**BEFORE THE**

**PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Petition of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation :

for Approval of Its Smart Meter Technology : M-2014-2430781

Procurement and Installation Plan :

**PROTECTIVE ORDER**

**Third prehearing order**

On June 30, 2014, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (PPL or Company) filed its Petition for approval of its smart meter technology procurement and installation plan. Accompanying the petition is a Smart Meter Technology Procurement and Installation Plan (SMP) and the direct testimony of six witnesses, PPL Electric Statements 1 through 6.

Notice of the filing was published in the *Pennsylvania Bulletin* on July 19, 2014, with an August 11, 2014 deadline set for intervention or protests, and notice of a prehearing conference on August 11, 2014.

On July 8, 2014, I issued a prehearing order which directed those entities wishing to participate to follow Commission regulations regarding protests and interventions, and directed the filing of prehearing memorandum on or before August 11, 2014.

On July 21, 2014, the Office of Consumer Advocate (OCA) filed its Notice of Intervention, Public Statement and Answer.

On August 6, 2014, the Office of Small Business Advocate (OSBA) filed its Notice of Intervention, Public Statement and Notice of Appearance.

On August 7, 2014, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 1600 (IBEW), filed a Petition to Intervene.

On August 8, 2014, the Coalition for Affordable Utility Service and Energy Efficiency in Pennsylvania (CAUSE-PA), and the PP&L Industrial Customer Alliance (PPLICA) each filed a Petition to Intervene, with PPLICA also filing a protest.

PPL Electric, OCA, OSBA, IBEW, CAUSE-PA, and PPLICA each filed a timely prehearing memorandum. The prehearing conference was held as scheduled, with the following attending: on behalf of PPL Electric, Paul E. Russell, Esq., and Anthony Kanagy, Esq.; on behalf of OCA, Christy Appleby, Esq.; on behalf of OSBA, Steven C. Gray, Esq.; on behalf of IBEW, Scott J. Rubin, Esq.; on behalf of CAUSE-PA, Elizabeth Marx, Esq., and on behalf of PPLICA, Adeolu Bakare, Esq.

The second prehearing order, the Scheduling Order issued August 11, 2014, granted the interventions as unopposed and set the litigation schedule. Discovery modifications proposed by OCA were also adopted by the Scheduling Order.

By email sent October 29, 2014, the Company indicated that, pursuant to the schedule adopted in the above-referenced proceeding, PPL Electric held a settlement conference with available parties on Tuesday, October 28, 2014 in compliance with the Scheduling Order.

On November 4, 2014, the Company filed a Motion for Protective Order, averring the proper justification for its grant and indicating that it was unonpposed by any other party. Accordingly, it is granted.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the Motion is hereby granted with respect to all materials and information identified in Paragraphs 2 – 4 below.

2. That the materials subject to this Protective Order are all correspondence, documents, data, information, studies, methodologies and other materials, furnished in this proceeding, which are believed by the producing party to be of a proprietary or confidential nature and which are so designated by being stamped “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential.” Such materials will be referred to below as “Proprietary Information.”

3. That the parties may designate as “Confidential” those materials which customarily are treated by that party as sensitive or proprietary, which are not available to the public or which, if disclosed freely, would subject that party or others to risk of competitive disadvantage or other business injury.

4. That the parties may designate as “Highly Confidential” those materials that are of such a commercially sensitive or of such a private, personal nature that the producing party is able to justify a heightened level of confidential protection with respect to those materials. For example but without limitation, “Highly Confidential” information may include Proprietary Information that constitutes or describes: (i) customer names or customers’ prospects’ names, addresses, annual electric usage, or other customer-identifying information; (ii) marketing plans; (iii) competitive strategies or service alternatives; (iv) market share projections; (v) competitive pricing or discounting information; (vi) information regarding meter specifications and failure rates; and (vii) marketing materials that have not yet been used.

5. That Proprietary Information shall be made available to counsel for the non-producing party, subject to the terms of this Protective Order. Such counsel shall use or disclose the Proprietary Information only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, cross examination, argument, or settlement discussions in this proceeding. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, counsel for a non-producing party may afford access to Proprietary Information subject to the conditions set forth herein.

6. Proprietary Information produced in this proceeding shall be made available to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) and its Staff. For purposes of filing, to the extent that Proprietary Information is placed in the Commission’s report folders, such information shall be handled in accordance with routine Commission procedures inasmuch as the report folders are not subject to public disclosure. To the extent that Proprietary Information is placed in the Commission’s testimony or document folders, such information shall be separately bound, conspicuously marked, and accompanied by a copy of this Protective Order. Public inspection of Protected Information shall be permitted only in accordance with this Protective Order.

7. That “Confidential” information may be made available to a “Reviewing Representative” who is a person who has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate in the form attached as **Appendix A** hereto and who is: (i) an attorney for one of the parties who has entered an appearance in this proceeding; (ii) an attorney, paralegal, or other employee associated for purposes of this proceeding with an attorney described in subparagraph (i); (iii) an expert or an employee of an expert retained by a party for the purpose of advising, preparing for, or testifying in this proceeding; (iv) an employee or other representative of a party with significant responsibility in this proceeding; or (v) a person mutually agreed to by the producing and non-producing parties.

