

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA



OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE

555 Walnut Street, 5th Floor, Forum Place
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101-1923
(717) 783-5048
800-684-6560

FAX (717) 783-7152
consumer@paoca.org

October 6, 2014

Rosemary Chiavetta, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120

RE: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v.
Metropolitan Edison Company
Docket No. R-2014-2428745

Dear Secretary Chiavetta:

Enclosed please find the Office of Consumer Advocate's Prehearing Memorandum in the above-referenced proceeding.

Copies have been served upon all parties of record as shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brandon J. Pierce", written over the typed name and title.

Brandon J. Pierce
Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. # 307665

Enclosures

cc: Honorable Dennis Buckley, ALJ
Honorable Katrina Dunderdale, ALJ
Certificate of Service

188571

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket No. R-2014-2428745
	:	
Metropolitan Edison Company	:	

PREHEARING MEMORANDUM
OF THE
OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE

Pursuant to Section 333 of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. Section 333, and in response to the Prehearing Conference Order issued by Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Dennis Buckley in the above-captioned matter, the Office of Consumer Advocate (OCA) provides the following information:

I. INTRODUCTION

On August 4, 2014, the Metropolitan Edison Company (Met-Ed or the Company) filed Tariff Electric – Pa. P.U.C. No. 52, at Docket No. R-2014-2428745. The Company is seeking a total revenue increase of \$151.9 million, or an overall increase of 11.5%. The Company proposes that the rate increase become effective on October 3, 2014. Met-Ed serves approximately 557,000 residential, commercial, and industrial customers in fourteen counties in eastern and south central Pennsylvania. The OCA filed a Complaint against the proposed revenue increase on September 5, 2014. On October 2, 2014, the Commission issued an Order initiating an investigation into the lawfulness, justness and reasonableness of the proposed rate

increase in this filing, and suspended the effective date of Tariff Electric – Pa. P.U.C. No. 52 until May 3, 2015, by operation of law. The case was assigned to Administrative Law Judge Dennis J. Buckley. A Prehearing Conference is scheduled for October 8, 2014.

II. ISSUES AND SUB-ISSUES

Based upon a preliminary analysis of Met-Ed's base rate increase filing, the OCA has compiled a list of issues which it anticipates will be included in its investigation of this rate request. It is anticipated that other issues may arise and may be pursued once the answers to all of the OCA's interrogatories have been received and analyzed.

The OCA has served eight sets of interrogatories to date. Upon receipt of the answers to those interrogatories, the OCA may then be able to narrow the scope of additional information requests. Once the discovery process has been completed, the OCA will file direct testimony which will set forth the specific issues that the OCA will address in this proceeding. At that time, the OCA will also be able to make and to quantify its specific recommendations.

The following list sets forth the issues, that at this time, the OCA anticipates it may raise:

A. Rate of Return

- **Cost of Common Equity:** The OCA will perform a detailed analysis of the cost of common equity claimed by Met-Ed. Also, the OCA will carefully examine the Company's methodologies and supporting data used to develop its final cost of common equity claim.
- **Capital Structure:** The OCA will examine whether the capital structure claimed by Met-Ed is representative of the period in which rates will be in effect and is otherwise appropriate for ratemaking purposes.

- After Tax Weighted Average Cost of Capital (ATWACC): The OCA will examine the methodologies used by the Company to derive its cost of capital.
- Embedded Cost of Debt and Preferred Stock: The OCA will examine the embedded cost of debt and preferred stock.

B. Rate Base/Measure of Value

The OCA will examine the reasonableness of the Company's filing as it relates to rate base/measures of values, including the following areas:

- The Company's plant in service claims in order to determine whether the plant claimed is used and useful in providing utility service;
- The Company's application of the Fully Projected Future Test Year (FPFTY) in this proceeding; and
- The Company's claim for cash working capital.

C. Revenue and Expenses

The OCA will examine the reasonableness of the Company's filing as it relates to revenues and expenses, including the following areas:

- The sales forecast utilized by the Company in order to project future test year sales and revenues;
- Met-Ed's proposed depreciation expense;
- The Company's rate case expense, labor expense, and advertising expense;
- Inter-company charges;
- Met-Ed's affiliate charges;
- Consolidated Tax Savings; and
- Salaries of Met-Ed's employees, including executive compensation.

D. Rate Structure/Cost of Service/Rate Design

- The OCA will examine the cost of service study, including the methodology used and the reasonableness of the allocations.
- The OCA will examine the Company's proposed customer charge.
- The OCA will examine whether the rate design proposed by the Company is reasonable and appropriate.
- The OCA will examine the Company's proposed distribution of the revenue increase among customer classes.
- The OCA will examine the reasonableness and appropriateness of the Company's proposed tariff changes.
- The OCA will examine the Company's proposed new riders and changes to existing riders.
- The OCA will examine the Company's proposed Storm Damage Rider for issues of single-issue ratemaking, among other issues.

