

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. William Redstreak
1509 Gwynedd View Road
North Wales, PA 19454-3631


Dear Mr. Redstreak:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. James Geary
3685 Marian Drive
Boothwyn, PA 19061

Dear Mr. Geary:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

James E. Geary
3685 Marian Drive
Boothwyn, PA 19061
October 28, 1997

Governor Tom Ridge
225 Main Capital Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Governor Ridge:


The Public Utility Commission (PUC) is currently considering a request from PECO Energy for a \$5.5 billion Stranded Cost Recovery provision in conjunction with PECO's proposal for transition to a competitive electric utility market.

A study commissioned by Citizens for a Sound Economy Foundation, 1250 H Street, NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20005-3908 indicates that \$5.5 billion is more than double what is justified by the facts. Furthermore, as a customer of PECO Energy, I question the payment of any of this cost by the Pennsylvania consumer who is already paying one of the highest electricity rates in the country. Why should not the utility and its stockholders bear these costs alone since they are due to decisions made by the management of the company?

I urge you to direct the PUC to reject all or most of the Stranded Cost Recovery amount proposed by PECO Energy.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



DEC 02 1997
CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. Paul Schmidt
26 Cavender Lane
Landenberg, PA 19350

Dear Mr. Schmidt:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

FAX MESSAGE

TO	Governor Tom Ridge	FROM	Paul Schmidt
Company	Pennsylvania Governor's Office	Company	
Location	225 Main Capitol Building Harrisburg, PA 17120	Location	26 Cavender Lane Landenberg, PA 19350
Phone	717-787-2500	Phone	(610) 255-3540
Fax	717-772-8284	Fax	(610) 255-3621

Pages (Including this sheet) 1

Subject: Electric Energy Rates and Eastern Pennsylvania's Future

Dear Governor:


Having been born and raised in Chester County, Pennsylvania then moved to Cincinnati, OH for 10 years, and now back again to Chester County last year, I feel a strong need to speak out from experience on the subject of electric energy rates here.

While in Cincinnati, OH, our supplier of electricity was CG&E, now Cinenergy. They sold electricity at "reasonable" rates that were not an issue when it came to deciding where to make you home or place of business. On the East coast and particularly Eastern PA, it is a big decision where you locate, and believe me, PECO's electricity rates are a strong consideration.

Since the Three Mile incident in 1979, PECO had insisted on continuing to fund the building of the nuclear generation facility at Limerick Station. Even with very strong opposition to their plans for Limerick, they continued on at enormous expense to the rate payers while being able to guarantee a rate of return to their stock holders. Their decision was wrong then and remains so today. And now they want relief to their stock holders for "the stranded costs" from their mistake plus, continued high rates into the future!! I wish someone would guarantee **this** stockholder's investment returns like that!! I thought a stockholder had to assume some risk?

CG&E at that same time in 1979 was building their Zimmer Station Nuclear Plant in Moscow, OH. After the "incident at Three Mile Island", CG&E revised their plans, in the middle of construction, and converted that plant to a "super heated steam" coal fired facility with state-of-the-art SO2 air scrubbing technology. The 1,300 MW unit at Zimmer Station today, is one of the most efficient and clean burning coal fired plants in the country. The Cincinnati area remains one of the most vibrant economies in this country due in part to its low electric energy costs because of sound business decisions made years ago.

To prevent the benefits of "true" competition by only allowing the one PECO Partial Settlement Plan into the marketplace would be a mistake that Pennsylvania will eventually pay for,



Date Sent: 11/18/97



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Henry Berk, M.D.
2000 Paper Mill Road
Oreland, PA 19075-2593

Dear Dr. Berk:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

HENRY M. BERK, M.D.
2000 PAPER MILL ROAD
ORELAND, PA 19075-2593 U.S.A.
Tel 215 233 3600 Fax 215 233 4316

November 22, 1997

One Sheet sent by fax
1717 772 8284

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: ENERGY CHOICE PLAN

Dear Governor Ridge:


I have difficulty understanding why this expensive and competitive rate reduction for electric energy (and then lottery) had to take place. Everyone, with any intelligence, would like to save money on electric power; and avoid a wasteful method of choice, to take place.

If my present supplier (PECO), or any supplier, can provide a 10% reduction to 5% of their customers, starting soon; and to 100%, one year later; then why not NOW? Why allow PENNA. energy companies the right to overcharge 95% of their customers, for one more year?

I am disappointed that You and my State Government would not have insisted that a rate reduction for electric power be immediate and for all. If you have any real (not beat around the bush) answers to my questions; I would be most appreciative and enlightened.

Thank you for your prompt attention and non-form response.

Respectfully,



Henry M. Berk, M.D.

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. Franz Serdahely
13023 Stevens Road
Philadelphia, PA 19116

Dear Mr. Serdahely:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

DEC 01 1997

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

13023 Stevens Road
Phila. Pa. 19116
Nov. 21, 1997

Chairman
Public Utility Commission
Harrisburg, Pa. 17120

Dear Sir:

I am enclosing a "Letters to the editor" column that appeared in the Nov. 21st issue of the Philadelphia Inquirer, and the letters express the feelings of thousands of PECO customers. We are tired of being "reamed" for years by PECO's high rates. And now PECO, fearful of a surge of customers to Enron, and other new utilities, has been running many misleading, and dishonest ads on the radio, and television.

Frantically, PECO is trying to "stick us"-- PECO customers --for the three, or four billion dollar Limrick nuclear plant costs. PECO has even charged customers for the printing, and mailing, of its monthly statements! What a group of "rip off" artists! I can't wait until I can sigh up with P P & L or Enron.

enc.

Sincerely,


Franz Serdahely

The Philadelphia Inquirer

ROBERT J. HALL, *Publisher and Chairman*
 MAXWELL E.P. KING, *Editor and Executive Vice President*
 GENE FOREMAN, *Deputy Editor and Vice President*

ROBERT J. ROSENTHAL, *Executive Editor*
 WILLIAM J. WARD, *Managing Editor*
 PHILLIP DIXON, *Associate Managing Editor*
 SANDRA L. WOOD, *Associate Managing Editor*
 RONALD PATEL, *Sunday Editor*

JANE R. EISNER, *Editor of the Editorial Page*
 CHRIS SATULLO, *Deputy Editorial Page Editor*
 ACEL MOORE, *Associate Editor*

A42

Friday, November 21, 1997

EDITORIALS

The battle of Peco and Enron.

As one who pays one of the highest electric rates in the country, I am not swayed when Peco cries foul when pointing to a Texas company trying to invade its turf with lower rates.

Business is business and this is aptly illustrated by the brief article "Peco to sell power from a new plant in Texas" (Inquirer, Nov. 15).

Apparently Peco has contracted to build the "largest merchant plant in the country" near Houston, selling its output on the open market to customers in the South and Midwest. I doubt we'll see any full-page Peco ads touting this "Texas Plan."

Stephen P. Kunz
 Phoenixville
 spkunz@aol.com

So Peco is the "Enron of Massachusetts" (Inquirer, Nov. 17). Do you suppose the local electricity monopoly there is screaming about an "out-of-state company" coming in and "prostituting" deregulation with "sham" offers, maybe even running TV ads featuring some boorish slob in an ill-fitting tri-cornered hat?

Peco has been demanding fidelity to the Pennsylvania Plan by day but sneaking off at night to sow its oats elsewhere, saving the good rates for its new out-of-state prospects.

Haven't we been chumped long enough by Peco? Isn't it about time we had a real choice?

Mark Sharp
 Doylestown

Does Peco take us for fools? I find their recent ad campaign using a stereotypical Texan with chaps and a 10-gallon hat both offensive and outrageous. Peco's warm and cuddly "The best plan for Pennsylvanians is the one created by Pennsylvanians" just won't go far with most consumers who are tired of paying its inflated rate. Where was Peco's commitment to cutting costs before deregulation? I'll take Enron's longhorns over Peco's bull any day.

Eric H. Reisman

its read-home ad-ning). The it will be the right come the published

ived. The f letters. 4543.

he sexual re rich in (Inquirer, this than ts killing

y for our in them self-disci- ducation morality ple with uthentic ntial re-

Miersch
 pringfield

elp

as ... a ler how sired to bservat elittles t when o it be- nguage better. **Shrier.** idelphia

ents in that the hesday ng swal- so much



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. Jacob Obringer
316 Longwood Road
Kennett Square, PA 19348

Dear Mr. Obringer:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

316 Longwood Rd
Kennett Sq, PA 19348
Oct 28, 1997

Dear Governor Ridge:

Please get me off PECO's customer list. PECO notified me that I lost the lottery and must stay with them.

If Corbin A. McNeill, Jr. is running the state, then maybe we should vote for him as Governor.

Peeco is #2 highest in Kennett town in the county according to what I've studied.

Get me out of Peeco now please.

Will you help now?

Joseph J. Quain

DEC 02 1997
CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Ms. Emma McNamara
287 Westpark Lane
Clifton Heights, PA 19018

Dear Ms. McNamara:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Ms. Dorothy Jacobs
2212 Tyson Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19149-1920

Dear Ms. Jacobs:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Memo

FROM
DOTTE JACOBS

10/28/97

Dear Governor Ridge:

I thank you for supporting
my right to choose an
electricity provider.

Sincerely

Norothy Jacobs
2212 Dixon Avenue
Phila. Pa. 19149-1920
215-624-7738

DEC 02 1997

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. Lloyd Evans, III
390 Oak Ridge Drive
Quarryville, PA 17566

Dear Mr. Evans:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

October 28, 1997
390 Oak Ridge Drive
Quarryville, PA 17566

Tom Ridge
Governor,
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, Pa 17120

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

Governor:

I have just received in my mail a letter from my former employer the Peco Energy Company, urging me to write to you in favor of its Pennsylvania Plan.

The Peco Energy Company had me do many under handed, despicable and unethical things to my fellow employees during my time with them. I had to follow their instructions in order to preserve my position (Supervisor) and my job.

I totally oppose any plan that the Peco Energy Company proposes to the P.U.C. in regards to electric deregulation. I do not work for them now and I will not let the Peco Energy Company to continue to make decisions for me.

Governor; reject any all all claims and plans that the Peco Energy Company puts up to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

Your Fellow Republican,


Lloyd C. Evans III



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. James Trenton, Sr.
P. O. Box 72
Concordville, PA 19331

Dear Mr. Trenton:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Friday, Nov. 14, 1997

11/14/97


CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
Dear Governor ~~Quain~~:

I want you to know I do
not support the PECO Energy and
the Pennsylvania Plan because it is
not a pro-competition & pro-consumer
plan.

The minute a potential competitor
with a better rate reduction offer
appears on the scene PECO cries "Foul".
How then can we call Their Rate
(PECO's) competitive???

