

F-2011-2279555

3rd  
appeal  
Letter  
again

Novemeber 28, 2012

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Public Utility Commission  
P. O. Box 3265  
Harrisburg PA 17105-3265

RECEIVED

NOV 29 2012

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

To whom it may concern:

I originally received a PGW bill for over \$ 2,000.00 and appealed the bill. Please find the following:

1. Please find enclosed a copy of my first letter dated back August 8, 2012 where I apealed a PGW bill that I received for over \$ 2,000.00.
2. Then I went to court and was awaiting a decision which came in dated November November 6, 2012. Stating that I had to pay the whole bill with a deduction of a certain amount of maybe \$ 50.00 something dollars.
3. I then apealed that decision stating that this is not my problem if I have a defective meter in my home and that it's not my responsibility to have to pay this bill.

Then I apealed that decision (see letter enclosed) dated for November 14,2012.

Then on Novemeber 21, 2012 I received this letter (see copy enclosed) to my latest appeal which states that there should have been some sort of exception enclosed and THERE IS NOTHING IN THE ENVELOPE with the letter at all. So I don't know what I'm looking for, or should be looking for. I believe someone made a mistake and didn't include something with my letter.

At this point, I am appealing the decision to pay the full amount of the PGW decision made back on Novemeber 6, 2012. Which was apealed on time (which had to be in the office no later than 20 days after the Novmber 6, 2012 decision) And the letter (by me was written on November 14,2012.) and sent to your office by certified mail.

NOTE.... If there is something that was missing with this last letter I am asking for more time than December 6, 2012 because not all the information was included with the letter I received. Please send me all information and I will have an attorney at this time review because I feel this has gone on long enough. The meter was defective and it's not my fault nor my problem if that's the case. The meter was in my home even prior to me purchasing the property.

Thank you for your attention  
Kimberly Szczepanski-Galindez

Kimberly Szczepanski - Galindez



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE  
REFER TO OUR FILE

NOVEMBER 20, 2012

then received  
this and  
I Don't  
Understand

ALL PARTIES OF RECORD

Re: Kimberly Szczepanski-Galindez vs PGW  
Docket Number: F-2011-2279555

See Letter  
dated 11/28/12  
after  
this

To Parties of Record:

On November 17, 2012, Kimberly Szczepanski-Galindez filed Exceptions to the Initial Decision of Administrative Law Judge Eranda Vero in the above captioned matter. Our review of the filing revealed that no certificate of service or other indication that the parties of record to the case were served with the Exceptions. Therefore, we have enclosed a copy of the Exceptions for your review. This shall constitute service of the Exceptions for purposes of 52 Pa. Code §5.533.

PLEASE  
FILE

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.535, you shall have until December 6, 2012 to file Reply Exceptions. Although the Exceptions were timely filed, due to the failure of Kimberly Szczepanski-Galindez to provide service on all the parties of record to the case, and in order to avoid prejudice to any party, we shall deem the filing date of the Exceptions to be the above date served for purposes of Section 332(h) of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. §332(h), relating to the time for the Commission to consider the Exceptions.

Sincerely,

RECEIVED

NOV 29 2012

*Rosemary Chiavetta*  
Rosemary Chiavetta  
Secretary

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Enclosure  
nvl

See  
back



cc: All Parties of Record  
Document Folder

this was received on Nov. 21, 2012  
but NO EXCEPTIONS etc.

is there something missing ???

I DO NOT UNDERSTAND ???

PLEASE  
FILE

if your referring to exceptions to  
be this long stuff from the courts  
then yes I DID receive that and  
yes I DID appeal to that  
on time -

I appealed that decision by  
Nov. 14th. and the decision  
came in on Nov 6th. the appeal  
letter was sent to your office  
by cert mail. I am NOT  
paying for a meter that was/is  
defective.

I am appealing the Decision  
from Nov. 6th. which is the  
letters enclosed which have  
been mailed to your office  
on time and within the  
timeframe of all letters.

11-28-12

Kimi  
Salandy

2nd  
appeal  
Letter

November 14, 2012

Secretary  
Pa. Public Utility Commission  
P.O. Box 3265  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Re: Kimberly Szczepanski-Galindez  
2665 Levick Street  
Philadelphia PA 19149

F-20112279555

To whom it may concern:

*I am appealing the decision made on November 6, 2012.*

I received the decision letter dated November 6, 2012 however I am writing this letter to appeal the decision that was decided on November 6, 2012. I DO NOT AGREE with the decision that was made..

I am requesting that another appeal process be brought in my defense because I feel that since the meter that was placed in my home over 17 years ago. It is not my responsibility to pay over \$ 2,000.00 for a meter that was proven defective. It was also proven that the meter was running fast, so how do I know if the meter wasn't running fast for the past couple of years.

This is my formal written appeal/disagreement for the decision made. Dated for today 11/14/2012.

Thank you

*Kimberly Szczepanski-Galindez*  
Kimberly Szczepanski-Galindez

**RECEIVED**

NOV 29 2012

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

1st  
appeal

1st  
appeal  
Letter

August 8, 2012

Case # F-2011-2279555

Kimberly Galindez

2665 Levick Street

Philadelphia PA 19149

On December 19, 2011 I filed a complaint against the Philadelphia Gas Works stating that there was an incorrect reading on my meter when I received my gas bill. The charges at that time were over \$ 2,000.00. I stated in the complaint that I should not be held accountable/responsible for a \$ 2,000.00 bill if a meter from the Gas company was in default. I pay my monthly bills on time every single month when the bills come in and if PGW had gotten a hold of me years ago stating that there was an issue with the meter in my home then we could have fixed the issue and this would have the end of it, but PGW waited over three (3) years to come to me with a bill for over \$ 2,000.00 stating that now this is my responsibility to pay because of a devise that is in my home that is defective on their behalf.

I recently received a letter stating that the court was going to re-open my case and take another look at my records, but please be advised that I can NOT nor can my husband take any time off from work in order to appear in court for this re-opening of the case. I feel that the case should not be re-opened due to the fact that PGW did in fact admit to the fact that the meter that was in my home for 17 years was running fast and they also admitted to the fact that it was a defaulted meter. I am sending this letter to advise you that I do object to re-opening this case. This is not of my doing, this is a PGW issue and I feel that I should not be held accountable for the payment of over \$ 2,000.00 for a defective meter.

Also please note that the letter I received in the mail stated that I had until August 13<sup>th</sup> to object to the case being re-opened and that 's exactly what I'm doing. Today is August 8, 2012.  
@ 12:58 pm.

Thank you

Kimberly Galindez

*Kimberly Szczepanski-Galindez*


**RECEIVED**

NOV 29 2012

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Galindez  
2665 Lerick St  
Phila Pa 19149

**CERTIFIED MAIL™**



U.S. POSTAGE  
PAID  
BLUE BELL, PA  
19422  
NOV 29 12  
AMOUNT

**\$5.75**  
00015368-13

7010 1870 0001 6895 0531

1000

17105

Commonwealth of Pa  
Public Utility Commission  
P.O. Box 3265  
Harrisburg Pa 17105-3265

**RETURN RECEIPT  
REQUESTED**

1710583265

