



June 5, 2013

RECEIVED

JUN 10 2013

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Administrative Law Judge,

Attn: Katrina L. Dunderdale

Case # C-2012-2356237

Thank you for the opportunity to allow Marlene Broman the ability to object to WPP/First Energy exhibits, per your **SECOND INTERIM ORDER** for case C-2013-2356237. She would like to challenge the admission of Respondent/Exhibit #3 in part and Respondent/Exhibit #8 in its entirety. Her objection is the bases for this complaint. Simple put WPP has created documents/procedures that only benefits their company thought based on little if any fact. Without anything but a Right of Way agreement they have chosen to nullify her right to her property without providing any Clear and Present danger. She would request that you review these two Exhibits:

1. Who if anyone approved these procedures of sky to ground cut?
2. How can 2012 procedures be applied to the 1968 intent of the lease and 1968 practices?
3. The two documents contradict themselves on what gets cut or trimmed so which one might be correct?
4. Planting lists in this material do not allow for dwarf fruit trees that do not grow taller than 10 feet but violate the vegetation management rules even if they do not impede the ability for WPP to maintain its transmission lines.
5. If these documents were factual how did the two 20 foot trees under the tower and back field lines get to 20 feet if WPP really does what it says it does. Why were these trees not taken down as they are even closer and present a greater risk than the trees WPP wants to cut down?

Thanks for your consideration.

Marlene Broman (724) 352-2489



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Office of Administrative Law Judge
301 Fifth Ave., Suite 220, Piatt Place
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Return Service Requested

ROSEMARY CHIAVETTA
SECRETARY
PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P O BOX 3265
HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265



UNITED STATES POSTAGE
PITNEY BOWES
02 1P \$ 000.46⁰
0001738512 JUN 07 2013
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 15222

17105326565