Sound's like the same old
monopoly approach to maintain
& justify higher rates.

Best Regards


P.O. Box 72
Concordville, PA 19331



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mrs. Lois Gentry, R.N.
10914 Kirby Drive
Philadelphia, PA 19154


Dear Ms. Gentry:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,


Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

I do entreat you, ~~to~~ to help all of us, the victims, as it were, of PECO (POW could stand a few revisions, too!)

Thank you for your time in reading this note and thank you for your support of the the Women's Memorial at Arlington. I served as a 1Lt. in the USAF, Nurse Corps during Viet Nam. My contribution was negligible as compared to the Flight Nurses, to all nurses in every war who were right at the front lines.

I hope you're feeling well after your recent Surgery. Thank you again.

Yours sincerely,

(Mrs.) Lois B. Gentry, R.N.

DEC 02 1997

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

10914 Kirby Dr.
Phila. PA 19154
17 Nov 97

Dear Governor Ridge,

As a veteran and a disabled nurse (R.N.) I'm writing to you to request your support of the Choice plan for energy consumption. I've lived in Philadelphia for only twelve years and can't believe the staggering cost of all utilities, especially electricity. The bills keep getting higher but my rate of workers compensation (that's another issue I'd like to address!) stays the same.

As a widow I'm the sole support and caretaker of my 85 year old mother who is on kidney dialysis. No doubt you're aware of the problems of the elderly - providing them with light to see, increased heat to keep them warm. I need not compile their entire list of needs.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

KJR

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. John Ludnorg
938 Tennis Avenue
Ardsley, PA 19038

Dear Mr. Ludnorg:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Governor Ridge,

Thank you, for giving
us the right to choose
electricity providers.

We want the choice plan
because it offers meaning-
ful choices and doubles
the savings of the
Peco plan. please
sent me some more
information.

Thank you
John Lucheng
938 TENNIS AVE
ARDSLEY, PA 19038



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Ms. Patricia Wolfe
106 Whitetail Court
Chalfont, PA 18914-2036

Dear Ms. Wolfe:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

10/29/97

Governor Ridge —

We want freedom
of choice in energy
providers, competition
and service from
one source. The
phone company is too
divided-up. Now
several phone calls to
fix one phone problem.

Thanks — Pat Wolfe



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Ms. Carol Barnosky
Six Zeubel Avenue
Ardenheim, PA 19038

Dear Ms. Barnosky:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

11/18/97

6 Zerkel Ave
Erdenshein PA 19038

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's office
225 Main Capitol Bldg
Harris PA 17120

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

Dear Governor Ridge;

I am requesting that Enron energy corp be given a public hearing and discussion. PECO has been a monopoly too long in this area with little concern for customers.

If in fact the PA Plan is good for PA why is PECO afraid to compete in the free market. Apparently they think that concept is correct when they bid to supply energy to out of state customers.

In the interest of PA citizens I ask that Enron be given the opportunity to present their case.

Sincerely,

Carol Barnocky



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Ms. Gloria Astorga
845 N. Newkirk St.
Philadelphia, PA 19130

Dear Ms. Astorga:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

11/3/97

Dear Gov. Tom Ridge,

Thank you very much for
supporting the right to choose electricity
providers

And I'm very happy & big saving
for me & for PECO PLAN TOO.

THANK VERY MUCH
Gloria Astorga

MR. Gov.

I AM 72 YEARS OLD AND I AM
DEPARTING MY SS. SO I AM VERY HAPPY
ABOUT THIS PLAN.

SAME

G A

DEC 02 1997

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

December 22, 1997

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE
KJR

Ms. Dorteia B. Phelabaum
521 Coolridge St.
New Cumberland, PA 17070

Dear Ms. Phelabaum:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

11/1/97

Gov. Ridge,

I couldn't believe what I heard you say the other day on T.V. How could you possibly figure it would be cheaper buying electricity from another company than Tenn. Power and Light Co. All of the other companies will be using P.P. & L lines. Who will pay for that use & upkeep. Are you being paid a commission by these companies or just not using common sense. My main concern is the older people. Because the Gov. said it I'm sure they feel it must be the correct thing to do. We pay your salary so how about doing the best for us. Please say a prayer for guidance before all your big decisions.

In Christian love,

Dorthea B. Phelabaum



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. James W. Festa
1835 Whitebriar Road
Southampton, PA 18966-4557

Dear Mr. Festa:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

RC

James W. Festa
1835 Whitebriar Rd
Southampton, PA 18966-4557

December 4, 1997

Chairman John M. Quain
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
North Office Building
P. O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1997

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

Dear Mr. Quain

Being a Combustion Turbine Operator and a very curious person, I have been looking at the big picture of electric de-regulation from the beginning and have tried to understand the long term effect, nation wide. Having been an entrepreneur and salesperson, I have a unique outlook that should be considered by those in the decision making process.

My impression in the early stages of de-regulation was that the American Electric Industry went into a state of corporate paranoia. In order to compete in the future unregulated environment, there seemed to be a frenzy to downsize and cut operating and maintenance costs, even to the point of creating some incompetence. This led me to believe that there would be no new power plants built in the future. Under this system, the cost would be unrecoverable. As old nuclear and fossil power plants reach the end of their life cycle, they will be replaced by combustion turbines; which burn natural gas. This will create a greater demand, and possibly shortages of natural gas in the 21st century. The cost of natural gas will then sky rocket.

Having been told that Enron, a major gas supplier, lobbied throughout the country for the de-regulation of the Electric Industry. A question comes to mind. Why would a gas company lobby to de-regulate the Electric Industry? The answer could be that they are conspiring to cause the above mentioned scenario, thereby increasing their natural gas profits. This will eventually cause the cost of generating electricity to rise and actually cost the customers more.

Enron has no generating facilities or transmission lines in this state; therefore, they cannot guarantee reliability. Under Enron's proposal, the PA Consumer will end up with poor service and higher cost.

In summery; it is my feeling that The Pennsylvania Plan is the only way to go.

Sincerely,



James W. Festa

cc: Vice-Chairman Robert K. Bloom



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. Stephan Murphy
211 Carter Drive
West Chester, PA 19382

Dear Mr. Murphy:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

RC

)s4148t0b0s10v1Psmurphy

To: CHAIRMAN
Subject: electric deregulation
Date: Monday, December 08, 1997 5:18PM

Dear John:

When considering your rulings concerning electric deregulation, please keep in mind that it is the companies like PECO who are sweating. A similar situation is going on with COM Electric in Massachusetts, another 13+ cents/kwh supplier who won't be able to compete unless there is favorable political consideration.

For the sake of all Pennsylvanians served by PECO, please work out a solution that doesn't punish them for past joint decisions made between the PUC and PECO, but also, doesn't allow them to recover more than they are due as an excuse to be able to compete. If they can't get their costs in line, that's their own fault, and that's the reality of competition in the marketplace.

Also, I still don't understand why PECO should be allowed to spend money derived from 13 1/2 cents/kwh electricity on TV and radio advertisements bad-mouthing their competition. This must stop immediately!

Steve Murphy

--

Stephan Murphy
West Chester ECRC
211 Carter Drive
West Chester, PA 19382
smurphy@troy.chesco.net http://www.wcecrc.org
610.918.4533



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mrs. Elizabeth Bernardinucci
2345 S. Woodstock St.
Philadelphia, PA 19145

Dear Ms. Bernardinucci:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

2345 South Woodstock Street
Philadelphia, PA 19145
November 20, 1997

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120


Dear Governor Ridge,

I am writing in regards to utility choice. I am a senior citizen on fixed income. I have to survive on Social Security and a very small pension. It is very difficult. I have worked my whole life and like many others have little to show for it.

For the past fifty years I have been subjected to the robber barons at PECO. They have run roughshod over the people of southeastern Pennsylvania. Their rates are and have been a disgrace. Now with a little competition they announce a ten percent reduction over x number of years. When other competitors promise a twenty percent rollback, PECO spends the money extorted from its captive audience for a massive advertisement campaign. Enough of this!

Please give me a Choice! I have been victimized long enough. Please remember your senior citizens. We've given a lot to this state.

Yours truly,



Mrs. Elizabeth M. Berardinucci
Registered Republican

CHAIRMAN CUMMIS
OFFICE



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. Tom Baust
1339 St. Vincent St.
Philadelphia, PA 19111

Dear Mr. Baust:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Tom Baust

1339 St. Vincent St.

Phila., Pa. 19111

215 - 725-7999

DEC 02 1997

Oct 29, 1997

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

Dear Governor Ridge,

I support the right to choose electricity providers and your efforts to encourage competition. I fear, ^{however} that we, as the consumers, will be bombarded with literature and invitations to respond to sales attempts at gaining our business. Is there any way for an independent organization to assess the offers made by competing businesses and to simply list the prices and services that each company would make. Most of us are weary and wary about all the ad campaigns by businesses & organizations. The matter should not be subject to effects gained by spending large sums of money to media blitz the public. Why can't competing business, organizations, and even politicians, be made to put an equal amount of funding into a completely non bias consumer organization that will state the facts instead of telling us only what they think we might want to hear.

Can electrical deregulation be handled any better than other businesses? What a challenge!

Sincerely

Tom Baust

Vocalist. Pianist. Arranger. Actor



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. Raymond Whitty
3124 Chatham St.
Philadelphia, PA 19134

Dear Mr. Whitty:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

10-29-97

**Governor Tom Ridge
225 Main Capital
Harrisburg, Pa. 17120**

Dear sir:

This letter represents my concern over the deregulation of electric suppliers in Pa., specifically PECO. As I understand the system, only a percentage of PECO customers will be allowed to pick a new electric supplier. If this is in fact true, this plan would only allow the participants of the pilot programs to choose their supplier. It would be my request to let everyone choose freely who they want to pay for their services not PECO. Maybe this time the consumer will get the elevator and PECO will get the shaft. As you may have noticed, I *DO NOT* want PECO any longer than necessary. Thank you.

Sincerely,



**Raymond Whitty
3124 Chatham St.
Phila., Pa. 19134**

DEC 02 1997

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. E. Russell Sift
2320 Parkview Avenue
Willow Grove, PA 19090

Dear Mr. Sift:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

If we are to have competition in
Pennsylvania let it be 100% and
not some water down version.

The public should be able to
choose from all the power suppliers.

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

DEC 02 1991

CRussell left



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. Harry Luig, Jr.
3908 Waltham Ct.
Yardley, PA 19067-6386

Dear Mr. Luig:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

October 29, 1997

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA. 17120

DEC 02 1997

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

Dear Governor Ridge,

I'm a registered Republican senior citizen
living in Berks County PA.

