

Legal Department

Fax 215.568.3389
www.exeloncorp.com

Exelon Business Services Company
2301 Market Street/S23-1
P.O. Box 8699
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699

Direct Dial: 215-841-6841

October 4, 2012

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, Second Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Kenneth LaFiandra v. PECO Energy Company
Docket Number: C-2012-2321265

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.24(b), PECO Energy Company certifies that the parties in the above-referenced complaint have reached an accord.

By copy of this letter, I am alerting the Complainant of his right to object to the closing of this matter in writing to the Public Utility Commission within ten (10) days of the date of this letter.

If additional information is needed about this matter, please contact me at my direct-dial number above. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Shawane Lee
Counsel for PECO Energy Company

cc: Kenneth LaFiandra
PUC Scheduling

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

KENNETH LAFIANDRA	:	
Complainant	:	
	:	
v.	:	DOCKET NO. C-2012-2321265
	:	
PECO ENERGY COMPANY	:	
Respondent	:	

CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION

I, Shawane Lee, Esquire, representing PECO Energy Company (“PECO”) in this matter, hereby certify that the issues raised in the Formal Complaint filed by Complainant with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and docketed at Complaint Docket No. C-2012-2321265 has been satisfied.

This Certificate of Satisfaction is provided pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.24(b). Unless Complainant files an objection to this Certificate within ten (10) days of its filing, the Formal Complaint shall be withdrawn and the Commission’s file closed.



Shawane Lee
Counsel for PECO Energy Company
2301 Market Street, S23-1
P.O. Box 8699
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699
(215) 841-6841
shawane.lee@exeloncorp.com

Date: October 4, 2012

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

KENNETH LAFIANDRA	:	
Complainant	:	
	:	
v.	:	DOCKET NO. C-2012-2321265
	:	
PECO ENERGY COMPANY	:	
Respondent	:	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Shawane Lee, hereby certify that I have this day served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document upon the interested parties and in the manner indicated below.

Service by first class mail:
Kenneth LaFiandra
798 Woodlea Road
Bryn Mawr, PA 19010



Shawane Lee
Counsel for PECO Energy Company
2301 Market Street, S23-1
P.O. Box 8699
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699
(215) 841-6841
Shawane.Lee@exeloncorp.com

Dated: October 4, 2012

Exelon Business Services Company
2301 Market Street/S23-1
P.O. Box 8699
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699

Direct Dial: 215.841.6841

October 4, 2012

Kenneth LaFiandra
798 Woodlea Road
Bryn Mawr, PA 19010

**Re: Kenneth LaFiandra v. PECO Energy Company
PUC Docket No. C-2012-2321265**

Dear Mr. LaFiandra:

Per our conversation during the mediation on September 18, 2012, this letter confirms the settlement of the above-referenced Public Utility Commission (PUC) Complaint. As we discussed, to resolve this matter, PECO Energy agreed to issue you a report advising whether it is viable for PECO Energy to install an open switch and/or shorten the circuit on the Woodlea spur. PECO Energy agreed to confer with the company's Capacity Planning Group to determine whether this is a viable option. In the alternative, PECO Energy agreed to move forward with the scheduled RES installation and to update you on the status of the installation. Kindly accept this correspondence as a report advising on the reliability issues at your service address:

I. Feasibility of installing an open switch and/or shortening the circuit on the Woodlea spur.

PECO Energy's Capacity Planning Group ("CPG") reviewed the option of transferring part of the spur on the end of Bryn Mawr 135 to Bryn Mawr 132. The CPG determined that this would not be feasible because there is already a long spur at the end of Bryn Mawr 132. Additionally, the company would have to change the fuse 40F5E2B5 (west of Lewis Lane) to a 140 A Fuse because the connected kva downstream of the fuse would be greater than the standards allow. The concern with doing this is there would be a large load on the end of Bryn Mawr 132, which would risk the customers on this line having poor service reliability. Accordingly, it is more efficacious to proceed with the RES option.

II. Status of RES Installation

The RES work originally scheduled to take place September 20, 2012, was completed on September 27, 2012. PECO Energy replaced a pole and installed an additional fuse to limit the aerial exposure on the spur to improve the reliability on your circuit. The fuse will provide an additional benefit over the R.E.S. of reducing the number of momentary outages at your residence.

As the parties desire to amicably resolve the dispute without the need for further litigation, PECO Energy has resolved your formal complaint with providing the above report. Accordingly, this letter memorializes the entire agreement between Kenneth LaFiandra and PECO Energy Company. Any other


Exelon Business Services Company
2301 Market Street/S23-1
P.O. Box 8699
Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699

terms or promises, written or oral, not in the body of this letter will not be a part of this settlement agreement and, therefore, will be void.

I will also forward a Certificate of Satisfaction to the PUC to inform them of our agreement. Unless you file a written objection to the PUC within ten (10) days, the Certificate of Satisfaction will sufficiently confirm to the PUC that the Complaint has been settled and the file will be closed.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me or Charles Thomas at 215-841-6781.

Very truly yours,



Shawane L. Lee
Assistant General Counsel, Exelon BSC
Encl.

cc: Charles Thomas, Regulatory Assessor, PECO Energy