8. Provided, however, that no Reviewing Representative may be a “Restricted Person.” For the purpose of this Protective Order, “Restricted Person” shall mean: (i) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any competitor of a party to this Protective Order or an employee of such an entity if the employee’s duties involve marketing or pricing of the competitor’s products or services; (ii) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any affiliate of a competitor of a party to this Protective Order (including any association of competitors of a party) or an employee of such an entity if the employee’s duties involve marketing or pricing of the competitor’s products or services; (iii) an officer, director, stockholder, owner or employee of a competitor of a customer of a party to this Protective Order if the Proprietary Information concerns any specific, identifiable customer of a party; and (iv) an officer, director, stockholder, owner or employee of an affiliate of a competitor of a customer of a party to this Protective Order if the Proprietary Information concerns a specific, identifiable customer of the party; provided, however, that no expert shall be disqualified on account of being a stockholder, partner, or owner unless that expert’s interest in the business would provide a significant motive for violation of the limitations of permissible use of the Proprietary Information. For purposes of this Protective Order, stocks, partnership, or other ownership interests valued at more than $10,000 or constituting more than a 1 percent interest in a business establishes a significant motive for violation.

9. If an expert for a party to this Protective Order, another member of the expert’s firm or the expert’s firm also serves as an expert for, or as a consultant or advisor to, a Restricted Person, said expert must: (i) identify for the other party to this Protective Order each Restricted Person and each expert or consultant; (ii) make reasonable attempts to segregate those personnel assisting in the expert’s participation in this proceeding from those personnel working on behalf of a Restricted Person; and (iii) if segregation of such personnel is impractical, the expert shall give to the producing party written assurances that the lack of segregation will in no way jeopardize the interests of the party or its customers. The parties retain the right to challenge the adequacy of the written assurances that the parties’ interest or their customers’ interests will not be jeopardized.

10. That “Highly Confidential” information may be made available to a “Reviewing Representative” who has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate in the form attached as **Appendix A** hereto and who is: (i) an attorney for one of the parties who has entered an appearance in this proceeding; (ii) an attorney, paralegal, or other employee associated for purposes of this proceeding with an attorney described in subparagraph (i); (iii) an expert or an employee of an expert retained by a party for the purpose of advising, preparing for, or testifying in this proceeding; or (iv) a person mutually agreed to by the producing and non-producing parties.

11. Provided, however, that a Reviewing Representative of Highly Confidential information shall not be a “Restricted Person” as defined in Paragraph 8 or include any employee or agent of a competitor of a party subject to this Protective Order or a competitor of a customer of the party whose duties include the marketing, sale, or purchase of electricity or electric transmission services; management regarding or supervision of any employee whose duties include the marketing, sale, or purchase of electricity or electric transmission services for a competitor of a party subject to this Protective Order or a customer of the party; consulting services for a competitor of a party subject to this Protective Order or a customer of the party regarding the marketing, sale, or purchase of electricity or electric transmission services; or responsibility regarding other strategic business activities in which use of market sensitive information could be reasonably expected to cause competitive harm to a party or to a customer of a party subject to this Protective Order.

12. If any person who has had access to Proprietary Information subsequently is assigned to perform any duties which would make that person ineligible to be a Reviewing Representative of “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential” information, that person shall immediately inform the producing party of his or her new duties, shall dispose of any Proprietary Information and any information derived therefrom in his or her possession and shall continue to comply with the requirements of this Protective Order with regard to the Proprietary Information to which that person previously had access.

13. That no other persons may have access to the Proprietary Information except as authorized by order of the Commission or the Presiding Administrative Law Judge or as otherwise agreed to by the producing and non-producing parties.

14. That qualified “Reviewing Representatives of Highly Confidential” information may review and discuss “Highly Confidential” information with their client or with the entity with which they are employed or associated, to the extent that the client or entity is not a “Restricted Person,” but may not share with or permit the client or entity to review the “Highly Confidential” information. Such discussions must be general in nature and not disclose specific “Highly Confidential” information; provided, however, that counsel for the Office of Consumer Advocate and Office of Small Business Advocate may share Proprietary Information with the Consumer Advocate and Small Business Advocate, respectively, without obtaining a Non-Disclosure Certificate from these individuals; provided, however, that these individuals otherwise abide by the terms of the Protective Order.

15. That Proprietary Information shall be treated by non-producing parties subject to this Protective Order and by all Reviewing Representatives in accordance with the certificate attached as **Appendix A** and executed pursuant to Paragraph 17. Information deemed Proprietary Information shall not be used except as necessary for the conduct of this proceeding, nor shall it be disclosed in any manner to any person except a Reviewing Representative who is engaged in the conduct of this proceeding and who needs to know the information in order to carry out that person’s responsibilities in this proceeding.