I'd like to thank you for two things:

- A. My right to choose an electricity
supplier and
- B. your efforts in the Philadelphia naval
ship yard deal.

Congratulations!

But with regard to the electricity deal I'd
like to have the opportunity provided by the
advertised Choice Plan as opposed to the PSC Plan.

(over)

I'll be watching with interest (noting interest)
the progress of these two things.

Sincerely,

Harry M. Luig Jr.



Mr. Harry Luig
3908 Waltham Ct.
Yardley, PA 19087-6386



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

KJR

Ms. Mary O'Connor
P. O. Box 663
Valley Forge, PA 19482

Dear Ms. O'Connor:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

P O Box 663

Valley Forge, Pa 19482

Oct 28, 1997

Hon T. Ridge

DEC 02 1997

Dear Sir:

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

I am urging you to allow Enron
or some other utility company to provide
service in the Philadelphia area in
competition with PECO.

I had an appointment with PECO
service under a contract and so far no show,
although I called at least ten (10) times
today. My heater is not working and I
do not know how to get service. My service
costs \$143 a year and for what?

Please, please come to our assistance
with permitting an alternative to PECO.

Sincerely,

Mary T. O'Connor



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. and Mrs. James Groomett
Tele-Matic Company, Inc.
430C Pike Road
Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Groomett:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty



Tele-Matic Company, Inc.



430C PIKE ROAD
HUNTINGDON VALLEY, PA 19006
(215) 953-0700 • 1-800-281-6878
FAX (215) 953-0243

10/24/97

Governor Tom Ridge
225 Main Capital
Harrisburg, PA 17120

I, James C. Groomett, demand that you, as Governor of Pennsylvania, allow us to have unrestricted access to any power source for electric that we wish.

James C. Groomett

Margaret Groomett

DEC 02 1997

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Ms. Nancy W. Cantwell
721 Fitzwater St.
Philadelphia, PA 19147-2804

Dear Ms. Cantwell:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

GOVERNOR TOM RIDGE
225 MAIN CAPITOL
HARRISBURG, PA 17120

DEC 02 1997

GOVERNOR:

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

I URGE YOU TO OPPOSE THE PECO ENERGY COMPANY "PENNSYLVANIA PLAN". PECO APPEARS TO BE TRYING TO REESTABLISH A MONOPOLY IN SOUTH EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA IN THE RETAIL ELECTRIC BUSINESS. THEY DO NOT WANT REAL ELECTRIC COMPETITION. PECO IN THE PAST HAS INHIBITED GROWTH IN PENNSYLVANIA WITH THEIR TRADITIONALLY HIGH RATES.

IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT PECO IS MARSHALLING ITS EMPLOYEES AND ANNUITANTS TO WRITE TO YOU IN AN EFFORT TO DERAIL ANY OF THE BENEFITS OF REAL ELECTRIC DEREGULATION IN PENNSYLVANIA. DO NOT LET THIS HAPPEN!

I AM NOT WRITING IN BEHALF OF ANY OF PECO'S EVENTUAL ELECTRIC COMPETITORS. I AM WRITING ON MY OWN BEHALF IN AN EFFORT TO LOWER MY ELECTRIC BILLS. AS IT NOW STANDS; I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT PECO ENERGY HAS THE INTENTION OR ABILITY TO VOLUNTARILY REDUCE ELECTRIC RATES IN THE DELAWARE VALLEY!

AGAIN, I URGE YOU TO REJECT PECO ENERGY'S "PENNSYLVANIA PLAN". PLEASE OPEN PENNSYLVANIA TO AN INDUSTRIAL INFLUX, JOBS AND OPEN PENNSYLVANIA TO REAL ELECTRIC COMPETITION!

YOURS:


NANCY WATSON CANTWELL



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Mr. Jeff Castleman
2035 Beech Lane
Bensalem, PA 19020

Dear Mr. Castleman:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

To: Governor Tom Ridge

**From: Jeff Castleman
2035 Beech Lane
Bensalem, PA 19020**

RE: Energy Choice

Dear Governor Ridge,


I am writing you on my displeasure on how the deregulation of our energy suppliers is being handled. I tried to change my energy company but because of how you let the deregulation proceed, I can't low my energy costs because I wasn't chosen.

The residents of Southeastern Pennsylvania have paid some of the highest energy cost from PECO Energy for years. They have been sticking it to us for years. I was excited to get the opportunity to change my energy company to lower my cost from 10% to 20%. But because you will not deregulate the whole state, I am still stuck with very high rates and will most likely have to pay to make up the money PECO Energy loses with their portion of deregulation. Doesn't seems odd to you that if PECO Energy can give back \$1 BILLION to keep you from deregulating the state that they are OVERCHARGING THE CONSUMER!!!!!!!!!!!!

I voted for you in both elections because you are a Republican and, hopefully, believe in less government. So why are you letting this situation continue?

Please make you lasts weeks in office mean something to your voters by letting all Pennsylvanians choose. Our country was founded on freedom and liberty. Please give us our freedom in this matter.

Sincerely,



Jeff Castleman

DEC 02 1997

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

Ms. Elizabeth Crowell
39 Winding Road
Levittown, PA 19057-3213

R-973953

Dear Ms. Crowell:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Jan 16-1997

Governor Ridge

Why do we have to have
a lottery to charge our electric company?
I have never won a lottery - but I
tried again and failed again.

At 72 plus I do not expect to
live long enough to see fees
reduce our bills 10% to 20%. The only
thing they know to charge more
I would like to change as soon
as possible, any information would
be helpful.

Thank you

Elizabeth B. Crowell
39 Kinding Rd
Levittown
Pa 19057-3213

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 22, 1997

The Honorable H. William DeWeese
The Democratic Leader
House of Representatives - House Post Office
Harrisburg, PA

Dear Representative DeWeese:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

H. WILLIAM DEWEESE
THE DEMOCRATIC LEADER



THE STATE CAPITOL
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020
PHONE: (717) 783-3797

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
HARRISBURG

December 5, 1997

RECEIVED

DEC 05 1997

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

Hon. John Quain, Chairman
PA Public Utility Commission
104 North Office Building
Harrisburg, PA 17105

BY MESSENGER

Dear Chairman Quain:

I am writing to express my support for the "Better Choice Plan" proposed by the PA Campaign for Clean Affordable Energy which has intervened in the PECO restructuring case.

The Better Choice Plan would provide for the assignment of utility customers who fail to choose an electricity provider pursuant to the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act. Rather than automatically assigning these default customers to their current utility, the Better Choice Plan would allocate them among alternative providers who agree to meet certain consumer-oriented and environmental standards. This plan would promote competition and encourage the entry of smaller companies offering energy efficiency and conservation services as well as electricity generated with renewable resources.

I believe this plan is an innovative approach that deserves your careful consideration, and I trust you will recognize its merits when making a final decision in this case.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "H. William DeWeese".

H. William DeWeese
50th Legislative District
The Democratic Leader

HWD:sg

cc: All PUC Commissioners
PA Campaign for Clean Affordable Energy



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 23, 1997

The Honorable Jeffrey W. Coy
Minority Caucus Secretary
House of Representatives
House Post Office - Main Capitol
Harrisburg, PA

Dear Representative Coy:

Thank you for your letter of December 9, 1997, to Chairman John Quain of the Public Utility Commission expressing your viewpoint on a proposal put forth by the Pennsylvania Campaign for Clean Affordable Energy relative to the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing which was decided by the Commission on December 11, 1997.

Your letter was forwarded to me for a response since the Chairman and the other members of the Commission were not able to comment on this matter while it was pending before the Commission. Although a majority of the Commissioners fashioned their own decision and rejected the other proposals filed in this proceeding, please know that I have taken the liberty of forwarding your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion into the official file of this proceeding.

We greatly appreciated the benefit of your remarks on this very important issue.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

cc: Chairman Quain
Secretary McNulty

JEFFREY W. COY
MINORITY CAUCUS SECRETARY



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
HARRISBURG

412 MAIN CAPITOL
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120-2020
PHONE: (717) 787-6526
FORT CHAMBERS BUILDING
70 WEST KING STREET
CHAMBERSBURG, PA 17201
PHONE: (717) 264-3943
39 WEST KING STREET
SHIPPENSBURG, PA 17257
PHONE: (717) 532-1707

December 9, 1997

RECEIVED
DEC 11 1997

John Quain, Chairman
Public Utility Commission
104 North Office
Harrisburg PA 17105

CHAIRMAN QUAIN'S
OFFICE

Dear Commissioner Quain:

I am writing you today to urge that the Public Utility Commission give full and fair consideration to a proposal put forth by the Pennsylvania Campaign for Clean Affordable Energy, relative to the current battle between PECU and ENRON.

The "Campaign" has put forth a reasonable plan for the allocation of customers who fail to choose a utility, thereby ensuring true competition as was the intent of those who voted for electric competition. Their "Better Choice Plan" would give customers the option to select alternative electricity suppliers.

If the current utility's market share exceeds 50%, the non-choosing customers would be allocated among those electricity suppliers successfully obtaining customers during the first selection phase. Each electricity supplier would be allocated a share of the default customers in proportion to the share of customers it obtained in the first selection step if they meet certain standards that advance important public interest objectives.

Thank you for your consideration of this important request.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Jeffrey W. Coy".

JEFFREY W. COY
State Representative
89th Legislative District

JWC/SMC/cr



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 23, 1997

Mr. Joseph Herr
Management Resources
712 Inverness Drive
Horsham, PA 19044

R-973953

Dear Mr. Herr;

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,


Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty ✓

FROM : MANAGEMENT RESOURCES

PHONE NO. : 23043303634423443490

P01



Dec 10, 1997

Dear Mr Tom Ridge, File # 717-772-8284

Director's Office
Harrisburg, Pa. Re: Peco Energy Plan

I thank you for the opportunity of changing my energy supplier. I will drop Peco as soon as possible. They should not be allowed to write off 6 Billion ^{per} ~~per~~ charge this to us because of their just mistakes.

Peco has been charging me 40% more than any other utility within 200 miles.

Please help me!

Sincerely,
Joseph P. Adams



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Paul Kehler
Four Langdon Lane
Narberth, PA 19072

Dear Mr. Kehler:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

auc

Date: 11/28/97
Sender: <pwklak@bellatlantic.net>
To: GOVEMAIL
Priority: Normal
Subject: Pennsylvania Web Server Email

Paul Kehler
pwklak@bellatlantic.net
610-667-3987
4 Langdon Lane
Narberth PA 19072

=====
November 28, 1997

Dear Governor Ridge,
I am totally against the PECO energy plan of recapturing stranded cost in their neuclear facility. Rate payers in PECO territory have paid one of the highest cost in the nation for electricity because of PECO's poor management. To allow PECO to recapture cost for their blunder would not only place an unfair burden upon PECO rate payers but would also give PECO an unfair advantage against other electric utilities in Pennsylvania. In my opinion, the ENRON plan gives the electric rate payer a better break than PECO's plan.

