

RECEIVED

July 8, 2014

2014 JUL 23 AM 9:00

Wendell Johnson, AY-7256
SCI-Fayette/P.O. Box 9999
LaBelle, PA 15450-0999

Hon. Elizabeth Barnes, ALJ
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pa. 17105-3265

PA PUC
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF C.A.L.J.
14 JUL 11 AM 10:37
PA PUC

RE: Wendell Johnson v. Global Tel*Link,
Docket No. C-2014-2410828

Dear Honorable Judge;

Today (July 8, 2014) I received a letter dated July 3, 2014 in representation of the defendant(s) in this matter from Mr. Lanza, Esq., that is suppose to be reflective of the agreement we entered into on June 26, 2014 when in fact IT'S NOT.

So, I am writing you to OBJECT to any attempt to close this matter, as if I am satisfied with the written agreement that was solely written and submitted to the Commission by Mr. Lanza. It failed to include the minimum of what "I" requested and "THEY" agreed on at the Hearing (names and titles of everyone that was in attendance; a transcription of what was discussed and agreed on, etc.). These were terms that would have allowed me comfort in any agreement, which was expressed at the Hearing!

Right now I feel that the credit was issued as a form of appeasement, as if it was the core of my complaint. As I've indicated in so many words, I've been excluded from the process of having any input in penning the agreement submitted to you by Mr. Lanza, basically allowing him to write his own version of events as to the nature of this complaint, which in my opinion is being minimized as if it were about \$1.60, when in fact it never was the issue, but rather about what I saw as a brazen scheme by the defendant(s) to manipulate services in a manner that was questionable, and clearly motivated by profit.

So, what I would like to propose to the Commission, if permitted, is that Mr. Lanza be allowed to rewrite the agreement reflective of the terms that were AGREED ON, and if he chooses not to, then I'm ready to proceed forward in this matter. Mr. Lanza knows that the priority in my complain was never about \$1.60 (in which HE volunteered to give me credit for when I stated clearly at the Hearing that my complaint has nothing to do with what was already decided on in my initial complaint), but rather about what I believe was a scheme that was profit motivated by the service provider, that denied services paid for. Again, I do not sign off on this settlement agreement as written.

Respectfully submitted,


WENDELL JOHNSON

*Please note that Mr. Lanza continues to use INCORRECT DOCKET NUMBER (C-2013-2410828) when referencing this complaint. Also SEE ENGLOSURE where Certificate of Service is sworn out to an inmate (Kenneth Dade, EA-6297) other then myself!

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Wendell Johnson AY7256

v.

Docket No. C-2013-2410828

Global Tel*Link Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of the Certificate of Satisfaction and Notice of Right to Object upon the persons listed below via first class mail in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code Section 1.54 of the Commission's rules:

Via Regular Mail

Kenneth Dade EA-6297
P.O. Box 9999
LaBelle, PA 15450

Via Electronic Mail

Kevin B. Lefton, Esq.
Senior Counsel
Global Tel*Link Corporation
12021 Sunset Hill Road, Suite 100
Reston, VA 20190

RECEIVED
2014 JUL 23 AM 9:00
PA PUC
SECRETARY'S BUREAU



Edward G. Lanza, Esq.

Date: July 3, 2014

July 15, 2014

Wendell Johnson, AY-7256
SCI-Fayette/P.O. Box 9999
LaBelle, PA 15450-0999

Hon. Elizabeth Barnes, ALJ
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, Pa. 17105-3265

RECEIVED
2014 JUL 23 AM 9:00
PA PUC
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

RE: Wendell Johnson v. Global Tel*Link,
Docket No. C-2014-2410828


Dear Honorable Judge;

Today July 15, 2014 I received a letter from Mr. Lanza, with a revised Certificate of Satisfaction that he filed with the Public Utility Commission.

He also indicated that the terms of the settlement agreement, that he outlined in his July 3, 2014 letter, remain the same, in which I clearly OBJECT to based on reasons expressed in my July 6, 2014 letter to the Commission.

As stated, I OBJECT to the Certificate of Satisfaction filed by Mr. Lanza, Esq., based on the settlement agreement as written.

Respectfully submitted,


WENDELL JOHNSON

cc: Mr. Edward G. Lanza, Esq.

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF C.A.L.J.
14 JUL 21 AM 11:14
PA PUC