

**Danielle Leatherman**  
**718 Rexton Drive**  
**West Chester, PA 19380**

**RECEIVED**

April 4, 2013

**APR 04 2013**

**PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
 PHILADELPHIA OFFICE  
 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**

**VIA FACSIMILE: (215) 560-3133**  
 Administrative Law Judge Angela T. Jones  
 801 Market Street  
 Suite 4063  
 Philadelphia, PA 19107

**RE: Docket #C-2013-2343761 – Motion to Stay Hearing on April 17, 2013**

Dear Judge Jones:

I am writing to request a Motion to Stay the hearing currently scheduled for April 17, 2013. This Motion is a direct result of PECO not providing the following documentation as requested in my communication dated March 27, 2013:

ORIGINAL REQUEST	PECO RESPONSE
It is not clear which PECO representative recorded each set of notes as listed on the document titled "PA Outbound Informal Report." How do you determine which "rep" recorded which set of notes?	NONE
On what date do the notes start that pertain to when my complaint was escalated to your "dispute department," whereas my first point of contact was Ms. Harcum?	NONE
<i>Where are the notes for the three conversations held with Ms. Harcum?</i>	NONE
In regard to an "appliance analysis" that was performed by the PECO technician, what was the usage of each appliance based on?	I requested the data source that was used to determine the usage for each appliance from Ms. Tarpley (PECO Senior Regulatory Assessor) during a phone call on April 2, 2013. She claimed she would provide this information as soon as possible but I have not received anything as of today.
Please forward a copy of all usage/billing	In Ms. Lee's communication dated April 2,

RECEIVED  
 2013 APR 10 AM 8:32  
 PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
 PHILADELPHIA OFFICE

<p>records referenced during each call with Ms. Harcum, making sure they are redacted to remove any personal or identifying information of the other tenants. Please make sure the records cover up through November 2012 to account for all conversations held with Ms. Harcum</p>	<p>2013, she states "PECO Energy is not aware of what specific tenant billing records you are requesting. You must specify the name of the tenant, property address, and unit number at issue in order for the company to comply with your request." NOTE: Ms. Harcum would not disclose to me specifically which records she referenced during our phone conversations. She stated she could not provide any identifying information in order to protect the privacy of the other tenants. However, she did confirm she limited her research to the other five units located on the same end of the building as my unit. That is why I have requested <i>redacted</i> records for the other five units, which will ensure that each tenant's privacy is protected. The specific unit numbers in question include 714, 715, 716, 717, and 719 Rexton Drive.</p>
<p>I have been informed that I have the right to be present during a meter test. Therefore, please contact me as soon as possible to schedule the test at your Berwyn, PA facility so that all interested parties may be present.</p>	<p>PECO proceeded to perform said meter test without allowing me to be present. This issue has been addressed directly by Judge Jones and is currently "under investigation."</p>

Since PECO has not provided all requested documentation by the proposed due date of Tuesday, April 2, 2013, I will need more time to pursue collection of said documentation. Obtaining all information directly related to this matter is imperative to preparing a clear and concise case.

If you require additional information, please contact me directly at (717) 654-5949 or via email at [dfoltz911@yahoo.com](mailto:dfoltz911@yahoo.com). Your attention to this matter is appreciated.

Respectfully,



Danielle T. Leatherman

cc: Ms. Shawane Lee, Esquire, Counsel for PECO Energy Company (VIA ELECTRONIC EMAIL)

PA PUC  
 SECRETARY'S OFFICE

2013 APR 10 AM 8:32

RECEIVED