I would urge you and the PUC not to allow any stranded cost recovery and force PECO to sell their nuclear plant and lease back the operation at the current value as (Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co-Centerior Energy) were forced to do by the Ohio PUC.
Thanks for your consideration
Paul Kehler



RFC822.TXT



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. and Mrs. Gerald McKenna
135 W. Lehman Avenue
Hatboro, PA 19040

Dear Mr. and Mrs. McKenna:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Nov. 24, 1997

Gov. Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Bldg
Harrisburg, Pa 17120

Dear Gov. Ridge

This is a short note seeking your endorsement
of the Enron Choice Plan.

Why? Because I am convinced that it will
be good for my wife and I who have been Peco rate
payers for 46 years - paying the highest rates in Pa.

And their recent full page ads in the Phila.
Inquirer are blatant Hypocrisy. Reason enough?

I thank you for your support.

Sincerely

Helen & Gerald P. McKenna
135 W. Lehman Ave
Hatboro, Pa. 19040



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Richard Livingston
403 Valley Hill Road
Exton, PA 19341

Dear Mr. Livingston:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

RL

Richard Livingston
403 Valley Hill Road
Exton, PA 19341
(610) 524-1976

November 23, 1997

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Governor Ridge:

I've recently become aware of decision that you must influence by the end of this year. I'm referring to the PUC's pending review of the PECO "Partial Settlement" planned and the Enron "Choice Plan".

My understanding of the issues - suggests that PECO is blocking and honest attempt at creating legitimate free market competition. PECO's recent adds with a quick-talking con artist type Texan promising to 'just trust me', insults my intelligence and suggests to me that PECO has no legitimate argument to present to counter Enron's plan.

Personally, I'm saddened by having seen this once proud organization (PECO) groveling in the mud, protecting a declining technology capability and a plummeting public image. The time has come for competition to force our utilities to be innovative and aggressive or relinquish the preferred position graced on them by the Public Utility Commission.

Please support the Choice Plan. Let's get our Utilities back to place where they must recognize that they provide services to the public on a fair priced basis, and not as a monopoly that prevents any sensible alternative.

Thank you,

Respectfully,

Richard Livingston
DickLCEI@erols.com



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Frank Elliott
425 Ramsey Road
Yardley, PA 19067

Dear Mr. Elliott:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

425 Ramsey Road
Yardley, Pa., 19067
Nov. 21, 1997

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, Pa., 17120


Dear Gov. Ridge

I hope that you will support Enron's bid to be allowed to serve residents of Bucks County. Their Choice Plan would reduce rates appreciably and is considerably more favorable than the one proposed by PECO.

PECO has derided the Enron plan as being offered by outsiders. They have also said at the end of a clever piece of negative advertising that we should trust them rather than Enron. Since PECO has consistently charged more than most utilities in the US and only came up with the lower rate after they were faced with competition, their add doesn't add confidence to any prediction that they would now begin behaving in a different fashion.

Please do support the Choice Plan which Enron has filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Frank N. Elliott", written over the typed name.

Frank N. Elliott



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Ms. Laura Tardow
5814 N. Fairhill Street
Philadelphia, PA 19120-1311

Dear Ms. Tardow:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

5814 N. Fairview St
Phila, Pa. 19120-1311
November 19, 1997

Dear Mr. Tom Ridge;

I'm sure if there's a alternative way for customers to save on enormous electric bills, they would appreciate it very much.

For the benefit of the Electric Customers I hope you will vote for the Choice Plan.

Thank You,
Laura Jordan



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

RECEIVED
JAN 05 1998

Ms. Ann Liberatore
412 King of Prussia Road
Wayne, PA 19087

Office of Prothonotary
Public Utility Commission


Dear Ms. Liberatore:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,


Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty ✓

11/29/97

GOV TOM RIDGE
GOV.'S OFC.

225 MAIN CAPITOL BLDG.

Hbg PA 17120

I WOULD LIKE TO EXPRESS
MY EXTREME DISGUST WITH
THE ELEC. CO. PECO.

THEY HAVE BEEN OVER CHARGING
FOR YRS. NOW - SUDDENLY -
THEY CAN CUT PRICES BY
10%.

THEY WANT THE CONSUMER
TO PAY FOR THEIR ERRORS -

THIS IS A FREE ENTER-
PRISE COUNTRY & WE SHOULD
BE ALLOWED TO CHOOSE OUR

ELECTRICITY COMPANY -

I would go with AN-
other company EVEN
if their rates were
higher -

Ann Liberatore
412 KING OF PRUS. RD
WAYNE PA 19087



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Richard Palski
504 Woodview Dr.
Exton, PA 19341

Dear Mr. Palski:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

20 Nov 1997

Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, Pa. 17120

Dear Governor Tom Ridge

Please endorse the Enron "Choice Plan" which is filed with the Pa. Utilities Commission. The "Choice Plan" offers significant cost savings to the Philadelphia PECO consumers whom have been paying some of the highest energy bills in the country.

Thank you ever so much



Richard R. Palski
504 Woodview Dr.
Exton, Pa. 19341



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. James Wescott
741 Haines Lane
Springfield, PA 19064

Dear Mr. Wescott:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

James P. Wescott
741 Haines Lane
Springfield, Pennsylvania 19064

November 17, 1997


The Honorable Thomas J. Ridge
Governor of Pennsylvania
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Governor Ridge:

Please provide us with real electric utility deregulation by permitting the ENRON proposal to a part of the Pennsylvania deregulation plan.

Thank you for your time.

Very truly yours,


James P. Wescott

JPW/In
ENRON PROPOSAL-RIDGE



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Anthony Zalesky
406 Belle Lane
Harleysville, PA 19438

Dear Mr. Zalesky:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

ANTHONY J. ZALESKY
406 BELLE LAKE
HARLEYSVILLE, PA 19438
215-513-0643

11/24/97

GOVERNOR TOM RIDGES
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE
225 MAIN CAPITOL BLDG
HARRISBURG, PA 17120

RE: CHOICE PLAN

DEAR GOVERNOR:

AS A FELLOW REPUBLICAN, I BELIEVE WE BOTH FEEL STRONGLY ABOUT FREE ENTERPRISE. PECO HAS HAD A HAMMER LOCK ON THIS AREA FOR FAR TOO LONG. THEIR RATES HAVE BEEN THE HIGHEST IN THE NATION.

PLEASE CONSIDER ENDORSING THE CHOICE PLAN. I WANT TO PAY LOWER RATES AND HAVE MORE CHOICES. LET'S HAVE COMPETITION.

Sincerely

Anthony J. Zalesky



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Mark Vernachio
520 Cedar Hill Road
Ambler, PA 19002

Dear Mr. Vernachio:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiaverta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Full

Date: 11/19/97
Sender: <mvare@voicenet.com>
To: GOVEMAIL
Priority: Normal
Subject: Pennsylvania Web Server Email

mark vernachio
mvare@voicenet.com
2156289118
520 cedar hill road
ambler pa 19002

=====

Dear Governor Ridge,

I am happy that we are going to be able to select our own electric company. That's freedom and that's what America is all about. There is a plan called Choice Plan (Enron) which looks like it will save about 20% off of PECO prices.

I would like the option to use them. Thanks for your time and bringing freedom back to every American.



RFC822.TXT



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Russell McKinnon
220 North Creek Road
Landenberg, PA 19350

Dear Mr. McKinnon:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

auc

Date: 11/21/97
Sender: <lmemurphy@aol.com>
To: GOVEMAIL
Priority: Normal
Subject: Pennsylvania Web Server Email

Russell McKinnon
lmemurphy@aol.com
(610)274-2074
220 North Creek Road
Landenberg PA 19350

=====

Dear Governor Ridge,

I am writing to show my support for the Choice Plan filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission. From the information I have gathered from PECO, Enron Corp., PUC and various other utility companies I have come to the conclusion that the Choice Plan offers the greatest benefit to the people of Pennsylvania. to quote Enron Corp., "PECO gets everything it bargained for- Enron pays PECO \$5.461 billion for its stranded costs, and PECO gets to keep all its assets." What could be more simple? If PECO gets its stranded costs and has a level playing field, it has nothing to lose. Let me know your thoughts.
Sincerely, Russell



RFC822.TXT



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Jim Fagan
3790 Rotherfield Lane
Chadds Ford, PA 19317

Dear Mr. Fagan:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

3790 Rotherfield Lane
Chadds Ford, PA 19317
Phone: 302 87-836

**PECO Overcharged
Customers**

Fax

To: Governor Tom Ridge

From: Jim Fagan

Fax: 1 772 8284

Date: November 22, 1997

Phone:

Pages: 1

Re:

CC:

Urgent **For Review** **Please Comment** **Please Reply** **Please Recycle**

•Comments:

PECO customers need your assistance to insure the PUC makes the right choice in which energy plan is approved related to how electricity will be provided to Eastern Pennsylvania businesses and voters.

The PUC cannot alone be trusted to make this important decision as the PUC is the government organization that has allowed PECO to charge the highest electric rates in Pennsylvania and the third highest in the country. The PUC is too closely aligned with PECO to be objective of Pennsylvania voters needs.

Major reduction in electricity rates will only come from free market competition. The Enron Choice Plan will require that energy providers control their cost or go out of business. Lowering the cost of energy to Pennsylvania business is probably the second most effective way of stimulating PA's economy, (the first way of course would be to reduce the state budget and taxes). I am hopeful that as Governor protecting Pennsylvanian jobs by lowering operational cost to business would be high on your agenda.

What ever your can do to insure the Enron Choice Plan is adopted would be appreciated by your supporters.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. and Mrs. Daniel Castor
Castor Radiology, P.C.
7310 Castor Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19152

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Castor:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

CASTOR RADIOLOGY P C
7310 CASTOR Ave
Phila , Pa 19152
tele #215-725-2202
fax #215-725-5254

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

1 TOTAL PAGES (INC. COVER)

TO Governor Tom Ridge

FROM CASTOR RADIOLOGY P.C. / Helma + Daniel Bisano

DATE 11/21/97

Governor Ridge

Please Endorse the Choice Plan. Give Enron a chance. Too long we have had to put up with BFCO's high rates & poor service! We deserve a 20% rate decrease!
Please endorse the Choice Plan!



