.

② Refer p. 2, P 2, what proof does he have my heating system was "off" or low. The previous 0 readings survived other cold days but I used water then.

③ Refer p. 2 P 3, I received 5 letters from PAWC with no name or signature "Superintendent" or "Customer Service or others". No one at PAWC knew who sent those letters because I wanted to talk with them & no one ever showed me any IDA enter.

④ Refer to P. 3, P 2 --- the "most weakest" statement THAT: If I could travel to New York, Texas, and Las Vegas (in warm weather) I must have the money to travel. I should stay home to save my money to pay this plumbing bill repairs. Let it be known: my daughter paid accordingly: New York = 2 or 3 days twice with her & her children because I know New York City after spending duty in the Air Force at Riverhead, Long Island.

Texas - 8-10 months or so apart 1-2 trips to my daughter. She paid the airline tickets always, not lost to me, at her home. She used the computer for scheduling my trips.

Las Vegas; ^{2 trips} My daughter in Vegas wanted me to join her in enjoying the Pittsburgh Steelers football Superbowl against Arizona (telecast) 3 or 4 days; and the 2nd game also. She paid all expenses. She's not married and is a hard worker.

These are 3 trips at hardly any cost to me except for personal desires.

This statement by the Attorney Grinn is most insulting and uncalled for on his part as a professional lawyer. Indeed he owes me a strong apology for this demeanor.

④ Also, same paragraph, he neglected to mention that I offered \$600 as a agreeable cost and I would pay any thing additional (from my \$158 social security check) and dismissed the \$900-\$1200 quote. This I mentioned at the hearing and in my objections. (2 times)

⑤ He leans on the "LS decision and his opinion - each one giving a "strike three - you're out" statement. I say ball "four." Their opinion or logic is not syllogistically sound, just emotional thinking rather than rational.

Madame Secretary, please spare the length of this letter but Attorney Grinn's objections are self defensive and opinionated. The judge shares accountability for 6 months to write a decision, while I had only 20 days to respond to her decision and 10 days for objections and finally allowing Attorney Grinn's 2nd objections to stand without any opportunity for me to challenge his remarks with substantial proof and statements.

There are critical ^{maybe repetitious, but very} proof positives for my case. PLUS all the agony of not having water available except what I bring in the house.
By the way, the motto of Saint Vincent College, in Latrobe, Pa., is Repetitio mater studiorum ("Repetition is the mother of learning.")
I do hope and trust you will see the merits

of my objections & his objections so the Commission
can be fully aware of where the biases are cast.
Please do what you can to process this accordingly with
my files.

Will you please send me some notification
as to the Commission's scheduled meeting? When
and where is to be held? Is the meeting open to the
public? Will I be able to attend? I would like to attend
if possible.

Very truly yours,
A. Raymond Kochis

RECEIVED

SEP 19 2012

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

ACK.
416 Commonwealth Ave
West Mifflin, Pa. 15122

PITTSBURGH, PA 150

17 SEP 2012 PM 4 L



RECEIVED

2012 SEP 19 AM 10:33

PA P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Secretary, Pa Public Utility Commission
Rosemary Chianetta
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor
Harrisburg, Pa. 17122

1712080211

