



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Tel: 412-565-3550
Fax: 412-565-5692

Office of Administrative Law Judge
301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 220, Piatt Place
Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2440

APR - 6 2015

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

March 26, 2015

E. McCauley
2550 State Route 49
Westfield, PA 16950-1009

Re: E. McCauley v. Pennsylvania Electric Company
Docket No. C-2014-2417835

E.
Dear Ms. McCauley:

On March 25, 2015, our office received a three-page document from you. Again, you did not indicate in this document whether you provided a copy to Pennsylvania Electric Company (often referred to as "Penelec").

No one is permitted to send any correspondence to a presiding judge concerning any matter in an ongoing proceeding without providing a copy to the other side and to the Secretary's Bureau.

THIS CASE IS CLOSED

I am returning the original correspondence you sent to this office and, for your convenience, I am sending a copy of your correspondence to Penelec with this letter.

Don't you remember?
You found in favor of Penelec.
But I NEVER got my answer

RECEIVED

APR 1 2015

Pittsburgh Office of A.L.J.
Public Utility Commission

Enclosure

cc: Margaret A. Morris, Esquire (w/ enclosure)
Secretary's Bureau (w/ enclosure)

Sincerely,

Katrina L. Dunderdale
Administrative Law Judge

*** JUST ANSWER THE DAMN QUESTION!**

If you continue sending these papers back - I'll play your GAME - I've got just as many STAMPS as you + THE PUC!

I HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO WALK SINCE Dec. 5, 2014. HOW CAN I GET COPIES IF I CAN'T WALK I CAN'T DRIVE TO A COPIER

146892



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 220, Piatt Place
PITTSBURGH, PA 15222-2440

Tel: 412-565-3550

Fax: 412-565-5692

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO OUR FILE

March 19, 2015

RECEIVED

APR - 6 2015

E McCauley
2550 State Route 49
Westfield, PA 16950-1009

PA-PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SECRETARY'S BUREAU

Re: *E. McCauley v. Pennsylvania Electric Company*
Docket No. C-2014-2417835

E.
Dear Ms. McCauley:

On March 18, 2015, our office received a one-page document from you. You did not indicate in this document whether you provided a copy to Pennsylvania Electric Company (often referred to as "Penelec"). In addition, the hearing record in this proceeding was closed by Interim Order on March 11, 2015.

No one is permitted to send any correspondence to a presiding judge concerning any matter in an ongoing proceeding without providing a copy to the other side and to the Secretary's Bureau. Also, the hearing record in this matter was closed, meaning neither side is permitted to send in additional evidence or correspondence.

I am returning the original correspondence you sent to this office and, for your convenience, I am sending a copy of your correspondence to Penelec with this letter.

RECEIVED

MAR 25 2015

Pittsburgh Office of A.L.J.
Public Utility Commission

Enclosure

Sincerely,

Katrina L. Dunderdale
Administrative Law Judge

cc: Margaret A. Morris, Esquire (w/ enclosure)
Secretary's Bureau (w/o enclosure)

INSTEAD OF WASTING YOUR TIME ON THIS RESPONSE, WHY CAN'T YOU ANSWER MY ONE QUESTION THAT I ASKED YOU AT THE HEARING - IF YOU DON'T REMEMBER WHAT THAT QUESTION WAS - JUST READ THE FIRST PAGE OF THE HEARING TRANSCRIPT. YOU STATE THAT I'M NOT PERMITTED TO WRITE TO A JUDGE AFTER COURT PROCEEDINGS - WHY NOT? YOU ARE NOT A GOD & YOU DID TELL ME IN THE HEARING THAT YOU WOULD GET BACK TO ME WITH AN ANSWER TO MY QUESTION I'VE HAD

Many Hearings & have asked this Question at
The Very Start of ALL The Hearings. I Had alms
Every Judge so far - WATSON - Moyer - you -

Yet NO ONE Has Yet to answer MY
ONE Question... WHY ???

Are you NOT permitted to ask The PUC
Commissioners for My Answer? Perdec is
NOT who you should be asking for the answer
Because thats a conflict of Interest, I have tried
to get an answer from The PUC commissioners
for years now. But like you They Believe They
are Gods & don't have to answer ~~the~~ to
the People they are in office to Serve - Such a
Waste of Tax payers Money.

I DO Believe The PUC Should Be ABOLISHED

Please Do Not Respond To This letter
unless you Have My Answer to my Question!

E. McCauley

Discussion

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.483 and 66 Pa. C.S.A. §331(d) and §332, the presiding officer has various powers to preside over the taking of evidence and to regulate the course of proceedings, including the receipt of evidence. It appears the transcript of the hearing has been received, the parties made final statements on the transcript, Complainant had an opportunity to respond and/or object to Penelec's proposed exhibits, and there appears to be no need for further hearings in this matter.

AND NOW, the transcript of the August 20, 2014 initial hearing in this case having been received, on which the parties made final statements, and there being no further hearings in this matter to be scheduled or held.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:


1. The record, at Docket No. C-2014-2417835 is closed.
2. The Initial Decision in this case shall be prepared and issued.

RECEIVED

MAR 18 2015

Pittsburgh Office of A.L.J.
Public Utility Commission

Date: March 11, 2015


Katrina L. Dunderdale
Administrative Law Judge



When will I get an answer to my ONE Question I had at this Hearing?
You Promised me YOU would let me
Know. YOU LIED!

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
PIATT PLACE SUITE 220
301 FIFTH AVENUE
PITTSBURGH PA 15222



ROSEMARY CHIAVETTA
SECRETARY
PA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P.O. BOX 3265
HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265