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. David Kriska
551 S. Braddock Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15221

Dear Mr. Kriska:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Jul

Date: 12/8/97
Sender: <cci@nauticom.net>
To: GOVEMAIL
Priority: Normal
Subject: Pennsylvania Web Server Email

David Kriska
cci@nauticom.net
4122471660
551 S. Braddock Ave.
Pittsburgh PA 15221

=====

DEAR HONORABLE GOV. RIDGE:
YOU PROMISED THAT UTILITY DEREGULATION WOULD BRING ABOUT REAL COMPETITION AND LOWER ELECTRIC RATES. PLEASE KEEP YOUR PROMISE.
I VERY MUCH WANT REAL COMPETITION AND CLEAN AIR AND WATER - I SUPPORT THE ENVIRONMENTALISTS "BETTER CHOICE PLAN". I HOPE THAT YOU WILL TOO. IT IS THE BALANCE THAT IS SO DRASTICALLY NEEDED IN TODAY'S POLITICAL ARENA.

YOUR DECISION WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE THAT FUTURE GENERATIONS WILL THANK YOU FOR.

SINCERELY,

DAVID KRISKA



RFC822.TXT



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mrs. Barbara Benner
540 Lawrence Road
Havertown, PA 19083

Dear Ms. Benner:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.


Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty



a note from Barbara

12/1/97

Gov. Ridge,

I am in hopes you in favor of the
Choice Plan for Gas and Electric.
I urge you to endorse this new plan

Thank you

(Mrs.) Barbara Bender
540 Lawrence Rd
Havertown, Pa. 19083



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Robert A. Squillace, Jr.
145 E. Marthart Avenue
Havertown, PA 19083

Dear Mr. Squillace:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Dec 1, 1997

To: Gov. Tom Ridge

717 772 8284

From: Robert A. Squillace Jr
145 E. Marthart Ave
Havertown PA 19083

Dear Gov Ridge,

I have read the fact sheet from ENRON regarding
The Choice Plan. As a consumer I would like to
save 20% on my electric Bill as well as see real open
competition in choosing an electric supplier. I ask that you
Endorse the Choice Plan.

Best Regards.

Robert A. Squillace



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. and Mrs. James Gormley
8615 Alicia St.
Philadelphia, PA 19115-4101

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Gormley:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty



Mr. & Mrs. James J. Gormley
8615 Alicia Street
Philadelphia, PA 19115-4101

Dec. 1, 1997

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main St
Harrisburg, PA

Dear Governor Ridge,

As consumers of electricity
in Philadelphia, we would
like you to ENDORSE the
Choice Plan.

Thank you,
Elsie G. Gormley
James J. Gormley

Re: Choice Plan
Re: Public Utilities Commission



7600 Central Avenue • Philadelphia, PA 19111 • (215) 725-2300



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mrs. J. Cissorsky
10 Indian Red Road
Levittown, PA 19057

Dear Mrs. Cissorsky:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

10 Indian Real Rd.
Levittown, Pa
19057

Nov 20, 1997

Dear Tom Ridge:

We want to thank
you for giving us a choice
of Electric Companies. We
were a 57 yr customer of
Peco Energy & now want
to make a change. Hope
we can now become a
Enron customer & have
lower bills.

Thank you
Mrs J. Cissorsky



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Wolfgang Konkel
40 Gatehouse Road
Holland, PA 19866

Dear Mr. Konkel:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavatta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

40 Gatehouse Road
Holland, PA 19866
November 24, 1997


Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Governor Ridge:

I am a Pennsylvania resident living in Bucks County. I am writing to you because I am paying the highest utility rate in the country and object to PECO's opposition to the **Enron Choice Plan**. We're paying the highest utility rates in the country because of poor decisions on the behalf of PECO, not the consumers. I object that PECO opposes the **Enron Choice Plan** which provides them a way out of stranded costs and at the same time saves consumers a significant amount of their hard earned money.

I strongly urge you to endorse the **Enron Choice Plan**. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Very truly yours,



Wolfgang J. Konkel



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

J. J. Kelly
24 Winding Lane
Feasterville, PA 19053-6322

Dear J. J. Kelly:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

November 23, 1997

PA Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Office Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Electric Provider Choice Plans

Dear Governor Ridge:

As I see it, the ENRON CHOICE PLAN is good for Pennsylvania.


PECO Energy is making false claims and advertising these claims.
ENRON is not making a hostile PECO takeover bid. ENRON is only ready to provide lower costs to PA consumers on an open market.

Why could PECO Energy not provide lower rates before this? PECO Energy suddenly finds it can reduce charges! What happened to the overcharging they have done over the years? I believe PECO Energy has been hostile to their customers.

Using ENRON as a provider will ensure that PECO ENERGY collects only its "stranded costs" and not more hidden-in-its-higher-rates. PECO should not even be allowed recovery of these costs. It seems to this writer that "stranded costs" is another name for "bad capital investment decisions", not really costs stranded because of the competition change. Their owner-investors should be absorbing these costs.

Thanking you in advance for your attention.

Very truly yours;


J J Kelly

24 Winding Lane
Feasterville, PA 19053-6322



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Paul Naftulin
1852 Greymont Street
Philadelphia, PA 19116

Dear Mr. Naftulin:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

PAUL NAFTULIN
1852 GREYMONT STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19116
(215) 698-1365

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Governor Ridge:

This is a request, urging you to endorse the Choice Plan. This plan compensates PECO for stranded costs of \$5.461 billion and prevents PECO over-recovering these costs at the expense of Philadelphia consumers. This information was supplied to me by The ENRON CORP.

PECO is running TV ads depicting their competitors as untrusting outsiders, when PECO is doing basically the same in Massachusetts. All the players should be on the same level field and maybe we can get some financial relief for a better climate to have heavy industry, like the ship building deal at the Phila. Naval Yard, come to OUR GREAT STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA.

Yours truly,



Paul Naftulin

November 21 1997



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Te-Chow Lei
P. O. Box 298
14 Hunters Lane
Chadds Ford, PA 19317

Dear Te-Chow Lei:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Te-Chow Lei
P. O. Box 298
14 Hunters Lane
Chadds Ford, PA 19317

November 20, 1997


Honorable Thomas Ridge
Governor of Pennsylvania
Governor's Office
225 Main Capital Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Governor Ridge:

I am a Pennsylvania resident and am paying a high price for electricity which is provided by PECO. I have recently been informed about the "Enron Choice Plan." This plan would reduce our electric bill by 20%, and we would continue to receive the same reliable service that we are now receiving. PECO opposes the "Choice Plan." They continue to charge us high rates and to deny us to be able to make a real choice.

I strongly urge you as the Pennsylvania Governor to endorse the "Choice Plan" that will benefit the Pennsylvanians and will allow us to save 20% on our monthly electrical bills. Please act now!

Sincerely,



Te-Chow Lei



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Albert Stussy
1911 E. Arizona Street
Philadelphia, PA 19125

Dear Mr. Stussy:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

11/20/97

Dear Governor,

Please endorse the
'Choice Plan' for Pennsylvania.
This 'Choice Plan'
opposes the Peco Plan & I understand
that the amount of reduction is
twice the Peco Plan.



Mr. Albert Stussy
1911 E. Arizona St.
Philadelphia, PA 19125

Thank you,
Albert Stussy



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. John A. Snyder
10 Red Tail Court
Limerick, PA 19468

Dear Mr. Snyder:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chjavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

11-19-97

J. A. SNYDER & SONS
10 RED TAIL CT.
LIMERICK, PA 19468

Honorable Gov. Tom Ridge,

I have been paying PECO
Energy bills for 47 years,
that have exceeded the
national average close to
50%.

It's time to protect
Pennsylvanians from PECO.

I urge you to endorse
Enron Corporation's Choice
Plan.

Thank you,

John A. Snyder



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. N. Sookiasian
636 Perimeter Drive
Downingtown, PA 19335

Dear Mr. Sookiasian:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

FROM THE DESK OF

11-18-97

N. SOOKIASIAN

Dear Governor Ridge:

Please endorse the "Choice Plan".

Gas + Electric rates under PECO
are much too high. Market
competition would be effective
in bringing rates down.

Thank You,

Mr. N. Sookiasian

636 PERIMETER DR.

DOWNINGTOWN, PA. 19335



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Toby Royston
570 Colebrook Road
Exton, PA 19341

Dear Mr. Royston:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty


FROM: Toby Royston (Mrs.)
570 Colebrook Road
Exton, Pa 19341

TO: Governor Tom Ridge

I urge you to endorse the "Choice Plan". I live more than forty miles from Philadelphia and see no reason why I must buy power from Peco Energy.

My bills average \$400. monthly. and Peco insists that is fair billing.

Sincerely,


Toby Royston



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Edward Muzyka
2947 Gilham Street
Philadelphia, PA 19149

Dear Mr. Muzyka:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty



John Hancock
MUTUAL
LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY
OF BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

PAUL F. CLARK, PRESIDENT

EDWARD S. MUZYKA

RM. 221, BERD TR. CORN. EX. BK. BLDG., 4700 FRANKFID AV., FRANKFID, PHILA., PA.

OFFICE TELEPHONE

CUMBERLAND 8-7500 & 8-7501

HOME TELEPHONE

MAYFAIR 4-1379

DEAR GOVERNOR

PLEASE INSTITUTE

THE CHOICE PLAN FOR ELECTRIC CUSTOMERS

THANKING YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR ANTICIPATED
COOPERATION.

Sincerely

Edward S. Muzyka

(P.S. A REGISTERED REPUBLICAN)



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. William Jury
907 Glenview Street
Philadelphia, PA 19111


Dear Mr. Jury:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

GOVERNOR TOM RIDGE :-

DEAR SIR :-

KINDLY I URGE YOU TO
ENDORSE THE CHOICE PLAN

PECO HAS BEEN OVER
CHARGING US FOR YEARS

OPEN THE WHOLE ELECTRICITY
PROBLEM TO COMPETITION

THANK YOU

William P. Jung

WILLIAM P. JUNG

907 GLENVIEW ST

PHILA. PA. 19111

PHONE 215 - 342 - 6745



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. William A. Wood
103 Beaumont Drive
Newtown, PA 18940

Dear Mr. Wood:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

December 1, 1997


Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Governor Ridge:

I urge you to endorse the Choice Plan submitted by Enron and relieve we customers from the seemingly never ending extortion of residential customers by PECO. Let us have real competition and free us (as near as possible) from PECO's oppression.

I also wish to thank you for the way you have handled your job and think you have been a fine Governor.

Thank You,



William A. Wood
103 Beaumont Dr.
Newtown, PA 18940



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

S. W. Piccione
3026 Sheffield Drive
Norristown, PA 19401


Dear S. W. Piccione:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

12/1/97

Dear Governor Ridge:

I'm seeing a lot of negative ads on TV by PECO regarding the ENRON proposal. Is this a case of "where there is smoke there is fire"? In other words does PECO have something to worry about from the ENRON proposal because the deal we ratepayers got from PECO could be better?

