

paying for personal delivery of notices. Whereas, obvious fact no financial benefit can be derived from sending an employee to accomplish less effective personal notice delivery, when First Class Mail historically has not only been an effective legal process in America, but is used by Respondent. That hand written notice delivery process must be enjoined.

The Commonwealth suffered the cost of employees driving to and from a customer's home to perform a hand written notice delivery since the September 25, 2013 date the act is first shown in this case. Appropriate calculation can be derived from the number of times since. Eastern Region Director Respondent John Kennedy testified of being in charge of therefore provide.

WHEREFORE, for the above reasons order should issue staying judgment until Respondent provides a list of all notices personally delivered, absent Shut Off, from September 25, 2013 to date an appropriate amount derived from recommended.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank Nellom

Frank Nellom, pro se
520 Keystone Avenue
Darby, PA 19023
484-469-026
franknellom@gmail.com

Dated: July 4, 2015

V-Verification:

I, Frank Nellom, hereby certify that the statements made in the foregoing Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief by my signature below make subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Frank Nellom

Frank Nellom, pro se
520 Keystone Avenue
Darby, PA 19023
484-469-0265
franknellom@gmail.com

Dated: July 4, 2015

VI-Proof of service:

I, Frank Nellom, hereby certify a true and correct copy the of foregoing Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment was served upon Respondent's Counsel of record Margaret A. Morris by Email as practiced on the below date addressed as follows:

mmorris@regerlaw.com

Frank Nellom

Frank Nellom, pro se
520 Keystone Avenue
Darby, PA 19023
484-469-0265
franknellom@gmail.com

Dated: July 4, 2015

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Frank Nellom,

v.

Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.,

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

C-2014-2450732

ORDER

AND NOW, this _____ day of _____, 20____, upon consideration of the Petitioner's Motion for Summary Judgment, it is hereby **ORDERED** and **DECREED** that:

1. Petitioner motion for Summary Judgment is Granted. Judgment is hereby stayed.
2. Respondent shall furnish to Petitioner a list of all notices personally delivered in this Commonwealth of Pennsylvania since September 25, 2013, to date, within fifteen (15) days of this order.
3. Petitioner shall have fifteen (15) days from date list is furnished to submit a finding of appropriate fine amount.

**Honorable Judge Darlene Heep
Administrative law Judge**