E. Universal Service Programs

- The OCA will review the Company's Customer Assistance Program to ensure that the budget amount is reasonable and that costs are recovered appropriately.
- The OCA will review the Company's Low-Income Usage Reduction Program to ensure that the budget amount is reasonable and that costs are recovered appropriately.
- The OCA will review the cost recovery mechanism to ensure that the Company recovers only those costs permitted to be recovered.

F. Quality of Service and Customer Service

- The OCA will review Met-Ed's service quality, including, but not limited to, frequency and duration of outages, power surges, and plant/equipment failures.
- The OCA will review the Company's customer service record and metrics.

III. WITNESSES

The OCA intends to present the direct, rebuttal, and surrebuttal testimony, as may be necessary, of the following witnesses in this proceeding. The witnesses will present testimony in written form and will also attach various exhibits, documents, and explanatory information which will assist in the presentation of the OCA's case. In order to expedite the resolution of this proceeding, the OCA requests that copies of all interrogatories, testimony, and answers to interrogatories be mailed directly to the expert witnesses responsible for the area of the case, as well as mailing a copy to counsel for the OCA.

A. Rate Base, Revenues, Expenses, and General Accounting

Ralph Smith
Larkin and Associates, PLLC
15728 Farmington Road
Livonia, Michigan 48154

Telephone: 734-522-3420
E-mail: rsmithla@aol.com

B. Rate Structure and Cost Allocation

Clarence Johnson
CJ Energy Consulting
3707 Robinson Avenue
Austin, TX 78722

Telephone: 512-506-1896
E-mail: cjenergyconsult@att.net

C. Rate of Return

Marlon Griffing
Snively, King, Majoros & Associates
Suite 306
8100 Professional Place
Landover, MD 20785

Telephone: 651-641-1667
E-mail: mgriffing@Snively-king.com

D. Universal Service/CAP

Roger Colton
Fisher, Sheehan and Colton
34 Warwick Road
Belmont, MA 02478

Telephone: 617-484-0597
E-mail: roger@fsconline.com

E. Quality of Service

Barbara Alexander
83 Wedgewood Drive
Winthrop, ME 04364

Telephone: 207-395-4143
Email: barbalex@ctel.net

The OCA specifically reserves the right to call additional witnesses, as necessary. As soon as the OCA has determined whether an additional witness or witnesses will be necessary for any portion of its case, the OCA will promptly notify ALJ Buckley and all parties of record.

IV. SERVICE ON THE OCA

The OCA will be represented in this case by Senior Assistant Consumer Advocates Darryl Lawrence and Aron J. Beatty and Assistant Consumer Advocates Brandon J. Pierce, Lauren M. Burge, and Kristine E. Robinson. Two copies of all documents should be served on the OCA as follows:

Darryl Lawrence
Office of Consumer Advocate
555 Walnut Street
5th Floor, Forum Place
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923
Telephone: (717) 783-5048
Fax: (717) 783-7152
E-mail: DLawrence@paoca.org

V. DISCOVERY

The OCA has served eight sets of discovery to date. On September 18, 2014, the Companies filed Objections to the entirety of OCA Set II. On September 19, 2014, the Companies filed Objections to OCA Set III, Nos. 17 and 22. On September 29, 2014, the Companies filed Objections to the entirety of OCA Set V. Also on September 29, 2014, the OCA filed a Motion to Dismiss Objections and Compel the Companies to Answer Interrogatories to Set II.

The OCA expects that the Company's responses will trigger follow-up discovery due to the nature and complexity of the myriad issues involved. Because the time period for discovery and preparation of testimony is limited, the OCA supports a shortened discovery response time in this proceeding. The OCA, therefore, requests the following modifications:

a. Answers to written interrogatories propounded in preparation of direct and rebuttal testimony shall be served in-hand within ten (10) calendar days of service. Discovery propounded after 12:00 noon on a Friday will be deemed served on the next business day for purposes of determining the due date of the responses. Answers to written interrogatories propounded in preparation of surrebuttal testimony shall be served in-hand within five (5) calendar days of service. Discovery propounded after 12:00 noon on a Friday will be deemed served on the next business day for purposes of determining the due date of the responses.

b. Objections to interrogatories shall be communicated orally within three (3) calendar days of service of the interrogatories; unresolved objections shall be served to the ALJ in writing within five (5) days of service of the interrogatories. Objections to interrogatories served on a Friday shall be communicated orally within four (4) calendar days, and unresolved objections

shall be served to the ALJ in writing within six (6) days of service of the interrogatories.

c. Motions to dismiss objections and/or direct the answering of interrogatories shall be filed within three (3) calendar days of service of the written objections.

d. Answers to motions to dismiss objections and/or direct the answering of interrogatories shall be filed within three (3) calendar days of service of such motions.

e. Responses to requests for document production, entry for inspection, or other purposes must be served in-hand within ten (10) calendar days.

f. Requests for admissions will be deemed admitted unless answered within ten (10) calendar days or objected to within five (5) calendar days of service.