Sincerely,

S. W. Piccione



3026 Sheffield Dr.
Norristown, Pa. 19401



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Robert J. Dorazio
804 Lorraine Drive
Springfield, PA 19064

Dear Mr. Dorazio:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

INDEPENDENT
REPRESENTATIVE**A FAX FROM:**

Robert J. Dorazio
Executive Field Trainer
804 Lorraine Dr.
Springfield, PA 19064
(610) 328-5481
Fax (610) 544-6650
Pager (610) 907-5156

TO: GOVERNOR TOM RIDGEFROM: ROBERT DORAZIODATE: 12-1-97RETURN PHONE/FAX NUMBER: 610-544-6650RE: DEAR MR. RIDGE

WE MUST ALLOW THE ENRON CO. TO
COMPETE IN THE CHOICE WITH OUR
ELECTRICITY THE MONOPOLY HAS GONE
ON TO LONG.

SUPPORTER OF GOV. RIDGERobert J. Dorazio



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Nicholas Perrone
Eight Cherry Lane
Newtown Square, PA 19073

Dear Mr. Perrone:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

8 Cherry Lane
Newtown Square, Pennsylvania 19073
(610) 325-7324
FAX (610) 325-8118

December 1, 1997

Governor Thomas Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Energy Competition


Dear Governor Ridge:

We have just moved to the State of Pennsylvania in the last few months.

Having lived at various times in New York City and the Maryland area in the suburbs of Washington, D.C., we're astounded at the cost of electrical energy we are presented with here outside of Philadelphia. It would appear that PECO is providing energy at a most exorbitant rate. Any measures which your office could take to enhance competition in providing the public with much more reasonable energy costs, would be much appreciated.

The same observation applies with respect to local telephone service, but I believe that is more of a federal issue. Anything which the State can do to enhance competition in local telephone service, as well as energy costs, would be very much in the public interest.

Yours sincerely,



Nicholas Perrone

NP/rv



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Father John A. Limberakis
Church of St. Sophia/SS. Faith, Hope & Agape
900 South Trooper Road
Norristown, PA 19403-3636

Dear Father Limberakis:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty



CHURCH OF ST. SOPHIA/SS. FAITH, HOPE & AGAPE

GREEK ORTHODOX PARISH OF VALLEY FORGE

900 South Trooper Road/Norristown, PA 19403-3636

Tel. 610.650-8960 • Fax 610.650-8962

Fr. John A. Limberakis, Protopresbyter • Pastor's Study 215.885-0338



2 December 1997

**Hon. Thomas Ridge, Governor
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120**

Dear Governor:

On behalf of our people, we should like to encourage your administration to allow *ENRON*, the Texas firm that is now attempting to compete with *PECO*, to engage freely in the system by which America has become a world power; its name, *Capitalism*.

As of late (see copies of letters to [*The Philadelphia Inquirer*], *PECO* has been resorting to advertisements in an attempt to sway public opinion. The fact of the matter is, and the fact is indisputable, that *PECO* all these decades has been charging its customers rates among the highest in the nation.

***ENRON* promises a 20 percent savings to its potential customers; the PUC should allow *ENRON* every opportunity to state its case. Decisions ought to be made on the basis of the best interest of the consumer.**

Very sincerely,

(Fr.) JOHN A. LIMBERAKIS, Protopresbyter

cc: J.K. Skilling, *ENRON* president and COO



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Horst Zodrow
530 Fairhill Drive
Churchville, PA 18966

Dear Mr. Zodrow:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

HORST T. ZODROW 530 FAIRHILL DRIVE, CHURCHVILLE, PA 18966 - Telephone 215-355-2458

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

VIA FAX 717-772-8284

December 4, 1997

RE: Electricity Rates


For too long we PECO customers have been paying outrageous rates, much more than the going rates of other Pennsylvania suppliers, because the PECO management made blunderous business decisions in the past, for which we consumers have been and apparently are going to pay for even with their original plan, which anyhow for most of their still captive customers, except for the 75,000 pilot program participants, is not going into effect soon. If I would have gone about to make such decisions in my business, customers would have run away as fast as they could.

The reasons why such high rates are being charged are understandable. But why should we bail PECO out? Although ENRON states that their offer is not a takeover attempt, it appears to me that a PECO liquidation is not such a bad idea, and I am sure that with other sensible management and leadership the business could be viable and profitable without charging undue high rates. The other companies are doing it already for a long time and their P & L sheets seem to be in order.

I am paying now 14.8 Cents/kwh residential rate. A 10% discount gets it to 13.32 Cents, a 20% discount to 11.84 Cents. That is still a far cry from the next highest rate in Pennsylvania (Pittsburgh) of 9.74 Cents, representing a 34.19% (!) lower rate than I am paying now, with all the associated distribution costs included, not to talk about the lowest cost of 6.5 Cents in Latrobe. Can you understand that with these calculations in mind I feel abused by PECO and their associated parties?

Therefore PECO customers would really appreciate, if you have the PUC and the other involved parties take another close, politically unbiased look at the PECO books and their rate reduction plan. Why can ENRON with their CHOICE plan offer twice the discount of PECO's? And this may be only the beginning of rate decreases as the competition among all other power providers heats up.

Sincerely



Horst T. Zodrow



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. James D. Passaretti
2328 Napfle Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19152

Dear Mr. Passaretti:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

2328 NAPFLE AVE. PHILA. PA. 19152
Phone: 215-338-7649
Fax: 215-338-7649

AT HOME

Fax

To: GOVENOR RIDGE

From: JAMES D. PASSARETTI

Fax: 1-717-772-8284

Date: DECEMBER 10,1997

Phone: 1-717-787-2500

Pages: 1

Re: ENRON ENGERY PLAN

CC: JAMES D. PASSARETTI

Urgent **For Review** **Please Comment** **Please Reply** **Please Recycle**

•DEAR GOVERNOR RIDGE I AM FAXING YOU TO URGE YOU TO ENDORSE THE ENRON ENGERY PLAN FOR ELECTRICITY FOR PA. PECO ENGERY HAS CONTINUED TO RAISE RATES ON UTILITY SERVICES . AS A RESIDENT OF PA. WITH A FAMILY IT IS VERY HARD TO KEEP UP WITH OUR BILLS . ALOWER ELECTRIC RATE WILL HELP MY FAMILY AND MANY OTHERS PLEASE HELP US MAKE THE ENRON CHOICE A REALITY .THANK YOU THE PASSARETTI FAMILY



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Frank Conti
1418 Brambl Lane
West Chester, PA 19380

Dear Mr. Conti:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Nov. 20, 1997

Tom Ridge-
Governor

I would like Cimron
to participate in the Choice
Plan.

If Peco can spend
hundreds of thousands of
dollars on negative adve-
rtising against Cimron, I
want Cimron.

I smell a rat in the
Peco woodpile

Respectfully
Frank Conti
1418 Bramble Ln.
West Chester, PA. 19380



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Raymond Shane
137 Primos Avenue
Folcroft, PA 19032

Dear Mr. Shane:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Nov. 26, 1997

Governor Ridge,

I am writing you to urge
you to endorse the Choice
Plan. We need the lower
rates. Thank you,

Raymond Share
137 Primos Ave.
Folcroft, Pa. 19032



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. and Mrs. Lucio Monaco
8732 Ditman Street
Philadelphia, PA 19136

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Monaco:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

November 25, 1997

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, Pa, 17120

Dear Governor Ridge:

We want to thank you
for supporting our right to choose
electric suppliers. We want the
CHOICE Plan because it doubles
the savings of the PECO Plan.
PECO has been overcharging us
for too long. They have the
highest rates in Pennsylvania
and in the U.S. so it's long
overdue for us to get a break.

Also, car insurance rates
in Philadelphia are the highest
in the U.S. Can you please
do something about them also?

Thanking you sincerely for



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Richard Knight
400 Madison Avenue
Penndel, PA 19047

Dear Mr. Knight:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Richard S Knight
400 Madison Ave
Penn del, PA 19047

Dec. 1, 1997

Governor Ridge,

Please endorse the
"Choice Plan" to
help offer the
opportunity to reduce
my electric rates.

Sincerely,

Richard Knight



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mrs. Margaret Borden
240 Barcladen Circle
Rosemont, PA 19010

Dear Mrs. Borden:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiaetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Mrs. Margaret P. Borden
240 Barcladen Circle
Rosemont, Pa 19010
U.S.A.

240 Barcladen Circle
Rosemont Pa. 19010

Dec 1, 1987

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Bldg
Harrisburg Pa 17120

Dear Tom,

Just a short note to let
you know that I am interested
in a 20% lower utility Rates.

For many years I have paid
too much for electricity so I am
looking forward to your co-operation
in this matter & I trust you
will help me.

Thank you

Sincerely

Mrs. Margaret P. Borden



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Joseph DeFrancisco
1381 Beechwood Road
Newtown Square, PA 19073

Dear Mr. DeFrancisco:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Dec 3, 1997

Dear Governor Ridge,

Please please endorse the Enron Choice Plan. I am a senior citizen who can no longer afford Peco's high prices. Too many years I have overpaid. I like Enron's plan for all consumers - greater choice & lower prices, provides more of a level playing field for all competitors - it allows Peco full recovery of its agreed to stranded costs and it returns excess recovery to consumers - NOT to PECO's Income!

The Enron choice is the best choice. Lower Rates and greater choice!

Joseph De Francisco
138 Beechwood Rd
Newtown Sq. Pa. 19073



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. and Mrs. Steve Huffman
1526 S. 13th St.
Philadelphia, PA 19147

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Huffman:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiaetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

12/4/97
1526 S. 13th.
Phila. PA.
19147

Gov. Ridge -

WE do not trust PECO.

WE don't know about other Elect.
Companies. But WE do believe
That competition is usually
~~is~~ a good thing.

Please do the right
thing for all Pa. residents
when you decide how Electric Co's
will be selling power in PA.

Thank You -
Lone Republicans in
Phila.
STEVE + MARY HUFFMAN



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. and Mrs. Fred Hahn
84 Basswood Ct.
Warrington, PA 18976

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Hahn:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

TO GOVERNOR Tom Ridge
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE
225 MAIN CAPITAL BUILDING
HARRISBURG Pa. 17120

MR + MRS FRED HAHN
24 BASSWOOD CT.
WARRINGTON, Pa. 18976

SIR,

We Have Been Hearing AND Reading
ABOUT P.E.CO. MY WIFE AND I FEEL
IT WOULD SERVE US BETTER IF YOU
WOULD ENDORSE THE CHOICE PLAN.
WE ARE SENIOR CITIZENS AND MONEY IS
TIGHT.

