

6-3-13

James E. Creehan
500 Hoodridge Dr. # 805
Pittsburgh, PA 15234

PUC
Box 3265
Harrisburg PA 17105-3265

RECEIVED
2013 JUN -7 AM 10:20
PA.P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

re:C-2012-2297124

To the Commission:

I hereby file a Petition for Reconsideration of the referenced case the provisions of PA 50 5.572. (a)

1. The improper and at times illegal actions of DLC's counsel Allison, who is prone to perjury, a brain dead hearing officer Hoyer, PUC supervisors, O'Brien, Souer and Ripnak and your hapless investigator, Bush, has caused me great harm both to my health and financial wellbeing.
2. My answers to Respondent's reply to Complainant's Exceptions. Dated 2-11-13.
I Respondent avers that I am confused on the instant matter when in fact, this incompetent and unethical lawyer was dismissed by DLC, for her improper behavior.
 - #2A Contrary to Respondent's affirmation, I was prejudiced by the biased and brain dead hearing officer Hoyer. The factual reason this hearing was postponed was the violation of Hoyer's explicit order by Allison to have all exhibits received by recipients at least five (5) days before the hearing. After Hoyer and Allison had an ex-parte contact to set the date of the 7-17-12 hearing, to accommodate Allison's vacation, Allison submitted her exhibits three days late, I opened the envelope, saw that it was an untimely submission, and I returned it to the sender. Bd Hoyer, who I understand, was a romantic fantasy concerning the uncomely Allison, would not entertain my objection to the tardy exhibit and continued the hearing to 9-26-12. Hoyer did this with consultation with me.
 - #2B If the record shows that I called Marie Tamilla, it is erroneous, I have no knowledge of her however, I do know that last name Tamilla, I was a friend of Pat Tamilla a judge of the PA Superior Court, and as a favor to the judge, I hired his son Mark. With this background in mind, and to avoid any conflict, I questioned Ms Tamillia as to her relationship if any. That was the extent of my questions to this witness. Now this brain dead hearing officer claims that Ms Tamilla, was my witness is absurd, but well within the scope of the brain dead Hoyer.
3. Respondent claims my second formal complaint concerns the same dispute as the first, the only connection the second has with the first, is the malfunctioning electric meter. The first complaint was resolved when DLC counsel Kubiak agreed that the device was spiking and recording elevated readings. She offered me a \$300 credit which I accepted. She also offered to replace the defective meter in lieu of the \$300, I promptly rejected that inane offer and requested that that DLC use a portion of the \$180,000 monthly to replace my faulty meter. Kubiak replied that DLC's Smart Meter Program has been approved by the PUC and that it is a pilot project and not subjected to review.
4. I agree with Respondent's averment that her Certificate of Satisfaction was a final settlement of C-2011-2231294.

5. The instant complaint avers that my electric bills for Febuary, March, April, May and June of 2011 were incorrect as a result of a meter malfunction./The instant complaint is quite different this complaint C2012 2297124, doesnt include Dec. 2010 and Jan. 2012, at here is the billings into the balance of 2012
6. The record should show that there are two separate issues, if not the record should be modified similar to the correction of the Respondents answers.
7. BD Hoyer erred when he ruled that I failed to meet the burden of proof , his faulty determinism is a result of his unlawful relationship with the DLC.
8. Here's the record of the participants involved in my case:


B. Bush, PUC investigator, completely hapless, her reasonable investigation of my complaint resulted in 14 findings 12 of these started with these e words DLC records indicate. Later, I sent my personal check for \$20 made payable to the PUC as provided for in the PA CODE to have my electric meter tested by the PUC. This \$20 check along with a written request was sent to Ms O'Brien, chief supervisor in a division of the PUC , evidently this request of mine setoff a panic at the PUC. It is my understanding that my check was bounced around the office by O'Brien, Souers and Ripnak who are PUC supervisors. My request and check were sent 2-10-11 and was returned 2-16-11 by the aforementioned Bush. She instructed me to have the check, "made out to and mailed to the company" . This of course was a mistake of the first order. Later, I made an error of my own, in a phone conversation, I told Ms bush that when I was finished with her, she would be back at her old job, asking, "do you fries with that"., she then fainted and hit the floor. My criticism was harsh and and uncalled for and I am sorry.

9. The unethical DLC counsel again is in error when she avers that the instant case raises the same issues as the first complaint.

In conclusion: DLC's Assistant General Counsel Kubiak, admitted that their meter was malfunctioning during a period from Dec. 10-11 to Jan.10-11 and she proposed a settlement of \$300 that I accepted, however, that did not solve the problem of the faulty meter that I have in subsequent 2011 billings.


Please review the abundance of evidence I have presented and then rule, that I have been overbilled and order DLC to credit my account \$500 and to replace my measuring device, with a SMART METER that I have been paying for.

Sincerely,



James E. Creehan

RECEIVED
2013 JUN -7 AM 10: 20
P.A.P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU



Mr. James Creehan
500 Hoodridge Dr Apt 805
Pittsburgh, PA 15234 ★

PITTSBURGH PA 150

05 JUN 2013 PM 6 L



PA PUBLIC Utility Comm.
PO BOX 3265
HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265

ATTN - ROSEMARY CHIAVETTA

17105326565

