

C-2013-2369063

Jones, Angela

From: Doris Miller <deedee417pa@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2013 1:08 PM
To: Jones, Angela
Subject: RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania-American Water Company - Docket No. R-2013-2355276

Your Honor:

Regarding docket no. R 20132355276 Pennsylvania American Water Co. vs Pennsylvania Utility Commission. My stance on the settlement is that I do not object to the settlement.

Doris Miller

From: angeljones@pa.gov
To: jneuf100@gmail.com
CC: dheep@pa.gov; DeeDee417Pa@hotmail.com; adecusatis@morganlewis.com;
Susan.Marsh@amwater.com; CHoover@paoca.org; cappleby@paoca.org; ctunilo@paoca.org;
bpierce@paoca.org
Date: Fri, 18 Oct 2013 10:24:27 -0400
Subject: RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania-American Water Company - Docket No. R-2013-2355276

Ms. Neufeld,

Thank you for your inquiry. It does seem to be contradictory. Both of the presiding officers thought that the **active** pro se complainants should not have the burden to write a statement in support if they do not desire to do that, and yet they do desire to support the settlement. However, because you and Ms. Miller chose to be active participants, you do have the burden to provide in writing an indication of your stance on the settlement. That being said, we do not need a statement in support (unless you choose to provide one), but we do need something in writing that indicates your stance on the settlement. That is how the sentences that you pointed out below were to be interpreted.

Thank you for the opportunity to give you clarification.

Judge Jones

From: J Neufeld [<mailto:jneuf100@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2013 10:07 AM
To: Jones, Angela
Subject: RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania-American Water Company - Docket No. R-2013-2355276

Your Honor,

I have received a copy of Order #7....

There seems to be a contradiction on page 3 and page 4:

RECEIVED

OCT 28 2013

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

111696

At bottom of page 3 Note 1 says

We note that there are two pro se complainants, Doris Miller and Jane Neufeld, who are active participants. Because they are not represented by counsel, we are leaving it up to their discretion whether they submit a statement in support if they are a signatory party to the Settlement.

Top of page 4 says

That all active participants will file a statement of position to support, oppose, or not object to the Settlement consistent with the discussion of this Order, no later than October 18, 2013.

I had sent to Mr. DeCusatis the following:

From: J Neufeld [<mailto:jneuf100@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2013 11:57 AM
To: 'DeCusatis, Anthony C.'
Cc: 'cappleby@paoca.org'; 'Kaster, Allison'; 'deedee417PA@hotmail.com'
Subject: RE: PAWC 2013 Rate Case Docket No. R-2013-2355276 - Joint Petition for Settlement

This is to write that I prefer non-signatory, non-opposition status.

Thank you,
Jane E. Neufeld

I hope this meets the criteria you need from me as an active participant.

Will not be easily reached the rest of today so I hope this clarifies anything outstanding.

Thank you,

Jane E. Neufeld

~~RECEIVED
OFFICE OF C.A.L.J.
13 OCT 14 AM 10:35
PA PUC~~