Thanking you Sincerely,


Fred Hahn



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Joseph Pezzotti
Six Linden Drive
Broomall, PA 19008


Dear Mr. Pezzotti:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Governor Tom Ridge ()

12-4-97

Please endorse the Electricity Choice Plan filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission. I believe we have a better chance to save money, when there is a truly competitive market, and be able to choose that company

JOSEPH D. PEZZOTTI
8 LINDEN DRIVE
BROOMALL, PA 19008

Sincerely

Joseph Pezzotti



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Ms. M. Jean Gavin
1014 Rhawn St.
Philadelphia, PA 19111-2629

Dear Ms. Gavin:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

12/2/97

Dear Governor Ridge:

Please endorse the Choice Plan
for utility rates.
Thank you!

Sincerely,
M. Jean Gavin
1814 Rhawn St.
Phila., Pa. 19111-2629
215-342-5275



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Ms. Denise Jubilee
7012 McCallum Street
Philadelphia, PA 19119

Dear Ms. Jubilee:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

I am writing on behalf of FREE AND FAIR Utility company competition. PECO has been granted one unfair advantage over the previous advantages. It is only fair to give other companies a fair chance.

Please Endorse the ENRON CHOICE PLAN!

Thank You,

Denise Jubilee
7012 McCallum ST.
Philadelphia, PA



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Ivan Szenes
2420 Stoney Brook Lane
Drexel Hill, PA 19026-1619

Dear Mr. Szenes:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capital Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: ENRON CORP.'S CHOICE PLAN

Dear Governor Ridge,

November 24 1997

Nobody is against PECO Energy to make profit. It is only natural that a Company has to make profit or else they will go out of business and nobody wish that on PECO Energy. However PECO Energy has either the highest or one of the highest rate in the country.

PECO Energy's long and hard "bargaining" with your office gives them very little profit to loose and gives us the customers very little to gain even in the long run. customers

In more than four(4) years we will only gain the total of seventeen percent (17%) that is why it was a "long and hard bargaining" with your office.

On the other hand Enron Corp.'s Choice Plan would offer us thirty-four percent (34%) in the same fifty-two(52) months period. PECO Energy calls this" a promise they cannot possibly deliver" I do not think that a large Company like Enron Corporation would make an offer to Philadelphia which "they cannot possibly deliver".

Your kind consideration of Enron's Choice Plan would be greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

copy:myself

Ivan Szenes

Ivan Szenes
2420 Stoney Brook Lane
Drexel Hill, PA 19026-1619

enclosed: The Enron Choice Plan



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Ines Olivares and Chris Wagner
1621 Winston Road
Gladwyne, PA 19035


Dear Ines Olivares and Chris Wagner:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

November 24, 1997
1621 Winston Road
Gladwyne, Pa. 19035

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: ENRON'S CHOICE PLAN – PHILADELPHIA REGION

Dear Governor Ridge:


I spoke with a nice lady in your office last Wednesday, November 19, 1997 concerning Enron's Choice Plan. This plan is designed to reduce Philadelphia area residents electric bill by 20%, which is twice PECO's proposed plan.

I used to live in Houston (HQ for Enron) and found the service that Enron provided me equal to or better than PECO's service. We highly recommend that your office consider adopting Enron's Choice Plan.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Work Phone : (302) 834-6266



Ines Olivares



Chris Wagner



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Robert Staedt
4020 Rosemont Avenue
Drexel Hill, PA 19026

Dear Mr. Staedt:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

DEAR GOV. TOM RIDGE

22-97

THIS LETTER IS TO ENDORSE
ENRON COMPANY TO THE CHOICE PLAN.
P.E.C.O HAS BEEN ROBBING ME LONG
ENOUGH. I AM A HARD WORKING
PERSON. IF ENRON WAS MY ELECTRIC
COMPANY I COULD LOWER MY BILL.
THANKS FOR YOUR HELP.

Robert Staedt

ROBERT STAEDT
4020 ROSEMONT AVE
DREXEL HILL, PA
19026



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. and Mrs. John Preczewski
1629 Hatboro Road
Richboro, PA 18954

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Preczewski:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty


1629 Hatboro Road
Richboro, PA 18954
November 24, 1997

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Governor Ridge:

For years we have had to tolerate the extremely high rate of electricity forced upon us by PECO electric. To its ultimate discredit, the governmental board responsible for regulating that utility stood by and watched as it incrementally worked its way to one of the most expensive electricity rates in the country. Government now has an opportunity to correct for its utter failure of the past by allowing an open competitive pricing mechanism to replace ineffective board control. I'm asking you, as one of your supporters, not to be further manipulated by the PECO corporate lobby and **ALLOW FREE MARKET COMPETITION** to straighten out this ridiculous rate mess that former government and PECO have beset upon us. And allow it now.

Sincerely yours,


John and Rebecca Preczewski



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. and Mrs. Stephen Hearn
158 Progress Drive
Doylestown, PA 18901

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Hearn:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

STEPHEN G. AND ALICE G. HEARN
158 PROGRESS DRIVE
DOYLESTOWN, PA. 18901
215 230-7469

November 25, 1997

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, Pa. 17120


Re: Enron Choice Plan

Dear Governor Ridge:

We have read about the Enron Choice Plan which has been filed with the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission. It appears that this plan would be beneficial to us by lowering our electric bill considerably more than PECO is willing to do.

We encourage you to review this Enron Plan to see if we are correct with our assumption of savings. If so, we would appreciate your supporting this plan for the benefit of all Pennsylvanians. Thank you for your consideration of this alternate choice to PECO.

Very truly yours,



Stephen G. Hearn



Alice G. Hearn

agh



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Ms. Alicia Delaney
433 Brookwood Road
Wayne, PA 19087

Dear Ms. Delaney:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

433 Brookwood Rd

Wayne Pa 19087

Nov. 27, 1997

Dear Governor Ridge:

If you want the best for your citizens they must be given the option of a 20% reduction in their electric bill by having the Enron Choice Plan.

For too long Peco has been charging far too high a rate and now they can only give a 10% reduction - Nonsense.

Very truly yours,
Albee L. Delaney
a Republican supporter



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Paul Moore
42 Victoria Drive
Aston, PA 19014

Dear Mr. Moore:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

December 2, 1997

42 Victoria Drive
Aston, PA 19014

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120
Fax 717-772-8284

Honorable Governor Ridge:

Re Electric Deregulation

As a electric consumer in the Philadelphia area who has been paying some of the highest electric rates in the nation and hearing a lot about the PECO Pennsylvania Plan vs the Enron Choice Plan.

I would like to encourage all to let the competitive market place establish the rate base in the wonderful State of Pennsylvania.

My decision came after I read many articles about the various plans and the November 17th Philadelphia Inquirer by Andrew Cassel. An copy is attached for your review.

Sincerely,



Paul R. Moore





COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. William Corry
233 Upper Valley Road
North Wales, PA 19454

Dear Mr. Corry:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiaetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

William A. Corry

233 Upper Valley Road
North Wales, Pa 19454

November 24, 1997

Dear Governor Ridge,

Regarding the pending competition for electrical generation, while I don't totally understand all of the implications of allowing ENRON to participate, I would have to lean towards the Open Market or free competition based on what I do know.

PECO has enjoyed a monopoly for far too long. I have developed a distinct impression from people I know who have first hand knowledge of Peco management and practices either from working at Peco or working with Peco from a vendor/provider perspective. And the impression I have gotten is that PECO is not a very efficiently managed operation.


But, why should they be? Since all the costs of their acquisitions and expenses get passed on to the consumer and they have been pretty much able to increase rates at will over the last decade that I am aware of, what incentive do they have to be efficient???

I have been told that Peco rates among the top five utilities in the country in terms of highest energy rates charged to consumers! I have no way to verify that but I have heard complaints from several people new to our area concerning the higher energy rates that they now pay since moving to this area.

So, if another organization is run more efficiently and subsequently can pass savings realized onto consumers, then I am all for it!!!!

I say yes, let ENRON compete in our area and I hope that you will also.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "William A. Corry". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name.

William A. Corry

WAC
Enclosure



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Matthew Fenya
454 Foster Drive
Springfield, PA 19064

Dear Mr. Fenya:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

454 Foster Drive
Springfield, PA - 19064
November 26/97

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office

Dear Governor,

As you know, the Pennsylvania PUC is very much pro-utility and anti-consumer. Please, Governor Ridge, do something to change this. Finally, you can give the consumers a break. I ask you to do everything in your power to have the "Choice Plan" approved by the PUC. The so-called "Pennsylvania Plan", hatched out in back rooms by Mr Fumo, just plain smells.

and by the way, please get rid of Mr Bloom who sits on the PUC. He is anti-consumerism at its worst. He squashes consumers and has them for breakfast, lunch and dinner. He is the pits and no friend of Pennsylvanians.

Thank you and I anticipate your support for the "Choice Plan".

Sincerely,

Matthew M. Fenza

a life long voting Republican



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. James Leill
413 Hill Road
Perkasie, PA 18944

Dear Mr. Leill:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty ✓

413 Hill Road
Perkasie, PA 18944
December 5 '97

Gov. Tom Ridge
225 Main Capitol Bldg.
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Gov. Ridge:

I am writing to urge you to support Enron's electric power plan. Their price is simply better than PECO's, and it's worth taking the risk of an "unknown" out-of-state entity to escape PECO's shackles.

PECO has victimized residential customers and stifled Delaware Valley economic growth with its high rates. Their present used-car style TV ads try to make PECO out to be one of the family. If so, it's time to boot them out. Send them out to Texas or somewhere, and see if they can run a business without being propped up by state laws. Pennsylvania's paternalistic socialistic regard for utilities is holding it back.

Regards,
James M. Leill



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Glyn N. Tracey
148 Union Avenue
Bala-Cynwyd, PA 19004-3130

Dear Mr. Tracey:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty ✓

November 30, 1997
Bala-Cynwyd, Pa.
19004-3130

Governor Tom Ridge / Pennsylvania
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA. 17120

Dear Governor Ridge:

Thank you for making it possible to
choose an Electricity provider for my home
in Bala-Cynwyd, Pa. 19004

I would like to pick Enron, The Choice Plan
because it offers meaningful choices and
Doubles the Savings of the "PECO" plan.

I certainly believe we deserve better than
"PECO" has to offer its customers in
Pennsylvania. I would like a rate similar
to that of Lababe or Wilkes Barre, but I guess
that is wishful thinking.