In addition to the preceding modifications to the Commission's Discovery Regulations, the OCA has one further request as to the exchange of information for this proceeding. The OCA requests that parties providing Direct and Rebuttal testimony, either include with such testimony electronic workpapers, cited studies and other documents relied on, or, provide same in workable electronic format within two (2) business days of the testimony submission date to all parties. In the OCA's experience, following the submission of these rounds of testimony parties routinely request the information just described through the normal discovery channels. The OCA submits that much time and effort could be saved by agreeing to streamline the process through an informal discovery modification as the OCA proposes here.

VI. PUBLIC INPUT HEARINGS


The OCA requests that at least two public input hearings be held in the Company's service territory in or near major population centers. The OCA has received requests for public input hearings through its Customer Call Center for hearings throughout the Met-Ed service territory. The OCA reserves the right to request additional locations for public input hearings in

response to future requests from ratepayers and/or legislators, and will promptly advise ALJ Buckley and the parties of any further requests.

VII. PROPOSED SCHEDULE

The OCA is prepared to accept ALJ Buckley's schedule as proposed in his Prehearing Conference Order with a minor revision. The OCA proposes to start Hearings on Tuesday, December 16, 2014, rather than Monday, December 15, 2014. The OCA also notes that January 19, 2015, the due date for Reply Briefs, is Martin Luther King, Jr. Day and January 20, 2014 is the gubernatorial inauguration.

Respectfully submitted,



Brandon J. Pierce
Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. #307665
E-Mail: BPierce@paoca.org

Darryl Lawrence
Senior Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. # 93682
E-mail: DLawrence@paoca.org

Aron J. Beatty
Senior Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. # 86625
E-Mail: ABeatty@paoca.org

Lauren M. Burge
Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. # 311570
E-Mail: LBurge@paoca.org

Kristine E Robinson
Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. #316479

E-Mail: KRobinson@paoca.org

Counsel for:
Tanya J. McCloskey
Acting Consumer Advocate

Office of Consumer Advocate
5th Floor, Forum Place
555 Walnut Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923
Telephone: 717-783-5048
Fax: 717-783-7152

October 6, 2014

00192232

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission :
v. : Docket No. R-2014-2428745
Metropolitan Edison Company :
:

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of the foregoing document, the Office of Consumer Advocate's Prehearing Memorandum, upon parties of record in this proceeding in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code §1.54 (relating to service by a participant), in the manner and upon the persons listed below:

Dated this 6th day of October 2014.

SERVICE BY E-MAIL & INTER-OFFICE MAIL

Allison C. Kaster, Esq.
Carrie B. Wright, Esq.
Scott B. Granger, Esq.
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement
Pa. Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105

SERVICE BY E-MAIL & FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID

Thomas P. Gadsden, Esq.
Anthony DeCusatis, Esq.
Catherine G. Vasudevan, Esq.
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1701 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921

Tori L. Giesler, Esq.
Lauren M. Lepkoski, Esq.
First Energy Service Company
2800 Pottsville Pike
P.O. Box 16001
Reading, PA 19612-6001

Daniel G. Asmus, Esq.
Office of Small Business Advocate
Suite 1102, Commerce Building
300 North Second Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Bradley A. Bingaman, Esq.
FirstEnergy
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308-1890

Scott J. Rubin, Esq.
333 Oak Lane
Bloomsburg, PA 17815-2036


Thomas T. Niesen, Esq.
Charles E. Thomas, III, Esq.
Thomas, Niesen & Thomas, LLC
212 Locust Street, Suite 600
Harrisburg, PA 17101

David J. Dulick, Esq.
Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc.
212 Locust Street
P.O. Box 1266
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1266

SERVICE BY FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID

Bruce R. Hilbert
Sara P. Hilbert
441 Orchard Road
Fleetwood, PA 19522

Paul N. Bombaci
5 Governours Place
York, PA 17402



Darryl A. Lawrence
Senior Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. # 93682
Email: DLawrence@paoca.org

Kristine E. Robinson
Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. # 316479
Email: KRobinson@paoca.org

Brandon J. Pierce
Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. # 307665
Email: BPierce@paoca.org

Lauren M. Burge
Assistant Consumer Advocate
PA Attorney I.D. # 311570
Email: LBurge@paoca.org

Counsel for
Office of Consumer Advocate
555 Walnut Street 5th Floor, Forum Place
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923
Phone: (717) 783-5048
Fax: (717) 783-7152
188562