16. That Reviewing Representatives may not use information contained in any Proprietary Information obtained through this proceeding to give any commercial advantage. If a party wishes to designate as a Reviewing Representative a person not described in Paragraphs 7 and 10 above, the party shall seek agreement from the party providing the Proprietary Information. If an agreement is reached, that person shall be a Reviewing Representative with respect to those materials. If no agreement is reached, the party shall submit the disputed designation to the presiding Administrative Law Judge for resolution.

17. That a Reviewing Representative shall not be permitted to inspect, participate in discussions regarding, or otherwise be permitted access to Proprietary Information pursuant to this Protective Order unless that Reviewing Representative has first executed a Non-Disclosure Certificate provided that if an attorney qualified as a Reviewing Representative has executed such a certificate, the paralegals, secretarial, and clerical personnel under the attorney’s instruction, supervision, or control need not do so. A copy of each Non-Disclosure Certificate shall be provided to counsel for the party asserting confidentiality prior to disclosure of any Proprietary Information to that Reviewing Representative.

18. That attorneys and outside experts qualified as Reviewing Representatives are responsible for ensuring that persons under their supervision or control comply with this Protective Order.

19. That none of the parties waives its right to pursue any other legal or equitable remedies that may be available in the event of actual or anticipated disclosure of Proprietary Information.

20. That the producing party shall designate data or documents as constituting or containing Proprietary Information by stamping the documents “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential.” Where only part of data compilations or multi-page documents constitutes or contains Proprietary Information, the parties, insofar as reasonably practicable within discovery and other time constraints imposed in this proceeding, shall designate only the specific data or pages of documents which constitute or contain Proprietary Information. The Proprietary Information shall be served in an envelope separate from the nonproprietary materials, and the envelope shall be conspicuously marked “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential.”

21. That the non-producing party will consider and treat the Proprietary Information as within the definition of “confidential information” in Section 102 of the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Law of 2008, 65 P.S. § 67.102, and subject to exemptions from disclosure as provided for in Section 708 of the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Law of 2008, 65 P.S. § 67.708, until the information is found by a tribunal with jurisdiction to be not confidential or subject to one or more exemptions.

22. That any public reference to Proprietary Information by a party shall be to the title or exhibit reference in sufficient detail to permit persons with access to the Proprietary Information to understand fully the reference and not more. The Proprietary Information shall remain a part of the record, to the extent admitted, for all purposes of administrative or judicial review.

23. That, when a statement or exhibit is identified for the record, the portions thereof that constitute Proprietary Information shall be designated as such for the record.

24. That any part of the record of this proceeding containing Proprietary Information, including but not limited to all exhibits, writings, testimony, cross examination, and argument, and including reference thereto as mentioned in Paragraph 22 above, shall be sealed for all purposes, including administrative and judicial review, unless such Proprietary Information is released from the restrictions of this Protective Order, either through the agreement of the parties to this Protective Order or pursuant to an order of the Commission.

25. That the parties shall retain the right to question or challenge the confidential or proprietary nature of Proprietary Information and to question or challenge the admissibility of Proprietary Information. If a party challenges the designation of a document or information as proprietary, the party providing the information retains the burden of demonstrating that the designation is appropriate.

26. That the parties shall retain the right to object to the production of Proprietary Information on any proper ground; to refuse to produce Proprietary Information pending the adjudication of the objection; and to seek additional measures of protection of Proprietary Information beyond those provided in this Protective Order.

27. That within 30 days after a Commission final order is entered in the above-captioned proceeding, or in the event of appeals, within 30 days after appeals are finally decided, the non-producing party, upon request, shall either destroy or return to the producing party all copies of all documents and other materials not entered into the record, including notes, which contain any Proprietary Information. In the event that the non-producing party elects to destroy all copies of documents and other materials containing Proprietary Information instead of returning the copies of documents and other materials containing Proprietary Information to the producing party, the non-producing party shall certify in writing to the other party that the Proprietary Information has been destroyed.

Dated: November 5, 2014 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Susan D. Colwell

Administrative Law Judge

**APPENDIX A**

**BEFORE THE**

**PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Petition of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation for Approval of Its Smart Meter Technology Procurement and Installation Plan | **: : :**  **:** | Docket No. M-2014-2430781 |

**TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:**

The undersigned is a Reviewing Representative of the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, a party to this proceeding (“Party”), and is not or has no knowledge or basis for believing that he or she is a “Restricted Person,” as that term is defined in Paragraph 8 of the Protective Order, or is prohibited from being a “Reviewing Representative of Highly Confidential Information” pursuant to Paragraph 11 of the Protective Order. The undersigned has read and understands the Protective Order in the above-referenced proceeding, which Protective Order deals with the treatment of Proprietary Information. The undersigned agrees to be bound by, and comply with, the terms and conditions of said Protective Order.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Name

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signature

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Address

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Employer

**M-2014-2430781- Petition of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation for Approval of Its Act 129 Smart Meter Technology Procurement and Installation Plan**

***Revised 8/13/14***

ANTHONY D KANAGY ESQUIRE

POST & SCHELL
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***Accepts e-Service***
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OFFICE OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE
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***Accepts e-Service***

STEVEN C GRAY ESQUIRE

OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS ADVOCATE
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HARRISBURG PA 17101
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***Accepts e-Service***
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