Thank you very much,

Llyn N. Tracey
148 Union Avenue
Bala-Cynwyd, Pa. 19004-3130
(610) 664-3845



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. and Mrs. Irving Berman
7229 Bradford Street
Philadelphia, PA 19149

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Berman:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty ✓

December 2 - 1997

Governor Tom Ridge -
Harrisburg,
Pa.

Dear Governor -

We urge you to endorse the Enron Corp. Choice Plan. Enron filed the choice Plan with the Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission (PUC) on October 7, 1997.

Among other reasons, favorable action on the Plan would accelerate Philadelphia's move to a competitive energy service market. Consumers will have more options, better service, and greater savings sooner.

Hope you agree with our opinion, and endorse the Enron Choice Plan. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Jean and Irving Berman
7229 Bradford St
Phila. Pa 19149



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Ms. Ruth Allan Miner
48 West Highland Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19118-3310


Dear Ms. Miner:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

48 West Highland Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19118-3310
December 4, 1997

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
225 Main Capitol Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Mr. Governor:

Because public health and safety are my primary concerns, I may have a somewhat different view of the consideration of energy providers, now that choice is possible for the public.

The problem of nuclear waste shows no indication of being solved, and the problems of operating aging and radiation-damaged civilian reactors while competing with simpler, cleaner and safer facilities of rivals, and the problem of saving and obtaining enormous funds for decommissioning, make a public peril that can only be lessened by shutting all those reactors down.

For this reason I very much hope you will use your influence to support the efforts of the Euron Corporation to cover financial and technical tasks that PECO Energy is trying to balance by itself, with peril to the public and the state's efforts to keep industries and jobs improving in our state.

While Euron is a prosperous natural gas company with financial interests in renewable energy, PECO opts to join British nuclear in buying U.S. nuclear reactors and also to burn plutonium in MOX fuel, a dangerous new project. Please give Euron the edge in this contest!

Sincerely,
Ruth Allen Miner



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Alan Blackman
2300 Hartel Avenue, Apt. 201
Philadelphia, PA 19152

Dear Mr. Blackman:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Governor Tom Ridge
Governor's Office
Harrisburg, Pa.

Dear Governor Ridge,

I am writing to express my wish that you will vote for the Enron Choice plan so senior citizens as myself can enjoy a considerable savings on our utilities such as electricity bills, the proposed 20% savings will go a long way in helping people on fixed income as well as lower income folks get along. Thank you Governor Ridge

Sincerely
Alan L. Blackman
2300 Haverd Ave
apt 201
Phila. Pa.
19152



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Thomas Linzey, Esq.
2244 Lindsay Lot Road
Shippensburg, PA 17257

Dear Mr. Linzey:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

Puc

Date: 12/5/97
Sender: <tal@cvns.net>
To: GOVEMAIL
Priority: Normal
Subject: Pennsylvania Web Server Email

Thomas Linzey, Esq.
tal@cvns.net
(717) 530-0931
2244 Lindsay Lot Road
Shippensburg PA 17257

=====

Governor Ridge,

I urge you to adopt the Better Choice Plan being advanced by the Environmentalist intervenor group in the electric restructuring process currently taking place in Pennsylvania. Greater competition is only going to take place under this Plan.



RFC822.TXT



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Barbara Knox, Ph.D.
Full Circle Farm
RD 1, Box 357
Blairs Mills, PA 17213

Dear Dr. Knox:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

PUC

To: <knoxbarb@innernet.net>
Priority: Normal
Subject: Re: Pennsylvania Web Server Email

Reply Separator
Subject: Pennsylvania Web Server Email
Author: <knoxbarb@innernet.net>
Date: 12/7/97 10:21 AM

Barbara S. Knox, Ph.D.
knoxbarb@innernet.net
814-259-3282
Full Circle Farm, RD 1, Box 357
Blairs Mills, PA 17213

=====

Governor Ridge,
You promised competition and lower energy rates with electric utility deregulation. Please keep your promises in this matter. We need electricity production that leaves our air and water clean and does not produce nuclear wastes. I urge you to support the Environmental Better Choice Plan.
Sincerely, your constituent,
Dr. Barbara Knox





COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Burgess Owens
Summit Financial Resources, Inc.
Four Campus Drive
Parsippany, NJ 07054-0413

Dear Mr. Owens:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.


Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty



Summit Financial Resources, Inc.

December 2, 1997

Burgess Owens
Senior VP of
Sports/Entertainment
Division

Governor Tom Ridge
Governors Office
225 Main Capital Building
Harrisburg, Pa. 17120

To whom it may concern,

I WANT THE FREEDOM OF CHOICE regarding my utilities company. Please allow the Choice Plan to go through.

Burgess Owens
West Chester, Pa.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Ms. Bonnie Fabian
1118 Park Avenue
Bensalem, PA 19020


Dear Ms. Fabian:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

12/2/97

Dear Governor Ridge -

I do believe that Pennsylvanians should have a choice, as to where they purchase their utilities. Please add my voice to the "Choice Plan."

Sincerely,

Bonnie Fabian
1118 Park Ave
Pensacola, Pa
19020



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

Mr. Anthony Colangelo
118 Northwood Road
Newtown Square, PA 19073

Dear Mr. Colangelo:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chivetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

**FAX Transmission**

From: Anthony V. Colangelo

118 Northwood Road
Newtown Square, PA 19073

To: Governor Tom Ridge

Company: Governor's Office

717-772-8284,

Address: 225 Main Capital Building

Harrisburg, PA 17120

Date: December 3, 1997

Time: 10:30 PM

Pages: 3 (including this one)

Message: Dear Sir:

I would just like to express my interest in the Enron Corporation. According to this program, my utility bill will be cut by 20%. This is a significant savings. I feel that this would be a valuable program and would like to see it implemented in my area. Any help from your office would be greatly appreciated.

Thank You in advance for your assistance.



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

67

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

December 31, 1997

RECEIVED

R-00 973953

Mr. Joseph McCloskey
10113 N. Canterbury Road
Philadelphia, PA 19114

DEC 31 1997

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSIC
PROTHONOTARY'S OFFICE

Dear Mr. McCloskey:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty

RECEIVED DOCKETED
DEC 31 1997 FEB 04 1998

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSIC
PROTHONOTARY'S OFFICE

DOCUMENT
FOLDER

Power.txt

To: Governer Tom Ridge
Fax #: 717-772-8284

Dear Gov. Ridge

I wanted to thank you for supporting my right to choose the power company that supplies my house and business with electricity. I think this is a big step in getting rid of the legacy monopolies still in existence and protecting the American freedom of choice!

I vote for the Choice Plan since it turns over the freedom of choice sooner and provides greater savings to the citizens of PA.

Thank You!

Joseph McCloskey
10113 N. Canterbury Rd.
Philadelphia, PA 19114
(215) 632-7512



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

January 5, 1998

The Honorable J. Barry Stout
Senate of Pennsylvania
Senate Post Office - Main Capitol
Harrisburg, PA

Dear Senator Stout:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely



Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty ✓
Ms. Deatra Bennington

46TH DISTRICT
J. BARRY STOUT
291 MITCHELL ROAD
EIGHTY FOUR, PA 15330
(412) 225-5400

SENATE BOX 203046
ROOM 10-EW
THE STATE CAPITOL
HARRISBURG, PA 17120-3046
(717) 787-1463

REPLY TO:



Senate of Pennsylvania

COMMITTEES

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE,
MINORITY CHAIRMAN
APPROPRIATIONS
RULES & EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES & ENERGY
AGRICULTURE & RURAL AFFAIRS

STATE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
RAIL FREIGHT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Eighty Four

December 12, 1997

DEC 15 1997

Rosemary Chiavetta Esq., Director
Office of Legislative Affairs
Public Utility Commission
111 North Office Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Deatra Bennington
55 Bennington Lane
Marianna, PA 15345-9801

Dear Ms. Chiavetta:

Recently, I was contacted by my above-referenced constituent, Deatra Bennington, a shareholder in the PECO Energy Company. Any possible assistance that you can render in regard to the matter outlined in this correspondence is, hereby, respectfully requested.

Ms. Bennington supports PECO Energy's Pennsylvania Plan and opposes the Enron Corporation's proposed alternative. Your cooperation in keeping my District Office advised as to the status of said proposals will, indeed, be appreciated.

Thank you in advance for your time and attention to this matter.

With kindest regards,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "J. Barry Stout".

J. BARRY STOUT
State Senator

JBS:st

cc: Deatra Bennington



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

January 5, 1998

The Honorable J. Barry Stout
Senate of Pennsylvania
Senate Post Office - Main Capitol
Harrisburg, PA

Dear Senator Stout:

As you may have read in the recent press accounts, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, on December 11, 1997, rendered a final decision in the matter of the PECO Energy Company's restructuring filing under the Electricity Generation Customer Choice and Competition Act.

Please find under cover of this letter a copy of the summary points of the decision. Also, please know that I have taken the liberty of sending your correspondence to the Secretary of the Commission for inclusion in the official file at this proceeding's docket number.

Thank you again for sharing your views with the Commission on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Chiavetta, Esq.
Director of Legislative Affairs

Enclosure

cc: Secretary James McNulty ✓
Mr. & Mrs. Brova

46TH DISTRICT
J. BARRY STOUT
291 MITCHELL ROAD
EIGHTY FOUR, PA 15330
(412) 225-5400

SENATE BOX 203046
ROOM 10-EW
THE STATE CAPITOL
HARRISBURG, PA 17120-3046
(717) 787-1463

REPLY TO:



Senate of Pennsylvania

COMMITTEES
TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE,
MINORITY CHAIRMAN
APPROPRIATIONS
RULES & EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES & ENERGY
AGRICULTURE & RURAL AFFAIRS

STATE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
RAIL FREIGHT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Eighty Four

December 9, 1997

DEC 1 1997

Rosemary Chiavetta Esq., Director
Office of Legislative Affairs
Public Utility Commission
111 North Office Building
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Joseph and Dorothy Brova
716 Lincoln Avenue
Bentleyville, PA 15314

Dear Ms. Chiavetta:

Recently, I was contacted by my above-referenced constituents, Joseph and Dorothy Brova, shareholders in the PECO Energy Company. Any possible assistance that you can render in regard to the matter outlined in this correspondence is, hereby, respectfully requested.

The Brovas support PECO Energy's Pennsylvania Plan, which is pending before the PUC. It is my understanding that the Enron Corporation, a Texas natural gas and electricity company, has proposed an alternative to the Pennsylvania Plan. Your cooperation in keeping my District Office advised as to the status of said proposals will, indeed, be appreciated.

Thank you in advance for your time and attention to this matter.

With kindest regards,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "J. Barry Stout".

J. BARRY STOUT
State Senator

JBS:st

cc: Joseph and Dorothy Brova