

C-2014-2442308

RECEIVED

2014 SEP 24 AM 10: 21

PA.P.U.C.
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Vincent Feldman
717 S. 20th Street
Philadelphia, PA
19146

September 22nd, 2014
Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, Second Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dear Ms. Chiavetta,


I believe that none of the concerns I raised in my complaint were addressed by PECO's counsel in their correspondence with me dated September 15th. These important requests made in the complaint were to postpone the installation of a new electrical meter within the living environment of my home or to receive informational materials PECO possesses concerning the device's health and safety testing and also to be given a copy of PECO's privacy policy concerning their use of the device prior to its installation. PECO did not even include a copy of the state statute they claimed to be acting under. I have not been able to locate, via a Google search, a copy of this law which I find troubling.

These are very modest requests in light of the fact that PECO is under no urgency to make this replacement now because the law they are claiming to be working under does not necessitate this device's replacement of all meters until the year 2023. If PECO or the State of Pennsylvania have made no testing of this device for safety and long term health effects I am requesting a postponement of the device's installation until this information can be independently collected and evaluated. This is of particular concern for me and my family as the installation of the device will be within our domicile in close proximity to human activity and we will have no control over the device or its environmental emissions.

Furthermore, the correspondence and motion received from PECO's counsel are written in Legal English. I am not qualified or trained so as to fully understand the message of the correspondence and the legal documents. All I have been able to ascertain from the material sent to me by PECO is that PECO will except no responsibility for the device in question. Despite this fact PECO is threatening forceful action with which to coerce us into accepting this device into our home environment.

I request that the PA PUC delay any action in support of PECO in this matter until I have had the opportunity to have PECO's New Matter, Motion, and Preliminary Objection reviewed by an attorney with experience in this legal area. I would like to request that the PA PUC allow me an extension in the time required to respond to this legal document. The ten day requirement is much too brief a time for an individual customer to make a formal legal declaration even if supplied with legal counsel. Because my financial situation requires that I seek counsel on a pro bono basis I would like to request four to six weeks to formally make a reply to PECO's counsel and the PA PUC.

Sincerely,



Vincent Feldman

DOCKET NO. C-2014-2442308

CC:

Shawane Lee

PECO Energy Company

Legal Department

2301 Market Street/S23-1

P.O. Box 8699

Philadelphia, PA 19101-8699

V. Feldman
717 S. 20th ST.
Phila, PA 19146

PHILADELPHIA PA 190

22 SEP 2014 PM 10 L



Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, Second Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17120

1712080079

